(ii) The owner or operator must have:

(A) A current rating for his most recent bond issuance of AAA, AA, A, or BBB as issued by Standard and Poor's or Aaa, Aa, A, or Baa as issued by Moody's; and

(B) Tangible net worth at least six times the sum of the current closure and post-closure cost estimates and the current plugging and abandonment cost estimates; and

(C) Tangible net worth of at least $10 million; and

(D) Assets located in the United States amounting to at least 90 percent of his total assets or at least six times the sum of the current closure and post-closure cost estimates and the current plugging and abandonment cost estimates.

(2) The phrase "current closure and post-closure cost estimates" as used in paragraph (e)(1) of this section refers to the cost estimates required to be shown in paragraphs 1-4 of the letter from the owner's or operator's chief financial officer (§ 264.151(f)). The phrase "current plugging and abandonment cost estimates" as used in paragraph (e)(1) of this section refers to the cost estimates required to be shown in paragraphs 1-4 of the letter from the owner's or operator's chief financial officer (§ 144.70(f) of this title).

(3) To demonstrate that he meets this test, the owner or operator must submit the following items to the Regional Administrator:

(i) A letter signed by the owner's or operator's chief financial officer and worded as specified in § 264.151(f); and

(ii) A copy of the independent certified public accountant's report on examination of the owner's or operator's financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year; and

(iii) A special report from the owner's or operator's independent certified public accountant to the owner or operator stating that:

(A) He has compared the data which the letter from the chief financial officer specifies as having been derived from the independently audited, year-end financial statements for the latest fiscal year with the amounts in such financial statements; and

(B) In connection with that procedure, no matters came to his attention which caused him to believe that the specified data should be adjusted.

(4) The owner or operator may obtain an extension of the time allowed for submission of the documents specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section if the fiscal year of the owner or operator ends during the 90 days prior to the effective date of these regulations and if the year-end financial statements for that fiscal year will be audited by an independent certified public accountant. The extension will end no later than 90 days after the end of the owner's or operator's fiscal year. To obtain the extension, the owner's or operator's chief financial officer must send, by the effective date of these regulations, a letter to the Regional Administrator of each Region in which the owner's or operator's facilities to be covered by the financial test are located. This letter from the chief financial officer must:

(i) Request the extension;

(ii) Certify that he has grounds to believe that the owner or operator meets the criteria of the financial test;

(iii) Specify for each facility to be covered by the test the EPA Identification Number, name, address, and the current closure and post-closure cost estimates to be covered by the test;

(iv) Specify the date ending the owner's or operator's latest complete fiscal year before the effective date of these regulations;

(v) Specify the date, no later than 90 days after the end of such fiscal year, when he will submit the documents specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section; and

(vi) Certify that the year-end financial statements of the owner or operator for such fiscal year will be audited by an independent certified public accountant.
(3) After the initial submission of items specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, the owner or operator must send updated information to the Regional Administrator within 90 days after the close of each succeeding fiscal year. This information must consist of all three items specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(6) If the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, he must send notice to the Regional Administrator of intent to establish alternate financial assurance as specified in this section. The notice must be sent by certified mail within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year for which the year-end financial data show that the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements. The owner or operator must provide the alternate financial assurance within 120 days after the end of such fiscal year.

(7) The Regional Administrator may, based on a reasonable belief that the owner or operator may no longer meet the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, require reports of financial condition at any time from the owner or operator in addition to those specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section. If the Regional Administrator finds, on the basis of such reports or other information, that the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, the owner or operator must provide alternate financial assurance as specified in this section within 30 days after notification of such a finding.

(8) The Regional Administrator may disallow use of this test on the basis of qualifications in the opinion expressed by the independent certified public accountant in his report on examination of the owner's or operator's financial statements (see paragraph (e)(3)(11) of this section). An adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion will be cause for disallowance. The Regional Administrator will evaluate other qualifications on an individual basis. The owner or operator must provide alternate financial assurance as specified in this section within 30 days after notification of the disallowance.

(9) During the period of post-closure care, the Regional Administrator may approve a decrease in the current post-closure cost estimate for which this test demonstrates financial assurance if the owner or operator demonstrates to the Regional Administrator that the amount of the cost estimate exceeds the remaining cost of post-closure care.

(10) The owner or operator is no longer required to submit the items specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section when:

(i) An owner or operator substitutes alternate financial assurance as specified in this section; or

(ii) The Regional Administrator releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this section in accordance with § 265.145(h).

(11) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a written guarantee, hereinafter referred to as "corporate guarantee." The guarantor must be the parent corporation of the owner or operator. The guarantor must meet the requirements for owners or operators in paragraphs (e)(1) through (9) of this section and must comply with the terms of the corporate guarantee. The wording of the corporate guarantee must be identical to the wording specified in § 264.151(h). The corporate guarantee must accompany the items sent to the Regional Administrator as specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section. The terms of the corporate guarantee must provide that:

(i) If the owner or operator fails to perform post-closure care of a facility covered by the corporate guarantee in accordance with the post-closure plan and other interim status requirements whenever required to do so, the guarantor will do so or establish a trust fund as specified in § 265.145(a) in the name of the owner or operator.

(ii) The corporate guarantee will remain in force unless the guarantor sends notice of cancellation by certified mail to the owner or operator and to the Regional Administrator. Cancellation may not occur, however, during the 120 days beginning on the date of receipt of the notice of cancellation by both the owner or operator and the Regional Administrator, as evidenced by the return receipts.

(iii) If the owner or operator fails to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in this section and obtain the written approval of such alternate assurance from the Regional Administrator within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Regional Administrator of a notice of cancellation of the corporate guarantee from the guarantor, the guarantor will provide such alternate financial assurance in the name of the owner or operator.

(f) Use of multiple financial mechanisms. An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this section by establishing more than one financial mechanism per facility. These mechanisms are limited to trust funds, surety bonds, letters of credit, and insurance. The mechanisms must be as specified in paragraphs (a) through (d), respectively, of this section, except that it is the combination of mechanisms, rather than the
single mechanism, which must provide financial assurance for an amount at least equal to the current post-closure cost estimate. If an owner or operator uses a trust fund in combination with a surety bond or a letter of credit, he may use the trust fund as the standby trust fund for the other mechanisms. A single standby trust fund may be established for two or more mechanisms. The Regional Administrator may use any or all of the mechanisms to provide for post-closure care of the facility.

(q) Use of a financial mechanism for multiple facilities. An owner or operator may use a financial assurance mechanism specified in this section to meet the requirements of this section for more than one facility. Evidence of financial assurance submitted to the Regional Administrator must include a list showing, for each facility, the EPA Identification Number, name, address, and the amount of funds for post-closure care assured by the mechanism. If the facilities covered by the mechanism are in more than one Region, identical evidence of financial assurance must be submitted to and maintained with the Regional Administrators of all such Regions. The amount of funds available through the mechanism must be no less than the sum of funds that would be available if a separate mechanism had been established and maintained for each facility. In directing funds available through the mechanism for post-closure care of any of the facilities covered by the mechanism, the Regional Administrator may direct only the amount of funds designated for that facility, unless the owner or operator agrees to the use of additional funds available under the mechanism.

(h) Release of the owner or operator from the requirements of this section. Within 60 days after receiving certifications from the owner or operator and an independent registered professional engineer that the post-closure care period has been completed in accordance with the approved post-closure plan, the Regional Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing that he is no longer required by this section to maintain financial assurance for post-closure care of that unit, unless the Regional Administrator has reason to believe the date of the waste is expected to arrive at the facility. Notice of subsequent shipments of the same waste from the same foreign source is not required.

(h) Before transferring ownership or operation of a facility during its operating life, or of a disposal facility during the post-closure care period, the owner or operator must notify the new owner or operator in writing of the requirements of this part and part 270 of this chapter. (Also see § 270.72 of this chapter.)

[Comment: An owner's or operator's failure to notify the new owner or operator of the requirements of this part in no way relieves the new owner or operator of his obligation to comply with all applicable requirements.]

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0013)


§ 265.13 General waste analysis.

(a)(1) Before an owner or operator treats, stores or disposes of any hazardous wastes, or non-hazardous wastes if applicable under § 265.113(d), he must obtain a detailed chemical and physical analysis of a representative sample of the wastes.

(2) The analysis may include data developed under part 261 of this chapter, and existing published or documented data on the hazardous waste or on waste generated from similar processes.

Comment: for example, the facility's records of analyses performed on the waste before the effective date of these regulations, or studies conducted on hazardous waste generated from processes similar to that which generated the waste to be managed at the facility, may be included in the data base required to comply with paragraph (a)(1) of this section. The owner or operator of an off-site facility may arrange for the generator of the hazardous waste to supply part of the information required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section, except as otherwise specified in 40 CFR 268.7 (b) and (c). If the generator does not supply the information, and the owner or operator chooses to accept a hazardous waste, the owner or operator is responsible for obtaining the information required to comply with this section.

(3) The analysis must be repeated as necessary to ensure that it is accurate and up to date. At a minimum, the analysis must be repeated:

(1) When the owner or operator is notified, or has reason to believe, that the process or operation generating the hazardous wastes or non-hazardous wastes, if applicable, under § 265.113(d) has changed; and

(4) For off-site facilities, when the results of the inspection required in paragraph (a)(4) of this section indicate that the hazardous waste received at the facility does not match the waste designated on the accompanying manifest or shipping paper.
(4) The owner or operator of an off-site facility must inspect and, if necessary, analyze each hazardous waste movement received at the facility to determine whether it matches the identity of the waste specified on the accompanying manifest or shipping paper.

(b) The owner or operator must develop and follow a written waste analysis plan which describes the procedures which he will carry out to comply with paragraph (a) of this section. He must keep this plan at the facility. At a minimum, the plan must specify:

(1) The parameters for which each hazardous waste, or non-hazardous waste if applicable under § 265.113(d), will be analyzed and the rationale for the selection of these parameters (i.e., how analysis for these parameters will provide sufficient information on the waste's properties to comply with paragraph (a) of this section);

(2) The test methods which will be used to test for these parameters;

(3) The sampling method which will be used to obtain a representative sample of the waste to be analyzed. A representative sample may be obtained using either:

(i) One of the sampling methods described in Appendix I of part 261 of this chapter; or

(ii) An equivalent sampling method.

[Comment: See § 260.20(c) of this chapter for related discussion.]

(4) The frequency with which the initial analysis of the waste will be reviewed or repeated to ensure that the analysis is accurate and up to date;

(5) For off-site facilities, the waste analyses that hazardous waste generators have agreed to supply; and

(6) Where applicable, the methods that will be used to meet the additional waste analysis requirements for specific waste management methods as specified in §§ 265.200, 265.225, 265.252, 265.273, 265.314, 265.341, 265.375, 265.402, 265.1034(d), 265.1063(d), and 268.7 of this chapter.

(7) For surface impoundments exempted from land disposal restrictions under § 268.4(a) of this chapter, the procedures and schedule for:

(i) The sampling of impoundment contents;

(ii) The analysis of test data; and,

(iii) The annual removal of residues which are not delisted under § 260.22 of this chapter or which exhibit a characteristic of hazardous waste and either:

(A) Do not meet applicable treatment standards of part 268, subpart D; or

(B) Where no treatment standards have been established;

(1) Such residues are prohibited from land disposal under § 268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d); or

(2) Such residues are prohibited from land disposal under § 268.33(f).

(c) For off-site facilities, the waste analysis plan required in paragraph (b) of this section must also specify the procedures which will be used to inspect and, if necessary, analyze each movement of hazardous waste received at the facility to ensure that it matches the identity of the waste designated on the accompanying manifest or shipping paper. At a minimum, the plan must describe:

(1) The procedures which will be used to determine the identity of each movement of waste managed at the facility; and

(2) The sampling method which will be used to obtain a representative sample of the waste to be identified; if the identification method includes sampling.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0012)

(a) The owner or operator must prevent the unknowing entry, and minimize the possibility for the unauthorized entry, of persons or livestock onto the active portion of his facility, unless:

(1) Physical contact with the waste, structures, or equipment with the active portion of the facility will not injure unknowing or unauthorized persons or livestock which may enter the active portion of a facility, and

(2) Disturbance of the waste or equipment, by the unknowing or unauthorized entry of persons or livestock onto the active portion of a facility, will not cause a violation of the requirements of this part.

(b) Unless exempt under paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section, a facility must have:

(1) A 24-hour surveillance system (e.g., television monitoring or surveillance by guards of facility personnel) which continuously monitors and controls entry onto the active portion of the facility; or

(2) (i) An artificial or natural barrier (e.g., a fence in good repair or a fence combined with a cliff), which completely surrounds the active portion of the facility; and

(ii) A means to control entry, at all times, through the gates or other entrances to the active portion of the facility (e.g., an attendant, television monitors, locked entrance, or controlled roadway access to the facility).

[Comment: The requirements of paragraph (b) of this section are satisfied if the facility or plant within which the active portion is located itself has a surveillance system, or a barrier and a means to control entry, which complies with the requirements of paragraph (b)(1) or (2) of this section.]

(c) Unless exempt under paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this section, a sign with the legend, "DANGER -- Unauthorized Personnel Keep Out," must be posted at each entrance to the active portion of a facility, and at other locations, in sufficient numbers to be seen from any approach to this active portion. The legend must be written in English and in any other language predominant in the area surrounding the facility (e.g., facilities in counties bordering the Canadian province of Quebec must post signs in French; facilities in counties bordering Mexico must post signs in Spanish), and must be legible from a distance of at least 25 feet. Existing signs with a legend other than "DANGER -- Unauthorized Personnel Keep Out" may be used if the legend on the sign indicates that only authorized personnel are allowed to enter the active portion, and that entry onto the active portion can be dangerous.

[Comment: See §265.117(b) for discussion of security requirements at disposal facilities during the post-closure care period.]

$265.15 General inspection requirements.

(a) The owner or operator must inspect his facility for malfunctions and deterioration, operator errors, and discharges which may be causing -- or may lead to: (1) Release of hazardous waste constituents to the environment or (2) a threat to human health. The owner or operator must conduct these inspections often enough to identify problems in time to correct them before they harm human health or the environment.

(b)(1) The owner or operator must develop and follow a written schedule for inspecting all monitoring equipment, safety and emergency equipment, security devices, and operating and structural equipment (such as dikes and sump pumps) that are important to preventing, detecting, or responding to environmental or human health hazards.

(2) He must keep this schedule at the facility.

(3) The schedule must identify the types of problems (e.g., malfunctions or deterioration) which are to be looked for during the inspection (e.g., inoperative sump pump, leaking fitting, eroding dike, etc.).

(4) The frequency of inspection may vary for the items on the schedule. However, it should be based on the rate of possible deterioration of the equipment and the probability of an environmental or human health incident if the deterioration, or malfunction, or any operator error goes undetected between inspections. Areas subject to spills, such as loading and unloading areas, must be inspected daily when in use. At a minimum, the
inspection schedule must include the terms and frequencies called for in §§ 265.190, 265.191, 265.195, 265.226, 265.347, 265.377, 265.403, 265.1033, 265.1052, 265.1053, and 265.1058.

(c) The owner or operator must remedy any deterioration or malfunction of equipment or structures which the inspection reveals on a schedule which ensures that the problem does not lead to an environmental or human health hazard. Where a hazard is imminent or has already occurred, remedial action must be taken immediately.

(d) The owner or operator must record inscriptions in an inspection log or summary. He must keep these records for at least three years from the date of inspection. At a minimum, these records must include the date and time of the inspection, the name of the inspector, a notation of the observations made, and the date and nature of any repairs or other remedial actions.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0011.)


§ 265.16 Personnel training.

(a)(1) Facility personnel must successfully complete a program of classroom instruction or on-the-job training that teaches them to perform their duties in a way that ensures the facility's compliance with the requirements of this part. The owner or operator must ensure that this program includes all the elements described in the document required under paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(2) This program must be directed by a person trained in hazardous waste management procedures, and must include instruction which teaches facility personnel hazardous waste management procedures (including contingency plan implementation) relevant to the positions in which they are employed.

(3) At a minimum, the training program must be designed to ensure that facility personnel are able to respond effectively to emergencies by familiarizing them with emergency procedures, emergency equipment, and emergency systems, including where applicable:

(i) Procedures for using, inspecting, repairing, and replacing facility emergency and monitoring equipment;

(ii) Key parameters for automatic waste feed cut-off systems;

(iii) Communications or alarm systems;

(iv) Response to fires or explosions;

(v) Response to ground-water contamination incidents; and

(vi) Shutdown of operations.

(b) Facility personnel must successfully complete the program required in paragraph (a) of this section within six months after the effective date of these regulations or six months after the date of their employment or assignment to a facility, or to a new position at a facility, whichever is later. Employees hired after the effective date of these regulations must not work in unsupervised positions until they have completed the training requirements of paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) Facility personnel must take part in an annual review of the initial training required in paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) The owner or operator must maintain the following documents and records at the facility:

(1) The job title for each position at the facility related to hazardous waste management, and the name of the employee filling each job;

(2) A written job description for each position listed under paragraph (d)(1) of this section. This description may be consistent in its degree of specificity with descriptions for other similar positions in the same company location or bargaining unit, but must include the requisite skill, education, or other qualifications, and duties of facility personnel assigned to each position;

(3) A written description of the type and amount of both introductory and continuing training that will be given to each person filling a position listed under paragraph (d)(1) of this section.
(4) Records that document that the training or job experience required under paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section has been given to, and completed by, facility personnel.

(a) Training records on current personnel must be kept until closure of the facility. Training records on former employees must be kept for at least three years from the date the employee last worked at the facility. Personnel training records may accompany personnel transferred within the same company.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0013)


§ 265.17 General requirements for ignitable, reactive, or incompatible wastes.

(a) The owner or operator must take precautions to prevent accidental ignition or reaction of ignitable or reactive waste. This waste must be separated and protected from sources of ignition or reaction including but not limited to: Open flames, smoking, cutting and welding, hot surfaces, frictional heat, sparks (static, electrical, or mechanical), spontaneous ignition (e.g., from heat-producing chemical reactions), and radiant heat. While ignitable or reactive waste is being handled, the owner or operator must confine smoking and open flame to specially designated locations. "No Smoking" signs must be conspicuously placed wherever there is a hazard from ignitable or reactive waste.

(b) Where specifically required by other sections of this part, the treatment, storage, or disposal of ignitable or reactive waste, and the mixture or comingling of incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and materials, must be conducted so that it does not:

(1) Generate extreme heat or pressure, fire or explosion, or violent reaction;

(2) Produce uncontrolled toxic mists, fumes, dusts, or gases in sufficient quantities to threaten human health;

(3) Produce uncontrolled flammable fumes or gases in sufficient quantities to pose a risk of fire or explosions;

(4) Damage the structural integrity of the device or facility containing the waste; or

(5) Through other like means threaten human health or the environment.

§ 265.18 Location standards.

The placement of any hazardous waste in a salt dome, salt bed formation, underground mine or cave is prohibited, except for the Department of Energy Waste Isolation Pilot Project in New Mexico.

[50 FR 28749, July 15, 1985]

Subpart C -- Preparedness and Prevention

§ 265.30 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of all hazardous waste facilities, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise.

§ 265.31 Maintenance and operation of facility.

Facilities must be maintained and operated to minimize the possibility of a fire, explosion, or any unplanned sudden or non-sudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents to air, soil, or surface water which could threaten human health or the environment.

§ 265.32 Required equipment.

All facilities must be equipped with the following, unless none of the hazards posed by waste handled at the facility could require a particular kind of equipment specified below:

(a) An internal communications or alarm system capable of providing immediate emergency instruction (voice or signal) to facility personnel;
(b) A device, such as a telephone (immediately available at the scene of operations) or a hand-held two-way radio, capable of summoning emergency assistance from local police departments, fire departments, or State or local emergency response teams;

(c) Portable fire extinguishers, fire control equipment (including special extinguishing equipment, such as that using foam, inert gas, or dry chemicals), spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment; and

(d) Water at adequate volume and pressure to supply water hose streams, or foam producing equipment, or automatic sprinklers, or water spray systems.

§ 265.33 Testing and maintenance of equipment.

All facility communications or alarm systems, fire protection equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment, where required, must be tested and maintained as necessary to assure its proper operation in time of emergency.

§ 265.34 Access to communications or alarm system.

(a) Whenever hazardous waste is being poured, mixed, spread, or otherwise handled, all personnel involved in the operation must have immediate access to an internal alarm or emergency communication device, either directly or through visual or voice contact with another employee, unless such a device is not required under § 265.32.

(b) If there is ever just one employee on the premises while the facility is operating, he must have immediate access to a device, such as a telephone (immediately available at the scene of operation) or a hand-held two-way radio, capable of summoning external emergency assistance, unless such a device is not required under § 265.32.

§ 265.35 Required aisle space.

The owner or operator must maintain aisle space to allow the unobstructed movement of personnel, fire protection equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment to any area of facility operation in an emergency, unless aisle space is not needed for any of these purposes.

§ 265.36 [Reserved]

§ 265.37 Arrangements with local authorities.

(a) The owner or operator must attempt to make the following arrangements, as appropriate for the type of waste handled at his facility and the potential need for the services of these organizations:

(1) Arrangements to familiarize police, fire departments, and emergency response teams with the layout of the facility, properties of hazardous waste handled at the facility and associated hazards, places where facility personnel would normally be working, entrances to roads inside the facility, and possible evacuation routes;

(2) Where more than one police and fire department might respond to an emergency, agreements designating primary emergency authority to a specific police and a specific fire department, and agreements with any others to provide support to the primary emergency authority;

(3) Agreements with State emergency response teams, emergency response contractors, and equipment suppliers; and

(4) Arrangements to familiarize local hospitals with the properties of hazardous waste handled at the facility and the types of injuries or illnesses which could result from fires, explosions, or releases at the facility.

(b) Where State or local authorities decline to enter into such arrangements, the owner or operator must document the refusal in the operating record.

Subpart D -- Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures

§ 265.50 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of all hazardous waste facilities, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise.
§ 265.51 Purpose and implementation of contingency plan.

(a) Each owner or operator must have a contingency plan for his facility. The contingency plan must be designed to minimize hazards to human health or the environment from fires, explosions, or any unplanned sudden or non-sudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents to air, soil, or surface water.

(b) The provisions of the plan must be carried out immediately whenever there is a fire, explosion, or release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents which could threaten human health or the environment.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0002)


§ 265.52 Content of contingency plan.

(a) The contingency plan must describe the actions facility personnel must take to comply with §§ 265.51 and 265.56 in response to fires, explosions, or any unplanned sudden or non-sudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents to air, soil, or surface water at the facility.

(b) If the owner or operator has already prepared a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan in accordance with part 112 of this chapter, or part 1510 of Chapter V, or some other emergency or contingency plan, he need only amend that plan to incorporate hazardous waste management provisions that are sufficient to comply with the requirements of this part.

(c) The plan must describe arrangements agreed to by local police departments, fire departments, hospitals, contractors, and State and local emergency response teams to coordinate emergency services, pursuant to § 265.37.

(d) The plan must list names, addresses, and phone numbers (office and home) of all persons qualified to act as emergency coordinator (see § 265.55), and this list must be kept up to date. Where more than one person is listed, one must be named as primary emergency coordinator and others must be listed in the order in which they will assume responsibility as alternates.

(e) The plan must include a list of all emergency equipment at the facility (such as fire extinguishing systems, spill control equipment, communications and alarm systems (internal and external), and decontamination equipment), where this equipment is required. This list must be kept up to date. In addition, the plan must include the location and a physical description of each item on the list, and a brief outline of its capabilities.

(f) The plan must include an evacuation plan for facility personnel where there is a possibility that evacuation could be necessary. This plan must describe signal(s) to be used to begin evacuation, evacuation routes, and alternate evacuation routes (in cases where the primary routes could be blocked by releases of hazardous waste or fires).

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0002)


§ 265.53 Copies of contingency plan.

A copy of the contingency plan and all revisions to the plan must be:

(a) Maintained at the facility; and

(b) Submitted to all local police departments, fire departments, hospitals, and State and local emergency response teams that may be called upon to provide emergency services.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0002)


§ 265.54 Amendment of contingency plan.

The contingency plan must be reviewed, and immediately amended, if necessary, whenever:

(a) Applicable regulations are revised;
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(b) The plan fails in an emergency;

c) The facility changes -- in its design, construction, operation, maintenance, or other circumstances -- in a way that materially increases the potential for fires, explosions, or releases of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents, or changes the response necessary in an emergency;

d) The list of emergency coordinators changes; or

e) The list of emergency equipment changes.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0002)


§ 265.55 Emergency coordinator.

At all times, there must be at least one employee either on the facility premises or on call (i.e., available to respond to an emergency by reaching the facility within a short period of time) with the responsibility for coordinating all emergency response measures. This emergency coordinator must be thoroughly familiar with all aspects of the facility's contingency plan, all operations and activities at the facility, the location and characteristics of waste handled, the location of all records within the facility, and the facility layout. In addition, this person must have the authority to commit the resources needed to carry out the contingency plan.

[Comment: The emergency coordinator's responsibilities are more fully spelled out in § 265.56. Applicable responsibilities for the emergency coordinator vary, depending on factors such as type and variety of waste(s) handled by the facility, and type and complexity of the facility.]

§ 265.56 Emergency procedures.

(a) Whenever there is an imminent or actual emergency situation, the emergency coordinator (or his designee when the emergency coordinator is on call) must immediately:

1. Activate internal facility alarms or communication systems, where applicable, to notify all facility personnel; and

2. Notify appropriate State or local agencies with designated response roles if their help is needed.

(b) Whenever there is a release, fire, or explosion, the emergency coordinator must immediately identify the character, exact source, amount, and a real extent of any released materials. He may do this by observation or review of facility records or manifests and, if necessary, by chemical analysis.

c) Concurrently, the emergency coordinator must assess possible hazards to human health or the environment that may result from the release, fire, or explosion. This assessment must consider both direct and indirect effects of the release, fire, or explosion (e.g., the effects of any toxic, irritating, or asphyxiating gases that are generated, or the effects of any hazardous surface water run-offs from water or chemical agents used to control fire and heat-induced explosions).

d) If the emergency coordinator determines that the facility has had a release, fire, or explosion which could threaten human health, or the environment, outside the facility, he must report his findings as follows:

1. If his assessment indicates that evacuation of local areas may be advisable, he must immediately notify appropriate local authorities. He must be available to help appropriate officials decide whether local areas should be evacuated; and

2. He must immediately notify either the government official designated as the on-scene coordinator for that geographical area (in the applicable regional contingency plan under part 1510 of this title), or the National Response Center (using their 24-hour toll free number 800/424-8802). The report must include:

(i) Name and telephone number of reporter;

(ii) Name and address of facility;

(iii) Time and type of incident (e.g., release, fire);

(iv) Name and quantity of material(s) involved, to the extent known;
(v) The extent of injuries, if any; and

(vi) The possible hazards to human health, or the environment, outside the facility.

(e) During an emergency, the emergency coordinator must take all reasonable measures necessary to ensure that fires, explosions, and releases do not occur, recur, or spread to other hazardous waste at the facility. These measures must include, where applicable, stopping processes and operations, collecting and containing released waste, and removing or isolating containers.

(f) If the facility stops operations in response to a fire, explosion or release, the emergency coordinator must monitor for resumed in the release, fire, or explosion at the facility.

(g) Immediately after an emergency, the emergency coordinator must provide for treating, storing, or disposing of recovered waste, contaminated soil or surface water, or any other material that results from a release, fire, or explosion at the facility.

[Comment: Unless the owner or operator can demonstrate, in accordance with § 265.3(c) or (d) of this chapter, that the recovered material is not a hazardous waste, the owner or operator becomes a generator of hazardous waste and must manage it in accordance with all applicable requirements of parts 262, 263, and 265 of this chapter.]

(h) The emergency coordinator must ensure that, in the affected area(s) of the facility:

1. No waste that may be incompatible with the released material is treated, stored, or disposed of until cleanup procedures are completed; and

2. All emergency equipment listed in the contingency plan is cleaned and fit for its intended use before operations are resumed.

1. The owner or operator must notify the Regional Administrator, and appropriate State and local authorities, that the facility is in compliance with paragraph (h) of this section before operations are resumed in the affected area(s) of the facility.

(j) The owner or operator must note in the operating record the time, date, and details of any incident that requires implementing the contingency plan. Within 15 days after the incident, he must submit a written report on the incident to the Regional Administrator. The report must include:

1. Name, address, and telephone number of the owner or operator;

2. Name, address, and telephone number of the facility;

3. Date, time, and type of incident (e.g., fire, explosion);

4. Name and quantity of material(s) involved;

5. The extent of injuries, if any;

6. An assessment of actual or potential hazards to human health or the environment, where this is applicable; and

7. Estimated quantity and disposition of recovered material that resulted from the incident.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0002)


Subpart E -- Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting

§ 265.70 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of both on-site and off-site facilities, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise. Sections 265.71, 265.72, and 265.76 do not apply to owners and operators of on-site facilities that do not receive any hazardous waste from off-site sources.

§ 265.71 Use of manifest system.
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[ Comment: The Agency does not intend that the owner or operator of a facility whose procedures under § 265.13(c) include waste analysis must perform that analysis before signing the manifest and giving it to the transporter. Section 265.72(b), however, requires reporting an unreconciled discrepancy discovered during later analysis.]
(3) Immediately give the transporter at least one copy of the signed manifest;
(4) Within 30 days after the delivery, send a copy of the manifest to the generator; and
(5) Retain at the facility a copy of each manifest for at least three years from the date of delivery.
(b) If a facility receives, from a rail or water (bulk shipment) transporter, hazardous waste which is accompanied by a shipping paper containing all the information required on the manifest (excluding the EPA identification numbers, generator's certification, and signatures), the owner or operator, or his agent, must:
(1) Sign and date each copy of the manifest or shipping paper (if the manifest has not been received) to certify that the hazardous waste covered by the manifest or shipping paper was received;
(2) Note any significant discrepancies (as defined in § 265.72(a)) in the manifest or shipping paper (if the manifest has not been received) on each copy of the manifest or shipping paper;
[Comment: The Agency does not intend that the owner or operator of a facility whose procedures under § 265.13(c) include waste analysis must perform that analysis before signing the shipping paper and giving it to the transporter. Section 265.72(b), however, requires reporting an unreconciled discrepancy discovered during later analysis.]
(3) Immediately give the rail or water (bulk shipment) transporter at least one copy of the manifest or shipping paper (if the manifest has not been received);
(4) Within 30 days after the delivery, send a copy of the signed and dated manifest to the generator; however, if the manifest has not been received within 30 days after delivery, the owner or operator, or his agent, must send a copy of the shipping paper signed and dated to the generator; and
[Comment: Section 262.23(c) of this chapter requires the generator to send three copies of the manifest to the facility when hazardous waste is sent by rail or water (bulk shipment).]
(5) Retain at the facility a copy of the manifest and shipping paper (if signed in lieu of the manifest at the time of delivery) for at least three years from the date of delivery.
(c) Whenever a shipment of hazardous waste is initiated from a facility, the owner or operator of that facility must comply with the requirements of part 262 of this chapter.
[Comment: The provisions of § 262.34 are applicable to the on-site accumulation of hazardous wastes by generators. Therefore, the provisions of § 262.34 only apply to owners or operators who are shipping hazardous waste which they generated at that facility.]
(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0039)
§ 265.72 Manifest discrepancies.
(a) Manifest discrepancies are differences between the quantity or type of hazardous waste designated on the manifest or shipping paper, and the quantity or type of hazardous waste a facility actually receives. Significant discrepancies in quantity are: (1) For bulk waste, variations greater than 10 percent in weight, and (2) for batch waste, any variation in piece count, such as a discrepancy of one drum in a truckload. Significant discrepancies in type are obvious differences which can be discovered by inspection or waste
analysis, such as waste solvent substituted for waste acid, or toxic constituents not reported on the manifest or shipping paper.

(b) Upon discovering a significant discrepancy, the owner or operator must attempt to reconcile the discrepancy with the waste generator or transporter [e.g., with telephone conversations]. If the discrepancy is not resolved within 15 days after receiving the waste, the owner or operator must immediately submit to the Regional Administrator a letter describing the discrepancy and attempts to reconcile it, and a copy of the manifest or shipping paper at issue.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0039)


§ 265.73 Operating record.

(a) The owner or operator must keep a written operating record at his facility.

(b) The following information must be recorded, as it becomes available, and maintained in the operating record until closure of the facility:

(1) A description and the quantity of each hazardous waste received, and the method(s) and date(s) of its treatment, storage, or disposal at the facility as required by Appendix I;

(2) The location of each hazardous waste within the facility and the quantity at each location. For disposal facilities, the location and quantity of each hazardous waste must be recorded on a map or diagram of each cell or disposal area. For all facilities, this information must include cross-references to specific manifest document numbers, if the waste was accompanied by a manifest;

[Comment: See §§ 265.119, 265.279, and 265.309 for related requirements.]

(3) Records and results of waste analyses and trial tests performed as specified in §§ 265.13, 265.200, 265.225, 265.252, 265.273, 265.314, 265.341, 265.375, 265.402, 265.1034, 265.1063, 268.4(a), and 268.7 of this chapter.

(4) Summary reports and details of all incidents that require implementing the contingency plan as specified in § 265.56(j);

(5) Records and results of inspections as required by § 265.15(d) [except these data need be kept only three years];

(6) Monitoring, testing or analytical data when required by §§ 265.90, 265.94, 265.191, 265.193, 265.195, 265.276, 265.278, 265.280(d)(1), 265.347, 265.377, 265.1034(c)-265.1034(f), 265.1035, 265.1063(d)-265.1063(1), and 265.1064.

[Comment: As required by § 265.94, monitoring data at disposal facilities must be kept throughout the post-closure period.]

(7) All closure cost estimates under § 265.142 and, for disposal facilities, all post-closure cost estimates under § 265.144.

(8) Records of the quantities (and date of placement) for each shipment of hazardous waste placed in land disposal units under an extension to the effective date of any land disposal restriction granted pursuant to § 268.5, monitoring data required pursuant to a petition under § 268.6, or a certification under § 268.8, and the applicable notice required by a generator under § 268.7(a).

(9) For an off-site treatment facility, a copy of the notice, and the certification and demonstration if applicable, required by the generator or the owner or operator under § 268.7 or § 268.8;

(10) For an on-site treatment facility, the information contained in the notice (except the manifest number), and the certification and demonstration if applicable, required by the generator or the owner or operator under § 268.7 or § 268.8;

(11) For an off-site land disposal facility, a copy of the notice, and the certification and demonstration if applicable, required by the generator or the owner or operator of a treatment facility under § 268.7 or § 268.8;
§ 265.74 Availability, retention, and disposition of records.

(a) All records, including plans, required under this part must be furnished upon request, and made available at all reasonable times for inspection, by any officer, employee, or representative of EPA who is duly designated by the Administrator.

(b) The retention period for all records required under this part is extended automatically during the course of any unresolved enforcement action regarding the facility or as requested by the Administrator.

(c) A copy of records of waste disposal locations and quantities under § 265.73(b)(2) must be submitted to the Regional Administrator and local land authority upon closure of the facility (see § 265.119).

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control numbers 2050-0018, and 2040-0042)

§ 265.75 Biennial report.

The owner or operator must prepare and submit a single copy of a biennial report to the Regional Administrator by March 1 of each even numbered year. The biennial report must be submitted on EPA Form 8700-13B. The report must cover facility activities during the previous calendar year and must include the following information:

(a) The EPA identification number, name, and address of the facility;

(b) The calendar year covered by the report;

(c) For off-site facilities, the EPA identification number of each hazardous waste generator from which the facility received a hazardous waste during the year; for imported shipments, the report must give the name and address of the foreign generator;

(d) A description and the quantity of each hazardous waste the facility received during the year. For off-site facilities, this information must be listed by EPA identification number of each generator;

(e) The method of treatment, storage, or disposal for each hazardous waste;

(f) Monitoring data under § 265.94(a)(2)(ii) and (iii), and (b)(2), where required;

(g) The most recent closure cost estimate under § 265.142, and, for disposal facilities, the most recent post-closure cost estimate under § 265.144; and

(h) For generators who treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste on-site, a description of the efforts undertaken during the year to reduce the volume and toxicity of waste generated.

(i) For generators who treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste on-site, a description of the changes in volume and toxicity of waste actually achieved during the year in comparison to previous years to the extent such information is available for the years prior to 1984.

(j) The certification signed by the owner or operator of the facility or his authorized representative.
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(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0024)


§ 265.76 Unmanifested waste report.

If a facility accepts for treatment, storage, or disposal any hazardous waste from an off-site source without an accompanying manifest, or without an accompanying shipping paper as described in § 263.20(e)(2) of this chapter, and if the waste is not excluded from the manifest requirement by § 261.5 of this chapter, then the owner or operator must prepare and submit a single copy of a report to the Regional Administrator within fifteen days after receiving the waste. The unmanifested waste report must be submitted on EPA form 8700-13B. Such report must be designated 'Unmanifested Waste Report' and include the following information:

(a) The EPA identification number, name, and address of the facility;

(b) The date the facility received the waste;

(c) The EPA identification number, name, and address of the generator and the transporter, if available;

(d) A description and the quantity of each unmanifested hazardous waste the facility received;

(e) The method of treatment, storage, or disposal for each hazardous waste;

(f) The certification signed by the owner or operator of the facility or his authorized representative; and

(g) A brief explanation of why the waste was unmanifested, if known.

[Comment: Small quantities of hazardous waste are excluded from eligible to provide insurance as an excess or surplus lines insurer, in one or more States.

(2) The wording of the certificate of insurance must be identical to the wording specified in § 264.151(e).

(3) The post-closure insurance policy must be issued for a face amount at least equal to the current post-closure cost estimate, except as provided in § 265.145(f). The term "face amount" means the total amount the insurer is obligated to pay under the policy. Actual payments by the insurer will not change the face amount, although the insurer's future liability will be lowered by the amount of the payments.

(4) The post-closure insurance policy must guarantee that funds will be available to provide post-closure care of the facility whenever the post-closure period begins. The policy must also guarantee that once post-closure care begins the insurer will be responsible for paying out funds, up to an amount equal to the face amount of the policy, upon the direction of the Regional Administrator, to such party or parties as the Regional Administrator specifies.

(5) An owner or operator or any other person authorized to perform post-closure care may request reimbursement for post-closure care expenditures by submitting itemized bills to the Regional Administrator. Within 60 days after receiving bills for post-closure care activities, the Regional Administrator will instruct the insurer to make reimbursements in those amounts as the Regional Administrator specifies in writing, if the Regional Administrator determines that the post-closure expenditures are in accordance with the approved post-closure plan or otherwise justified. If the Regional Administrator does not instruct the insurer to make such reimbursements, he will provide a detailed written statement of reasons.

(6) The owner or operator must maintain the policy in full force and effect until the Regional Administrator consents to termination of the policy by the owner or operator as specified in paragraph (d)(11) of this section. Failure to pay the premium, without substitution of alternate financial assurance as specified in the section, will constitute a significant violation of these regulations, warranting such remedy as the Regional Administrator deems necessary. Such violation will be deemed to begin upon receipt by the Regional Administrator of a notice of future cancellation, termination, or failure to renew due to nonpayment of the premium, rather than upon the date of expiration.

(7) Each policy must contain a provision allowing assignment of the policy to a successor owner or operator. Such assignment may be conditional upon consent of the insurer, provided such consent is not unreasonably refused.
(8) The policy must provide that the insurer may not cancel, terminate, or fail to renew the policy except for failure to pay the premium. The automatic renewal of the policy must, at a minimum, provide the insured with the option of renewal at the face amount of the expiring policy. If there is a failure to pay the premium, the insurer may elect to cancel, terminate, or fail to renew the policy by sending notice by certified mail to the owner or operator and the Regional Administrator. Cancellation, termination, or failure to renew may not occur, however, during the 120 days beginning with the date of receipt of the notice by both the Regional Administrator and the owner or operator, as evidenced by the return receipts. Cancellation, termination, or failure to renew may not occur and the policy will remain in full force and effect in the event that on or before the date of expiration:

(i) The Regional Administrator deems the facility abandoned; or

(ii) Interim status is terminated or revoked; or

(iii) Closure is ordered by the Regional Administrator or a U.S. district court or other court of competent jurisdiction; or

(iv) The owner or operator is named as debtor in a voluntary or involuntary proceeding under Title 11 (Bankruptcy), U.S. Code; or

(v) The premium due is paid.

(9) Whenever the current post-closure cost estimate increases to an amount greater than the face amount of the policy during the operating life of the facility, the owner or operator, within 60 days after the increase, must either cause the face amount to be increased to an amount at least equal to the current post-closure cost estimate and submit evidence of such increase to the Regional Administrator, or obtain other financial assurance as specified in this section to cover the increase. Whenever the current post-closure cost estimate decreases during the operating life of the facility, the face amount may be reduced to the amount of the current post-closure cost estimate following written approval by the Regional Administrator.

(10) Commencing on the date that liability to make payments pursuant to the policy accrues, the insurer will thereafter annually increase the face amount of the policy. Such increase must be equivalent to the face amounts of the policy, less any payments made, multiplied by an amount equivalent to 85 percent of the most recent investment rate or of the equivalent coupon-issuance yield announced by the U.S. Treasury for 26-week Treasury securities.

(11) The Regional Administrator will give written consent to the owner or operator that he may terminate the insurance policy when:

(i) An owner or operator substitutes alternate financial assurance as specified in this section; or

(ii) The Regional Administrator releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this section in accordance with § 265.145(h).

(a) Financial test and corporate guarantee for post-closure care. (1) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this section by demonstrating that he passes a financial test as specified in this paragraph. To pass this test the owner or operator must meet the criteria either of paragraph (e)(1)(i) or (ii) of this section:

(1) The owner or operator must have:

(A) Two of the following three ratios: a ratio of total liabilities to net worth less than 2.0; a ratio of the sum of net income plus depreciation, depletion, and amortization to total liabilities greater than 0.1; and a ratio of current assets to current liabilities greater than 1.5; and

(B) Net working capital and tangible net worth each at least six times the sum of the current closure and post-closure cost estimates and the current plugging and abandonment cost estimates; and

(C) Tangible net worth of at least $10 million; and

(D) Assets in the United States amounting to at least 90 percent of his total assets or at least six times the sum of the current closure and post-closure cost estimates and the current plugging and abandonment cost estimates.

(ii) The owner or operator must have:
(A) A current rating for his most recent bond issuance of AAA, AA, A, or BBB as issued by Standard and Poor's or Aaa, Aa, A, or Baa as issued by Moody's; and

(B) Tangible net worth at least six times the sum of the current closure and post-closure cost estimates and the current plugging and abandonment cost estimates; and

(C) Tangible net worth of at least $10 million; and

(D) Assets located in the United States amounting to at least 90 percent of his total assets or at least six times the sum of the current closure and post-closure cost estimates and the current plugging and abandonment cost estimates.

(2) The phrase "current closure and post-closure cost estimates" as used in paragraph (e)(1) of this section refers to the cost estimates required to be shown in paragraphs 1-4 of the letter from the owner's or operator's chief financial officer (§ 264.151(f)). The phrase "current plugging and abandonment cost estimates" as used in paragraph (e)(1) of this section refers to the cost estimates required to be shown in paragraphs 1-4 of the letter from the owner's or operator's chief financial officer (§ 144.70(f) of this title).

(3) To demonstrate that he meets this test, the owner or operator must submit the following items to the Regional Administrator:

(1) A letter signed by the owner's or operator's chief financial officer and worded as specified in § 264.151(f); and

(11) A copy of the independent certified public accountant's report on examination of the owner's or operator's financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year; and

(111) A special report from the owner's or operator's independent certified public accountant to the owner or operator stating that:

(A) He has compared the data which the letter from the chief financial officer specifies as having been derived from the independently audited, year-end financial statements for the latest fiscal year with the amounts in such financial statements; and

(B) In connection with that procedure, no matters came to his attention which caused him to believe that the specified data should be adjusted.

(4) The owner or operator may obtain an extension of the time allowed for submission of the documents specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section if the fiscal year of the owner or operator ends during the 90 days prior to the effective date of these regulations and if the year-end financial statements for that fiscal year will be audited by an independent certified public accountant. The extension will end no later than 90 days after the end of the owner's or operator's fiscal year. To obtain the extension, the owner's or operator's chief financial officer must send, by the effective date of these regulations, a letter to the Regional Administrator of each Region in which the owner's or operator's facilities to be covered by the financial test are located. This letter from the chief financial officer must:

(1) Request the extension;

(11) Certify that he has grounds to believe that the owner or operator meets the criteria of the financial test;

(111) Specify for each facility to be covered by the test the EPA Identification Number, name, address, and the current closure and post-closure cost estimates to be covered by the test;

(iv) Specify the date ending the owner's or operator's latest complete fiscal year before the effective date of these regulations;

(v) Specify the date, no later than 90 days after the end of such fiscal year, when he will submit the documents specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section; and

(vi) Certify that the year-end financial statements of the owner or operator for such fiscal year will be audited by an independent certified public accountant.

(5) After the initial submission of items specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, the owner or operator must send updated information to the Regional Administrator within 90 days after the close of each
succeeding fiscal year. This information must consist of all three items specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(6) If the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, he must send notice to the Regional Administrator of intent to establish alternate financial assurance as specified in this section. The notice must be sent by certified mail within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year for which the year-end financial data show that the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements. The owner or operator must provide the alternate financial assurance within 120 days after the end of such fiscal year.

(7) The Regional Administrator may, based on a reasonable belief that the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, require reports of financial condition at any time from the owner or operator in addition to those specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section. If the Regional Administrator finds, on the basis of such reports or other information, that the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, the owner or operator must provide alternate financial assurance as specified in this section within 30 days after notification of such a finding.

(8) The Regional Administrator may disallow use of this test on the basis of qualifications in the opinion expressed by the independent certified public accountant in his report on examination of the owner's or operator's financial statements (see paragraph (e)(3)(ii) of this section). An adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion will be cause for disallowance. The Regional Administrator will evaluate other qualifications on an individual basis. The owner or operator must provide alternate financial assurance as specified in this section within 30 days after notification of the disallowance.

(9) During the period of post-closure care, the Regional Administrator may approve a decrease in the current post-closure cost estimate for which this test demonstrates financial assurance if the owner or operator demonstrates to the Regional Administrator that the amount of the cost estimate exceeds the remaining cost of post-closure care.

(10) The owner or operator is no longer required to submit the items specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section when:

(1) An owner or operator substitutes alternate financial assurance as specified in this section; or

(11) The Regional Administrator releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this section in accordance with §265.145(h).

(12) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a written guarantee, hereafter referred to as corporate guarantee. The guarantee must be the parent corporation of the owner or operator. The guarantee must meet the requirements for owners or operators in paragraphs (e)(1) through (9) of this section and must comply with the terms of the corporate guarantee. The wording of the corporate guarantee must be identical to the wording specified in §264.151(h). The corporate guarantee must accompany the items sent to the Regional Administrator as specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section. The terms of the corporate guarantee must provide that:

(1) If the owner or operator fails to perform post-closure care of a facility covered by the corporate guarantee in accordance with the post-closure plan and other interim status requirements whenever required to do so, the guarantor will do so or establish a trust fund as specified in §265.145(e) in the name of the owner or operator.

(12) The corporate guarantee will remain in force unless the guarantor sends notice of cancellation by certified mail to the owner or operator and to the Regional Administrator. Cancellation may not occur, however, during the 120 days beginning on the date of receipt of the notice of cancellation by both the owner or operator and the Regional Administrator, as evidenced by the return receipts.

(13) If the owner or operator fails to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in this section and obtain the written approval of such alternate assurance from the Regional Administrator within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Regional Administrator of a notice of cancellation of the corporate guarantee from the guarantor, the guarantor will provide such alternate financial assurance in the name of the owner or operator.

(f) Use of multiple financial mechanisms. An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this section by establishing more than one financial mechanism per facility. These mechanisms are limited to trust funds, surety bonds, letters of credit, and insurance. The mechanisms must be as specified in paragraphs (a) through (d), respectively, of this section, except that it is the combination of mechanisms, rather than the single mechanism, which must provide financial assurance for an amount at least equal to the current post-closure cost estimate. If an owner or operator uses a trust fund in combination with a surety bond or a
letter of credit, he may use the trust fund as the standby trust fund for the other mechanisms. A single standby trust fund may be established for two or more mechanisms. The Regional Administrator may use any or all of the mechanisms to provide for post-closure care of the facility.

(g) Use of a financial mechanism for multiple facilities. An owner or operator may use a financial assurance mechanism specified in this section to meet the requirements of this section for more than one facility. Evidence of financial assurance submitted to the Regional Administrator must include a list showing, for each facility, the EPA Identification Number, name, address, and the amount of funds for post-closure care assured by the mechanism. If the facilities covered by the mechanism are in more than one Region, identical evidence of financial assurance must be submitted to and maintained with the Regional Administrators of all such Regions. The amount of funds available through the mechanism must be no less than the sum of funds that would be available if a separate mechanism had been established and maintained for each facility. In directing funds available through the mechanism for post-closure care of any of the facilities covered by the mechanism, the Regional Administrator may direct only the amount of funds designated for that facility, unless the owner or operator agrees to the use of additional funds available under the mechanism.

(h) Release of the owner or operator from the requirements of this section. Within 60 days after receiving certifications from the owner or operator and an independent registered professional engineer that the post-closure care period has been completed in accordance with the approved post-closure plan, the Regional Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing that he is no longer required by this section to maintain financial assurance for post-closure care of that unit, unless the Regional Administrator has reason to believe that post-closure care has not been in accordance with the approved post-closure plan. The Regional Administrator will provide the owner or operator a detailed written statement of any such reason to believe that post-closure care has not been in accordance with the approved post-closure plan.


§ 265.146 Use of a mechanism for financial assurance of both closure and post-closure care.

An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements for financial assurance for both closure and post-closure care for one or more facilities by using a trust fund, surety bond, letter of credit, insurance, financial test, or corporate guarantee that meets the specifications for the mechanism in both §§ 265.143 and 265.145. The amount of funds available through the mechanism must be no less than the sum of funds that would be available if a separate mechanism had been established and maintained for financial assurance of closure and of post-closure care.

§ 265.147 Liability requirements.

(a) Coverage for sudden accidental occurrences. An owner or operator of a hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal facility, or a group of such facilities, must demonstrate financial responsibility for bodily injury and property damage to third parties caused by sudden accidental occurrences arising from operations of the facility or group of facilities. The owner or operator must have and maintain liability coverage for sudden accidental occurrences in the amount of at least $1 million per occurrence with an annual aggregate of at least $2 million, exclusive of legal defense costs. This liability coverage may be demonstrated as specified in paragraphs (a) (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), or (6) of this section:

(1) An owner or operator may demonstrate the required liability coverage by having liability insurance as specified in this paragraph.

(2) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by passing a financial test or using the guarantee for liability coverage as specified in paragraph (g) of this section.

(3) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a letter of credit for liability coverage as specified in paragraph (h) of this section.

(4) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a surety bond for liability coverage as specified in paragraph (1) of this section.

(5) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a trust fund for liability coverage as specified in paragraph (j) of this section.

(6) An owner or operator may demonstrate the required liability coverage through the use of combinations of insurance, financial test, guarantee, letter of credit, surety bond, and trust fund, except that the owner or operator may not combine a financial test covering part of the liability coverage requirement with a guarantee unless the financial statement of the owner or operator is not consolidated with the financial statement of the guarantor. The amounts of coverage demonstrated must total at least the minimum amounts required by this section. If the owner or operator demonstrates the required coverage through the use of a
combination of financial assurances under this paragraph, the owner or operator shall specify at least one such assurance as "primary" coverage and shall specify other assurance as "excess" coverage.

(7) An owner or operator shall notify the Regional Administrator in writing within 30 days (1) whenever a claim for bodily injury or property damage caused by the operation of a hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal facility is made against the owner or operator or an instrument providing financial assurance for liability coverage under this section and (11) whenever the amount of financial assurance for liability coverage under this section provided by a financial instrument authorized by paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) of this section is reduced.

(b) Coverage for non-sudden accidental occurrences. An owner or operator of a surface impoundment, landfill, or land treatment facility which is used to manage hazardous waste, or a group of such facilities, must demonstrate financial responsibility for bodily injury and property damage to third parties caused by non-sudden accidental occurrences arising from operations of the facility or group of facilities. The owner or operator must have and maintain liability coverage for non-sudden accidental occurrences in the amount of at least $3 million per occurrence with an annual aggregate of at least $6 million, exclusive of legal defense costs. An owner or operator who must meet the requirements of this section may combine the required per-occurrence coverage levels for sudden and non-sudden accidental occurrences into a single per-occurrence level, and combine the required annual aggregate coverage levels for sudden and non-sudden accidental occurrences into a single annual aggregate level. Owners or operators who combine coverage levels for sudden and non-sudden accidental occurrences must maintain liability coverage in the amount of at least $4 million per occurrence and $8 million annual aggregate. This liability coverage may be demonstrated as specified in paragraph (b)(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), or (6) of this section:

(1) An owner or operator may demonstrate the required liability coverage by having liability insurance as specified in this paragraph.

(2) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by passing a financial test or using the guarantee for liability coverage as specified in paragraphs (f) and (g) of this section.

(3) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a letter of credit for liability coverage as specified in paragraph (h) of this section.

(4) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a surety bond for liability coverage as specified in paragraph (i) of this section.

(5) An owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a trust fund for liability coverage as specified in paragraph (j) of this section.

(6) An owner or operator may demonstrate the required liability coverage through the use of combinations of insurance, financial test, guarantee, letter of credit, surety bond, and trust fund, except that the owner or operator may not combine a financial test covering part of the liability coverage requirement with a guarantee unless the financial statement of the owner or operator is not consolidated with the financial statement of the guarantor. The amounts of coverage demonstrated must total at least the minimum amounts required by this section. If the owner or operator demonstrates the required coverage through the use of a combination of financial assurances under this paragraph, the owner or operator shall specify at least one such assurance as "primary" coverage and shall specify other assurance as "excess" coverage.

(7) An owner or operator shall notify the Regional Administrator in writing within 30 days (1) whenever a claim for bodily injury or property damage caused by the operation of a hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal facility is made against the owner or operator or an instrument providing financial assurance for liability coverage under this section and (11) whenever the amount of financial assurance for liability coverage under this section provided by a financial instrument authorized by paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) of this section is reduced.

(c) Request for variance. If an owner or operator can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Regional Administrator that the levels of financial responsibility required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this section are not consistent with the degree and duration of risk associated with treatment, storage, or disposal at the facility or group of facilities, the owner or operator may obtain a variance from the Regional Administrator. The request for a variance must be submitted in writing to the Regional Administrator. If granted, the variance will take the form of an adjusted level of required liability coverage, such level to be based on the Regional Administrator's assessment of the degree and duration of risk associated with the ownership or operation of the facility or group of facilities. The Regional Administrator may require an owner or operator who requests a variance to provide such technical and engineering information as is deemed necessary by the Regional Administrator to determine a level of financial responsibility other than that required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this section. The Regional Administrator will process a variance request as if it were a permit modification request under §207.41(a)(5) of this chapter and subject to the procedures of §124.5 of this chapter.
Notwithstanding any other provision, the Regional Administrator may hold a public hearing at his discretion or whenever he finds, on the basis of requests for a public hearing, a significant degree of public interest in a tentative decision to grant a variance.

(d) Adjustments by the Regional Administrator. If the Regional Administrator determines that the levels of financial responsibility required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this section are not consistent with the degree and duration of risk associated with treatment, storage, or disposal at the facility or group of facilities, the Regional Administrator may adjust the level of financial responsibility required under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section as may be necessary to protect human health and the environment. This adjusted level will be based on the Regional Administrator's assessment of the degree and duration of risk associated with the ownership or operation of the facility or group of facilities. In addition, if the Regional Administrator determines that there is a significant risk to human health and the environment from non-sudden accidental occurrences resulting from the operations of a facility that is not a surface impoundment, landfill, or land treatment facility, he may require that an owner or operator of the facility comply with paragraph (b) of this section. An owner or operator must furnish to the Regional Administrator, within a reasonable time, any information which the Regional Administrator requests to determine whether cause exists for such adjustments of level or type of coverage. The Regional Administrator will process an adjustment of the level of required coverage as if it were a permit modification under §270.41(a)(5) of this chapter and subject to the procedures of §124.5 of this chapter. Notwithstanding any other provision, the Regional Administrator may hold a public hearing at his discretion or whenever he finds, on the basis of requests for a public hearing, a significant degree of public interest in a tentative decision to adjust the level or type of required coverage.

(e) Period of coverage. Within 60 days after receiving certifications from the owner or operator and an independent registered professional engineer that final closure has been completed in accordance with the approved closure plan, the Regional Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing that he is no longer required by this section to maintain liability coverage for that facility, unless the Regional Administrator has reason to believe that closure has not been in accordance with the approved closure plan.

(f) Financial test for liability coverage. (1) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this section by demonstrating that he possesses a financial test as specified in this paragraph. To pass this test, the owner or operator must meet the criteria of paragraph (f)(1)(i) or (ii) of this section:

(i) The owner or operator must have:

(A) Net working capital and tangible net worth each at least six times the amount of liability coverage to be demonstrated by this test; and

(B) Tangible net worth of at least $10 million; and

(C) Assets in the United States amounting to either: (1) At least 90 percent of his total assets; or (2) at least six times the amount of liability coverage to be demonstrated by this test.

(ii) The owner or operator must have:

(A) A current rating for his most recent bond issuance of AAA, AA, A, or BBB as issued by Standard and Poor's, or AAA, AA, A, or Baa as issued by Moody's; and

(B) Tangible net worth of at least $10 million; and

(C) Tangible net worth at least six times the amount of liability coverage to be demonstrated by this test; and

(D) Assets in the United States amounting to either: (1) At least 90 percent of his total assets; or (2) at least six times the amount of liability coverage to be demonstrated by this test.

(2) The phrase "amount of liability coverage" as used in paragraph (f)(1) of this section refers to the annual aggregate amounts for which coverage is required under paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(3) To demonstrate that he meets this test, the owner or operator must submit the following three items to the Regional Administrator:

(i) A letter signed by the owner's or operator's chief financial officer and worded as specified in §264.151(g). If an owner or operator is using the financial test to demonstrate both assurance for closure or post-closure care, as specified by §§264.143(f), 264.145(f), 265.143(e), and 265.145(e), and liability coverage, he must submit the letter specified in §264.151(g) to cover both forms of financial responsibility; a separate letter as specified in §264.151(f) is not required.
(11) A copy of the independent certified public accountant's report on examination of the owner's or operator's financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year.

(111) A special report from the owner's or operator's independent certified public accountant to the owner or operator stating that:

(A) He has compared the data which the letter from the chief financial officer specifies as having been derived from the independently audited, year-end financial statements for the latest fiscal year with the amounts in such financial statements; and

(B) In connection with that procedure, no matters came to his attention which caused him to believe that the specified data should be adjusted.

(4) The owner or operator may obtain a one-time extension of the time allowed for submission of the documents specified in paragraph (f)(3) of this section if the fiscal year of the owner or operator ends during the 90 days prior to the effective date of these regulations and if the year-end financial statements for that fiscal year will be audited by an independent certified public accountant. The extension will end no later than 90 days after the end of the owner's or operator's fiscal year. To obtain the extension, the owner's or operator's chief financial officer must send, by the effective date of these regulations, a letter to the Regional Administrator of each Region in which the owner's or operator's facilities to be covered by the financial test are located. This letter from the chief financial officer must:

(1) Request the extension;

(11) Certify that he has grounds to believe that the owner or operator meets the criteria of the financial test;

(111) Specify for each facility to be covered by the test the EPA Identification Number, name, address, the amount of liability coverage and, when applicable, current closure and post-closure cost estimates to be covered by the test;

(1V) Specify the date ending the owner's or operator's last complete fiscal year before the effective date of these regulations;

(v) Specify the date, no later than 90 days after the end of such fiscal year, when he will submit the documents specified in paragraph (f)(3) of this section; and

(vi) Certify that the year-end financial statements of the owner or operator for such fiscal year will be audited by an independent certified public accountant.

(5) After the initial submission of items specified in paragraph (f)(3) of this section, the owner or operator must send updated information to the Regional Administrator within 90 days after the close of each succeeding fiscal year. This information must consist of all three items specified in paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(6) If the owner or operator no longer meets the requirements of paragraph (f)(1) of this section, he must obtain insurance for the entire amount of required liability coverage as specified in this section. Evidence of insurance must be submitted to the Regional Administrator within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year for which the year-end financial data show that the owner or operator no longer meets the test requirements.

(7) The Regional Administrator may disallow use of this test on the basis of qualifications in the opinion expressed by the independent certified public accountant in his report on examination of the owner's or operator's financial statements (see paragraph (f)(3)(11) of this section). An adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion will be cause for disallowance. The Regional Administrator will evaluate other qualifications on an individual basis. The owner or operator must provide evidence of insurance for the entire amount of required liability coverage as specified in this section within 30 days after notification of disallowance.

(g) Guarantee for liability coverage. (1) Subject to paragraph (g)(2) of this section, an owner or operator may meet the requirements of this section by obtaining a written guarantee, hereafter referred to as "guarantee." The guarantor must be the direct or higher-tier parent corporation of the owner or operator, a firm whose parent corporation is also the parent corporation of the owner or operator, or a firm with a "substantial business relationship" with the owner or operator. The guarantor must meet the requirements for owners or operators in paragraphs (f)(1) through (f)(6) of this section. The wording of the guarantee must be identical to the wording specified in § 264.151(h)(2) of this chapter. A certified copy of the guarantee must accompany the items sent to the Regional Administrator as specified in paragraph (f)(3) of this section. One of these items must be the letter from the guarantor's chief financial officer. If the guarantor's parent
corporation is also the parent corporation of the owner or operator, this letter must describe the value received in consideration of the guarantee. If the guarantor is a firm with a "substantial business relationship" with the owner or operator, this letter must describe this "substantial business relationship" and the value received in consideration of the guarantee.

(1) If the owner or operator fails to satisfy a judgment based on a determination of liability for bodily injury or property damage to third parties caused by sudden or nonsudden accidental occurrences (or both as the case may be), arising from the operation of facilities covered by this corporate guaranty, or fails to pay an amount agreed to in settlement of claims arising from or alleged to arise from such injury or damage, the guarantor will do so up to the limits of coverage.

(ii) [Reserved]

(2)(1) In the case of corporations incorporated in the United States, a guarantee may be used to satisfy the requirements of this section only if the Attorneys General or Insurance Commissioners of (A) the State in which the guarantor is incorporated, and (B) each State in which a facility covered by the guarantee is located have submitted a written statement to EPA that a guarantee executed as described in this section and § 264.151(h)(2) is a legally valid and enforceable obligation in that State.

(iii) In the case of corporations incorporated outside the United States, a guarantee may be used to satisfy the requirements of this section only if (A) the non-U.S. corporation has identified a registered agent for service of process in each State in which a facility covered by the guarantee is located and in the State in which it has its principal place of business, and if (B) the Attorney General or Insurance Commissioner of each State in which a facility covered by the guarantee is located and the State in which the guarantor corporation has its principal place of business, has submitted a written statement to EPA that a guarantee executed as described in this section and § 264.151(h)(2) is a legally valid and enforceable obligation in that State.

(h) Letter of Credit for liability coverage. (1) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this section by obtaining an irrevocable standby letter of credit that conforms to the requirements of this paragraph and submitting a copy of the letter of credit to the Regional Administrator.

(2) The financial institution issuing the letter of credit must be an entity that has the authority to issue letters of credit and whose letter of credit operations are regulated and examined by a Federal or State agency.

(3) The wording of the letter of credit must be identical to the wording specified in § 264.151(k) of this chapter.

(1) Surety bond for liability coverage. (1) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this section by obtaining a surety bond that conforms to the requirements of this paragraph and submitting a copy of the bond to the Regional Administrator.

(2) The surety company issuing the bond must be among those listed as acceptable sureties on Federal bonds in the most recent Circular 570 of the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

(3) The wording of the surety bond must be identical to the wording specified in § 264.151(1) of this chapter.

(4) A surety bond may be used to satisfy the requirements of this section only if the Attorneys General or Insurance Commissioners of (i) the State in which the surety is incorporated, and (ii) each State in which a facility covered by the surety bond is located have submitted a written statement to EPA that a surety bond executed as described in this section and § 264.151(1) of this chapter is a legally valid and enforceable obligation in that State.

(j) Trust fund for liability coverage. (1) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this section by establishing a trust fund that conforms to the requirements of this paragraph and submitting an originally signed duplicate of the trust agreement to the Regional Administrator.

(2) The trustee must be an entity which has the authority to act as a trustee and whose trust operations are regulated and examined by a Federal or State agency.

(3) The trust fund for liability coverage must be funded for the full amount of the liability coverage to be provided by the trust fund before it may be relied upon to satisfy the requirements of this section. If at any time after the trust fund is created the amount of funds in the trust fund is reduced below the full amount of the liability coverage to be provided, the owner or operator, by the anniversary date of the establishment of the Fund, must either add sufficient funds to the trust fund to cause its value to equal the
full amount of liability coverage to be provided, or obtain other financial assurance as specified in this section to cover the difference. For purposes of this paragraph, 'the full amount of the liability coverage to be provided' means the amount of coverage for sudden and/or non-sudden occurrences required to be provided by the owner or operator by this section, less the amount of financial assurance for liability coverage that is being provided by other financial assurance mechanisms being used to demonstrate financial assurance by the owner or operator.

(4) The wording of the trust fund must be identical to the wording specified in §264.151(m) of this part.

(k) Notwithstanding any other provision of this part, an owner or operator using liability insurance to satisfy the requirements of this section may use, until October 16, 1982, a Hazardous Waste Facility Liability Endorsement or Certificate of Liability Insurance that does not certify that the insurer is licensed to transact the business of insurance, or eligible as an excess or surplus lines insurer, in one or more States.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2000-0445, for paragraphs (a)(1)(i), (b)(1)(i), (b)(5), (c), (d), and (f) (3) through (6))

§265.148 Incapacity of owners or operators, guarantors, or financial institutions.

(a) An owner or operator must notify the Regional Administrator by certified mail of the commencement of a voluntary or involuntary proceeding under Title 11 (Bankruptcy), U.S. Code, naming the owner or operator as debtor, within 10 days after commencement of the proceeding. A guarantor of a corporate guarantee as specified in §§265.143(a) and 265.145(e) must make such a notification if he is named as debtor, as required under the terms of the corporate guarantee (§264.151(h)).

(b) An owner or operator who fulfills the requirements of §§265.143, 265.145, or 265.147 by obtaining a trust fund, surety bond, letter of credit, or insurance policy will be deemed to be without the required financial assurance or liability coverage in the event of bankruptcy of the trustee or issuing institution, or a suspension or revocation of the authority of the trustee institution to act as trustee or of the institution issuing the surety bond, letter of credit, or insurance policy to issue such instruments. The owner or operator must establish other financial assurance or liability coverage within 60 days after such an event.

§265.149 Use of State-required mechanisms.

(a) For a facility located in a State where EPA is administering the requirements of this subpart but where the State has hazardous waste regulations that include requirements for financial assurance of closure or post-closure care or liability coverage, an owner or operator may use State-required financial mechanisms to meet the requirements of §§265.143, 265.145, or 265.147 if the Regional Administrator determines that the State mechanisms are at least equivalent to the financial mechanisms specified in this subpart. The Regional Administrator will evaluate the equivalency of the mechanisms principally in terms of (1) certainty of the availability of funds for the required closure or post-closure care activities or liability coverage and (2) the amount of funds that will be made available. The Regional Administrator may also consider other factors as he deems appropriate. The owner or operator must submit to the Regional Administrator evidence of the establishment of the mechanism together with a letter requesting that the State-required mechanism be considered acceptable for meeting the requirements of this subpart. The submission must include the following information: The facility's EPA Identification Number, name, and address, and the amount of funds for closure or post-closure care or liability coverage assured by the mechanism. The Regional Administrator will notify the owner or operator of his determination regarding the mechanism's acceptability in lieu of financial mechanisms specified in this subpart. The Regional Administrator may require the owner or operator to submit additional information as is deemed necessary to make this determination. Pending this determination, the owner or operator will be deemed to be in compliance with the requirements of §§265.143, 265.145, or 265.147, as applicable.

(b) If a State-required mechanism is found acceptable as specified in paragraph (a) of this section except for the amount of funds available, the owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this subpart by increasing the funds available through the State-required mechanism or using additional financial mechanisms as specified in this subpart. The amount of funds available through the State and Federal mechanisms must at least equal the amount required by this subpart.

§265.150 State assumption of responsibility.
(a) If a State either assumes legal responsibility for an owner's or operator's compliance with the closure, post-closure care, or liability requirements of this part or assures that funds will be available from State sources to cover those requirements, the owner or operator will be in compliance with the requirements of §265.143, §265.145, or §265.147 if the Regional Administrator determines that the State's assumption of responsibility is at least equivalent to the financial mechanisms specified in this subpart. The Regional Administrator will evaluate the equivalency of State guarantees principally in terms of (1) certainty of the availability of funds for the required closure or post-closure care activities or liability coverage and (2) the amount of funds that will be made available. The Regional Administrator may also consider other factors as he deems appropriate. The owner or operator must submit to the Regional Administrator a letter from the State describing the nature of the State's assumption of responsibility together with a letter from the owner or operator requesting that the State's assumption of responsibility be considered acceptable for meeting the requirements of this subpart. The letter from the State must include, or have attached to it, the following information: The facility's EPA Identification Number, name, and address, and the amount of funds for closure or post-closure care or liability coverage that are guaranteed by the State. The Regional Administrator will notify the owner or operator of his determination regarding the acceptability of the State's guarantee in lieu of financial mechanisms specified in this subpart. The Regional Administrator may require the owner or operator to submit additional information as is deemed necessary to make this determination. Pending this determination, the owner or operator will be deemed to be in compliance with the requirements of §§265.143, §265.145, or §265.147, as applicable.

(b) If a State's assumption of responsibility is found acceptable as specified in paragraph (a) of this section except for the amount of funds available, the owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this subpart by use of both the State's assurance and additional financial mechanisms as specified in this subpart. The amount of funds available through the State and Federal mechanisms must at least equal the amount required by this subpart.

Subpart I -- Use and Management of Containers

§265.170 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of all hazardous waste facilities that store containers of hazardous waste, except as §265.1 provides otherwise.

§265.171 Condition of containers.

If a container holding hazardous waste is not in good condition, or if it begins to leak, the owner or operator must transfer the hazardous waste from this container to a container that is in good condition, or manage the waste in some other way that complies with the requirements of this part.

§265.172 Compatibility of waste with container.

The owner or operator must use a container made of or lined with materials which will not react with, and are otherwise compatible with, the hazardous waste to be stored, so that the ability of the container to contain the waste is not impaired.

§265.173 Management of containers.

(a) A container holding hazardous waste must always be closed during storage, except when it is necessary to add or remove waste.

(b) A container holding hazardous waste must not be opened, handled, or stored in a manner which may rupture the container or cause it to leak.

[Comment: Re-use of containers in transportation is governed by U.S. Department of Transportation regulations, including those set forth in 49 CFR 173.28.]


§265.174 Inspections.

The owner or operator must inspect areas where containers are stored, at least weekly, looking for leaks and for deterioration caused by corrosion or other factors.

[Comment: See §265.171 for remedial action required if deterioration or leaks are detected.]

§265.175 [Reserved]
$ 265.176 Special requirements for ignitable or reactive waste.

Containers holding ignitable or reactive waste must be located at least 15 meters (50 feet) from the facility's property line.

[Comment: See § 265.17(a) for additional requirements.]

§ 265.177 Special requirements for incompatible wastes.

(a) Incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and materials, (see Appendix V for examples) must not be placed in the same container, unless § 265.17(b) is complied with.

(b) Hazardous waste must not be placed in an unwashed container that previously held an incompatible waste or material (see Appendix V for examples), unless § 265.17(b) is complied with.

(c) A storage container holding a hazardous waste that is incompatible with any waste or other materials stored nearby in other containers, piles, open tanks, or surface impoundments must be separated from the other materials or protected from them by means of a dike, berm, wall, or other device.

[Comment: The purpose of this is to prevent fires, explosions, gaseous emissions, leaching, or other discharge of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents which could result from the mixing of incompatible wastes or materials if containers break or leak.]

Subpart J -- Tank Systems

Source: 51 FR 25479, July 14, 1986, unless otherwise noted.

§ 265.190 Applicability.

The requirements of this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities that use tank systems for storing or treating hazardous waste except as otherwise provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section or in § 265.1 of this part.

(a) Tank systems that are used to store or treat hazardous waste which contains no free liquids and that are situated inside a building with an impermeable floor are exempted from the requirements in § 265.193. To demonstrate the absence or presence of free liquids in the stored/treated waste, EPA Method 9095 (Paint Filter Liquids Test) as described in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Physical/Chemical Methods" (EPA Publication No. SW-846) must be used.

(b) Tank systems, including sumps, as defined in § 260.10, that serve as part of a secondary containment system to collect or contain releases of hazardous wastes are exempted from the requirements in § 265.193(a).

(c) Tanks, sumps, and other collection devices used in conjunction with drip pads, as defined in § 260.10 of this chapter and regulated under 40 CFR part 265 subpart W, must meet the requirements of this subpart.

(Information collection requirement contained in paragraph (a) was approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0050)


§ 265.191 Assessment of existing tank system's integrity.

(a) For each existing tank system that does not have secondary containment meeting the requirements of § 265.193, the owner or operator must determine that the tank system is not leaking or is unfit for use. Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the owner or operator must obtain and keep on file at the facility a written assessment reviewed and certified by an independent, qualified, registered professional engineer in accordance with § 270.11(d), that attests to the tank system's integrity by January 12, 1988.

(b) This assessment must determine that the tank system is adequately designed and has sufficient structural strength and compatibility with the waste(s) to be stored or treated to ensure that it will not collapse, rupture, or fail. At a minimum, this assessment must consider the following:

(i) Design standard(s), if available, according to which the tank and ancillary equipment were constructed:
(2) Hazardous characteristics of the waste(s) that have been or will be handled;

(3) Existing corrosion protection measures;

(4) Documented age of the tank system, if available, (otherwise, an estimate of the age); and

(5) Results of a leak test, internal inspection, or other tank integrity examination such that:

(i) For non-enterable underground tanks, this assessment must consist of a leak test that is capable of taking into account the effects of temperature variations, tank and deflection, vapor pockets, and high water table effects,

(ii) For other than non-enterable underground tanks and for ancillary equipment, this assessment must be either a leak test, as described above, or an internal inspection and/or other tank integrity examination certified by an independent, qualified, registered professional engineer in accordance with §270.13(d) that addresses cracks, leaks, corrosion, and erosion.

[Note: The practices described in the American Petroleum Institute (API) Publication, Guide for Inspection of Refinery Equipment, Chapter XIII, "Atmospheric and Low-Pressure Storage Tanks," 4th edition, 1981, may be used, where applicable, as guidelines in conducting the integrity examination of an other than non-enterable underground tank system.]

(c) Tank systems that store or treat materials that become hazardous wastes subsequent to July 14, 1986 must conduct this assessment within 12 months after the date that the waste becomes a hazardous waste.

(d) If, as a result of the assessment conducted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, a tank system is found to be leaking or unfit for use, the owner or operator must comply with the requirements of §265.196.

(Information collection requirements contained in paragraphs (a)-(d) were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0050)

§265.192 Design and installation of new tank systems or components.

(a) Owners or operators of new tank systems or components must ensure that the foundation, structural support, seams, connections, and pressure controls (if applicable) are adequately designed and that the tank system has sufficient structural strength, compatibility with the waste(s) to be stored or treated, and corrosion protection so that it will not collapse, rupture, or fail. The owner or operator must obtain a written assessment reviewed and certified by an independent, qualified, registered professional engineer in accordance with §270.11(d) attesting that the system has sufficient structural integrity and is acceptable for the storing and treating of hazardous waste. This assessment must include, at a minimum, the following information:

(1) Design standard(s) according to which the tank(s) and ancillary equipment is or will be constructed.

(2) Hazardous characteristics of the waste(s) to be handled.

(3) For new tank systems or components in which the external shell of a metal tank or any external metal component of the tank system is or will be in contact with the soil or with water, a determination by a corrosion expert of:

(i) Factors affecting the potential for corrosion, including but not limited to:

(A) Soil moisture content;

(B) Soil pH;

(C) Soil sulfides level;

(D) Soil resistivity;

(E) Structure to soil potential;

(F) Influence of nearby underground metal structures (e.g., piping);

(G) Stray electric current; and,
(B) Existing corrosion-protection measures (e.g., coating, cathodic protection), and

(ii) The type and degree of external corrosion protection that are needed to ensure the integrity of
the tank system during the use of the tank system or component, consisting of one or more of the following:

(A) Corrosion-resistant materials of construction such as special alloys or fiberglass-reinforced
plastic;

(B) Corrosion-resistant coating (such as epoxy or fiberglass) with cathodic protection (e.g.,
impressed current or sacrificial anodes); and

(C) Electrical isolation devices such as insulating joints and flanges.

Note: The practices described in the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE) standard,
"Recommended Practice (RP-02-85) -- Control of External Corrosion on Metallic Buried, Partially Buried, or
Submerged Liquid Storage Systems," and the American Petroleum Institute (API) Publication 1632, "Cathodic
Protection of Underground Petroleum Storage Tanks and Piping Systems," may be used, where applicable, as
guidelines in providing corrosion protection for tank systems.

For underground tank system components that are likely to be affected by vehicular traffic, a
determination of design or operational measures that will protect the tank system against potential damage; and

(5) Design considerations to ensure that:

(i) Tank foundations will maintain the load of a full tank;

(ii) Tank systems will be anchored to prevent flotation or dislodgement where the tank system is
placed in a saturated zone, or is located within a seismic fault zone; and

(iii) Tank systems will withstand the effects of frost heave.

(b) The owner or operator of a new tank system must ensure that proper handling procedures are adhered
to in order to prevent damage to the system during installation. Prior to covering, enclosing, or placing a new
tank system or component in use, an independent, qualified installation inspector or an independent, qualified,
registered professional engineer, either of whom is trained and experienced in the proper installation of tank
systems, must inspect the system or component for the presence of any of the following items:

(1) Weld breaks;

(2) Punctures;

(3) Scrapes of protective coatings;

(4) Cracks;

(5) Corrosion;

(6) Other structural damage or inadequate construction or installation.

All discrepancies must be remedied before the tank system is covered, enclosed, or placed in use.

(c) New tank systems or components and piping that are placed underground and that are backfilled must
be provided with a backfill material that is a noncorrosive, porous, homogeneous substance and that is
carefully installed so that the backfill is placed completely around the tank and compacted to ensure that the
tank and piping are fully and uniformly supported.

(d) All new tanks and ancillary equipment must be tested for tightness prior to being covered,
enclosed or placed in use. If a tank system is found not to be tight, all repairs necessary to remedy the
leak(s) in the system must be performed prior to the tank system being covered, enclosed, or placed in use.

(e) Ancillary equipment must be supported and protected against physical damage and excessive stress
due to settlement, vibration, expansion or contraction.

Note: The piping system installation procedures described in American Petroleum Institute (API)
Publication 1615 (November 1979), "Installation of Underground Petroleum Storage Systems," or ANSI Standard
B31.3, "Petroleum Refinery System," may be used, where applicable, as guidelines for proper installation of
piping systems.
The owner or operator must provide the type and degree of corrosion protection necessary, based on the information provided under paragraph (a)(3) of this section, to ensure the integrity of the tank system during use of the tank system. The installation of a corrosion protection system that is field fabricated must be supervised by an independent corrosion expert to ensure proper installation.

The owner or operator must obtain and keep on file at the facility written statements by those persons required to certify the design of the tank system and supervise the installation of the tank system in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs (b) through (f) of this section to attest that the tank system was properly designed and installed and that repairs, pursuant to paragraphs (b) and (d) of this section were performed. These written statements must also include the certification statement as required in §270.11(d) of this chapter.

Information collection requirements contained in paragraphs (a) and (g) were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0050)

$265.193 Containment and detection of releases.

(a) In order to prevent the release of hazardous waste or hazardous constituents to the environment, secondary containment that meets the requirements of this section must be provided (except as provided in paragraphs (f) and (g) of this section):

(1) For all new tank systems or components, prior to their being put into service;

(2) For all existing tanks used to store or treat EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, and F027, within two years after January 12, 1987;

(3) For those existing tank systems of known and documentable age, within two years after January 12, 1987, or when the tank systems have reached 15 years of age, whichever comes later;

(4) For those existing tank systems for which the age cannot be documented, within eight years of January 12, 1987; but if the age of the facility is greater than seven years, secondary containment must be provided by the time the facility reaches 15 years of age, or within two years of January 12, 1987, whichever comes later; and

(5) For tank systems that store or treat materials that become hazardous wastes subsequent to January 12, 1987, within the time intervals required in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(4) of this section, except that the date that a material becomes a hazardous waste must be used in place of January 12, 1987.

(b) Secondary containment systems must be:

(1) Designed, installed, and operated to prevent any migration of wastes or accumulated liquid out of the system to the soil, ground water, or surface water at any time during the use of the tank system; and

(2) Capable of detecting and collecting releases and accumulated liquids until the collected material is removed.

c) To meet the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section, secondary containment systems must be at a minimum:

(1) Constructed of or lined with materials that are compatible with the waste(s) to be placed in the tank system and must have sufficient strength and thickness to prevent failure due to pressure gradients (including static head and external hydrological forces), physical contact with the waste to which they are exposed, climatic conditions, the stress of installation, and the stress of daily operation (including stresses from nearby vehicular traffic);

(2) Placed on a foundation or base capable of providing support to the secondary containment system and resistance to pressure gradients above and below the system and capable of preventing failure due to settlement, compression, or uplift;

(3) Provided with a leak detection system that is designed and operated so that it will detect the failure of either the primary and secondary containment structure or any release of hazardous waste or accumulated liquid in the secondary containment system within 24 hours, or at the earliest practicable time if the existing detection technology or site conditions will not allow detection of a release within 24 hours;
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(4) Sloped or otherwise designed or operated to drain and remove liquids resulting from leaks, spills, or precipitation. Spilled or leaked waste and accumulated precipitation must be removed from the secondary containment system within 24 hours, or in as timely a manner as is possible to prevent harm to human health or the environment, if removal of the released waste or accumulated precipitation cannot be accomplished within 24 hours.

Note: If the collected material is a hazardous waste under part 261 of this chapter, it is subject to management as a hazardous waste in accordance with all applicable requirements of parts 262 through 265 of this chapter. If the collected material is discharged through a point source to waters of the United States, it is subject to the requirements of sections 301, 304, and 402 of the Clean Water Act, as amended. If discharged to Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs), it is subject to the requirements of section 307 of the Clean Water Act, as amended. If the collected material is released to the environment, it may be subject to the reporting requirements of 40 CFR part 302.

(4) Secondary containment for tanks must include one or more of the following devices:

(1) A liner (external to the tank);
(2) A vault;
(3) A double-walled tank; or
(4) An equivalent device as approved by the Regional Administrator.

(e) In addition to the requirements of paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section, secondary containment systems must satisfy the following requirements:

(1) External liner systems must be:
(i) Designed or operated to contain 100 percent of the capacity of the largest tank within its boundary;
(ii) Designed or operated to prevent run-on or infiltration of precipitation into the secondary containment system unless the collection system has sufficient excess capacity to contain run-on or infiltration. Such additional capacity must be sufficient to contain precipitation from a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event;
(iii) Free of cracks or gaps; and
(iv) Designed and installed to completely surround the tank and to cover all surrounding earth likely to come into contact with the waste if released from the tank(s) (i.e., capable of preventing lateral as well as vertical migration of the waste).

(2) Vault systems must be:
(i) Designed or operated to contain 100 percent of the capacity of the largest tank within its boundary;
(ii) Designed or operated to prevent run-on or infiltration of precipitation into the secondary containment system unless the collection system has sufficient excess capacity to contain run-on or infiltration. Such additional capacity must be sufficient to contain precipitation from a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event;
(iii) Constructed with chemical-resistant water stops in place at all joints (if any);
(iv) Provided with an impermeable interior coating or lining that is compatible with the stored waste and that will prevent migration of waste into the concrete;
(v) Provided with a means to protect against the formation of and ignition of vapors within the vault, if the waste being stored or treated;

(A) Meets the definition of ignitable waste under § 262.21 of this chapter, or
(B) Meets the definition of reactive waste under § 262.21 of this chapter and may form an ignitable or explosive vapor; and
(vi) Provided with an exterior moisture barrier or be otherwise designed or operated to prevent migration of moisture into the vault if the vault is subject to hydraulic pressure.

(3) Double-walled tanks must be:

(1) Designed as an integral structure (i.e., an inner tank within an outer shell) so that any release from the inner tank is contained by the outer shell;

(11) Protected, if constructed of metal, from both corrosion of the primary tank interior and the external surface of the outer shell; and

(iii) Provided with a built-in, continuous leak detection system capable of detecting a release within 24 hours or at the earliest practicable time, if the owner or operator can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator, and the Regional Administrator concurs, that the existing leak detection technology or site conditions will not allow detection of a release within 24 hours. Note: The provisions outlined in the Steel Tank Institute's (STI) "Standard for Dual Wall Underground Steel Storage Tank" may be used as guidelines for aspects of the design of underground steel double-walled tanks.

(f) Ancillary equipment must be provided with full secondary containment (e.g., trench, jacketing, double-walled piping) that meets the requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section except for:

(1) Aboveground piping (exclusive of flanges, joints, valves, and connections) that are visually inspected for leaks on a daily basis;

(2) Welded flanges, welded joints, and welded connections that are visually inspected for leaks on a daily basis;

(3) Sealless or magnetic coupling pumps and sealless valves, that are visually inspected for leaks on a daily basis; and

(4) Pressurized aboveground piping systems with automatic shut-off devices (e.g., excess flow check valves, flow metering shutdown devices, loss of pressure actuated shut-off devices) that are visually inspected for leaks on a daily basis.

(g) The owner or operator may obtain a variance from the requirements of this Section if the Regional Administrator finds, as a result of a demonstration by the owner or operator, either: that alternative design and operating practices, together with location characteristics, will prevent the migration of hazardous waste or hazardous constituents into the ground water or surface water at least as effectively as secondary containment during the active life of the tank system; or that in the event of a release that does migrate to ground water or surface water, no substantial present or potential hazard will be posed to human health or the environment. New underground tank systems may not, per a demonstration in accordance with paragraph (g)(2) of this section, be exempted from the secondary containment requirements of this section. Application for a variance as allowed in paragraph (g) of this section does not waive compliance with the requirements of this subpart for new tank systems. (1) In deciding whether to grant a variance based on a demonstration of equivalent protection of ground water and surface water, the Regional Administrator will consider:

(1) The nature and quantity of the waste;

(11) The proposed alternate design and operation;

(iii) The hydrogeologic setting of the facility, including the thickness of soils between the tank system and ground water; and

(iv) All other factors that would influence the quality and mobility of the hazardous constituents and the potential for them to migrate to ground water or surface water.

(2) In deciding whether to grant a variance, based on a demonstration of no substantial present or potential hazard, the Regional Administrator will consider:

(i) The potential adverse effects on ground water, surface water, and land quality taking into account:

(A) The physical and chemical characteristics of the waste in the tank system, including its potential for migration,
(B) The hydrogeological characteristics of the facility and surrounding land,
(C) The potential for health risks caused by human exposure to waste constituents,
(D) The potential for damage to wildlife, crops, vegetation, and physical structures caused by exposure to waste constituents, and
(E) The persistence and permanence of the potential adverse effects;
(ii) The potential adverse effects of a release on ground-water quality, taking into account:
(A) The quantity and quality of ground water and the direction of ground-water flow,
(B) The proximity and withdrawal rates of water in the area,
(C) The current and future uses of ground water in the area, and
(D) The existing quality of ground water, including other sources of contamination and their cumulative impact on the ground-water quality;
(iii) The potential adverse effects of a release on surface water quality, taking into account:
(A) The quantity and quality of ground water and the direction of ground-water flow,
(B) The patterns of rainfall in the region,
(C) The proximity of the tank system to surface waters,
(D) The current and future uses of surface waters in the area and any water quality standards established for those surface waters, and
(E) The existing quality of surface water, including other sources of contamination and the cumulative impact on surface-water quality; and
(iv) The potential adverse effects of a release on the land surrounding the tank system, taking into account:
(A) The patterns of rainfall in the region, and
(B) The current and future uses of the surrounding land.
(3) The owner or operator of a tank system, for which a variance from secondary containment had been granted in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (g)(1) of this section, at which a release of hazardous waste has occurred from the primary tank system but has not migrated beyond the zone of engineering control (as established in the variance), must:
(1) Comply with the requirements of § 265.196, except paragraph (d); and
(ii) Decontaminate or remove contaminated soil to the extent necessary to:
(A) Enable the tank system, for which the variance was granted, to resume operation with the capability for the detection of and response to releases at least equivalent to the capability it had prior to the release, and
(B) Prevent the migration of hazardous waste or hazardous constituents to ground water or surface water; and
(iii) If contaminated soil cannot be removed or decontaminated in accordance with paragraph (g)(3)(ii) of this section, comply with the requirements of § 265.197(b);
(4) The owner or operator of a tank system, for which a variance from secondary containment had been granted in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (g)(1) of this section, at which a release of hazardous waste has occurred from the primary tank system and has migrated beyond the zone of engineering control (as established in the variance), must:
(1) Comply with the requirements of § 265.196(a), (b), (c), and (d); and
(ii) Prevent the migration of hazardous waste or hazardous constituents to ground water or surface water, if possible, and decontaminate or remove contaminated soil. If contaminated soil cannot be decontaminated or removed, or if ground water has been contaminated, the owner or operator must comply with the requirements of §265.197(b);

(iii) If repairing, replacing, or reinstalling the tank system, provide secondary containment in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (f) of this section or reapply for a variance from secondary containment and meet the requirements for new tank systems in §265.192 if the tank system is replaced. The owner or operator must comply with these requirements even if contaminated soil can be decontaminated or removed, and ground water or surface water has not been contaminated.

(h) The following procedures must be followed in order to request a variance from secondary containment:

(1) The Regional Administrator must be notified in writing by the owner or operator that he intends to conduct and submit a demonstration for a variance from secondary containment as allowed in paragraph (g) of this section according to the following schedule:

(i) For existing tank systems, at least 24 months prior to the date that secondary containment must be provided in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section; and

(ii) For new tank systems, at least 30 days prior to entering into a contract for installation of the tank system.

(2) As part of the notification, the owner or operator must also submit to the Regional Administrator a description of the steps necessary to conduct the demonstration and a timetable for completing each of the steps. The demonstration must address each of the factors listed in paragraph (g)(1) or paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

(3) The demonstration for a variance must be completed and submitted to the Regional Administrator within 180 days after notifying the Regional Administrator of intent to conduct the demonstration.

(4) The Regional Administrator will inform the public, through a newspaper notice, of the availability of the demonstration for a variance. The notice shall be placed in a daily or weekly major local newspaper of general circulation and shall provide at least 30 days from the date of the notice for the public to review and comment on the demonstration for a variance. The Regional Administrator also will hold a public hearing, in response to a request or at his own discretion, whenever such a hearing might clarify one or more issues concerning the demonstration for a variance. Public notice of the hearing will be given at least 30 days prior to the date of the hearing and may be given at the same time as notice of the opportunity for the public to review and comment on the demonstration. These two notices may be combined.

(5) The Regional Administrator will approve or disapprove the request for a variance within 90 days of receipt of the demonstration from the owner or operator and will notify in writing the owner or operator and each person who submitted written comments or requested notice of the variance decision. If the demonstration for a variance is incomplete or does not include sufficient information, the 90-day time period will begin when the Regional Administrator receives a complete demonstration, including all information necessary to make a final determination. If the public comment period in paragraph (h)(4) of this section is extended, the 90-day time period will be similarly extended.

(1) All tank systems, until such time as secondary containment meeting the requirements of this section is provided, must comply with the following:

(1) For non-enterable underground tanks, a leak test that meets the requirements of §265.191(b)(5) must be conducted at least annually;

(2) For other than non-enterable underground tanks and for all ancillary equipment, an annual leak test, as described in paragraph (1)(1) of this section, or an internal inspection or other tank integrity examination by an independent, qualified, registered professional engineer that addresses cracks, leaks, corrosion, and erosion must be conducted at least annually. The owner or operator must remove the stored waste from the tank, if necessary, to allow the condition of all internal tank surfaces to be assessed.

Note: The practices described in the American Petroleum Institute (API) Publication Guide for Inspection of Refining Equipment, Chapter XIII, "Atmospheric and Low Pressure Storage Tanks," 4th edition, 1981, may be used, when applicable, as guidelines for assessing the overall condition of the tank system.

(3) The owner or operator must maintain on file at the facility a record of the results of the assessments conducted in accordance with paragraphs (1)(1) through (1)(3) of this section.
(4) If a tank system or component is found to be leaking or unfit-for-use as a result of the leak test or assessment in paragraphs (1)(1) through (1)(2) of this section, the owner or operator must comply with the requirements of §265.196.

[Information collection requirements contained in paragraphs (c)-(e) and (g)-(l) were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0050]


§265.194 General operating requirements.

(a) Hazardous wastes or treatment reagents must not be placed in a tank system if they could cause the tank, its ancillary equipment, or the secondary containment system to rupture, leak, corrode, or otherwise fail.

(b) The owner or operator must use appropriate controls and practices to prevent spills and overflows from tank or secondary containment systems. These include at a minimum:

(1) Spill prevention controls (e.g., check valves, dry disconnect couplings);

(2) Overfill prevention controls (e.g., level sensing devices, high level alarms, automatic feed cutoff, or bypass to a standby tank); and

(3) Maintenance of sufficient freeboard in uncovered tanks to prevent overtopping by wave or wind action or by precipitation.

(c) The owner or operator must comply with the requirements of §265.196 if a leak or spill occurs in the tank system.

[Information collection requirements contained in paragraph (c) were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0050]

§265.195 Inspections.

(a) The owner or operator must inspect, where present, at least once each operating day:

(1) Overfill/spill control equipment (e.g., waste-feed cutoff systems, bypass systems, and drainage systems) to ensure that it is in good working order;

(2) The aboveground portions of the tank system, if any, to detect corrosion or releases of waste;

(3) Data gathered from monitoring equipment and leak-detection equipment, (e.g., pressure and temperature gauges, monitoring wells) to ensure that the tank system is being operated according to its design; and

(4) The construction materials and the area immediately surrounding the externally accessible portion of the tank system including secondary containment structures (e.g., dikes) to detect erosion or signs of releases of hazardous waste (e.g., wet spots, dead vegetation);

Note: Section 265.15(c) requires the owner or operator to remedy any deterioration or malfunction he finds. Section 265.196 requires the owner or operator to notify the Regional Administrator within 24 hours of confirming a release. Also, 40 CFR part 302 may require the owner or operator to notify the National Response Center of a release.

(b) The owner or operator must inspect cathodic protection systems, if present, according to, at a minimum, the following schedule to ensure that they are functioning properly:

(1) The proper operation of the cathodic protection system must be confirmed within six months after initial installation, and annually thereafter; and

(2) All sources of impressed current must be inspected and/or tested, as appropriate, at least bimonthly (i.e., every other month).

Note: The practices described in the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE) standard, "Recommended Practice (RP-02-85) -- Control of External Corrosion on Metallic Buried, Partially Buried, or Submerged Liquid Storage Systems," and the American Petroleum Institute (API) Publication 1632, "Cathodic
Protection of Underground Petroleum Storage Tanks and Piping Systems, "may be used, where applicable, as guidelines in maintaining and inspecting cathodic protection systems.

(c) The owner or operator must document in the operating record of the facility an inspection of those items in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

Information collection requirements contained in paragraphs (a)-(c) were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0050

§ 265.196 Response to leaks or spills and disposition of leaking or unfit-for-use tank systems.

A tank system or secondary containment system from which there has been a leak or spill, or which is unfit for use, must be removed from service immediately, and the owner or operator must satisfy the following requirements:

(a) Cassation of use; prevent flow or addition of wastes. The owner or operator must immediately stop the flow of hazardous waste into the tank system or secondary containment system and inspect the system to determine the cause of the release.

(b) Removal of waste from tank system or secondary containment system. (1) If the release was from the tank system, the owner or operator must, within 24 hours after detection of the leak or, if the owner or operator demonstrates that that is not possible, at the earliest practicable time remove as much of the waste as is necessary to prevent further release of hazardous waste to the environment and to allow inspection and repair of the tank system to be performed.

(2) If the release was to a secondary containment system, all released materials must be removed within 24 hours or in as timely a manner as is possible to prevent harm to human health and the environment.

(c) Containment of visible releases to the environment. The owner or operator must immediately conduct a visual inspection of the release and, based upon that inspection:

(1) Prevent further migration of the leak or spill to soils or surface water; and

(2) Remove, and properly dispose of, any visible contamination of the soil or surface water.

(d) Notifications, reports. (1) Any release to the environment, except as provided in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, must be reported to the Regional Administrator within 24 hours of detection. If the release has been reported pursuant to 40 CFR part 302, that report will satisfy this requirement.

(2) A leak or spill of hazardous waste that is:

(1) Less than or equal to a quantity of one (1) pound, and

(2) Immediately contained and cleaned-up is exempted from the requirements of this paragraph.

(3) Within 30 days of detection of a release to the environment, a report containing the following information must be submitted to the Regional Administrator:

(1) Likely route of migration of the release;

(2) Characteristics of the surrounding soil (soil composition, geology, hydrogeology, climate);

(3) Results of any monitoring or sampling conducted in connection with the release, (if available). If sampling or monitoring data relating to the release are not available within 30 days, these data must be submitted to the Regional Administrator as soon as they become available;

(4) Proximity to downgradient drinking water, surface water, and population areas; and

(5) Description of response actions taken or planned.

(e) Provision of secondary containment, repair, or closure. (1) Unless the owner or operator satisfies the requirements of paragraphs (e)(2) through (4) of this section, the tank system must be closed in accordance with § 265.197.

(2) If the cause of the release was a spill that has not damaged the integrity of the system, the owner/operator may return the system to service as soon as the released waste is removed and repairs, if necessary, are made.
(3) If the cause of the release was a leak from the primary tank system into the secondary containment system, the system must be repaired prior to returning the tank system to service.

(4) If the source of the release was a leak to the environment from a component of a tank system without secondary containment, the owner/operator must provide the component of the system from which the leak occurred with secondary containment that satisfies the requirements of §265.193 before it can be returned to service, unless the source of the leak is an aboveground portion of a tank system. If the source is an aboveground component that can be inspected visually, the component must be repaired and may be returned to service without secondary containment as long as the requirements of paragraph (f) of this section are satisfied. If a component is replaced to comply with the requirements of this subparagraph, that component must satisfy the requirements for new tank systems or components in §§265.192 and 265.193. Additionally, if a leak has occurred in any portion of a tank system component that is not readily accessible for visual inspection (e.g., the bottom of an inground or onground tank), the entire component must be provided with secondary containment in accordance with §265.193 prior to being returned to use.

(f) Certification of major repairs. If the owner or operator has repaired a tank system in accordance with paragraph (e) of this section, and the repair has been extensive (e.g., installation of an internal liner; repair of a ruptured primary containment or secondary containment vessel), the tank system must not be returned to service unless the owner/operator has obtained a certification by an independent, qualified, registered professional engineer in accordance with §270.11(c) that the repaired system is capable of handling hazardous wastes without release for the intended life of the system. This certification must be submitted to the Regional Administrator within seven days after returning the tank system to use.

Note: The Regional Administrator may, on the basis of any information received that there is or has been a release of hazardous waste or hazardous constituents into the environment, issue an order under RCRA section 3004(v), 3008(h), or 7003(a) requiring corrective action or such other response as deemed necessary to protect human health or the environment.

Note: See §265.15(c) for the requirements necessary to remedy a failure. Also, 40 CFR part 302 requires the owner or operator to notify the National Response Center of a release of any "reportable quantity."

(Information collection requirements contained in paragraphs (d)-(f) were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2090-0050)


§265.197 Closure and post-closure care.

(a) At closure of a tank system, the owner or operator must remove or decontaminate all waste residues, contaminated containment system components (liners, etc.), contaminated soils, and equipment contaminated with waste, and manage them as hazardous waste, unless §261.3(d) of this Chapter applies. The closure plan, closure activities, cost estimates for closure, and financial responsibility for tank systems must meet all of the requirements specified in subparts G and H of this part.

(b) If the owner or operator demonstrates that not all contaminated soils can be practicably removed or decontaminated as required in paragraph (a) of this section, then the owner or operator must close the tank system and perform post-closure care in accordance with the closure and post-closure care requirements that apply to landfills (§265.310). In addition, for the purposes of closure, post-closure, and financial responsibility, such a tank system is then considered to be a landfill, and the owner or operator must meet all of the requirements for landfills specified in subparts G and H of this part.

(c) If an owner or operator has a tank system which does not have secondary containment that meets the requirements of §265.193(b) through (f) and which is not exempt from the secondary containment requirements in accordance with §265.193(g), then:

(1) The closure plan for the tank system must include both a plan for complying with paragraph (a) of this section and a contingent plan for complying with paragraph (b) of this section.

(2) A contingent post-closure plan for complying with paragraph (b) of this section must be prepared and submitted as part of the permit application.

(3) The cost estimates calculated for closure and post-closure care must reflect the costs of complying with the contingent closure plan and the contingent post-closure plan, if these costs are greater than the costs of complying with the closure plan prepared for the expected closure under paragraph (a) of this section.
(4) Financial assurance must be based on the cost estimates in paragraph (c)(3) of this section.

(5) For the purposes of the contingent closure and post-closure plans, such a tank system is considered to be a landfill, and the contingent plans must meet all of the closure, post-closure, and financial responsibility requirements for landfills under subparts G and H of this part.

(Information collection requirements contained in paragraphs (a)–(c) were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0050)

§ 265.198 Special requirements for ignitable or reactive wastes.

(a) Ignitable or reactive waste must not be placed in a tank system, unless:

(1) The waste is treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the tank system so that:

(i) The resulting waste, mixture, or dissolved material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under §§ 261.21 or 261.23 of this chapter; and

(ii) Section 265.17(b) is complied with; or

(2) The waste is stored or treated in such a way that it is protected from any material or conditions that may cause the waste to ignite or react; or

(3) The tank system is used solely for emergencies.

(b) The owner or operator of a facility where ignitable or reactive waste is stored or treated in tanks must comply with the requirements for the maintenance of protective distances between the waste management area and any public ways, streets, alleys, or an adjoining property line that can be built upon as required in Tables 2-1 through 2-6 of the National Fire Protection Association's "Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code," (1977 or 1981), (incorporated by reference, see § 260.11).

§ 265.199 Special requirements for incompatible wastes.

(a) Incompatible wastes, or incompatible waste and materials, must not be placed in the same tank system, unless § 265.17(b) is complied with.

(b) Hazardous waste must not be placed in a tank system that has not been decontaminated and that previously held an incompatible waste or material, unless § 265.17(b) is complied with.

§ 265.200 Waste analysis and trial tests.

In addition to performing the waste analysis required by § 265.13, the owner or operator must, whenever a tank system is to be used to treat chemically or to store a hazardous waste that is substantially different from waste previously treated or stored in that tank system; or treat chemically a hazardous waste with a substantially different process than any previously used in that tank system:

(a) Conduct waste analyses and trial treatment or storage tests (e.g., bench-scale or pilot-plant scale tests); or

(b) Obtain written, documented information on similar waste under similar operating conditions to show that the proposed treatment or storage will meet the requirements of § 265.194(a).

Note: Section 265.12 requires the waste analysis plan to include analyses needed to comply with §§ 265.198 and 265.199. Section 265.73 requires the owner or operator to place the results from each waste analysis and trial test, or the documented information, in the operating record of the facility.

§ 265.201 Special requirements for generators of between 100 and 1,000 kg/mo that accumulate hazardous waste in tanks.

(a) The requirements of this section apply to small quantity generators of more than 100 kg but less than 1,000 kg of hazardous waste in a calendar month, that accumulate hazardous waste in tanks for less than 180 days (or 270 days if the generator must ship the waste greater than 200 miles), and do not accumulate over 6,000 kg on-site at any time.

(b) Generators of between 100 and 1,000 kg/mo hazardous waste must comply with the following general operating requirements:
[1] Treatment or storage of hazardous waste in tanks must comply with § 265.17(b).

[2] Hazardous wastes or treatment reagents must not be placed in a tank if they could cause the tank or its inner liner to rupture, leak, corrode, or otherwise fail before the end of its intended life.

[3] Uncovered tanks must be operated to ensure at least 60 centimeters (2 feet) of freeboard, unless the tank is equipped with a containment structure (e.g., dike or trench), a drainage control system, or a diversion structure (e.g., standby tank) with a capacity that equals or exceeds the volume of the top 60 centimeters (2 feet) of the tank.

[4] Where hazardous waste is continuously fed into a tank, the tank must be equipped with a means to stop this inflow (e.g., waste feed cutoff system or by-pass system to a stand-by tank).

Note: These systems are intended to be used in the event of a leak or overflow from the tank due to a system failure (e.g., a malfunction in the treatment process, a crack in the tank, etc.).

(c) Generators of between 100 and 1,000 kg/mo accumulating hazardous waste in tanks must inspect, where present:

1. Discharge control equipment (e.g., waste feed cutoff systems, by-pass systems, and drainage systems) at least once each operating day, to ensure that it is in good working order;

2. Data gathered from monitoring equipment (e.g., pressure and temperature gauges) at least once each operating day to ensure that the tank is being operated according to its design;

3. The level of waste in the tank at least once each operating day to ensure compliance with § 265.201(b)(3);

4. The construction materials of the tank at least weekly to detect corrosion or leaking of fixtures or seams; and

5. The construction materials of, and the area immediately surrounding, discharge confinement structures (e.g., dikes) at least weekly to detect erosion or obvious signs of leakage (e.g., wet spots or dead vegetation).

Note: As required by § 265.15(c), the owner or operator must remedy any deterioration or malfunction he finds.

(d) Generators of between 100 and 1,000 kg/mo accumulating hazardous waste in tanks must, upon closure of the facility, remove all hazardous waste from tanks, discharge control equipment, and discharge confinement structures.

Note: At closure, as throughout the operating period, unless the owner or operator can demonstrate, in accordance with § 261.3(c) or (d) of this chapter, that any solid waste removed from his tank is not a hazardous waste, the owner or operator becomes a generator of hazardous waste and must manage it in accordance with all applicable requirements of parts 262, 263, and 265 of this chapter.

(e) Generators of between 100 and 1,000 kg/mo must comply with the following special requirements for ignitable or reactive waste:

1. Ignitable or reactive waste must not be placed in a tank, unless:

   a. The waste is treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in a tank so that (A) the resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution of material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under § 261.21 or § 261.23 of this chapter, and (B) § 265.17(b) is complied with; or

   b. The waste is stored or treated in such a way that it is protected from any material or conditions that may cause the waste to ignite or react; or

   c. The tank is used solely for emergencies.

2. The owner or operator of a facility which treats or stores ignitable or reactive waste in covered tanks must comply with the buffer zone requirements for tanks contained in Tables 2-1 through 2-6 of the National Fire Protection Association's "Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code," (1977 or 1981) (incorporated by reference, see § 260.11).
Generators of between 100 and 1,000 kg/mo must comply with the following special requirements for incompatible wastes:

1. Incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and materials, (see Appendix V for examples) must not be placed in the same tank, unless § 265.17(b) is complied with.

2. Hazardous waste must not be placed in an unwashed tank which previously held an incompatible waste or material, unless § 265.17(b) is complied with.


Subpart K -- Surface Impoundments

§ 265.220 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities that use surface impoundments to treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise.

§ 265.221 Design requirements.

(a) The owner or operator of a surface impoundment must install two or more liners and leachate collection systems in accordance with § 264.221(c) of this chapter, with respect to each new unit, replacement of an existing unit, or lateral expansion of an existing unit that is within the area identified in the Part A permit application, and with respect to waste received beginning May 8, 1985.

(b) The owner or operator of each unit referred to in paragraph (a) of this section must notify the Regional Administrator at least sixty days prior to receiving waste. The owner or operator of each facility submitting notice must file a Part A application within six months of the receipt of such notice.

(c) Paragraph (a) of this section will not apply if the owner or operator demonstrates to the Regional Administrator, and the Regional Administrator finds for such surface impoundment, that alternative design and operating practices, together with location characteristics, will prevent the migration of any hazardous constituent into the ground water or surface water at least as effectively as such liners and leachate collection systems.

(d) The double liner requirement set forth in paragraph (a) of this section may be waived by the Regional Administrator for any monofil, if:

(1) The monofil contains only hazardous wastes from foundry furnace emission controls or metal casting molding sand, and such wastes do not contain constituents which would render the wastes hazardous for reasons other than the Toxicity Characteristic in § 261.24 of this chapter, with EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers D004 through D017; and

(2)(1)(A) The monofil has at least one liner for which there is no evidence that such liner in leaking. For the purposes of this paragraph the term "liner" means a liner designed, constructed, installed, and operated to prevent hazardous waste from passing into the liner at any time during the active life of the facility, or a liner designed, constructed, installed, and operated to prevent hazardous waste from migrating beyond the liner to adjacent subsurface soil, ground water, or surface water at any time during the active life of the facility. In the case of any surface impoundment which has been exempted from the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section on the basis of a liner designed, constructed, installed, and operated to prevent hazardous waste from passing beyond the liner, at the closure of such impoundment the owner or operator must remove or decontaminate all waste residues, all contaminated liner material, and contaminated soil to the extent practicable. If all contaminated soil it is not removed or decontaminated, the owner of operator of such impoundment must comply with appropriate post-closure requirements, including but not limited to ground-water monitoring and corrective action;

(B) The monofil is located more than one-quarter mile from an underground source of drinking water (as that term is defined in § 144.3 of this chapter); and

(C) The monofil is in compliance with generally applicable ground-water monitoring requirements for facilities with permits under RCRA section 3005(c); or

(2)(1)(ii) The owner or operator demonstrates that the monofil is located, designed, and operated so as to assure that there will be no migration of any hazardous constituent into ground water or surface water at any future time.
[e] In the case of any unit in which the liner and leachate collection system has been installed pursuant to the requirements of paragraph (e) of this section and in good faith compliance with paragraph (a) of this section and with guidance documents governing liners and leachate collection systems under paragraph (a) of this section, no liner or leachate collection system which is different from that which was so installed pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section will be required for such unit by the Regional Administrator when issuing the first permit to such facility, except that the Regional Administrator will not be precluded from requiring installation of a new liner when the Regional Administrator has reason to believe that any liner installed pursuant to the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section is leaking.

[50 FR 28749, July 15, 1985, as amended at 55 FR 11876, Mar. 29, 1990]

\$ 265.222 General operating requirements.

(a) A surface impoundment must maintain enough freeboard to prevent any overtopping of the dike by overfilling, wave action, or a storm. Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, there must be at least 60 centimeters (two feet) of freeboard.

(b) A freeboard level less than 60 centimeters (two feet) may be maintained if the owner or operator obtains certification by a qualified engineer that alternate design features or operating plans will, to the best of his knowledge and opinion, prevent overtopping of the dike. The certification, along with a written identification of alternate design features or operating plans preventing overtopping, must be maintained at the facility.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the control number 2050-0007)

[50 FR 16048, Apr. 23, 1985]

\$ 265.223 Containment systems.

All earthen dikes must have a protective cover, such as grass, shale, or rock, to minimize wind and water erosion and to preserve their structural integrity.

\$ 265.224 [Reserved]

\$ 265.225 Waste analysis and trial tests.

(a) In addition to the waste analyses required by \$ 265.13, whenever a surface impoundment is to be used to:

(1) Chemically treat a hazardous waste which is substantially different from waste previously treated in that impoundment; or

(2) Chemically treat hazardous waste with a substantially different process than any previously used in that impoundment: the owner or operator must, before treating the different waste or using the different process:

(1) Conduct waste analyses and trial treatment tests (e.g., bench scale or pilot plant scale tests); or

(11) Obtain written, documented information on similar treatment of similar waste under similar operating conditions; to show that this treatment will comply with \$ 265.17(b).

[Comment: As required by \$ 265.13, the waste analysis plan must include analyses needed to comply with \$\$ 265.229 and 265.230. As required by \$ 265.73, the owner or operator must place the results from each waste analysis and trial test, or the documented information, in the operating record of the facility.]

\$ 265.226 Inspections.

(a) The owner or operator must inspect:

(1) The freeboard level at least once each operating day to ensure compliance with \$ 265.222, and

(2) The surface impoundment, including dikes and vegetation surrounding the dike, at least once a week to detect any leaks, deterioration, or failures in the impoundment.
§ 265.228 Closure and post-closure care.

(a) At closure, the owner or operator must:

(1) Remove or decontaminate all waste residues, contaminated containment system components (liners, etc.), contaminated subsurfaces, and structures and equipment contaminated with waste and leachate, and manage them as hazardous waste unless § 261.3(d) of this chapter applies; or

(2) Close the impoundment and provide post-closure care for a landfill under subpart G and § 265.310, including the following:

(1) Eliminate free liquids by removing liquid wastes or solidifying the remaining wastes and waste residues;

(14) Stabilize remaining wastes to a bearing capacity sufficient to support the final cover; and

(111) Cover the surface impoundment with a final cover designed and constructed to:

(A) Provide long-term minimization of the migration of liquids through the closed impoundment;

(B) Function with minimum maintenance;

(C) Promote drainage and minimize erosion or abrasion of the cover;

(D) Accommodate settling and subsidence so that the cover's integrity is maintained; and

(E) Have a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any bottom liner system or natural subsurface present.

(b) In addition to the requirements of subpart G, and § 265.310, during the post-closure care period, the owner or operator of a surface impoundment in which wastes, waste residues, or contaminated materials remain after closure in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (a)(2) of this section must:

(1) Maintain the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover, including making repairs to the cover as necessary to correct the effects of settling, subsidence, erosion, or other events;

(2) Maintain and monitor the ground-water monitoring system and comply with all other applicable requirements of subpart F of this part; and

(3) Prevent run-on and run-off from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover.

[52 FR 8708, Mar. 19, 1987]

§ 265.229 Special requirements for ignitable or reactive waste.

Ignitable or reactive waste must not be placed in a surface impoundment, unless the waste and impoundment satisfy all applicable requirements of 40 CFR part 266, and:

(a) The waste is treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the impoundment so that:

(1) The resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution of material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under § 261.21 or § 261.23 of this chapter; and

(2) Section 265.17(b) is complied with; or

(b)(1) The waste is managed in such a way that it is protected from any material or conditions which may cause it to ignite or react; and

(2) The owner or operator obtains a certification from a qualified chemist or engineer that, to the best of his knowledge and opinion, the design features or operating plans of the facility will prevent ignition or reaction; and
§ 265.250 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities that treat or store hazardous waste in piles, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise. Alternatively, a pile of hazardous waste may be managed as a landfill under subpart N.

§ 265.251 Protection from wind.

The owner or operator of a pile containing hazardous waste which could be subject to dispersal by wind must cover or otherwise manage the pile so that wind dispersal is controlled.

§ 265.252 Waste analysis.

In addition to the waste analyses required by § 265.13, the owner or operator must analyze a representative sample of waste from each incoming movement before adding the waste to any existing pile, unless (1) The only wastes the facility receives which are amenable to piling are compatible with each other, or (2) the waste received is compatible with the waste in the pile to which it is to be added. The analysis conducted must be capable of differentiating between the types of hazardous waste the owner or operator places in piles, so that mixing of incompatible waste does not inadvertently occur. The analysis must include a visual comparison of color and texture.

[Comment: As required by § 265.13, the waste analysis plan must include analyses needed to comply with §§ 265.256 and 265.257. As required by § 265.73, the owner or operator must place the results of this analysis in the operating record of the facility.]

§ 265.253 Containment.

If leachate or run-off from a pile is a hazardous waste, then either:

(a)(1) The pile must be placed on an impermeable base that is compatible with the waste under the conditions of treatment or storage;

(2) The owner or operator must design, construct, operate, and maintain a run-on control system capable of preventing flow onto the active portion of the pile during peak discharge from at least a 25-year storm;

(3) The owner or operator must design, construct, operate, and maintain a run-off management system to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm; and

(4) Collection and holding facilities (e.g., tanks or basins) associated with run-on and run-off control systems must be emptied or otherwise managed expeditiously to maintain design capacity of the system; or

(b)(1) The pile must be protected from precipitation and run-on by some other means; and

(2) No liquids or wastes containing free liquids may be placed in the pile.

[Comment: If collected leachate or run-off is discharged through a point source to waters of the United States, it is subject to the requirements of section 402 of the Clean Water Act, as amended.]

[45 FR 33232, May 19, 1980, as amended at 47 FR 32367, July 26, 1982]
§ 265.254 Design requirements.

The owner or operator of a waste pile is subject to the requirements for liners and leachate collection systems or equivalent protection provided in § 264.251 of this chapter, with respect to each new unit, replacement of an existing unit, or lateral expansion of an existing unit that is within the area identified in the Part A permit application, and with respect to waste received beginning May 8, 1985.

[50 FR 28750, July 15, 1985]

§ 265.255 [Reserved]

§ 265.256 Special requirements for ignitable or reactive waste.

(a) Ignitable or reactive waste must not be placed in a pile unless the waste and pile satisfy all applicable requirements of 40 CFR part 268, and:

(1) Addition of the waste to an existing pile (i) results in the waste or mixture no longer meeting the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under § 261.21 or § 261.23 of this chapter, and (ii) complies with § 265.17(b); or

(2) The waste is managed in such a way that it is protected from any material or conditions which may cause it to ignite or react.

[45 FR 33232, May 19, 1980, as amended at 55 FR 22685, June 1, 1990]

§ 265.257 Special requirements for incompatible wastes.

(a) Incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and materials, (see Appendix V for examples) must not be placed in the same pile, unless § 265.17(b) is complied with.

(b) A pile of hazardous waste that is incompatible with any waste or other material stored nearby in other containers, piles, open tanks, or surface impoundments must be separated from the other materials, or protected from them by means of a dike, berm, wall, or other device.

[Comment: The purpose of this is to prevent fires, explosions, gaseous emissions, leaching, or other discharge of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents which could result from the contact or mixing of incompatible wastes or materials.]

(c) Hazardous waste must not be piled on the same area where incompatible wastes or materials were previously piled, unless that area has been decontaminated sufficiently to ensure compliance with § 265.17(b).

§ 265.258 Closure and post-closure care.

(a) At closure, the owner or operator must remove or decontaminate all waste residues, contaminated containment system components (liners, etc.), contaminated subsols, and structures and equipment contaminated with waste and leachate, and manage them as hazardous waste unless § 261.3(d) of this chapter applies; or

(b) If, after removing or decontaminating all residues and making all reasonable efforts to effect removal or decontamination of contaminated components, subsols, structures, and equipment as required in paragraph (a) of this section, the owner or operator finds that not all contaminated subsols can be practicably removed or decontaminated, he must close the facility and perform post-closure care in accordance with the closure and post-closure requirements that apply to landfills (§ 265.310).

[47 FR 32368, July 26, 1982]

Subpart M -- Land Treatment

§ 265.270 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of hazardous waste land treatment facilities, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise.

§ 265.271 [Reserved]

§ 265.272 General operating requirements.
(a) Hazardous waste must not be placed in or on a land treatment facility unless the waste can be made less hazardous or nonhazardous by degradation, transformation, or immobilization processes occurring in or on the soil.

(b) The owner or operator must design, construct, operate, and maintain a run-on control system capable of preventing flow onto the active portions of the facility during peak discharge from at least a 25-year storm.

(c) The owner or operator must design, construct, operate, and maintain a run-off management system capable of collecting and controlling a water volume at least equivalent to a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

(d) Collection and holding facilities (e.g., tanks or basins) associated with run-on and run-off control systems must be emptied or otherwise managed expeditiously after storms to maintain design capacity of the system.

(e) If the treatment zone contains particulate matter which may be subject to wind dispersal, the owner or operator must manage the unit to control wind dispersal.


§ 265.273 Waste analysis.

In addition to the waste analyses required by § 265.13, before placing a hazardous waste in or on a land treatment facility, the owner or operator must:

(a) Determine the concentrations in the waste of any substances which equal or exceed the maximum concentrations contained in Table 1 of § 261.24 of this chapter that cause a waste to exhibit the Toxicity Characteristic;

(b) For any waste listed in part 261, subpart D, of this chapter, determine the concentrations of any substances which caused the waste to be listed as a hazardous waste; and

(c) If food chain crops are grown, determine the concentrations in the waste of each of the following constituents: arsenic, cadmium, lead, and mercury, unless the owner or operator has written, documented data that show that the constituent is not present.

[Comment: part 261 of this chapter specifies the substances for which a waste is listed as a hazardous waste. As required by § 265.13, the waste analysis plan must include analyses needed to comply with §§ 265.281 and 265.282. As required by § 265.73, the owner or operator must place the results from each waste analysis, or the documented information, in the operating record of the facility.]


§§ 265.274 -- 265.275 [Reserved]

§ 265.276 Food chain crops.

(a) An owner or operator of a hazardous waste land treatment facility on which food chain crops are being grown, or have been grown and will be grown in the future, must notify the Regional Administrator within 60 days after the effective date of this part.

[Comment: The growth of food chain crops at a facility which has never before been used for this purpose is a significant change in process under § 122.72(c) of this chapter. Owners or operators of such land treatment facilities who propose to grow food chain crops after the effective date of this part must comply with § 122.72(c) of this chapter.]

(b) [1] Food chain crops must not be grown on the treated area of a hazardous waste land treatment facility unless the owner or operator can demonstrate, based on field testing, that any arsenic, lead, mercury, or other constituents identified under § 265.273(b):

(1) Will not be transferred to the food portion of the crop by plant uptake or direct contact, and will not otherwise be ingested by food chain animals (e.g., by grazing); or

(11) Will not occur in greater concentrations in the crops grown on the land treatment facility than in the same crops grown on untreated soils under similar conditions in the same region.
(2) The information necessary to make the demonstration required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section must be kept at the facility and must, at a minimum:

(i) Be based on tests for the specific waste and application rates being used at the facility; and

(ii) Include descriptions of crop and soil characteristics, sample selection criteria, sample size determination, analytical methods, and statistical procedures.

(c) Food chain crops must not be grown on a land treatment facility receiving waste that contains cadmium unless all requirements of paragraphs (c)(1) (i) through (iii) of this section or all requirements of paragraphs (c)(2) (i) through (iv) of this section are met.

(i) The pH of the waste and soil mixture is 6.5 or greater at the time of each waste application, except for waste containing cadmium at concentrations of 2 mg/kg (dry weight) or less;

(ii) The annual application of cadmium from waste does not exceed 0.5 kilograms per hectare (kg/ha) on land used for production of tobacco, leafy vegetables, or root crops grown for human consumption. For other food chain crops, the annual cadmium application rate does not exceed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Annual Cd application rate (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present to June 30, 1984</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1984 to December 31, 1986</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning January 1, 1987</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iii) The cumulative application of cadmium from waste does not exceed the levels in either paragraph (c)(1)(iii)(A) or (B) of this section.

(A) For soils with a background pH of less than 6.5, the cumulative cadmium application rate does not exceed the levels below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil caption exchange capacity (meq/100g)</th>
<th>Background soil pH less than 6.5</th>
<th>Background soil pH greater than 6.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) For soils with a background pH of less than 6.5, the cumulative cadmium application rate does not exceed the levels below: Provided, that the pH of the waste and soil mixture is adjusted to and maintained at 6.5 or greater whenever food chain crops are grown.
(2)(1) The only food chain crop produced is animal feed.

(ii) The pH of the waste and soil mixture is 6.5 or greater at the time of waste application or at the time the crop is planted, whichever occurs later, and this pH level is maintained whenever food chain crops are grown.

(iii) There is a facility operating plan which demonstrates how the animal feed will be distributed to preclude ingestion by humans. The facility operating plan describes the measures to be taken to safeguard against possible health hazards from cadmium entering the food chain, which may result from alternative land uses.

(iv) Future property owners are notified by a stipulation in the land record or property deed which states that the property has received waste at high cadmium application rates and that food chain crops must not be grown except in compliance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

[Comment: As required by § 265.73, if an owner or operator grows food chain crops on his land treatment facility, he must place the information developed in this section in the operating record of the facility.]


§ 265.277 Reserved

§ 265.278 Unsatuated zone (zone of aeration) monitoring.

(a) The owner or operator must have in writing, and must implement, an unsaturated zone monitoring plan which is designed to:

(1) Detect the vertical migration of hazardous waste and hazardous waste constituents under the active portion of the land treatment facility, and

(2) Provide information on the background concentrations of the hazardous waste and hazardous waste constituents in similar but untreated soils nearby; this background monitoring must be conducted before or in conjunction with the monitoring required under paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(b) The unsaturated zone monitoring plan must include, at a minimum:

(1) Soil monitoring using soil cores, and

(2) Soil-pore water monitoring using devices such as lysimeters.

(c) To comply with paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the owner or operator must demonstrate in his unsaturated zone monitoring plan that:

(1) The depth at which soil and soil-pore water samples are to be taken is below the depth to which the waste is incorporated into the soil;

(2) The number of soil and soil-pore water samples to be taken is based on the variability of:

(i) The hazardous waste constituents (as identified in § 265.273(a) and (b)) in the waste and in the soil; and

(ii) The soil type(s); and

(3) The frequency and timing of soil and soil-pore water sampling is based on the frequency, time, and rate of waste application, proximity to ground water, and soil permeability.
(d) The owner or operator must keep at the facility his unsaturated zone monitoring plan, and the rationale used in developing this plan.

(e) The owner or operator must analyze the soil and soil-pore water samples for the hazardous waste constituents that were found in the waste during the waste analysis under § 265.273 (a) and (b).

[Comment: As required by § 265.73, all data and information developed by the owner or operator under this section must be placed in the operating record of the facility.]

§ 265.279 Recordkeeping.

The owner or operator must include hazardous waste application dates and rates in the operating record required under § 265.73.

[47 FR 32368, July 26, 1982]

§ 265.280 Closure and post-closure.

(a) In the closure plan under § 265.112 and the post-closure plan under § 265.118, the owner or operator must address the following objectives and indicate how they will be achieved:

(1) Control of the migration of hazardous waste and hazardous waste constituents from the treated area into the ground water;

(2) Control of the release of contaminated run-off from the facility into surface water;

(3) Control of the release of airborne particulate contaminants caused by wind erosion; and

(4) Compliance with § 265.276 concerning the growth of food-chain crops.

(b) The owner or operator must consider at least the following factors in addressing the closure and post-closure care objectives of paragraph (a) of this section:

(1) Type and amount of hazardous waste and hazardous waste constituents applied to the land treatment facility;

(2) The mobility and the expected rate of migration of the hazardous waste and hazardous waste constituents;

(3) Site location, topography, and surrounding land use, with respect to the potential effects of pollutant migration (e.g., proximity to ground water, surface water and drinking water sources);

(4) Climate, including amount, frequency, and pH of precipitation;

(5) Geological and soil profiles and surface and subsurface hydrology of the site, and soil characteristics, including cation exchange capacity, total organic carbon, and pH;

(6) Unsatuarated zone monitoring information obtained under § 265.278; and

(7) Type, concentration, and depth of migration of hazardous waste constituents in the soil as compared to their background concentrations.

(c) The owner or operator must consider at least the following methods in addressing the closure and post-closure care objectives of paragraph (a) of this section:

(1) Removal of contaminated soils;

(2) Placement of a final cover, considering:

(1) Functions of the cover (e.g., infiltration control, erosion and run-off control, and wind erosion control); and

(11) Characteristics of the cover, including material, final surface contours, thickness, porosity and permeability, slope, length of run of slope, and type of vegetation on the cover; and

(3) Monitoring of ground water.
(d) In addition to the requirements of subpart G of this part, during the closure period the owner or operator of a land treatment facility must:

1. Continue unsaturated zone monitoring in a manner and frequency specified in the closure plan, except that soil pore liquid monitoring may be terminated 90 days after the last application of waste to the treatment zone;
2. Maintain the run-on control system required under §265.272(b);
3. Maintain the run-off management system required under §265.272(c); and
4. Control wind dispersal of particulate matter which may be subject to wind dispersal.

(e) For the purpose of complying with §265.115, when closure is completed the owner or operator may submit to the Regional Administrator certification both by the owner or operator and by an independent qualified soil scientist, in lieu of an independent registered professional engineer, that the facility has been closed in accordance with the specifications in the approved closure plan.

(f) In addition to the requirements of §265.117, during the post-closure care period the owner or operator of a land treatment unit must:

1. Continue soil-core monitoring by collecting and analyzing samples in a manner and frequency specified in the post-closure plan;
2. Restrict access to the unit as appropriate for its post-closure use;
3. Assure that growth of food chain crops complies with §265.276; and
4. Control wind dispersal of hazardous waste.

[45 FR 33232, May 19, 1980, as amended at 47 FR 32368, July 26, 1982]

§ 265.281 Special requirements for ignitable or reactive waste.

The owner or operator must not apply ignitable or reactive waste to the treatment zone unless the waste and treatment zone meet all applicable requirements of 40 CFR part 268, and:

(a) The waste is immediately incorporated into the soil so that:

1. The resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution of material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under §265.21 or §261.23 of this chapter; and
2. Section 264.17(b) is complied with; or
(b) The waste is managed in such a way that it is protected from any material or conditions which may cause it to ignite or react.

[47 FR 32368, July 26, 1982, as amended at 55 FR 22686, June 1, 1990]

§ 265.282 Special requirements for incompatible wastes.

Incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and materials (see Appendix V for examples), must not be placed in the same land treatment area, unless §265.17(b) is complied with.

Subpart N -- Landfills

§ 265.300 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities that dispose of hazardous waste in landfills, except as §261.1 provides otherwise. A waste pile used as a disposal facility is a landfill and is governed by this subpart.

§ 265.301 Design requirements.

(a) The owner or operator of a landfill must install two or more liners and leachate collection systems above and between such liners in accordance with §264.301(c) of this chapter, with respect to each new
unit, replacement of an existing unit, or lateral expansion of an existing unit that is within the area
identified in the Part A permit application, and with respect to waste received beginning May 8, 1985.

(b) The owner or operator of each unit referred to in paragraph (a) of this section must notify the
Regional Administrator at least sixty days prior to receiving waste. The owner or operator of each facility
submitting notice must file a Part B application within six months of the receipt of such notice.

(c) Paragraph (a) of this section will not apply if the owner or operator demonstrates to the Regional
Administrator, and the Regional Administrator finds, for such landfill, that alternative design and operating
practices, together with location characteristics, will prevent the migration of any hazardous constituent into
the ground water or surface water at least as effectively as such liners and leachate collection systems.

(d) The double liner requirement set forth in paragraph (a) of this section may be waived by the
Regional Administrator for any monofill, if:

(1) The monofill contains only hazardous wastes from foundry furnace emission controls or metal
casting molding sand, and such wastes do not contain constituents which would render the wastes hazardous for
reasons other than the EP toxicity characteristics in § 261.14 of this chapter; and

(2)(1)(A) The monofill has at least one liner for which there is no evidence that such liner is
leaking;

(B) The monofill is located more than one-quarter mile from an underground source of drinking water
(as that term is defined in § 144.3 of this chapter); and

(C) The monofill is in compliance with generally applicable ground-water monitoring requirements for
facilities with permits under RCRA section 3005(c); or

(11) The owner or operator demonstrates that the monofill is located, designed and operated so as to
assure that there will be no migration of any hazardous constituent into ground water or surface water at any
future time.

(e) In the case of any unit in which the liner and leachate collection system has been installed
pursuant to the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section and in good faith compliance with paragraph (a)
of this section and with guidance documents governing liners and leachate collection systems under paragraph
(a) of this section, no liner or leachate collection system which is different from that which was so installed
pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section will be required for such unit by the Regional Administrator when
issuing the first permit to such facility, except that the Regional Administrator will not be precluded from
requiring installation of a new liner when the Regional Administrator has reason to believe that any liner
installed pursuant to the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section is leaking.

[50 FR 29750, July 15, 1985]

§ 265.302 General operating requirements.

(a) The owner or operator must design, construct, operate, and maintain a run-on control system
capable of preventing flow onto the active portion of the landfill during peak discharge from at least a
25-year storm.

(b) The owner or operator must design, construct, operate and maintain a run-off management system
to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

(c) Collection and holding facilities (e.g., tanks or basins) associated with run-on and run-off
control systems must be emptied or otherwise managed expeditiously after storms to maintain design capacity of
the system.

(d) The owner or operator of a landfill containing hazardous waste which is subject to dispersal by
wind must cover or otherwise manage the landfill so that wind dispersal of the hazardous waste is controlled.

[Comment: As required by § 265.13, the waste analysis plan must include analyses needed to comply with §§
265.312, 265.313, and 265.314. As required by § 265.73, the owner or operator must place the results of these
analyses in the operating record of the facility.]


§§ 265.303 -- 265.308 [Reserved]
$265.309 Surveying and recordkeeping.

The owner or operator of a landfill must maintain the following items in the operating record required in §265.73:

(a) On a map, the exact location and dimensions, including depth, of each cell with respect to permanently surveyed benchmarks; and

(b) The contents of each cell and the approximate location of each hazardous waste type within each cell.

$265.310 Closure and post-closure care.

(a) At final closure of the landfill or upon closure of any cell, the owner or operator must cover the landfill or cell with a final cover designed and constructed to:

(1) Provide long-term minimization of migration of liquids through the closed landfill;

(2) Function with minimum maintenance;

(3) Promote drainage and minimize erosion or abrasion of the cover;

(4) Accommodate settling and subsidence so that the cover's integrity is maintained; and

(5) Have a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any bottom liner system or natural subsols present.

(b) After final closure, the owner or operator must comply with all post-closure requirements contained in §§265.117 through 265.120 including maintenance and monitoring throughout the post-closure care period. The owner or operator must:

(1) Maintain the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover, including making repairs to the cover as necessary to correct the effects of settling, subsidence, erosion, or other events;

(2) Maintain and monitor the ground-water monitoring system and comply with all other applicable requirements of subpart F of this part;

(3) Prevent run-on and run-off from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover; and

(4) Protect and maintain surveyed benchmarks used in complying with §265.309.

[50 FR 16046, Apr. 23, 1985]

$265.311 [Reserved]

$265.312 Special requirements for ignitable or reactive waste.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, and in §265.316, ignitable or reactive waste must not be placed in a landfill, unless the waste and landfill meets all applicable requirements of 40 CFR part 268, and:

(1) The resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution or material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under §261.21 or §261.23 of this chapter; and

(2) Section 265.17(b) is complied with.

(b) Except for prohibited wastes which remain subject to treatment standards in subpart D of part 268, ignitable wastes in containers may be landfilled without meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, provided that the wastes are disposed of in such a way that they are protected from any material or conditions which may cause them to ignite. At a minimum, ignitable wastes must be disposed of in non-leaking containers which are carefully handled and placed so as to avoid heat, sparks, rupture, or any other condition that might cause ignition of the wastes; must be covered daily with soil or other non-combustible material to minimize the potential for ignition of the wastes; and must not be disposed of in cells that contain or will contain other wastes which may generate heat sufficient to cause ignition of the waste.

[47 FR 32368, July 26, 1982, as amended at 55 FR 22686, June 1, 1990]
$ 265.313 Special requirements for incompatible wastes.

Incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and materials, (see Appendix V for examples) must not be placed in the same landfill cell, unless $ 265.17(b) is complied with.

$ 265.314 Special requirements for bulk and containerized liquids.

(a) Bulk or non-containerized liquid waste or waste containing free liquids may be placed in a landfill prior to May 8, 1985 only if:

1. The landfill has a liner and leachate collection and removal system that meets the requirements of $ 264.301(a) of this chapter; or

2. Before disposal, the liquid waste or waste containing free liquids is treated or stabilized, chemically or physically (e.g., by mixing with an absorbent solid), so that free liquids are no longer present.

(b) Effective May 8, 1985, the placement of bulk or non-containerized liquid hazardous waste or hazardous waste containing free liquids (whether or not absorbents have been added) in any landfill is prohibited.

(c) Containers holding free liquids must not be placed in a landfill unless:

1. All free-standing liquid (1) has been removed by decanting, or other methods, (iiii) has been mixed with absorbent or solidified so that free-standing liquid is no longer observed or (iii) had been otherwise eliminated; or

2. The container is very small, such as an ampule; or

3. The container is designed to hold free liquids for use other than storage, such as a battery or capacitor; or

4. The container is a lab pack as defined in $ 285.316 and is disposed of in accordance with $ 265.316.

(d) To demonstrate the absence or presence of free liquids in either a containerized or a bulk waste, the following test must be used: Method 9095 (Paint Filter Liquids Test) as described in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Physical/Chemical Methods." [EPA Publication No. SW-846].

(e) The date for compliance with paragraph (a) of this section is November 19, 1981. The date for compliance with paragraph (c) of this section is March 22, 1982.

(f) Effective November 8, 1985, the placement of any liquid which is not a hazardous waste in a landfill is prohibited unless the owner or operator of such landfill demonstrates to the Regional Administrator, or the Regional Administrator determines, that:

1. The only reasonably available alternative to the placement in such landfill is placement in a landfill or unlined surface impoundment, whether or not permitted or operating under interim status, which contains, or may reasonably be anticipated to contain, hazardous waste; and

2. Placement in such owner or operator's landfill will not present a risk of contamination of any underground source of drinking water (as that term is defined in $ 144.3 of this chapter).

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0037)


$ 265.315 Special requirements for containers.

Unless they are very small, such as an ampule, containers must be either:

(a) At least 90 percent full when placed in the landfill; or

(b) Crushed, shredded, or similarly reduced in volume to the maximum practical extent before burial in the landfill.

[50 FR 16048, Apr. 23, 1985]
$ 265.316 Disposal of small containers of hazardous waste in overpacked drums (lab packs).

Small containers of hazardous waste in overpacked drums (lab packs) may be placed in a landfill if the following requirements are met:

(a) Hazardous waste must be packaged in non-leaking inside containers. The inside containers must be of a design and constructed of a material that will not react dangerously with, be decomposed by, or be ignited by the waste held therein. Inside containers must be tightly and securely sealed. The inside containers must be of the size and type specified in the Department of Transportation (DOT) hazardous materials regulations (49 CFR parts 172, 178 and 179), if those regulations specify a particular inside container for the waste.

(b) The inside containers must be overpacked in an open head DOT-specification metal shipping container (49 CFR parts 176 and 179) of no more than 416-liter (110 gallon) capacity and surrounded by, at a minimum, a sufficient quantity of absorbent material to completely absorb all of the liquid contents of the inside containers. The metal outer container must be full after packing with inside containers and absorbent material.

(c) The absorbent material used must not be capable of reacting dangerously with, being decomposed by, or being ignited by the contents of the inside containers, in accordance with § 265.17(b).

(d) Incompatible wastes, as defined in § 260.10(a) of this chapter, must not be placed in the same outside container.

(e) Reactive waste, other than cyanide- or sulfide-bearing waste as defined in § 261.23(a)(5) of this chapter, must be treated or rendered non-reactive prior to packaging in accordance with paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section. Cyanide- and sulfide-bearing reactive waste may be packaged in accordance with paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section without first being treated or rendered non-reactive.

(f) Such disposal is in compliance with the requirements of 40 CFR part 268. Persons who incinerate lab packs according to the requirements in 40 CFR 268.42(c)(1) may use fiber drums in place of metal outer containers. Such fiber drums must meet the DOT specifications in 49 CFR 173.12 and be overpacked according to the requirements in paragraph (b) of this section.

[46 FR 56596, Nov. 17, 1981, as amended at 55 FR 22686, June 1, 1990]

Subpart O -- Incinerators

Source: 46 FR 7680, Jan. 23, 1981, unless otherwise noted.

$ 265.340 Applicability.

(a) The regulations of this subpart apply to owners and operators of hazardous waste incinerators (as defined in § 260.10 of this chapter), except as § 265.1 provides otherwise.

(b) Owners and operators of incinerators burning hazardous waste are exempt from all of the requirements of this subpart, except § 265.351 (Closure), provided that the owner or operator has documented, in writing, that the waste would not reasonably be expected to contain any of the hazardous constituents listed in part 261, appendix VIII, of this chapter, and such documentation is retained at the facility, if the waste to be burned is:

(1) Listed as a hazardous waste in part 261, subpart D, of this chapter solely because it is ignitable (Hazard Code I), corrosive (Hazard Code C), or both; or

(2) Listed as a hazardous waste in part 261, subpart D, of this chapter solely because it is reactive (Hazard Code R) for characteristics other than those listed in § 261.23(a) (4) and (5), and will not be burned when other hazardous wastes are present in the combustion zone; or

(3) A hazardous waste solely because it possesses the characteristic of ignitability, corrosivity, or both, as determined by the tests for characteristics of hazardous wastes under part 261, subpart C, of this chapter; or

(4) A hazardous waste solely because it possesses the reactivity characteristics described by § 261.23(a) (1), (2), (3), (6), (7), or (8) of this chapter, and will not be burned when other hazardous wastes are present in the combustion zone.

§ 265.341 Waste analysis.

In addition to the waste analyses required by §265.13, the owner or operator must sufficiently analyze any waste which he has not previously burned in his incinerator to enable him to establish steady state (normal) operating conditions (including waste and auxiliary fuel feed and air flow) and to determine the type of pollutants which might be emitted. At a minimum, the analysis must determine:

(a) Heating value of the waste;
(b) Halogen content and sulfur content in the waste; and
(c) Concentrations in the waste of lead and mercury, unless the owner or operator has written, documented data that show that the element is not present.

[Comment: As required by §265.73, the owner or operator must place the results from each waste analysis, or the documented information, in the operating record of the facility.]

§§ 265.342 -- 265.344 [Reserved]

§ 265.345 General operating requirements.

During start-up and shut-down of an incinerator, the owner or operator must not feed hazardous waste unless the incinerator is at steady state (normal) conditions of operation, including steady state operating temperature and air flow.

§ 265.346 [Reserved]

§ 265.347 Monitoring and inspections.

The owner or operator must conduct, as a minimum, the following monitoring and inspections when incinerating hazardous waste:

(a) Existing instruments which relate to combustion and emission control must be monitored at least every 15 minutes. Appropriate corrections to maintain steady state combustion conditions must be made immediately either automatically or by the operator. Instruments which relate to combustion and emission control would normally include those measuring waste feed, auxiliary fuel feed, air flow, incinerator temperature, scrubber flow, scrubber pH, and relevant level controls.

(b) The complete incinerator and associated equipment (pumps, valves, conveyors, pipes, etc.) must be inspected at least daily for leaks, spills, and fugitive emissions, and all emergency shutdown controls and system alarms must be checked to assure proper operation.


§§ 265.348 -- 265.350 [Reserved]

§ 265.351 Closure.

At closure, the owner or operator must remove all hazardous waste and hazardous waste residues (including but not limited to ash, scrubber waters, and scrubber sludges) from the incinerator.

[Comment: At closure, as throughout the operating period, unless the owner or operator can demonstrate, in accordance with §261.3(d) of this chapter, that the residue removed from his incinerator is not a hazardous waste, the owner or operator becomes a generator of hazardous waste and must manage it in accordance with all applicable requirements of parts 262 through 266 of this chapter.]

§ 265.352 Interim status incinerators burning particular hazardous wastes.

(a) Owners or operators of incinerators subject to this subpart may burn EPA Hazardous Wastes F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, or F027 if they receive a certification from the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response that they can meet the performance standards of subpart C of part 264 when they burn these wastes.

(b) The following standards and procedures will be used in determining whether to certify an incinerator:
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(1) The owner or operator will submit an application to the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response containing applicable information in §§ 270.19 and 270.62 demonstrating that the incinerator can meet the performance standards in subpart O of part 264 when they burn these wastes.

(2) The Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response will issue a tentative decision as to whether the incinerator can meet the performance standards in subpart O of part 264. Notification of this tentative decision will be provided by newspaper advertisement and radio broadcast in the jurisdiction where the incinerator is located. The Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response will accept comment on the tentative decision for 60 days. The Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response also may hold a public hearing upon request or at his discretion.

(3) After the close of the public comment period, the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response will issue a decision whether or not to certify the incinerator.


§§ 265.353 -- 265.369 [Reserved]

Subpart P -- Thermal Treatment

§ 265.370 Other thermal treatment.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners or operators of facilities that thermally treat hazardous waste in devices other than enclosed devices using controlled flame combustion, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise. Thermal treatment in enclosed devices using controlled flame combustion is subject to the requirements of subpart O if the unit is an incinerator, and subpart H of part 266, if the unit is a boiler or an industrial furnace as defined in § 260.10.

[50 FR 1161, Jan. 4, 1985, as amended at 56 FR 32692, July 17, 1991]

§§ 265.371 -- 265.372 [Reserved]

§ 265.373 General operating requirements.

Before adding hazardous waste, the owner or operator must bring his thermal treatment process to steady state (normal) conditions of operation -- including steady state operating temperature -- using auxiliary fuel or other means, unless the process is a non-continuous (batch) thermal treatment process which requires a complete thermal cycle to treat a discrete quantity of hazardous waste.

§ 265.374 [Reserved]

§ 265.375 Waste analysis.

In addition to the waste analyses required by § 265.13, the owner or operator must sufficiently analyze any waste which he has not previously treated in his thermal process to enable him to establish steady state (normal) or other appropriate (for a non-continuous process) operating conditions (including waste and auxiliary fuel feed) and to determine the type of pollutants which might be emitted. At a minimum, the analysis must determine:

(a) Heating value of the waste;

(b) Halogen content and sulfur content in the waste; and

(c) Concentrations in the waste of lead and mercury, unless the owner or operator has written, documented data that show that the element is not present.

[Comment: As required by § 265.73, the owner or operator must place the results from each waste analysis, or the documented information, in the operating record of the facility.]

§ 265.376 [Reserved]

§ 265.377 Monitoring and inspections.

(a) The owner or operator must conduct, as a minimum, the following monitoring and inspections when thermally treating hazardous waste:
(1) Existing instruments which relate to temperature and emission control (if an emission control device is present) must be monitored at least every 15 minutes. Appropriate adjustments to maintain steady state or other appropriate thermal treatment conditions must be made immediately either automatically or by the operator. Instruments which relate to temperature and emission control would normally include those measuring waste feed, auxiliary fuel feed, treatment process temperature, and relevant process flow and level controls.

(2) The stack plumes (emissions), where present, must be observed visually at least hourly for normal appearance (color and opacity). The operator must immediately make any indicated operating corrections necessary to return any visible emissions to their normal appearance.

(3) The complete thermal treatment process and associated equipment (pumps, valves, conveyors, pipes, etc.) must be inspected at least daily for leaks, spills, and fugitive emissions, and all emergency shutdown controls and system alarms must be checked to assure proper operation.

§ 265.378 -- 265.380 [Reserved]

§ 265.381 Closure.

At closure, the owner or operator must remove all hazardous waste and hazardous waste residues (including, but not limited to, ash) from the thermal treatment process or equipment.

[Comment: At closure, as throughout the operating period, unless the owner or operator can demonstrate, in accordance with § 261.3(c) or (d) of this chapter, that any solid waste removed from his thermal treatment process or equipment is not a hazardous waste, the owner or operator becomes a generator of hazardous waste and must manage it in accordance with all applicable requirements of parts 262, 263, and 265 of this chapter.]

§ 265.382 Open burning; waste explosives.

Open burning of hazardous waste is prohibited except for the open burning and detonation of waste explosives. Waste explosives include waste which has the potential to detonate and bulk military propellants which cannot safely be disposed of through other modes of treatment. Detonation is an explosion in which chemical transformation passes through the material faster than the speed of sound (0.33 kilometers/second at sea level). Owners or operators choosing to open burn or detonate waste explosives must do so in accordance with the following table and in a manner that does not threaten human health or the environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pounds of waste explosives or propellants</th>
<th>Minimum distance from open burning or detonation to the property of others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 100</td>
<td>204 meters (670 feet).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 to 1,000</td>
<td>380 meters (1,250 feet).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,001 to 10,000</td>
<td>550 meters (1,730 feet).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,001 to 30,000</td>
<td>690 meters (2,260 feet).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§ 265.383 Interim status thermal treatment devices burning particular hazardous waste.

(a) Owners or operators of thermal treatment devices subject to this subpart may burn EPA Hazardous Wastes F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, or F027 if they receive a certification from the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response that they can meet the performance standards of subpart O of part 264 when they burn these wastes.

(b) The following standards and procedures will be used in determining whether to certify a thermal treatment unit:

(1) The owner or operator will submit an application to the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response containing the applicable information in §§ 270.19 and 270.62 demonstrating that the thermal treatment unit can meet the performance standard in subpart O of part 264 when they burn these wastes.

(2) The Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response will issue a tentative decision as to whether the thermal treatment unit can meet the performance standards in subpart O of part 264.
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Notification of this tentative decision will be provided by newspaper advertisement and radio broadcast in the jurisdiction where the thermal treatment device is located. The Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response will accept comment on the tentative decision for 60 days. The Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response also may hold a public hearing upon request or at his discretion.

(3) After the close of the public comment period, the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response will issue a decision whether or not to certify the thermal treatment unit.


Subpart Q -- Chemical, Physical, and Biological Treatment

§ 265.400 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities which treat hazardous wastes by chemical, physical, or biological methods in other than tanks, surface impoundments, and land treatment facilities, except as § 265.1 provides otherwise. Chemical, physical, and biological treatment of hazardous waste in tanks, surface impoundments, and land treatment facilities must be conducted in accordance with subparts J, K, and M, respectively.

§ 265.401 General operating requirements.

(a) Chemical, physical, or biological treatment of hazardous waste must comply with § 265.17(b).

(b) Hazardous wastes or treatment reagents must not be placed in the treatment process or equipment if they could cause the treatment process or equipment to rupture, leak, corrode, or otherwise fail before the end of its intended life.

(c) Where hazardous waste is continuously fed into a treatment process or equipment, the process or equipment must be equipped with a means to stop this inflow (e.g., a waste feed cut-off system or by-pass system to a standby containment device).

[Comment: These systems are intended to be used in the event of a malfunction in the treatment process or equipment.]

§ 265.402 Waste analysis and trial tests.

(a) In addition to the waste analysis required by § 265.13, whenever:

(1) A hazardous waste which is substantially different from waste previously treated in a treatment process or equipment at the facility is to be treated in that process or equipment, or

(2) A substantially different process than any previously used at the facility is to be used to chemically treat hazardous waste;

the owner or operator must, before treating the different waste or using the different process or equipment:

(i) Conduct waste analyses and trial treatment tests (e.g., bench scale or pilot plant scale tests); or

(ii) Obtain written, documented information on similar treatment of similar waste under similar operating conditions;

to show that this proposed treatment will meet all applicable requirements of § 265.401 (a) and (b).

[Comment: As required by § 265.13, the waste analysis plan must include analyses needed to comply with §§ 265.405 and 265.406. As required by § 265.73, the owner or operator must place the results from each waste analysis and trial test, or the documented information, in the operating record of the facility.]

§ 265.403 Inspections.

(a) The owner or operator of a treatment facility must inspect, where present:

(1) Discharge control and safety equipment (e.g., waste feed cut-off systems, by-pass systems, drainage systems, and pressure relief systems) at least once each operating day, to ensure that it is in good working order;
(2) Data gathered from monitoring equipment (e.g., pressure and temperature gauges), at least once each operating day, to ensure that the treatment process or equipment is being operated according to its design;

(3) The construction materials of the treatment process or equipment, at least weekly, to detect corrosion or leaking of fixtures or seams; and

(4) The construction materials of, and the area immediately surrounding, discharge confinement structures (e.g., dikes), at least weekly, to detect erosion or obvious signs of leakage (e.g., wet spots or dead vegetation).

[Comment: As required by §265.15(c), the owner or operator must remedy any deterioration or malfunction he finds.]

§265.404 Closure.

At closure, all hazardous waste and hazardous waste residuals must be removed from treatment processes or equipment, discharge control equipment, and discharge confinement structures.

[Comment: At closure, as throughout the operating period, unless the owner or operator can demonstrate, in accordance with §261.3(c) or (d) of this chapter, that any solid waste removed from his treatment process or equipment is not a hazardous waste, the owner or operator becomes a generator of hazardous waste and must manage it in accordance with all applicable requirements of parts 262, 263, and 265 of this chapter.]

§265.405 Special requirements for ignitable or reactive waste.

(a) Ignitable or reactive waste must not be placed in a treatment process or equipment unless:

(1) The waste is treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the treatment process or equipment so that (i) the resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution of material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under §261.21 or 261.23 or this chapter, and (ii) §265.17(b) is complied with; or

(2) The waste is treated in such a way that it is protected from any material or conditions which may cause the waste to ignite or react.

§265.406 Special requirements for incompatible wastes.

(a) Incompatible wastes, or incompatible waste and materials, (see Appendix V for examples) must not be placed in the same treatment process or equipment, unless §265.17(b) is complied with.

(b) Hazardous waste must not be placed in unwashed treatment equipment which previously held an incompatible waste or material, unless §265.17(b) is complied with.

Subpart R -- Underground Injection

§265.430 Applicability.

Except as §265.1 provides otherwise:

(a) The owner or operator of a facility which disposes of hazardous waste by underground injection is excluded from the requirements of subparts C and H of this part.

(b) The requirements of this subpart apply to owners and operators of wells used to dispose of hazardous waste which are classified as Class I under §144.6(a) of this chapter and which are classified as Class IV under §144.6(d) of this chapter.

[Comment: In addition to the requirements of subparts A through E of this part, the owner or operator of a facility which disposes of hazardous waste by underground injection ultimately must comply with the requirements of §§265.431 through 265.437. These sections are reserved at this time. The Agency will propose regulations that would establish those requirements.]


Subparts S-V [Reserved]

Subpart W-Drip Pads
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Source: 55 FR 50486, Dec. 6, 1990, unless otherwise noted.

§ 265.440 Applicability.

(a) The requirements of this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities that use new or existing drip pads to convey treated wood drippage, precipitation, and/or surface water run-on to an associated collection system. Existing drip pads are those constructed before December 6, 1990, and those for which the owner or operator has generated a design and has entered into binding financial or other agreements for construction prior to December 6, 1990. All other drip pads are new drip pads.

(b) The owner or operator of any drip pad that is inside or under a structure that provides protection from precipitation so that neither run-off nor run-on is generated is not subject to regulation under § 265.443(b) or § 265.443(f), as appropriate.

[55 FR 50486, Dec. 6, 1990, as amended by 56 FR 30198, July 1, 1991]

§ 265.441 Assessment of existing drip pad integrity.

(a) For each existing drip pad as defined in § 265.440 of this subpart, the owner or operator must evaluate the drip pad and determine that it meets all of the requirements of this subpart, except the requirements for liners and leak detection systems of § 265.443(b). No later than the effective date of this rule, the owner or operator must obtain and keep on file at the facility a written assessment of the drip pad, reviewed and certified by an independent, qualified registered professional engineer that attests to the results of the evaluation. The assessment must be reviewed, updated and re-certified annually until all upgrades, repairs, or modifications necessary to achieve compliance with all of the standards of § 265.443 of this subpart are complete. The evaluation must justify and document the extent to which the drip pad meets each of the design and operating standards of § 265.443 of this subpart, except the standards for liners and leak detection systems, specified in § 265.443(b) of this subpart, and must document the age of the drip pad to the extent possible, to document compliance with paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) The owner or operator must develop a written plan for upgrading, repairing, and modifying the drip pad to meet the requirements of § 265.443(b) of this subpart and submit the plan to the Regional Administrator no later than 2 years before the date that all repairs, upgrades, and modifications will be complete. This written plan must describe all changes to be made to the drip pad in sufficient detail to document compliance with all the requirements of § 265.443 of this subpart and must document the age of the drip pad to the extent possible. The plan must be reviewed and certified by an independent, qualified, registered professional engineer. All upgrades, repairs, and modifications must be completed in accordance with the following:

(1) For existing drip pads of known and documentable age, all upgrades, repairs, and modifications must be completed within two years of the effective date of this rule, or when the drip pad has reached 15 years of age, whichever comes later.

(2) For existing drip pads for which the age cannot be documented, within 8 years of the effective date of this rule, but if the age of the facility is greater than 7 years, all upgrades, repairs and modifications must be completed by the time the facility reaches 15 years of age or by two years after the effective date of this rule, whichever comes later.

(3) If the owner or operator believes that the drip pad will continue to meet all of the requirements of § 265.443 of this subpart after the date upon which all upgrades, repairs and modifications must be completed as established under paragraphs (b) (1) and (2) of this section, the owner or operator may petition the Regional Administrator for an extension of the deadline as specified in paragraph (b) (1) or (2) of this section. The Regional Administrator will grant the petition for extension based on a finding that the drip pad meets all of the requirements of § 265.443, except those for liners and leak detection systems specified in § 265.443(b), and that it will continue to be protective of human health and the environment.

(c) Upon completion of all, repairs, and modifications, the owner or operator must submit to the Regional Administrator or State Director, the as-built drawings for the drip pad together with a certification by an independent, qualified registered professional engineer attesting that the drip pad conforms to the drawings.

(d) If the drip pad is found to be leaking or unfit for use, the owner or operator must comply with the provisions of § 265.443(a) of this subpart or close the drip pad in accordance with § 265.445 of this subpart.

§ 265.442 Design and installation of new drip pads.
Owners and operators of new drip pads must ensure that the pads are designed, installed and operated in accordance with all of the applicable requirements of §§ 265.443, 265.444 and 265.445 of this subpart.

§ 265.443 Design and operating requirements.

(a) Drip pads must:

(1) Be constructed of non-earthan materials, excluding wood and non-structurally supported asphalt;

(2) Be sloped to free-drain treated wood drippage, rain and other waters, or solutions of drippage and water or other wastes to the associated collection system;

(3) Have a curb or berm around the perimeter;

(4) Be impermeable, e.g., concrete pads must be sealed, coated, or covered with an impermeable material such that the entire surface where drippage occurs or may run across is capable of containing such drippage and mixtures of drippage and precipitation, materials, or other wastes while being routed to an associated collection system.

Note: The requirement that new drip pads be impermeable, e.g., that new drip pads be sealed, coated, or covered with an impermeable material is administratively stayed. The stay will remain in effect until further administrative action is taken.

(5) Be of sufficient structural strength and thickness to prevent failure due to physical contact, climatic conditions, the stress of installation, and the stress of daily operations, e.g., variable and moving loads such as vehicle traffic, movement of wood, etc.

Note: EPA will generally consider applicable standards established by professional organizations generally recognized by industry such as the American Concrete Institute (ACI) and the American Society of Testing Materials (ASTM) in judging the structural integrity requirement of this paragraph.

(b) A new drip pad or an existing drip pad, after the deadline established in § 265.441(b) of this subpart, must have:

(1) A synthetic liner installed below the drip pad that is designed, constructed, and installed to prevent leakage from the drip pad into the adjacent subsurface soil or groundwater or surface water at any time during the active life (including the closure period) of the drip pad. The liner must be constructed of materials that will prevent waste from being absorbed into the liner and prevent releases into the adjacent subsurface soil or ground water or surface water during the active life of the facility. The liner must be:

(i) Constructed of materials that have appropriate chemical properties and sufficient strength and thickness to prevent failure due to pressure gradients (including static head and external hydrogeologic forces), physical contact with the waste or drip pad leakage to which they are exposed, climatic conditions, the stress of installation, and the stress of daily operation (including stresses from vehicular traffic on the drip pad);

(ii) Placed upon a foundation or base capable of providing support to the liner and resistance to pressure gradients above and below the liner to prevent failure of the liner due to settlement, compression or uplift; and

(iii) Installed to cover all surrounding earth that could come in contact with the waste or leakage; and

(2) A leakage detection system immediately above the liner that is designed, constructed, maintained and operated to detect leakage from the drip pad. The leakage detection system must be:

(i) Constructed of materials that are:

(A) Chemically resistant to the waste managed in the drip pad and the leakage that might be generated; and

(B) Of sufficient strength and thickness to prevent collapse under the pressures exerted by overlaying materials and by any equipment used at the drip pad; and

(ii) Designed and operated to function without clogging through the scheduled closure of the drip pad.
(iii) Designed so that it will detect the failure of the drip pad or the presence of a release of hazardous waste or accumulated liquid at the earliest practicable time.

(d) Drip pads must be maintained such that they remain free of cracks, gaps, corrosion, or other deterioration that could cause hazardous waste to be released from the drip pad.

Note: See §265.443(e) for remedial action required if deterioration or leakage is detected.

(e) Unless protected by a structure, as described in §265.440(b) of this subpart, the owner or operator must design, construct, operate and maintain a run-on control system capable of preventing flow onto the drip pad during peak discharge from at least a 24-hour, 25-year storm unless the system has sufficient excess capacity to contain any run-on that might enter the system, or the drip pad is protected by a structure or cover, as described in §265.440(b) of this subpart.

(f) Unless protected by a structure or cover, as described in §265.440(b) of this subpart, the owner or operator must design, construct, operate and maintain a run-off management system to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

(g) The drip pad must be evaluated to determine that it meets the requirements of paragraphs (e) through (f) of this section and the owner or operator must obtain a statement from an independent, qualified registered professional engineer certifying that the drip pad design meets the requirements of this section.

(h) Dripage and accumulated precipitation must be removed from the associated collection system as necessary to prevent overflow onto the drip pad.

(i) The drip pad surface must be cleaned thoroughly at least once every seven days such that accumulated residues of hazardous waste or other materials are removed, using an appropriate and effective cleaning technique, including but not limited to, rinsing, washing with detergents or other appropriate solvents, or steam cleaning. The owner or operator must document the date and time of each cleaning and the cleaning procedure used in the facility's operating log.

(j) Drip pads must be operated and maintained in a manner to minimize tracking of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents off the drip pad as a result of activities by personnel or equipment.

(k) After being removed from the treatment vessel, treated wood from pressure and non-pressure processes must be held on the drip pad until dripage has ceased. The owner or operator must maintain records sufficient to document that all treated wood is held on the pad following treatment in accordance with this requirement.

(l) Collection and holding units associated with run-on and run-off control systems must be emptied or otherwise managed as soon as possible after storms to maintain design capacity of the system.

(m) Throughout the active life of the drip pad, if the owner or operator detects a condition that may have caused or has caused a release of hazardous waste, the condition must be repaired within a reasonably prompt period of time following discovery, in accordance with the following procedures:

(1) Upon detection of a condition that may have caused or has caused a release of hazardous waste (e.g., upon detection of leakage by the leak detection system), the owner or operator must:

(1) Enter a record of the discovery in the facility operating log;

(ii) Immediately remove the portion of the drip pad affected by the condition from service;

(iii) Determine what steps must be taken to repair the drip pad, remove any leakage from below the drip pad, and establish a schedule for accomplishing the clean up and repairs;

(iv) Within 24 hours after discovery of the condition, notify the Regional Administrator of the condition and, within 10 working days, provide a written notice to the Regional Administrator with a description of the steps that will be taken to repair the drip pad, and clean up any leakage, and the schedule for accomplishing this work.

(2) The Regional Administrator will review the information submitted, make a determination regarding whether the pad must be removed from service completely or partially until repairs and clean up are complete, and notify the owner or operator of the determination and the underlying rationale in writing.
(3) Upon completing all repairs and clean up, the owner or operator must notify the Regional Administrator in writing and provide a certification, signed by an independent qualified, registered professional engineer, that the repairs and clean up have been completed according to the written plan submitted in accordance with paragraph (m)(1)(iv) of this section.

(n) The owner or operator must maintain, as part of the facility operating log, documentation of past operating and waste handling practices. This must include identification of preservative formulations used in the past, a description of dripage management practices, and a description of treated wood storage and handling practices.


§ 265.444 Inspections.

(a) During construction or installation, liners and cover systems (e.g., membranes, sheets, or coatings) must be inspected for uniformity, damage, and imperfections (e.g., holes, cracks, thin spots, or foreign materials). Immediately after construction or installation, liners must be inspected and certified as meeting the requirements of §265.443 of this subpart by an independent qualified, registered professional engineer. The certification must be maintained at the facility as part of the facility operating record. After installation liners and covers must be inspected to ensure tight seams and joints and the absence of tears, punctures, or blisters.

(b) While a drip pad is in operation, it must be inspected weekly and after storms to detect evidence of any of the following:

(1) Deterioration, malfunctions or improper operation of run-on and run-off control systems;

(2) The presence of leakage in and proper functioning of leakage detection system.

(3) Deterioration or cracking of the drip pad surface.

Note: See §265.443(m) for remedial action required if deterioration or leakage is detected.

§ 265.445 Closure.

(a) At closure, the owner or operator must remove or decontaminate all waste residues, contaminated containment system components (pad, liners, etc.), contaminated subsoils, and structures and equipment contaminated with waste and leakage, and manage them as hazardous waste.

(b) If, after removing or decontaminating all residues and making all reasonable efforts to effect removal or decontamination of contaminated components, subsoils, structures, and equipment as required in paragraph (a) of this section, the owner or operator finds that not all contaminated subsoils can be practically removed or decontaminated, he must close the facility and perform post-closure care in accordance with closure and post-closure care requirements that apply to landfills (§265.310). For permitted units, the requirement to have a permit continues throughout the post-closure period.

(c)(1) The owner or operator of an existing drip pad, as defined in §265.440 of this subpart, that does not comply with the liner requirements of §265.443(b)(1) must:

(i) Include in the closure plan for the drip pad under §265.112 both a plan for complying with paragraph (a) of this section and a contingent plan for complying with paragraph (b) of this section in case not all contaminated subsoils can be practically removed at closure; and

(ii) Prepare a contingent post-closure plan under §265.118 of this part for complying with paragraph (b) of this section in case not all contaminated subsoils can be practically removed at closure.

(2) The cost estimates calculated under §§265.112 and 265.144 of this part for closure and post-closure care of a drip pad subject to this paragraph must include the cost of complying with the contingent closure plan and the contingent post-closure plan, but are not required to include the cost of expected closure under paragraph (a) of this section.

Subparts X-Z [Reserved]

Subpart AA -- Air Emission Standards for Process Vents

Source: 55 FR 25507, June 21, 1990, unless otherwise noted.
$ 265.1030 Application.

(a) The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities that treat, store, or dispose of hazardous wastes (except as provided in § 265.1).

(b) Except for §§ 265.1034(d) and (e), this subpart applies to process vents associated with distillation, fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction, or air or steam stripping operations that manage hazardous waste with organic concentrations of at least 10 ppmw, if these operations are conducted in:

(1) Units that are subject to the permitting requirements of part 270, or

(2) Hazardous waste recycling units that are located on hazardous waste management facilities otherwise subject to the permitting requirements of part 270.

[Note: The requirements of §§ 265.1032 through 265.1036 apply to process vents on hazardous waste recycling units previously exempt under paragraph 261.6(c)(1). Other exemptions under §§ 261.4, 262.34, and 265.1(c) are not affected by these requirements.]


§ 265.1031 Definitions.

As used in this subpart, all terms shall have the meaning given them in § 264.1031, the Act, and parts 260-266.

§ 265.1032 Standards: Process vents.

(a) The owner or operator of a facility with process vents associated with distillation, fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction or air or steam stripping operations managing hazardous wastes with organic concentrations at least 10 ppmw shall either:

(1) Reduce total organic emissions from all affected process vents at the facility below 1.4 kg/h (3 lb/h) and 2.8 Mg/yr (3.1 tons/yr), or

(2) Reduce, by use of a control device, total organic emissions from all affected process vents at the facility by 95 weight percent.

(b) If the owner or operator installs a closed-vent system and control device to comply with the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section, the closed-vent system and control device must meet the requirements of § 265.1033.

(c) Determinations of vent emissions and emission reductions or total organic compound concentrations achieved by add-on control devices may be based on engineering calculations or performance tests. If performance tests are used to determine vent emissions, emission reductions, or total organic compound concentrations achieved by add-on control devices, the performance tests must conform with the requirements of § 265.1034(c).

(d) When an owner or operator and the Regional Administrator do not agree on determinations of vent emissions and/or emission reductions or total organic compound concentrations achieved by add-on control devices based on engineering calculations, the test methods in § 265.1034(c) shall be used to resolve the disagreement.

$ 265.1033 Standards: Closed-vent systems and control devices.

(a)(1) Owners or operators of closed-vent systems and control devices used to comply with provisions of this part shall comply with the provisions of this section.

(2) The owner or operator of an existing facility who cannot install a closed-vent system and control device to comply with the provisions of this subpart on the effective date that the facility becomes subject to the provisions of this subpart must prepare an implementation schedule that includes dates by which the closed-vent system and control device will be installed and in operation. The controls must be installed as soon as possible, but the implementation schedule may allow up to 18 months after the effective date that the facility becomes subject to this subpart for installation and startup. All units that begin operation after December 21, 1990 must comply with the rules immediately (i.e., must have control devices installed and operating on startup of the affected unit); the 2-year implementation schedule does not apply to these units.
(b) A control device involving vapor recovery (e.g., a condenser or adsorber) shall be designed and operated to recover the organic vapors vented to it with an efficiency of 95 weight percent or greater unless the total organic emission limits of § 265.1032(a)(1) for all affected process vents can be attained at an efficiency less than 95 weight percent.

(c) An enclosed combustion device (e.g., a vapor incinerator, boiler, or process heater) shall be designed and operated to reduce the organic emissions vented to it by 95 weight percent or greater; to achieve a total organic compound concentration of 20 ppmv, expressed as the sum of the actual compounds, not carbon equivalents, on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen; or to provide a minimum residence time of 0.50 seconds at a minimum temperature of 760 °C. If a boiler or process heater is used as the control device, then the vent stream shall be introduced into the flame combustion zone of the boiler or process heater.

(d)(1) A flare shall be designed for and operated with no visible emissions as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (e)(1) of this section, except for periods not to exceed a total of 5 minutes during any 2 consecutive hours.

(2) A flare shall be operated with a flame present at all times, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (f)(2)(iii) of this section.

(3) A flare shall be used only if the net heating value of the gas being combusted is 11.2 MJ/scm (300 Btu/scf) or greater; if the flare is steam-assisted or air-assisted; or if the net heating value of the gas being combusted is 7.45 MJ/scm (200 Btu/scf) or greater if the flare is nonassisted. The net heating value of the gas being combusted shall be determined by the methods specified in paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(4)(i) A steam-assisted or nonassisted flare shall be designed for and operated with an exit velocity, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, of less than 18.3 m/s (60 ft/s), except as provided in paragraphs (d)(4)(ii) and (iii) of this section.

(12) A stream-assisted or nonassisted flare designed for and operated with an exit velocity, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, equal to or greater than 18.3 m/s (60 ft/s) but less than 122 m/s (400 ft/s) is allowed if the net heating value of the gas being combusted is greater than 73.3 MJ/scm (1,000 Btu/scf).

(11) A steam-assisted or nonassisted flare designed for and operated with an exit velocity, as determined by the methods specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, less than the value, V_{\text{max}}^\prime, as determined by the method specified in paragraph (e)(4) of this section, and less than 122 m/s (400 ft/s) is allowed.

(5) An air-assisted flare shall be designed and operated with an exit velocity less than the velocity, V_{\text{max}}^\prime, as determined by the method specified in paragraph (e)(5) of this section.

(6) A flare used to comply with this section shall be steam-assisted, air-assisted, or nonassisted.

(e)(1) Reference Method 22 in 40 CFR part 60 shall be used to determine the compliance of a flare with the visible emission provisions of this subpart. The observation period is 2 hours and shall be used according to Method 22.

(2) The net heating value of the gas being combusted in a flare shall be calculated using the following equation:

\[
E_{\text{net}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ X \times C_{i}B_{i} \right]
\]

where:

- \(E_{\text{net}}\) = Net heating value of the sample, MJ/scm; where the net enthalpy per mole of offgas is based on combustion at 25 °C and 760 mm Hg, but the standard temperature for determining the volume corresponding to 1 mol is 20 °C;
- \(X\) = Constant, 1.74X10^{-7} (1/ppm) (g mol/scm) (MJ/kcal) where standard temperature for (g mol/scm) is 20 °C;
- \(C_{i}\) = Concentration of sample component i in ppm on a wet basis, as measured for organics by Reference Method 18 in 40 CFR part 60 and measured for hydrogen and carbon monoxide by ASTM D 1946-82 (incorporated by reference as specified in § 260.11); and
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$R = \text{Net heat of combustion of sample component } i, \text{ kcal/g mol at } 25^\circ \text{C and 760 mm Hg. The heats of combustion may}
\text{be determined using ASTM D 2382-83 (incorporated by reference as specified in } 260.11 \text{) if published values are}
\text{not available or cannot be calculated.}

(3) The actual exit velocity of a flare shall be determined by dividing the volumetric flow rate (in units of standard temperature and pressure), as determined by Reference Methods 2, 2A, 2C, or 2D in 40 CFR part 60 as appropriate, by the unobstructed (free) cross-sectional area of the flare tip.

(4) The maximum allowed velocity in m/s, $V_{\text{max}}$, for a flare complying with paragraph (d)(4)(iii) of this section shall be determined by the following equation:

$$\log_{10}(V_{\text{max}}) = (R - 28.8)/31.7$$

where:

$R = \text{The net heating value as determined in paragraph (e)(2) of this section.}$

28.8 = \text{Constant},

31.7 = \text{Constant}.

(5) The maximum allowed velocity in m/s, $V_{\text{max}}$, for an air-assisted flare shall be determined by the following equation:

$$V_{\text{max}} = 8.706 + 0.7084 (R)$$

where:

8.706 = \text{Constant},

0.7084 = \text{Constant}.

$R = \text{The net heating value as determined in paragraph (e)(2) of this section.}$

(6) The owner or operator shall monitor and inspect each control device required to comply with this section to ensure proper operation and maintenance of the control device by implementing the following requirements:

(1) Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate according to the manufacturer's specifications a flow indicator that provides a record of vent stream flow from each affected process vent to the control device at least once every hour. The flow indicator sensor shall be installed in the vent stream at the nearest feasible point to the control device inlet, but before being combined with other vent streams.

(2) Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate according to the manufacturer's specifications a device to continuously monitor control device operation as specified below:

(4) For a thermal vapor incinerator, a temperature monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder. The device shall have an accuracy of ±1 percent of the temperature being monitored in °C or ±0.5 °C, whichever is greater. The temperature sensor shall be installed at a location in the combustion chamber downstream of the combustion zone.

(11) For a catalytic vapor incinerator, a temperature monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder. The device shall be capable of monitoring temperature at two locations and have an accuracy of ±1 percent of the temperature being monitored in °C or ±0.5 °C, whichever is greater. One temperature sensor shall be installed in the vent stream at the nearest feasible point to the catalyst bed inlet and a second temperature sensor shall be installed in the vent stream at the nearest feasible point to the catalyst bed outlet.

(iii) For a flare, a heat sensing monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder that indicates the continuous ignition of the pilot flame.

(iv) For a boiler or process heater having a design heat input capacity less than 44 MW, a temperature monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder. The device shall have an accuracy of ±1 percent of the temperature being monitored in °C or ±0.5 °C, whichever is greater. The temperature sensor shall be installed at a location in the furnace downstream of the combustion zone.
(v) For a boiler or process heater having a design heat input capacity greater than or equal to 44 MW, a monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure a parameter(s) that indicates good combustion operating practices are being used.

(vi) For a condenser, either:

(A) A monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure the concentration level of the organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the condenser; or

(B) A temperature monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder. The device shall be capable of monitoring temperature at two locations and have an accuracy of ±1 percent of the temperature being monitored in °C or ±0.5 °C, whichever is greater. One temperature sensor shall be installed at a location in the exhaust vent stream from the condenser, and a second temperature sensor shall be installed at a location in the coolant fluid exiting the condenser.

(vii) For a carbon adsorption system such as a fixed-bed carbon adsorber that regenerates the carbon bed directly in the control device, either:

(A) A monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure the concentration level of the organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the carbon bed, or

(B) A monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure a parameter that indicates the carbon bed is regenerated on a regular, predetermined time cycle.

3. Inspect the readings from each monitoring device required by paragraphs (f)(1) and (2) of this section at least once each operating day to check control device operation and, if necessary, immediately implement the corrective measures necessary to ensure the control device operates in compliance with the requirements of this section.

(g) An owner or operator using a carbon adsorption system such as a fixed-bed carbon adsorber that regenerates the carbon bed directly onsite in the control device, shall replace the existing carbon in the control device with fresh carbon at a regular, predetermined time interval that is no longer than the carbon service life established as a requirement of 265.1035(b)(4)(iii)(F).

(h) An owner or operator using a carbon adsorption system such as a carbon canister that does not regenerate the carbon bed directly onsite in the control device shall replace the existing carbon in the control device with fresh carbon on a regular basis by using one of the following procedures:

(1) Monitor the concentration level of the organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the carbon adsorption system on a regular schedule and replace the existing carbon with fresh carbon immediately when carbon breakthrough is indicated. The monitoring frequency shall be daily or at an interval no greater than 20 percent of the time required to consume the total carbon working capacity established as a requirement of 265.1035(b)(4)(iii)(G), whichever is longer.

(2) Replace the existing carbon with fresh carbon at a regular, predetermined time interval that is less than the design carbon replacement interval established as a requirement of 265.1035(b)(4)(iii)(G).

(1) An owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to comply with the provisions of this part by using a control device other than a thermal vapor incinerator, catalytic vapor incinerator, flare, boiler, process heater, condenser, or carbon adsorption system is required to develop documentation including sufficient information to describe the control device operation and identify the process parameter or parameters that indicate proper operation and maintenance of the control device.

(2) Closed-vent systems shall be designed for and operated with no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background and by visual inspections, as determined by the methods specified as 265.1034(b).

(2) Closed-vent systems shall be monitored to determine compliance with this section during the initial leak detection monitoring which shall be conducted by the date that the facility becomes subject to the provisions of this section, annually, and at other times as requested by the Regional Administrator.

(3) Detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading greater than 500 ppm and visual inspections, shall be controlled as soon as practicable, but not later than 15 calendar days after the emission is detected.

(4) A first attempt at repair shall be made no later than 5 calendar days after the emission is detected.
§ 265.1034 Test methods and procedures.

(a) Each owner or operator subject to the provisions of this subpart shall comply with the test methods and procedures requirements provided in this section.

(b) When a closed-vent system is tested for compliance with no detectable emissions, as required in §265.1033(j), the test shall comply with the following requirements:

(1) Monitoring shall comply with Reference Method 2 in 40 CFR part 60.

(2) The detection instrument shall meet the performance criteria of Reference Method 21.

(3) The instrument shall be calibrated before use on each day of its use by the procedures specified in Reference Method 21.

(4) Calibration gases shall be:

   (1) Zero air (less than 10 ppm of hydrocarbon in air).

   (11) A mixture of methane or n-hexane and air at a concentration of approximately, but less than, 10,000 ppm methane or n-hexane.

(5) The background level shall be determined as set forth in Reference Method 21.

(6) The instrument probe shall be traversed around all potential leak interfaces as close to the interface as possible as described in Reference Method 21.

(7) The arithmetic difference between the maximum concentration indicated by the instrument and the background level is compared with 500 ppm for determining compliance.

(c) Performance tests to determine compliance with §265.1032(a) and with the total organic compound concentration limit of §265.1033(c) shall comply with the following:

(1) Performance tests to determine total organic compound concentrations and mass flow rates entering and exiting control devices shall be conducted and data reduced in accordance with the following reference methods and calculation procedures:

   (i) Method 2 in 40 CFR part 60 for velocity and volumetric flow rate.

   (ii) Method 18 in 40 CFR part 60 for organic content.

   (iii) Each performance test shall consist of three separate runs; each run conducted for at least 1 hour under the conditions that exist when the hazardous waste management unit is operating at the highest load or capacity level reasonably expected to occur. For the purpose of determining total organic compound concentrations and mass flow rates, the average of results of all runs shall apply. The average shall be computed on a time-weighted basis.

   (iv) Total organic mass flow rates shall be determined by the following equation:

\[
E_h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \times \text{MW}_i \times [10^{-5}] \times 0.0416 \times Q_{ad}
\]

where:

\[ E_h \] = Total organic mass flow rate, kg/h;

\[ Q_{ad} \] = Volumetric flow rate of gases entering or exiting control device, as determined by Method 2, dscm/h;

\[ n \] = Number of organic compounds in the vent gas;

\[ C_i \] = Organic concentration in ppm, dry basis, of compound i in the vent gas, as determined by Method 18;
(v) The annual total organic emission rate shall be determined by the following equation:

\[ E_A = (E_h)(R) \]

where:

- \( E_A \): Total organic mass emission rate, kg/y;
- \( E_h \): Total organic mass flow rate for the process vent, kg/h;
- \( R \): Total annual hours of operations for the affected unit, h.

(vi) Total organic emissions from all affected process vents at the facility shall be determined by summing the hourly total organic mass emission rates \( (E_h) \) as determined in paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section and by summing the annual total organic mass emission rates \( (E_A) \) as determined in paragraph (c)(1)(v) of this section) for all affected process vents at the facility.

(2) The owner or operator shall record such process information as may be necessary to determine the conditions of the performance tests. Operations during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction shall not constitute representative conditions for the purpose of a performance test.

(3) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall provide, or cause to be provided, performance testing facilities as follows:

(i) Sampling ports adequate for the test methods specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(ii) Safe sampling platform(s).

(iii) Safe access to sampling platform(s).

(iv) Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.

(4) For the purpose of making compliance determinations, the time-weighted average of the results of the three runs shall apply. In the event that a sample is accidentally lost or conditions occur in which one of the three runs must be discontinued because of forced shutdown, failure of an irreplaceable portion of the sample train, extreme meteorological conditions, or other circumstances beyond the owner or operator's control, compliance may, upon the Regional Administrator's approval, be determined using the average of the results of the two other runs.

(d) To show that a process vent associated with a hazardous waste distillation, fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction, or air or steam stripping operation is not subject to the requirements of this subpart, the owner or operator must make an initial determination that the time-weighted, annual average total organic concentration of the waste managed by the waste management unit is less than 10 ppmw using one of the following two methods:

(1) Direct measurement of the organic concentration of the waste using the following procedures:

(i) The owner or operator must take a minimum of four grab samples of waste for each waste stream managed in the affected unit under process conditions expected to cause the maximum waste organic concentration.

(ii) For waste generated onsite, the grab samples must be collected at a point before the waste is exposed to the atmosphere such as in an enclosed pipe or other closed system that is used to transfer the waste after generation to the first affected distillation fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction, or air or steam stripping operation. For waste generated offsite, the grab samples must be collected at the inlet to the first waste management unit that receives the waste provided the waste has been transferred to the facility in a closed system such as a tank truck and the waste is not diluted or mixed with other waste.

(iii) Each sample shall be analyzed and the total organic concentration of the sample shall be computed using Method 9060 or 8240 of SW-846 (incorporated by reference under § 260.11).
(iv) The arithmetic mean of the results of the analyses of the four samples shall apply for each waste stream managed in the unit in determining the time-weighted, annual average total organic concentration of the waste. The time-weighted average is to be calculated using the annual quantity of each waste stream processed and the mean organic concentration of each waste stream managed in the unit.

(2) Using knowledge of the waste to determine that its total organic concentration is less than 10 ppmv. Documentation of the waste determination is required. Examples of documentation that shall be used to support a determination under this provision include production process information documenting that no organic compounds are used, information that the waste is generated by a process that is identical to a process at the same or another facility that has previously been demonstrated by direct measurement to generate a waste stream having a total organic content less than 10 ppmv, or prior speciation analysis results on the same waste stream where it can also be documented that no process changes have occurred since that analysis that could affect the waste total organic concentration.

(e) The determination that distillation fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction, or air or steam stripping operations manage hazardous wastes with time-weighted annual average total organic concentrations less than 10 ppmv shall be made as follows:

(1) By the effective date that the facility becomes subject to the provisions of this subpart or by the date when the waste is first managed in a waste management unit, whichever is later; and

(2) For continuously generated waste, annually; or

(3) Whenever there is a change in the waste being managed or a change in the process that generates or treats the waste.

(f) When an owner or operator and the Regional Administrator do not agree on whether a distillation, fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction, or air or steam stripping operation manages a hazardous waste with organic concentrations of at least 10 ppmv based on knowledge of the waste, the procedures in Method 8240 can be used to resolve the dispute.


$ 265.1035 Recordkeeping requirements.

[a](1) Each owner or operator subject to the provisions of this subpart shall comply with the recordkeeping requirements of this section.

(2) An owner or operator of more than one hazardous waste management unit subject to the provisions of this subpart may comply with the recordkeeping requirements for these hazardous waste management units in one recordkeeping system if the system identifies each record by each hazardous waste management unit.

(b) Owners and operators must record the following information in the facility operating record:

(1) For facilities that comply with the provisions of § 265.1033(a)(2), an implementation schedule that includes dates by which the closed-vent system and control device will be installed and in operation. The schedule must also include a rationale of why the installation cannot be completed at an earlier date. The implementation schedule must be in the facility operating record by the effective date that the facility becomes subject to the provisions of this subpart.

(2) Up-to-date documentation of compliance with the process vent standards in § 265.1032, including:

(1) Information and data identifying all affected process vents, annual throughput and operating hours of each affected unit, estimated emission rates for each affected vent and for the overall facility (i.e., the total emissions for all affected vents at the facility), and the approximate location within the facility of each affected unit (e.g., identify the hazardous waste management units on a facility plot plan); and

(11) Information and data supporting determinations of vent emissions and emission reductions achieved by add-on control devices based on engineering calculations or source tests. For the purpose of determining compliance, determinations of vent emissions and emission reductions must be made using operating parameter values (e.g., temperatures, flow rates or vent stream organic compounds and concentrations) that represent the conditions that result in maximum organic emissions, such as when the waste management unit is operating at the highest load or capacity level reasonably expected to occur. If the owner or operator takes any action (e.g., managing a waste of different composition or increasing operating hours of affected waste management units) that would result in an increase in total organic emissions from affected process vents at the facility, then a new determination is required.
(3) Where an owner or operator chooses to use test data to determine the organic removal efficiency or total organic compound concentration achieved by the control device, a performance test plan. The test plan must include:

(1) A description of how it is determined that the planned test is going to be conducted when the hazardous waste management unit is operating at the highest load or capacity level reasonably expected to occur. This shall include the estimated or design flow rate and organic content of each vent stream and define the acceptable operating ranges of key process and control device parameters during the test program.

(2) A detailed engineering description of the closed-vent system and control device including:

(A) Manufacturer's name and model number of control device.

(B) Type of control device.

(C) Dimensions of the control device.

(D) Capacity.

(E) Construction materials.

(3) A detailed description of sampling and monitoring procedures, including sampling and monitoring locations in the system, the equipment to be used, sampling and monitoring frequency, and planned analytical procedures for sample analysis.

(4) Documentation of compliance with § 265.1033 shall include the following information:

(i) A list of all information references and sources used in preparing the documentation.

(ii) Records, including the dates, of each compliance test required by § 265.1033(j).

(4) If engineering calculations are used, a design analysis, specifications, drawings, schematics, and piping and instrumentation diagrams based on the appropriate sections of "API Course 415: Control of Gaseous Emissions" (incorporated by reference as specified in § 260.11) or other engineering texts acceptable to the Regional Administrator that present basic control device design information. Documentation provided by the control device manufacturer or vendor that describes the control device design in accordance with paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) through (b)(4)(iii)(G) of this section may be used to comply with this requirement. The design analysis shall address the vent stream characteristics and control device operation parameters as specified below.

(A) For a thermal vapor incinerator, the design analysis shall consider the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, and flow rate. The design analysis shall also establish the design minimum and average temperature in the combustion zone and the combustion zone residence time.

(B) For a catalytic vapor incinerator, the design analysis shall consider the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, and flow rate. The design analysis shall also establish the design minimum and average temperatures across the catalyst bed inlet and outlet.

(C) For a boiler or process heater, the design analysis shall consider the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, and flow rate. The design analysis shall also establish the design minimum and average flame zone temperatures, combustion zone residence time, and description of method and location where the vent stream is introduced into the combustion zone.

(D) For a flare, the design analysis shall consider the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, and flow rate. The design analysis shall also consider the requirements specified in § 265.1033(d).

(E) For a condenser, the design analysis shall consider the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, flow rate, relative humidity, and temperature. The design analysis shall also establish the design outlet organic compound concentration level, design average temperature of the condenser exhaust vent stream, and design average temperatures of the coolant fluid at the condenser inlet and outlet.

(F) For a carbon adsorption system such as a fixed-bed adsorber that regenerates the carbon bed directly onsite in the control device, the design analysis shall consider the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, flow rate, relative humidity, and temperature. The design analysis shall also establish the design exhaust vent stream organic compound concentration level, number and capacity of carbon beds, type and working capacity of activated carbon used for carbon beds, design total steam flow over the
period of each complete carbon bed regeneration cycle, duration of the carbon bed steaming and cooling/drying cycles, design carbon bed temperature after regeneration, design carbon bed regeneration time, and design service life of carbon.

(v) For a carbon adsorption system such as a carbon canister that does not regenerate the carbon bed directly onsite in the control device, the design analysis shall consider the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, flow rate, relative humidity, and temperature. The design analysis shall also establish the design outlet organic concentration level, capacity of carbon bed, type and working capacity of activated carbon used for carbon bed, and design carbon replacement interval based on the total carbon working capacity of the control device and source operating schedule.

(iv) A statement signed and dated by the owner or operator certifying that the operating parameters used in the design analysis reasonably represent the conditions that exist when the hazardous waste management unit is or would be operating at the highest load or capacity level reasonably expected to occur.

(v) A statement signed and dated by the owner or operator certifying that the control device is designed to operate at an efficiency of 95 percent or greater unless the total organic concentration limit of § 265.1032(a) is achieved at an efficiency less than 95 weight percent or the total organic emission limits of § 265.1032(a) for affected process vents at the facility can be attained by a control device involving vapor recovery at an efficiency less than 95 weight percent. A statement provided by the control device manufacturer or vendor certifying that the control equipment meets the design specifications may be used to comply with this requirement.

(vi) If performance tests are used to demonstrate compliance, all test results.

(vii) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(viii) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(ix) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(x) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xi) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xii) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xiii) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xiv) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xv) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xvi) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xvii) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xviii) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xix) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(xx) A statement that the control device is designed to operate with the conditions established in paragraphs (b)(4)(iii)(A) and (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(2) Identification of operating parameter, description of monitoring device, and diagram of monitoring sensor location or locations used to comply with § 265.1033(f)(1) and (f)(2).

(3) Monitoring, operating and inspection information required by paragraphs (f) through (j) of § 265.1033.

(4) Date, time, and duration of each period that occurs while the control device is operating when any monitored parameter exceeds the value established in the control device design analysis as specified below:

(i) For a thermal vapor incinerator designed to operate with a minimum residence time of 0.50 seconds at a minimum temperature of 760 °C, period when the combustion temperature is below 760 °C.

(ii) For a thermal vapor incinerator designed to operate with an organic emission reduction efficiency of 95 percent or greater, period when the combustion zone temperature is more than 28 °C below the design average combustion zone temperature established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(A) of this section.

(iii) For a catalytic vapor incinerator, period when:

(A) Temperature of the vent stream at the catalyst bed inlet is more than 28 °C below the average temperature of the inlet vent stream established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section; or

(B) Temperature difference across the catalyst bed is less than 80 percent of the design average temperature difference established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(B) of this section.

(iv) For a boiler or process heater, period when:

(A) Flame zone temperature is more than 28 °C below the design average flame zone temperature established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(C) of this section; or

(B) Position changes where the vent stream is introduced to the combustion zone from the location established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(C) of this section.

(v) For a flare, period when the pilot flame is not ignited.
(vi) For a condenser that complies with § 265.1033(f)(2)(vi)(A), period when the organic compound concentration level or readings of organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the condenser are more than 20 percent greater than the design outlet organic compound concentration level established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(E) of this section.

(vii) For a condenser that complies with § 265.1033(f)(2)(vi)(B), period when:

(A) Temperature of the exhaust vent stream from the condenser is more than 6 °C above the design average exhaust vent stream temperature established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(E) of this section; or

(B) Temperature of the coolant fluid exiting the condenser is more than 6 °C above the design average coolant fluid temperature at the condenser outlet established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(E) of this section.

(viii) For a carbon adsorption system such as a fixed-bed carbon adsorber that regenerates the carbon bed directly on-site in the control device and complies with § 265.1033(f)(2)(viii)(A), period when the organic compound concentration level or readings of organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the carbon bed are more than 20 percent greater than the design exhaust vent stream organic compound concentration level established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(E) of this section.

(ix) For a carbon adsorption system such as a fixed-bed carbon adsorber that regenerates the carbon bed directly on-site in the control device and complies with § 265.1033(f)(2)(viii)(B), period when the vent stream continues to flow through the control device beyond the predetermined carbon bed regeneration time established as a requirement of paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(F) of this section.

(5) Explanation for each period recorded under paragraph (c)(4) of this section of the cause for control device operating parameter exceeding the design value and the measures implemented to correct the control device operation.

(6) For carbon adsorption systems operated subject to requirements specified in § 265.1033(g) or § 265.1033(h)(2), date when existing carbon in the control device is replaced with fresh carbon.

(7) For carbon adsorption systems operated subject to requirements specified in § 265.1033(h)(1), a log that records:

(1) Data and time when control device is monitored for carbon breakthrough and the monitoring device reading.

(11) Date when existing carbon in the control device is replaced with fresh carbon.

(8) Date of each control device startup and shutdown.

(d) Records of the monitoring, operating, and inspection information required by paragraphs (c)(3) through (c)(8) of this section need be kept only 3 years.

(e) For a control device other than a thermal vapor incinerator, catalytic vapor incinerator, flare, boiler, process heater, condenser, or carbon adsorption system, monitoring and inspection information indicating proper operation and maintenance of the control device must be recorded in the facility operating record.

(f) Up-to-date information and data used to determine whether or not a process vent is subject to the requirements in § 265.1032 including supporting documentation as required by § 265.1034(d)(2) when application of the knowledge of the nature of the hazardous waste stream or the process by which it was produced is used, shall be recorded in a log that is kept in the facility operating record.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2060-0195)


Subpart BB -- Air Emission Standards for Equipment Leaks

Source: 55 FR 25512, June 21, 1990, unless otherwise noted.

§ 265.1050 Applicability.
(a) The regulations in this subpart apply to owners and operators of facilities that treat, store, or dispose of hazardous wastes (except as provided in § 265.1).

(b) Except as provided in § 265.1064(j), this subpart applies to equipment that contains or contacts hazardous wastes with organic concentrations of at least 10 percent by weight that are managed in:

(1) Units that are subject to the permitting requirements of part 270, or

(2) Hazardous waste recycling units that are located on hazardous waste management facilities otherwise subject to the permitting requirements of part 270.

(c) Each piece of equipment to which this subpart applies shall be marked in such a manner that it can be distinguished readily from other pieces of equipment.

(d) Equipment that is in vacuum service is excluded from the requirements of § 265.1052 to § 265.1060 if it is identified as required in § 265.1064(g)(8).

[Note: The requirements of §§ 265.1052 through 265.1064 apply to equipment associated with hazardous waste recycling units previously exempt under paragraph 261.6(c)(1). Other exemptions under §§ 261.4, 262.34, and 265.1(c) are not affected by these requirements.]

§ 265.1051 Definitions.

As used in this subpart, all terms shall have the meaning given them in § 264.1031, the Act, and parts 260-266.

§ 265.1052 Standards: Pumps in light liquid service.

(a)(1) Each pump in light liquid service shall be monitored monthly to detect leaks by the methods specified in § 265.1063(b), except as provided in paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of this section.

(2) Each pump in light liquid service shall be checked by visual inspection each calendar week for indications of liquids dripping from the pump seal.

(b)(1) If an instrument reading of 10,000 ppm or greater is measured, a leak is detected.

(2) If there are indications of liquids dripping from the pump seal, a leak is detected.

(c)(1) When a leak is detected, it shall be repaired as soon as practicable, but not later than 15 calendar days after it is detected, except as provided in § 265.1059.

(2) A first attempt at repair (e.g., tightening the packing gland) shall be made no later than 5 calendar days after each leak is detected.

(d) Each pump equipped with a dual mechanical seal system that includes a barrier fluid system is exempt from the requirements of paragraph (a), provided the following requirements are met:

(1) Each dual mechanical seal system must be:

(i) Operated with the barrier fluid at a pressure that is at all times greater than the pump stuffing box pressure, or

(ii) Equipped with a barrier fluid degassing reservoir that is connected by a closed-vent system to a control device that complies with the requirements of § 265.1060, or

(iii) Equipped with a system that purges the barrier fluid into a hazardous waste stream with no detectable emissions to the atmosphere.

(2) The barrier fluid system must not be a hazardous waste with organic concentrations 10 percent or greater by weight.

(3) Each barrier fluid system must be equipped with a sensor that will detect failure of the seal system, the barrier fluid system, or both.

(4) Each pump must be checked by visual inspection, each calendar week, for indications of liquids dripping from the pump seals.
5(1) Each sensor as described in paragraph (d)(3) of this section must be checked daily or be
equipped with an audible alarm that must be checked monthly to ensure that it is functioning properly.

(11) The owner or operator must determine, based on design considerations and operating experience, a
criterion that indicates failure of the seal system, the barrier fluid system, or both.

(6)(1) If there are indications of liquid dripping from the pump seal or the sensor indicates failure
of the seal system, the barrier fluid system, or both based on the criterion determined in paragraph (d)(5)(ii)
of this section, a leak is detected.

(11) When a leak is detected, it shall be repaired as soon as practicable, but not later than 15
calendar days after it is detected, except as provided in § 265.1059.

(111) A first attempt at repair (e.g., relapping the seal) shall be made no later than 5 calendar days
after each leak is detected.

(e) Any pump that is designated, as described in § 265.1064(g)(2), for no detectable emissions, as
indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background, is exempt from the requirements of
paragraphs (a), (c), and (d) of this section if the pump meets the following requirements:

(1) Must have no externally actuated shaft penetrating the pump housing.

(2) Must operate with no detectable emissions as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500
ppm above background as measured by the methods specified in § 265.1063(c).

(3) Must be tested for compliance with paragraph (e)(2) of this section initially upon designation,
annually, and at other times as requested by the Regional Administrator.

(f) If any pump is equipped with a closed-vent system capable of capturing and transporting any
leakage from the seal or seals to a control device that complies with the requirements of § 265.1060, it is
exempt from the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section.


§ 265.1053 Standards: Compressors.

(a) Each compressor shall be equipped with a seal system that includes a barrier fluid system and that
prevents leakage of total organic emissions to the atmosphere, except as provided in paragraphs (h) and (i) of
this section.

(b) Each compressor seal system as required in paragraph (a) of this section shall be:

(1) Operated with the barrier fluid at a pressure that is at all times greater than the compressor
stuffing box pressure, or

(2) Equipped with a barrier fluid system that is connected by a closed-vent system to a control device
that complies with the requirements of § 265.1060, or

(3) Equipped with a system that purges the barrier fluid into a hazardous waste stream with no
detectable emissions to atmosphere.

(c) The barrier fluid must not be a hazardous waste with organic concentrations 10 percent or greater
by weight.

(d) Each barrier fluid system as described in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section shall be
equipped with a sensor that will detect failure of the seal system, barrier fluid system, or both.

(e)(1) Each sensor as required in paragraph (d) of this section shall be checked daily or shall be
equipped with an audible alarm that must be checked monthly to ensure that it is functioning properly unless
the compressor is located within the boundary of an unmanned plant site, in which case the sensor must be
checked daily.

(2) The owner or operator shall determine, based on design considerations and operating experience, a
criterion that indicates failure of the seal system, the barrier fluid system, or both.

(f) If the sensor indicates failure of the seal system, the barrier fluid system, or both based on the
criterion determined under paragraph (e)(2) of this section, a leak is detected.
(g)(1) When a leak is detected, it shall be repaired as soon as practicable, but not later than 15 calendar days after it is detected, except as provided in § 265.1059.

(2) A first attempt at repair (e.g., tightening the packing gland) shall be made no later than 5 calendar days after each leak is detected.

(h) A compressor is exempt from the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section if it is equipped with a closed-vent system capable of capturing and transporting any leakage from the seal to a control device that complies with the requirements of § 265.1060, except as provided in paragraph (i) of this section.

(1) Any compressor that is designated, as described in § 265.1064(g)(2), for no detectable emission as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background is exempt from the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (h) of this section if the compressor:

(1) Is determined to be operating with no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background, as measured by the method specified in § 265.1063(c).

(2) Is tested for compliance with paragraph (i)(1) of this section initially upon designation, annually, and at other times as requested by the Regional Administrator.

§ 265.1054 Standards: Pressure relief devices in gas/vapor service.

(a) Except during pressure releases, each pressure relief device in gas/vapor service shall be operated with no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background, as measured by the method specified in § 265.1063(c).

(b)(1) After each pressure release, the pressure relief device shall be returned to a condition of no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background, as soon as practicable, but no later than 5 calendar days after each pressure release, except as provided in § 265.1059.

(2) No later than 5 calendar days after the pressure release, the pressure relief device shall be monitored to confirm the condition of no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background, as measured by the method specified in § 265.1063(c).

(c) Any pressure relief device that is equipped with a closed-vent system capable of capturing and transporting leakage from the pressure relief device to a control device as described in § 265.1060 is exempt from the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

§ 265.1055 Standards: Sampling connecting systems.

(a) Each sampling connection system shall be equipped with a closed-purge system or closed-vent system.

(b) Each closed-purge system or closed-vent system as required in paragraph (a) shall:

1. Return the purged hazardous waste stream directly to the hazardous waste management process line with no detectable emissions to atmosphere, or

2. Collect and recycle the purged hazardous waste stream with no detectable emissions to atmosphere, or

3. Be designed and operated to capture and transport all the purged hazardous waste stream to a control device that complies with the requirements of § 265.1060.

(c) In situ sampling systems are exempt from the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

§ 265.1056 Standards: Open-ended valves or lines.

(a)(1) Each open-ended valve or line shall be equipped with a cap, blind flange, plug, or a second valve.

(2) The cap, blind flange, plug, or second valve shall seal the open end at all times except during operations requiring hazardous waste stream flow through the open-ended valve or line.

(b) Each open-ended valve or line equipped with a second valve shall be operated in a manner such that the valve on the hazardous waste stream end is closed before the second valve is closed.
(c) When a double block and bleed system is being used, the bleed valve or line may remain open during operations that require venting the line between the block valves but shall comply with paragraph (a) of this section at all other times.

§ 265.1057 Standards: Valves in gas/vapor service or in light liquid service.

(a) Each valve in gas/vapor or light liquid service shall be monitored monthly to detect leaks by the methods specified in § 265.1063(b) and shall comply with paragraphs (b) through (e) of this section, except as provided in paragraphs (f), (g), and (h) of this section! and §§ 265.1061 and 265.1062.

(b) If an instrument reading of 10,000 ppm or greater is measured, a leak is detected.

(c)(1) Any valve for which a leak is not detected for two successive months may be monitored the first month of every succeeding quarter, beginning with the next quarter, until a leak is detected.

(2) If a leak is detected, the valve shall be monitored monthly until a leak is not detected for 2 successive months.

(d)(1) When a leak is detected, it shall be repaired as soon as practicable, but no later than 15 calendar days after the leak is detected, except as provided in § 265.1059.

(2) A first attempt at repair shall be made no later than 5 calendar days after each leak is detected.

(e) First attempts at repair include, but are not limited to, the following best practices where practicable:

   (1) Tightening of bonnet bolts.
   (2) Replacement of bonnet bolts.
   (3) Tightening of packing gland nuts.
   (4) Injection of lubricant into lubricated packing.

(f) Any valve that is designated, as described in § 265.1064(g)(2), for no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background, is exempt from the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section if the valve:

   (1) Has no external actuating mechanism in contact with the hazardous waste stream.
   (2) Is operated with emissions less than 500 ppm above background as determined by the method specified in § 265.1063(c).
   (3) Is tested for compliance with paragraph (f)(2) of this section initially upon designation, annually, and at other times as requested by the Regional Administrator.

(g) Any valve that is designated, as described in § 265.1064(h)(1), as an unsafe-to-monitor valve is exempt from the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section if:

   (1) The owner or operator of the valve determines that the valve is unsafe to monitor because monitoring personnel would be exposed to an immediate danger as a consequence of complying with paragraph (a) of this section.
   (2) The owner or operator of the valve adheres to a written plan that requires monitoring of the valve as frequently as practicable during safe-to-monitor times.

(h) Any valve that is designated, as described in § 265.1064(h)(2), as a difficult-to-monitor valve is exempt from the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section if:

   (1) The owner or operator of the valve determines that the valve cannot be monitored without elevating the monitoring personnel more than 2 meters above a support surface.
   (2) The hazardous waste management unit within which the valve is located was in operation before June 21, 1990.
   (3) The owner or operator of the valve follows a written plan that requires monitoring of the valve at least once per calendar year.
§ 265.1058 Standards: Pumps and valves in heavy liquid service, pressure relief devices in light liquid or heavy liquid service, and flanges and other connectors.

(a) Pumps and valves in heavy liquid service, pressure relief devices in light liquid or heavy liquid service, and flanges and other connectors shall be monitored within 5 days by the method specified in §265.1063(b) if evidence of a potential leak is found by visual, audible, olfactory, or any other detection method.

(b) If an instrument reading of 10,000 ppm or greater is measured, a leak is detected.

(c)(1) When a leak is detected, it shall be repaired as soon as practicable, but not later than 15 calendar days after it is detected, except as provided in §265.1059.

(2) The first attempt at repair shall be made no later than 5 calendar days after each leak is detected.

(d) First attempts at repair include, but are not limited to, the best practices described under §265.1057(e).


(a) Delay of repair of equipment for which leaks have been detected will be allowed if the repair is technically infeasible without a hazardous waste management unit shutdown. In such a case, repair of this equipment shall occur before the end of the next hazardous waste management unit shutdown.

(b) Delay of repair of equipment for which leaks have been detected will be allowed for equipment that is isolated from the hazardous waste management unit and that does not continue to contain or contact hazardous waste with organic concentrations at least 10 percent by weight.

(c) Delay of repair for valves will be allowed if:

(1) The owner or operator determines that emissions of purged material resulting from immediate repair are greater than the emissions likely to result from delay of repair.

(2) When repair procedures are effected, the purged material is collected and destroyed or recovered in a control device complying with §265.1060.

(d) Delay of repair for pumps will be allowed if:

(1) Repair requires the use of a dual mechanical seal system that includes a barrier fluid system.

(2) Repair is completed as soon as practicable, but not later than 6 months after the leak was detected.

(e) Delay of repair beyond a hazardous waste management unit shutdown will be allowed for a valve if valve assembly replacement is necessary during the hazardous waste management unit shutdown, valve assembly supplies have been depleted, and valve assembly supplies had been sufficiently stocked before the supplies were depleted. Delay of repair beyond the next hazardous waste management unit shutdown will not be allowed unless the next hazardous waste management unit shutdown occurs sooner than 6 months after the first hazardous waste management unit shutdown.

§ 265.1060 Standards: Closed-vent systems and control devices.

Owners or operators of closed-vent systems and control devices shall comply with the provisions of §265.1033.

§ 265.1061 Alternative standards for valves in gas/vapor service or in light liquid service: percentage of valves allowed to leak.

(a) An owner or operator subject to the requirements of §265.1057 may elect to have all valves within a hazardous waste management unit comply with an alternative standard which allows no greater than 2 percent of the valves to leak.

(b) The following requirements shall be met if an owner or operator decides to comply with the alternative standard of allowing 2 percent of valves to leak:
(1) An owner or operator must notify the Regional Administrator that the owner or operator has elected to comply with the requirements of this section.

(2) A performance test as specified in paragraph (c) of this section shall be conducted initially upon designation, annually, and at other times requested by the Regional Administrator.

(3) If a valve leak is detected, it shall be repaired in accordance with §265.1057 (d) and (e).

(c) Performance tests shall be conducted in the following manner:

(1) All valves subject to the requirements in §265.1057 within the hazardous waste management unit shall be monitored within 1 week by the methods specified in §265.1063(b).

(2) If an instrument reading of 10,000 ppm or greater is measured, a leak is detected.

(3) The leak percentage shall be determined by dividing the number of valves subject to the requirements in §265.1057 for which leaks are detected by the total number of valves subject to the requirements in §265.1057 within the hazardous waste management unit.

(d) If an owner or operator decides no longer to comply with this section, the owner or operator must notify the Regional Administrator in writing that the work practice standard described in §265.1057 (a) through (e) will be followed.

§265.1062 Alternative standards for valves in gas/vapor service or in light liquid service: skip period leak detection and repair.

(a) (1) An owner or operator subject to the requirements of §265.1057 may elect for all valves within a hazardous waste management unit to comply with one of the alternative work practices specified in paragraphs (b) (2) and (3) of this section.

(2) An owner or operator must notify the Regional Administrator before implementing one of the alternative work practices.

(b) (1) An owner or operator shall comply with the requirements for valves, as described in §265.1057, except as described in paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) of this section.

(2) After two consecutive quarterly leak detection periods with the percentage of valves leaking equal to or less than 2 percent, an owner or operator may begin to skip one of the quarterly leak detection periods for the valves subject to the requirements in §265.1057.

(3) After five consecutive quarterly leak detection periods with the percentage of valves leaking equal to or less than 2 percent, an owner or operator may begin to skip three of the quarterly leak detection periods for the valves subject to the requirements in §265.1057.

(4) If the percentage of valves leaking is greater than 2 percent, the owner or operator shall monitor monthly in compliance with the requirements in §265.1057, but may again elect to use this section after meeting the requirements of §265.1057(c)(1).

§265.1063 Test methods and procedures.

(a) Each owner or operator subject to the provisions of this subpart shall comply with the test methods and procedures requirements provided in this section.

(b) Leak detection monitoring, as required in §§265.1052-265.1062, shall comply with the following requirements:

(1) Monitoring shall comply with Reference Method 21 in 40 CFR part 60.

(2) The detection instrument shall meet the performance criteria of Reference Method 21.

(3) The instrument shall be calibrated before use on each day of its use by the procedures specified in Reference Method 21.

(4) Calibration gases shall be:

(1) Zero air (less than 10 ppm of hydrocarbon in air).
(11) A mixture of methane or n-hexane and air at a concentration of approximately, but less than, 10,000 ppm methane or n-hexane.

(5) The instrument probe shall be traversed around all potential leak interfaces as close to the interface as possible as described in Reference Method 21.

(c) When equipment is tested for compliance with no detectable emissions, as required in §§ 265.1052(e), 265.1053(1), 265.1054, and 265.1057(f), the test shall comply with the following requirements:

(1) The requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section shall apply.

(2) The background level shall be determined, as set forth in Reference Method 21.

(3) The instrument probe shall be traversed around all potential leak interfaces as close to the interface as possible as described in Reference Method 21.

(4) The arithmetic difference between the maximum concentration indicated by the instrument and the background level is compared with 500 ppm for determining compliance.

(d) In accordance with the waste analysis plan required by § 265.13(b), an owner or operator of a facility must determine, for each piece of equipment, whether the equipment contains or contacts a hazardous waste with organic concentration that equals or exceeds 10 percent by weight using the following:

(1) Methods described in ASTM Methods D 2267-88, E 169-87, E 168-88, E 260-85 (incorporated by reference under § 260.11);

(2) Method 9060 or 8240 of SW-846 (incorporated by reference under § 260.11); or

(3) Application of the knowledge of the nature of the hazardous waste stream or the process by which it was produced. Documentation of a waste determination by knowledge is required. Examples of documentation that shall be used to support a determination under this provision include production process information documenting that no organic compounds are used, information that the waste is generated by a process that is identical to a process at the same or another facility that has previously been demonstrated by direct measurement to have a total organic content less than 10 percent, or prior speciation analysis results on the same waste stream where it can also be documented that no process changes have occurred since that analysis that could affect the waste total organic concentration.

(e) If an owner or operator determines that a piece of equipment contains or contacts a hazardous waste with organic concentrations at least 10 percent by weight, the determination can be revised only after following the procedures in paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this section.

(f) When an owner or operator and the Regional Administrator do not agree on whether a piece of equipment contains or contacts a hazardous waste with organic concentrations at least 10 percent by weight, the procedures in paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this section can be used to resolve the dispute.

(g) Samples used in determining the percent organic content shall be representative of the highest total organic content hazardous waste that is expected to be contained in or contact the equipment.

(h) To determine if pumps or valves are in light liquid service, the vapor pressures of constituents may be obtained from standard reference texts or may be determined by ASTM D-2879-86 (incorporated by reference under § 260.11).

(1) Performance tests to determine if a control device achieves 95 weight percent organic emission reduction shall comply with the procedures of § 265.1034 (c)(1) through (c)(4).

§ 265.1064 Recordkeeping requirements.

(a)(1) Each owner or operator subject to the provisions of this subpart shall comply with the recordkeeping requirements of this section.

(2) An owner or operator of more than one hazardous waste management unit subject to the provisions of this subpart may comply with the recordkeeping requirements for these hazardous waste management units in one recordkeeping system if the system identifies each record by each hazardous waste management unit.

(b) Owners and operators must record the following information in the facility operating record:

(1) For each piece of equipment to which subpart BB of part 265 applies:
(1) Equipment identification number and hazardous waste management unit identification.

(ii) Approximate locations within the facility (e.g., identify the hazardous waste management unit on a facility plot plan).

(iii) Type of equipment (e.g., a pump or pipeline valve).

(iv) Percent-by-weight total organics in the hazardous waste stream at the equipment.

(v) Hazardous waste state at the equipment (e.g., gas/vapor or liquid).

(vi) Method of compliance with the standard (e.g., "monthly leak detection and repair" or "equipped with dual mechanical seals").

(2) For facilities that comply with the provisions of § 265.1033(a)(2), an implementation schedule as specified in § 265.1033(a)(2).

(3) Where an owner or operator chooses to use test data to demonstrate the organic removal efficiency or total organic compound concentration achieved by the control device, a performance test plan as specified in § 265.1035(b)(3).

(4) Documentation of compliance with § 265.1060, including the detailed design documentation or performance test results specified in § 265.1035(b)(4).

(c) When each leak is detected as specified in §§ 265.1052, 265.1053, 265.1057, and 265.1058, the following requirements apply:

(1) A weatherproof and readily visible identification, marked with the equipment identification number, the date evidence of a potential leak was found in accordance with § 265.1058(a), and the date the leak was detected, shall be attached to the leaking equipment.

(2) The identification on equipment, except on a valve, may be removed after it has been repaired.

(3) The identification on a valve may be removed after it has been monitored for 2 successive months as specified in § 265.1057(c) and no leak has been detected during those 2 months.

(d) When each leak is detected as specified in §§ 265.1052, 265.1053, 265.1057, and 265.1058, the following information shall be recorded in an inspection log and shall be kept in the facility operating record:

(1) The instrument and operator identification numbers and the equipment identification number.

(2) The date evidence of a potential leak was found in accordance with § 265.1058(a).

(3) The date the leak was detected and the dates of each attempt to repair the leak.

(4) Repair methods applied in each attempt to repair the leak.

(5) "Above 10,000" if the maximum instrument reading measured by the methods specified in § 265.1063(b) after each repair attempt is equal to or greater than 10,000 ppm.

(6) "Repair delayed" and the reason for the delay if a leak is not repaired within 15 calendar days after discovery of the leak.

(7) Documentation supporting the delay of repair of a valve in compliance with § 265.1059(c).

(8) The signature of the owner or operator (or designate) whose decision it was that repair could not be effected without a hazardous waste management unit shutdown.

(9) The expected date of successful repair of the leak if a leak is not repaired within 15 calendar days.

(10) The date of successful repair of the leak.

(e) Design documentation and monitoring, operating, and inspection information for each closed-vent system and control device required to comply with the provisions of § 265.1060 shall be recorded and kept...
up-to-date in the facility operating record as specified in § 265.1035(c). Design documentation is specified in § 265.1035 (c)(1) and (c)(2) and monitoring, operating, and inspection information in § 265.1035 (c)(3)-(c)(8).

(f) For a control device other than a thermal vapor incinerator, catalytic vapor incinerator, flare, boiler, process heater, condenser, or carbon adsorption system, monitoring and inspection information indicating proper operation and maintenance of the control device must be recorded in the facility operating record.

(g) The following information pertaining to all equipment subject to the requirements in §§ 265.1052 through 265.1060 shall be recorded in a log that is kept in the facility operating record:

(1) A list of identification numbers for equipment (except welded fittings) subject to the requirements of this subpart.

(2)(i) A list of identification numbers for equipment that the owner or operator elects to designate for no detectable emissions, as indicated by an instrument reading of less than 500 ppm above background, under the provisions of §§ 265.1052(e), 265.1053(i), and 265.1057(f).

(ii) The designation of this equipment as subject to the requirements of §§ 265.1052(e), 265.1053(i), or 265.1057(f) shall be signed by the owner or operator.

(3) A list of equipment identification numbers for pressure relief devices required to comply with § 265.1054(a).

(4)(i) The dates of each compliance test required in §§ 265.1052(e), 265.1053(i), 265.1054, and 265.1057(f).

(ii) The background level measured during each compliance test.

(iii) The maximum instrument reading measured at the equipment during each compliance test.

(5) A list of identification numbers for equipment in vacuum service.

(h) The following information pertaining to all valves subject to the requirements of § 265.1057 (g) and (h) shall be recorded in a log that is kept in the facility operating record:

(1) A list of identification numbers for valves that are designated as unsafe to monitor, an explanation for each valve stating why the valve is unsafe to monitor, and the plan for monitoring each valve.

(2) A list of identification numbers for valves that are designated as difficult to monitor, an explanation for each valve stating why the valve is difficult to monitor, and the planned schedule for monitoring each valve.

(1) The following information shall be recorded in the facility operating record for valves complying with § 265.1062:

(1) A schedule of monitoring.

(2) The percent of valves found leaking during each monitoring period.

(3) The following information shall be recorded in a log that is kept in the facility operating record:

(1) Criteria required in §§ 265.1052(d)(5)(i) and 265.1053(e)(2) and an explanation of the criteria.

(2) Any changes to these criteria and the reasons for the changes.

(k) The following information shall be recorded in a log that is kept in the facility operating record for use in determining exemptions as provided in the applicability section of this subpart and other specific subparts:

(1) An analysis determining the design capacity of the hazardous waste management unit.

(2) A statement listing the hazardous waste influent to and effluent from each hazardous waste management unit subject to the requirements in §§ 265.1052 through 265.1060 and an analysis determining whether these hazardous wastes are heavy liquids.
Appendices to Part 265

Appendix I -- Recordkeeping Instructions

The recordkeeping provisions of §265.73 specify that an owner or operator must keep a written operating record at his facility. This appendix provides additional instructions for keeping portions of the operating record. See §265.73(b) for additional recordkeeping requirements.

The following information must be recorded, as it becomes available, and maintained in the operating record until closure of the facility in the following manner:

Records of each hazardous waste received, treated, stored, or disposed of at the facility which include the following:

1. A description by its common name and the EPA Hazardous Waste Number(s) from part 261 of this chapter which apply to the waste. The waste description also must include the waste's physical form, i.e., liquid, sludge, solid, or contained gas. If the waste is not listed in part 261, subpart D, of this chapter, the description also must include the process that produced it (for example, solid filter cake from production of --- --- ---, EPA Hazardous waste Number W051).

Each hazardous waste listed in part 261, subpart D, of this chapter, and each hazardous waste characteristic defined in part 261, subpart C, of this chapter, has a four-digit EPA Hazardous Waste Number assigned to it. This number must be used for recordkeeping and reporting purposes. Where a hazardous waste contains more than one listed hazardous waste, or where more than one hazardous waste characteristic applies to the waste, the waste description must include all applicable EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers.

2. The estimated or manifest-reported weight, or volume and density, where applicable, in one of the units of measure specified in Table 1; and

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short tons (2000 lbs)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallons (U.S.)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>P/G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOOTNOTE: *Single digit symbols are used here for data processing purposes.

(3) The method(s) (by handling code(s) as specified in Table 2) and data(s) of treatment, storage, or disposal.

Table 2 -- Handling Codes for Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Methods

Enter the handling code(s) listed below that most closely represents the technique(s) used at the facility to treat, store, or dispose of each quantity of hazardous waste received.

1. Storage

S01 Container (barrel, drum, etc.)
S02 Tank
S03 Waste pile
S04 Surface impoundment
S05 Other (specify)

2. Treatment

(a) Thermal Treatment

T06 Liquid injection incinerator
T07 Rotary kiln incinerator
T08 Fluidized bed incinerator
T09 Multiple hearth incinerator
T10 Infrared furnace incinerator
T11 Molten salt destructor
T12 Pyrolysis
T13 Wet air oxidation
T14 Calcination
T15 Microwave discharge
T16 Cement kiln
T17 Lime kiln
T18 Other (specify)

(b) Chemical Treatment

T19 Absorption mound
T20 Absorption field
T21 Chemical fixation
T22 Chemical oxidation
T23 Chemical precipitation
T24 Chemical reduction
T25 Chlorination
T26 Chlorination
T27 Cyanide destruction
T28 Degradation
T29 Detoxification
T30 Ion exchange
T31 Neutralization
T32 Ozonation
T33 Photolysis
T34 Other (specify)
(c) Physical Treatment:

(1) Separation of components

T35 Centrifugation
T36 Clarification
T37 Coagulation
T38 Decanting
T39 Encapsulation
T40 Filtration
T41 Flocculation
T42 Flotation
T43 Foaming
T44 Sedimentation
T45 Thickening
T46 Ultrafiltration
T47 Other (specify)

(2) Removal of Specific Components

T48 Absorption-molecular sieve
T49 Activated carbon
T50 Blending
T51 Catalysis
T52 Crystallization
T53 Dialysis
T54 Distillation
T55 Electrodialysis
T56 Electrolysis
T57 Evaporation
T58 High gradient magnetic separation
T59 Leaching
T60 Liquid ion exchange
T61 Liquid-liquid extraction
T62 Reverse osmosis
T63 Solvent recovery
T64 Stripping
T65 Sand filter
T66 Other (specify)

(d) Biological Treatment

T67 Activated sludge
T68 Aerobic lagoon
T69 Aerobic tank
T70 Anaerobic lagoon
T71 Composting
T72 Septic tank
T73 Spray irrigation
T74 Thickening filter
T75 Trickling filter
T76 Waste stabilization pond
T77 Other (specify)
T78-79 [Reserved]

3. Disposal

D80 Underground injection
D81 Landfill
D82 Land treatment
D83 Ocean disposal
D84 Surface impoundment (to be closed as a landfill)
D85 Other (specify)

Appendix II -- [Reserved]

Appendix III -- EPA Interim Primary Drinking Water Standards
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Maximum level (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>1.4–2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (as N)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindane</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxychlor</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxaphene</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,5-TP Silver</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radium</td>
<td>5 pCi/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Alpha</td>
<td>15 pCi/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Beta</td>
<td>4 millirem/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>1/TU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform Bacteria</td>
<td>1/100 ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: [Comment: Turbidity is applicable only to surface water supplies.]

Appendix IV -- Tests for Significance

As required in § 265.91(b) the owner or operator must use the Student's t-test to determine statistically significant changes in the concentration or value of an indicator parameter in periodic ground-water samples when compared to the initial background concentration or value of that indicator parameter. The comparison must consider individually each of the wells in the monitoring system. For three of the indicator parameters (specific conductance, total organic carbon, and total organic halogen) a single-tailed Student's t-test must be used to test at the 0.01 level of significance for significant increases over background. The difference test for pH must be a two-tailed Student's t-test at the overall 0.01 level of significance.

The student's t-test involves calculation of the value of a t-statistic for each comparison of the mean (average) concentration or value (based on a minimum of four replicate measurements) of an indicator parameter with its initial background concentration or value. The calculated value of the t-statistic must then be compared to the value of the t-statistic found in a table for t-test of significance at the specified level.
of significance. A calculated value of \( t \) which exceeds the value of \( t \) found in the table indicates a statistically significant change in the concentration or value of the indicator parameter.

Formulae for calculation of the \( t \)-statistic and tables for \( t \)-test of significance can be found in most introductory statistics texts.

Appendix V -- Examples of Potentially Incompatible Waste

Many hazardous wastes, when mixed with other waste or materials at a hazardous waste facility, can produce effects which are harmful to human health and the environment, such as (1) heat or pressure, (2) fire or explosion, (3) violent reaction, (4) toxic dusts, mists, fumes, or gases, or (5) flammable fumes or gases.

Below are examples of potentially incompatible wastes, waste components, and materials, along with the harmful consequences which result from mixing materials in one group with materials in another group. The list is intended as a guide to owners or operators of treatment, storage, and disposal facilities, and to enforcement and permit granting officials, to indicate the need for special precautions when managing these potentially incompatible waste materials or components.

This list is not intended to be exhaustive. An owner or operator must, as the regulations require, adequately analyze his wastes so that he can avoid creating uncontrolled substances or reactions of the type listed below, whether they are listed below or not.

It is possible for potentially incompatible wastes to be mixed in a way that precludes a reaction (e.g., adding acid to water rather than water to acid) or that neutralizes them (e.g., a strong acid mixed with a strong base), or that controls substances produced (e.g., by generating flammable gases in a closed tank equipped so that ignition cannot occur, and burning the gases in an incinerator).

In the lists below, the mixing of a Group A material with a Group B material may have the potential consequence as noted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1-A</th>
<th>Group 1-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene sludge</td>
<td>Acid sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline caustic liquids</td>
<td>Acid and water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline cleaner</td>
<td>Battery acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline corrosive liquids</td>
<td>Chemical cleaners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline corrosive battery fluid</td>
<td>Electrolytes, acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caustic wastewater</td>
<td>Etching acid liquid or solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime sludge and other corrosive alkalies</td>
<td>Pickling liquor and other corrosive acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime wastewater</td>
<td>Spent acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime and water</td>
<td>Spent mixed acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent caustic</td>
<td>Spent sulfuric acid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential consequences: Heat generation; violent reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 2-A</th>
<th>Group 2-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>Any waste in Group 1-A or 1-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc powder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other reactive metals and metal hydrides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential consequences: Fire or explosion; generation of flammable hydrogen gas.

Group 3-A  Group 3-B
Alcohols  Water  Any concentrated waste in Groups 1-A or 1-B
          Calcium
          Lithium
          Metal hydrides
          Potassium
          \text{SO}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{SOCl}_2, \text{PCl}_3, \text{CH}_3\text{SiCl}_3
          Other water-reactive waste

Potential consequences: Fire, explosion, or heat generation; generation of flammable or toxic gases.

Group 4-A  Group 4-B
Alcohols  Aldehydes  Concentrated Group 1-A or 1-B wastes
          Aldihydes
          Halogenated hydrocarbons
          Nitrated hydrocarbons
          Unsaturated hydrocarbons
          Other reactive organic compounds and solvents

Potential consequences: Fire, explosion, or violent reaction.

Group 5-A  Group 5-B
Spent cyanide and sulfide solutions  Group 1-B wastes

Potential consequences: Generation of toxic hydrogen cyanide or hydrogen sulfide gas.

Group 6-A  Group 6-B
Chlorates  Chlorine  Acetic acid and other organic acids
          Chlorites  Concentrated mineral acids
          Chromic acid  Group 2-A wastes
          Hypochlorites  Group 4-A wastes
          Nitrates  Other flammable and combustible wastes
          Nitric acid, fuming
Perchlorates
Permanganates
Peroxides
Other strong oxidizers

Potential consequences: Fire, explosion, or violent reaction.

PART 266 -- STANDARDS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF SPECIFIC HAZARDOUS WASTES AND SPECIFIC TYPES OF HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES

Subparts A - B [Reserved]

Subpart C -- Recyclable Materials Used in a Manner Constituting Disposal

Sec.

266.20 Applicability.

266.21 Standards applicable to generators and transporters of materials used in a manner that constitute disposal.

266.22 Standards applicable to storers of materials that are to be used in a manner that constitutes disposal who are not the ultimate users.

266.23 Standards applicable to users of materials that are used in a manner that constitutes disposal.

Subpart D -- Hazardous Waste Burned for Energy Recovery

[Reserved]

Subpart E -- Used Oil Burned for Energy Recovery

266.40 Applicability.

266.41 Prohibitions.

266.42 Standards applicable to generators of used oil burned for energy recovery.

266.43 Standards applicable to marketers of used oil burned for energy recovery.

266.44 Standards applicable to burners of used oil burned for energy recovery.

Subpart F -- Recyclable Materials Utilized for Precious Metal Recovery

266.70 Applicability and requirements.

Subpart G -- Spent Lead-Acid Batteries Being Reclaimed

266.80 Applicability and requirements.

Subpart H -- Hazardous Waste Burned in Boilers and Industrial Furnaces [effective August 21, 1991]

266.100 Applicability.

266.101 Management prior to burning.

266.102 Permit standards for burners.

266.103 Interim status standards for burners.

266.104 Standards to control organic emissions.

266.105 Standards to control particulate matter.

266.106 Standards to control metals emissions.

266.107 Standards to control hydrogen chloride (HCl) and chlorine gas (Cl₂) emissions.
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266.108 Small quantity on-site burner exemption.
266.109 Low risk waste exemption.
266.110 Waiver of DRE trial burn for boilers.
266.111 Standards for direct transfer.
266.112 Regulation of residues.

Appendix I - Tier I and Tier II Feed Rate and Emissions Screening Limits for Metals
Appendix II - Tier I Feed Rate Screening Limits for Total Chlorine and Chloride
Appendix III - Tier II Emission Rate Screening Limits for Free Chlorine and Hydrogen Chloride
Appendix IV - Reference Air Concentrations
Appendix V - Risk Specific Doses (10^-5)
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Appendix VII - Health-Based Limits for Exclusion of Waste-Derived Residues
Appendix VIII - Potential PICs for Determination of Exclusion of Waste-Derived Residues
Appendix IX - Methods Manual for Compliance With the BIF Regulations
Appendix X - Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised)


Source: 50 FR 666, Jan. 4, 1985, unless otherwise noted.

Subparts A -- B [Reserved]

Subpart C -- Recyclable Materials Used in a Manner Constituting Disposal

§ 266.20 Applicability.

(a) The regulations of this subpart apply to recyclable materials that are applied to or placed on the land:

(1) Without mixing with any other substance(s); or

(2) After mixing or combination with any other substance(s). These materials will be referred to throughout this subpart as "materials used in a manner that constitutes disposal."

(b) Products produced for the general public's use that are used in a manner that constitutes disposal and that contain recyclable materials are not presently subject to regulation if the recyclable materials have undergone a chemical reaction in the course of producing the products so as to become inseparable by physical means and if such products meet the applicable treatment standards in subpart D of part 268 (or applicable prohibition levels in §268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d), where no treatment standards have been established) for each recyclable material (i.e., hazardous waste) that they contain. Commercial fertilizers that are produced for the general public's use that contain recyclable materials also are not presently subject to regulation provided they meet these same treatment standards or prohibition levels for each recyclable material that they contain. However, zinc-containing fertilizers using hazardous waste K061 that are produced for the general public's use are not presently subject to regulation.

[50 FR 666, Jan. 4, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 21307, June 5, 1987; 54 FR 36970, Sept. 6, 1989]

§ 266.21 Standards applicable to generators and transporters of materials used in a manner that constitute disposal.
Generators and transporters of materials that are used in a manner that constitutes disposal are subject to the applicable requirements of parts 262 and 263 of this chapter, and the notification requirement under section 3010 of RCRA.

§ 266.22 Standards applicable to storers of materials that are to be used in a manner that constitutes disposal who are not the ultimate users.

Owners or operators of facilities that store recyclable materials that are to be used in a manner that constitutes disposal, but who are not the ultimate users of the materials, are regulated under all applicable provisions of subparts A through L of parts 264 and 265 and parts 270 and 124 of this chapter and the notification requirement under section 3010 of RCRA.

§ 266.23 Standards applicable to users of materials that are used in a manner that constitutes disposal.

(a) Owners or operators of facilities that use recyclable materials in a manner that constitutes disposal are regulated under all applicable provisions of subparts A through N of parts 264 and 265 and parts 270 and 124 of this chapter and the notification requirement under section 3010 of RCRA. (These requirements do not apply to products which contain these recyclable materials under the provisions of § 266.20(b) of this chapter.)

(b) The use of waste or used oil or other material, which is contaminated with dioxin or any other hazardous waste (other than a waste identified solely on the basis of ignitability), for dust suppression or road treatment is prohibited.

[50 FR 666, Jan. 4, 1985, as amended at 50 FR 28750, July 15, 1985]

Subpart E -- Used Oil Burned for Energy Recovery

Source: 50 FR 49205, Nov. 29, 1985, unless otherwise noted.

§ 266.40 Applicability.

(a) The regulations of this subpart apply to used oil that is burned for energy recovery in any boiler or industrial furnace that is not regulated under subpart C of part 264 or 265 of this chapter, except as provided by paragraphs (c) and (e) of this section. Such used oil is termed "used oil fuel". Used oil fuel includes any fuel produced from used oil by processing, blending, or other treatment.

(b) "Used oil" means any oil that has been refined from crude oil, used, and, as a result of such use, is contaminated by physical or chemical impurities.

(c) Except as provided by paragraph (d) of this section, used oil that is mixed with hazardous waste and burned for energy recovery is subject to regulation as hazardous waste fuel under subpart H of part 266. Used oil containing more than 1000 ppm of total halogens is presumed to be a hazardous waste because it has been mixed with halogenated hazardous waste listed in subpart D of part 261 of this chapter. Persons may rebut this presumption by demonstrating that the used oil does not contain hazardous waste (for example, by showing that the used oil does not contain significant concentrations of halogenated hazardous constituents listed in Appendix VIII of part 261 of this chapter).

(d) Used oil burned for energy recovery is subject to regulation under this subpart rather than as hazardous waste fuel under subpart H of this part if it is a hazardous waste solely because it:

(1) Exhibits a characteristic of hazardous waste identified in subpart C of part 261 of this chapter, provided that it is not mixed with a hazardous waste; or

(2) Contains hazardous waste generated only by a person subject to the special requirements for small quantity generators under § 261.5 of this chapter.

(e) Except as provided by paragraph (c) of this section, used oil burned for energy recovery, and any fuel produced from used oil by processing, blending, or other treatment, is subject to regulation under this subpart unless it is shown not to exceed any of the allowable levels of the constituents and properties in the specification shown in the following table. Used oil fuel that meets the specification is subject only to the analysis and recordkeeping requirements under § 266.43(b) (1) and (6). Used oil fuel that exceeds any specification level is termed "off-specification used oil fuel".

Used Oil Exceeding any Specification Level is Subject to This Subpart When Burned for Energy Recovery
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
Part 266, Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X
Page: 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent/property</th>
<th>Allowable level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>5 ppm maximum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>2 ppm maximum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>10 ppm maximum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>100 ppm maximum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash Point</td>
<td>100 °F minimum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Halogens</td>
<td>4,000 ppm maximum.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: The specification does not apply to used oil fuel mixed with a hazardous waste other than small quantity generator hazardous waste.

FOOTNOTE: Used oil containing more than 1,000 ppm total halogens is presumed to be a hazardous waste under the rebuttable presumption provided under § 266.40(c). Such used oil is subject to subpart D of this part rather than this subpart when burned for energy recovery unless the presumption of mixing can be successfully rebutted.

[50 FR 49205, Nov. 29, 1985, as amended at 56 FR 32692, July 17, 1991]

§ 266.41 Prohibitions.

(a) A person may market off-specification used oil for energy recovery only:

(1) To burners or other marketers who have notified EPA of their used oil management activities stating the location and general description of such activities, and who have an EPA identification number; and

(2) To burners who burn the used oil in an industrial furnace or boiler identified in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) Off-specification used oil may be burned for energy recovery in only the following devices:

(1) Industrial furnaces identified in § 260.10 of this chapter; or

(2) Boilers, as defined in § 260.10 of this chapter, that are identified as follows:

(i) Industrial boilers located on the site of a facility engaged in a manufacturing process where substances are transformed into new products, including the component parts of products, by mechanical or chemical processes;

(ii) Utility boilers used to produce electric power, steam, or heated or cooled air or other gases or fluids for sale; or

(iii) Used oil-fired space heaters provided that:

(1) The heater burns only used oil that the owner or operator generates or used oil received from do-it-yourself oil changers who generate used oil as household waste;

(2) The heater is designed to have a maximum capacity of not more than 0.5 million Btu per hour; and

(3) The combustion gases from the heater are vented to the ambient air.

§ 266.42 Standards applicable to generators of used oil burned for energy recovery.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, generators of used oil are not subject to this subpart.
(b) Generators who market used oil directly to a burner are subject to § 266.43.

(c) Generators who burn used oil are subject to § 266.44.

§ 266.43 Standards applicable to marketers of used oil burned for energy recovery.

(a) Persons who market used oil fuel are termed "marketers". Except as provided below, marketers include generators who market used oil fuel directly to a burner, persons who receive used oil from generators and produce, process, or blend used oil fuel from these used oils (including persons sending blended or processed used oil to brokers or other intermediaries), and persons who distribute but do not process or blend used oil fuel. The following persons are not marketers subject to this subpart:

(1) Used oil generators, and collectors who transport used oil received only from generators, unless the generator or collector markets the used oil directly to a person who burns it for energy recovery. However, persons who burn some used oil fuel for purposes of processing or other treatment to produce used oil fuel for marketing are considered to be burning incidentally to processing. Thus, generators and collectors who market to such incidental burners are not marketers subject to this subpart;

(2) Persons who market only used oil fuel that meets the specification under § 266.40(e) and who are not the first person to claim the oil meets the specification (i.e., marketers who do not receive used oil from generators or initial transporters and marketers who neither receive nor market off-specification used oil fuel).

(b) Marketers are subject to the following requirements:

(1) Analysis of used oil fuel. Used oil fuel is subject to regulation under this subpart unless the marketer obtains analyses or other information documenting that the used oil fuel meets the specification provided under § 266.40(e),

(2) Prohibitions. The prohibitions under § 266.41(a);

(3) Notification. Notification to EPA stating the location and general description of used oil management activities. Even if a marketer has previously notified EPA of his hazardous waste management activities under section 3010 of RCRA and obtained a U.S. EPA Identification Number, he must renotify to identify his used oil management activities.

(4) Invoice system. When a marketer initiates a shipment of off-specification used oil, he must prepare and send the receiving facility an invoice containing the following information:

(i) An invoice number;

(ii) His own EPA identification number and the EPA identification number of the receiving facility;

(iii) The names and addresses of the shipping and receiving facilities;

(iv) The quantity of off-specification used oil to be delivered;

(v) The date(s) of shipment or delivery; and

(vi) The following statement: "This used oil is subject to EPA regulation under 40 CFR part 266";

Note: Used oil that meets the definition of combustible liquid (flash point below 200 °F but at or greater than 100 °F) or flammable liquid (flash point below 100 °F) is subject to Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations at 49 CFR parts 100 through 177.

(5) Required notices. (a) Before a marketer initiates the first shipment of off-specification used oil to a burner or other marketer, he must obtain a one-time written and signed notice from the burner or marketer certifying that:

(A) The burner or marketer has notified EPA stating the location and general description of his used oil management activities; and

(B) If the recipient is a burner, the burner will burn the off-specification used oil only in an industrial furnace or boiler identified in § 266.41(b); and
(ii) Before a marketer accepts the first shipment of off-specification used oil from another marketer subject to the requirements of this section, he must provide the marketer with a one-time written and signed notice certifying that he has notified EPA of his used oil management activities; and

(6) Recordkeeping -- (1) Used oil fuel that meets the specification. A marketer who first claims under paragraph (b)(1) of this section that used oil fuel meets the specification must keep copies of analysis (or other information used to make the determination) of used oil for three years. Such marketers must also record in an operating log and keep for three years the following information on each shipment of used oil fuel that meets the specification. Such used oil fuel is not subject to further regulation, unless it is subsequently mixed with hazardous waste or unless it is mixed with used oil so that it no longer meets the specification.

(A) The name and address of the facility receiving the shipment;
(B) The quantity of used oil fuel delivered;
(C) The date of shipment or delivery; and
(D) A cross-reference to the record of used oil analysis (or other information used to make the determination that the oil meets the specification) required under paragraph (b)(6)(1) of this section.

(ii) Off-specification used oil fuel. A marketer who receives or initiates an invoice under the requirements of this section must keep a copy of each invoice for three years from the date the invoice is received or prepared. In addition, a marketer must keep a copy of each certification notice that he receives or sends for three years from the date he last engages in an off-specification used oil fuel marketing transaction with the person who sends or receives the certification notice.

(The analysis requirements contained in paragraph (b)(1) of this section were approved by OMB under control number 2050-0047. The notification requirements contained in paragraph (b)(3) of this section were approved by OMB under control number 2050-0028. The invoice requirements contained in paragraph (b)(4) of this section were approved by OMB under control number 2050-0047. The certification requirements contained in paragraph (b)(5) of this section were approved by OMB under control number 2050-0047. The recordkeeping requirements contained in paragraph (b)(6) of this section were approved by OMB under control number 2050-0047.)

[50 FR 49205, Nov. 29, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 11822, Apr. 13, 1987]

$ 266.44 Standards applicable to burners of used oil burned for energy recovery.

Owners and operators of facilities that burn used oil fuel are "burners" and are subject to the following requirements:

(a) Prohibition. The prohibition under § 266.41(b);

(b) Notification. Burners of off-specification used oil fuel, and burners of used oil fuel who are the first to claim that the oil meets the specification provided under § 266.40(e), except burners who burn specification oil that they generate, must notify EPA stating the location and general description of used oil management activities. Burners of used oil fuel that meets the specification who receive such oil from a marketer that previously notified EPA are not required to notify. Owners and operators of used oil-fired space heaters that burn used oil fuel under the provisions of § 266.41(b)(2) are exempt from this notification requirement. Even if a burner has previously notified EPA of his hazardous waste management activities under section 3010 of RCRA and obtained an identification number, he must renotify to identify his used oil management activities.

(c) Required notices. Before a burner accepts the first shipment of off-specification used oil fuel from a marketer, he must provide the marketer a one-time written and signed notice certifying that:

(1) He has notified EPA stating the location and general description of his used oil management activities; and
(2) He will burn the used oil only in an industrial furnace or boiler identified in § 266.41(b); and

(d) Used oil fuel analysis. (1) Used oil fuel burned by the generator is subject to regulation under this subpart unless the burner obtains analysis (or other information) documenting that the used oil meets the specification provided under § 266.40(e).

(2) Burners who treat off-specification used oil fuel by processing, blending, or other treatment to meet the specification provided under § 266.40(e) must obtain analyses (or other information) documenting that the used oil meets the specification.
Subpart F -- Recyclable Materials Utilized for Precious Metal Recovery

§ 266.70 Applicability and requirements.

(a) The regulations of this subpart apply to recyclable materials that are reclaimed to recover economically significant amounts of gold, silver, platinum, palladium, iridium, osmium, rhodium, ruthenium, or any combination of these.

(b) Persons who generate, transport, or store recyclable materials that are regulated under this subpart are subject to the following requirements:

1. Notification requirements under section 3010 of RCRA;

2. Subpart B of part 262 (for generators), §§ 263.20 and 263.21 (for transporters), and §§ 265.71 and 265.72 (for persons who store) of this chapter;

(c) Persons who store recycled materials that are regulated under this subpart must keep the following records to document that they are not accumulating these materials speculatively (as defined in § 261.1(c) of this chapter):

1. Records showing the volume of these materials stored at the beginning of the calendar year;

2. The amount of these materials generated or received during the calendar year; and

3. The amount of materials remaining at the end of the calendar year.

(d) Recyclable materials that are regulated under this subpart that are accumulated speculatively (as defined in § 261.1(c) of this chapter) are subject to all applicable provisions of parts 262 through 265, 270 and 124 of this chapter.

Subpart G -- Spent Lead-Acid Batteries Being Reclamed

§ 266.80 Applicability and requirements.

(a) The regulations of this subpart apply to persons who reclaim spent lead-acid batteries that are recyclable materials ("spent batteries"). Persons who generate, transport, or collect spent batteries, or who store spent batteries but do not reclaim them are not subject to regulation under parts 262 through 266 or parts 270 or 124 of this chapter, and also are not subject to the requirements of section 3010 of RCRA.

(b) Owners or operators of facilities that store spent batteries before reclaiming them are subject to the following requirements:

1. Notification requirements under section 3010 of RCRA;

2. All applicable provisions in subparts A, B (but not § 264.13 (waste analysis)), C, D, E (but not § 264.71 or § 264.72 (dealing with the use of the manifest and manifest discrepancies)), and F through L of part 264 of this chapter.

[50 FR 666, Jan. 4, 1985, as amended at 50 FR 33543, Aug. 20, 1985]

Subpart H -- Hazardous Waste Burned in Boilers and Industrial Furnaces

Source: 56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991, unless otherwise noted.
$ 266.100 Applicability.

(a) The regulations of this subpart apply to hazardous waste burned or processed in a boiler or industrial furnace (as defined in § 260.10 of this chapter) irrespective of the purpose of burning or processing, except as provided by paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section. In this subpart, the term "burn" means burning for energy recovery or destruction, or processing for materials recovery or as an ingredient. The emissions standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107 apply to facilities operating under interim status or under an RCRA operating permit as specified in §§ 266.102 and 266.103.

(b) The following hazardous wastes and facilities are not subject to regulation under this subpart:

(1) Used oil burned for energy recovery that is also a hazardous waste solely because it exhibits a characteristic of hazardous waste identified in subpart C of part 261 of this chapter. Such used oil is subject to regulation under subpart E of part 266 rather than this subpart;

(2) Gas recovered from hazardous or solid waste landfills when such gas is burned for energy recovery;

(3) Hazardous wastes that are exempt from regulation under §§ 261.4 and 261.6(a)(3) (v-viii) of this chapter, and hazardous wastes that are subject to the special requirements for conditionally exempt small quantity generators under § 261.5 of this chapter; and

(4) Coke ovens, if the only hazardous waste burned is EPA Hazardous Waste No. K087, decanter tank tar sludge from coking operations.

(c) Owners and operators of smelting, melting, and refining furnaces (including pyrometallurgical devices such as cupolas, sintering machines, roasters, and foundry furnaces, but not including cement kilns, aggregate kilns, or halogen acid furnaces burning hazardous waste) that process hazardous waste solely for metal recovery are conditionally exempt from regulation under this subpart, except for §§ 266.101 and 266.112.

(1) To be exempt from §§ 266.102 through 266.111, an owner or operator must:

(i) Provide a one-time written notice to the Director indicating the following:

(A) The owner or operator claims exemption under this paragraph;

(B) The hazardous waste is burned solely for metal recovery consistent with the provisions of paragraph (c)(2) of this section;

(C) The hazardous waste contains recoverable levels of metals; and

(D) The owner or operator will comply with the sampling and analysis and recordkeeping requirements of this paragraph;

(ii) Sample and analyze the hazardous waste and other feedstocks as necessary to comply with the requirements of this paragraph under procedures specified by Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, incorporated by reference in § 260.11 of this chapter; and

(iii) Maintain at the facility for at least three years records to document compliance with the provisions of this paragraph including limits on levels of toxic organic constituents and Btu value of the waste, and levels of recoverable metals in the hazardous waste compared to normal nonhazardous waste feedstocks.

(2) A hazardous waste meeting either of the following criteria is not processed solely for metal recovery:

(i) The hazardous waste has a total concentration of organic compounds listed in part 261, appendix VIII, of this chapter exceeding 500 ppm by weight, as-generated, and so is considered to be burned for destruction; or

(ii) The hazardous waste has a heating value of 5,000 Btu/lb or more, as-generated or as-fired into the furnace, and so is considered to be burned as fuel.

(d) The standards for direct transfer operations under § 266.111 apply only to facilities subject to the permit standards of § 266.102 or the interim status standards of § 266.103.

(e) The management standards for residues under § 266.112 apply to any boiler or industrial furnace burning hazardous waste.
(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0073)

[56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32688, July 17, 1991]

§ 266.101 Management prior to burning.

(a) Generators. Generators of hazardous waste that is burned in a boiler or industrial furnace are subject to part 262 of this chapter.

(b) Transporters. Transporters of hazardous waste that is burned in a boiler or industrial furnace are subject to part 263 of this chapter.

(c) Storage facilities. (1) Owners and operators of facilities that store hazardous waste that is burned in a boiler or industrial furnace are subject to the applicable provisions of subparts A through L of part 264, subparts A through L of part 265, and part 270 of this chapter, except as provided by paragraph (c)(2) of this section. These standards apply to storage by the burner as well as to storage facilities operated by intermediaries (processors, blenders, distributors, etc.) between the generator and the burner.

(2) Owners and operators of facilities that burn, in an on-site boiler or industrial furnace exempt from regulation under the small quantity burner provisions of § 266.108, hazardous waste that they generate are exempt from regulation under subparts A through L of part 264, subparts A through L of part 265, and part 270 of this chapter with respect to the storage of mixtures of hazardous waste and the primary fuel to the boiler or industrial furnace in tanks that feed the fuel mixture directly to the burner. Storage of hazardous waste prior to mixing with the primary fuel is subject to regulation as prescribed in paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

§ 266.102 Permit standards for burners.

(a) Applicability—(1) General. Owners and operators of boilers and industrial furnaces burning hazardous waste and not operating under interim status must comply with the requirements of this section and §§ 270.22 and 270.66 of this chapter, unless exempt under the small quantity burner exemption of § 266.108.

(2) Applicability of part 264 standards. Owners and operators of boilers and industrial furnaces that burn hazardous waste are subject to the following provisions of part 264 of this chapter, except as provided otherwise by this subpart:

(i) In subpart A (General), 264.4;

(ii) In subpart B (General facility standards), §§ 264.11-264.18;

(iii) In subpart C (Preparedness and prevention), §§ 264.31-264.37;

(iv) In subpart D (Contingency plan and emergency procedures), §§ 264.51-264.56;

(v) In subpart E (Manifest system, recordkeeping, and reporting), the applicable provisions of §§ 264.71-264.77;

(vi) In subpart F (Corrective Action), §§ 264.90 and 264.101;

(vii) In subpart G (Closure and post-closure), §§ 264.111-264.115;

(viii) In subpart H (Financial requirements), §§ 264.141, 264.142, 264.143, and 264.147-264.151, except that States and the Federal government are exempt from the requirements of subpart H; and

(ix) Subpart BB (Air emission standards for equipment leaks), except §§ 264.1050(a).

(b) Hazardous waste analysis. (1) The owner or operator must provide an analysis of the hazardous waste that quantifies the concentration of any constituent identified in appendix VIII of part 261 of this chapter that may reasonably be expected to be in the waste. Such constituents must be identified and quantified if present, at levels detectable by analytical procedures prescribed by Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods (incorporated by reference, see § 260.11 of this chapter). The appendix VIII, part 261 constituents excluded from this analysis must be identified and the basis for their exclusion explained. This analysis will be used to provide all information required by this subpart and § 270.66 of this chapter and to ensure the permit writer to prescribe such permit conditions as necessary to protect human health and the environment. Such analysis must be included as a portion of the part B permit application, or, for facilities operating under the interim status standards of this subpart, as a portion of the trial burn plan that may be submitted before the part B application under provisions of § 270.66(g) of this
chapter as well as any other analysis required by the permit authority in preparing the permit. Owners and operators of boilers and industrial furnaces not operating under the interim status standards must provide the information required by §§ 270.22 or 270.66(c) of this chapter in the part B application to the greatest extent possible.

(2) Throughout normal operation, the owner or operator must conduct sampling and analysis as necessary to ensure that the hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks fired into the boiler or industrial furnace are within the physical and chemical composition limits specified in the permit.

(c) Emissions standards. Owners and operators must comply with emissions standards provided by §§ 266.104 through 266.107.

(d) Permits. (1) The owner or operator may burn only hazardous wastes specified in the facility permit and only under the operating conditions specified under paragraph (a) of this section, except in approved trial burns under the conditions specified in § 270.66 of this chapter.

(2) Hazardous wastes not specified in the permit may not be burned until operating conditions have been specified under a new permit or permit modification, as applicable. Operating requirements for new wastes may be based on either trial burn results or alternative data included with part B of a permit application under § 270.22 of this chapter.

(3) Boilers and industrial furnaces operating under the interim status standards of § 266.103 are permitted under procedures provided by § 270.66(g) of this chapter.

(4) A permit for a new boiler or industrial furnace (those boilers and industrial furnaces not operating under the interim status standards) must establish appropriate conditions for each of the applicable requirements of this section, including but not limited to allowable hazardous waste firing rates and operating conditions necessary to meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, in order to comply with the following standards:

(1) For the period beginning with initial introduction of hazardous waste and ending with initiation of the trial burn, and only for the minimum time required to bring the device to a point of operational readiness to conduct a trial burn, not to exceed a duration of 720 hours operating time when burning hazardous waste, the operating requirements must be those most likely to ensure compliance with the emission standards of §§ 266.104 through 266.107, based on the Director's engineering judgment. If the applicant is seeking a waiver from a trial burn to demonstrate conformance with a particular emission standard, the operating requirements during this initial period of operation shall include those specified by the applicable provisions of § 266.104, § 266.105, § 266.106, or § 266.107. The Director may extend the duration of this period for up to 720 additional hours when good cause for the extension is demonstrated by the applicant.

(11) For the duration of the trial burn, the operating requirements must be sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the emissions standards of §§ 266.104 through 266.107 and must be in accordance with the approved trial burn plan:

(iii) For the period immediately following completion of the trial burn, and only for the minimum period sufficient to allow sample analysis, data computation, submission of the trial burn results by the applicant, review of the trial burn results and modification of the facility permit by the Director to reflect the trial burn results, the operating requirements must be those most likely to ensure compliance with the emission standards §§ 266.104 through 266.107 based on the Director's engineering judgment.

(iv) For the remaining duration of the permit, the operating requirements must be those demonstrated in a trial burn or by alternative data specified in § 270.22 of this chapter, as sufficient to ensure compliance with the emissions standards of §§ 266.104 through 266.107.

(e) Operating requirements-(1) General. A boiler or industrial furnace burning hazardous waste must be operated in accordance with the operating requirements specified in the permit at all times where there is hazardous waste in the unit.

(2) Requirements to ensure compliance with the organic emissions standards- (1) DRE standard. Operating conditions will be specified either on a case-by-case basis for each hazardous waste burned as those demonstrated (in a trial burn or by alternative data as specified in § 270.22) to be sufficient to comply with the destruction and removal efficiency (DRE) performance standard of § 266.104(a) or as those special operating requirements provided by § 266.104(a)(4) for the waiver of the DRE trial burn. When the DRE trial burn is not waived under § 266.104(a)(4), each set of operating requirements will specify the composition of the hazardous waste (including acceptable variations in the physical and chemical properties of the hazardous waste which will not affect compliance with the DRE performance standard) to which the operating requirements apply. For
each such hazardous waste, the permit will specify acceptable operating limits including, but not limited to, the following conditions as appropriate:

(A) Feed rate of hazardous waste and other fuels measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(B) Minimum and maximum device production rate when producing normal product expressed in appropriate units, measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(C) Appropriate controls of the hazardous waste firing system;

(D) Allowable variation in boiler and industrial furnace system design or operating procedures;

(E) Minimum combustion gas temperature measured at a location indicative of combustion chamber temperature, measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(F) An appropriate indicator of combustion gas velocity, measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section, unless documentation is provided under §270.66 of this chapter demonstrating adequate combustion gas residence time; and

(G) Such other operating requirements as are necessary to ensure that the DRE performance standard of §266.104(a) is met.

(ii) Carbon monoxide and hydrocarbon standards. The permit must incorporate a carbon monoxide (CO) limit and, as appropriate, a hydrocarbon (HC) limit as provided by paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) of §266.104. The permit limits will be specified as follows:

(A) When complying with the CO standard of §266.104(b)(1), the permit limit is 100 ppmv;

(B) When complying with the alternative CO standard under §266.104(c), the permit limit for CO is based on the trial burn and is established as the average over all valid runs of the highest hourly rolling average CO level of each run, and the permit limit for HC is 20 ppmv (as defined in §266.104(c)(1)), except as provided in §266.104(f).

(C) When complying with the alternative HC limit for industrial furnaces under §266.104(f), the permit limit for HC and CO is the baseline level when hazardous waste is not burned as specified by that paragraph.

(iii) Start-up and shut-down. During start-up and shut-down of the boiler or industrial furnace, hazardous waste (except waste fed solely as an ingredient under the Tier I (or adjusted Tier I) feed rate screening limits for metals and chloride/chlorine, and except low risk waste exempt from the trial burn requirements under §§266.104(e)(5), 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107) must not be fed into the device unless the device is operating within the conditions of operation specified in the permit.

(3) Requirements to ensure conformance with the particulate standard. (i) Except as provided in paragraphs (a)(3)(ii) and (iii) of this section, the permit shall specify the following operating requirements to ensure conformance with the particulate standard specified in §266.105:

(A) Total ash feed rate to the device from hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks, measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (a)(6) of this section;

(B) Maximum device production rate when producing normal product expressed in appropriate units, and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(C) Appropriate controls on operation and maintenance of the hazardous waste firing system and any air pollution control system;

(D) Allowable variation in boiler and industrial furnace system design including any air pollution control system or operating procedures; and

(E) Such other operating requirements as are necessary to ensure that the particulate standard in §266.111(b) is met.

(i) Permit conditions to ensure conformance with the particulate matter standard shall not be provided for facilities exempt from the particulate matter standard under §266.105(b);
(iii) For cement kilns and light-weight aggregate kilns, permit conditions to ensure compliance with the particulate standard shall not limit the ash content of hazardous waste or other feed materials.

(4) Requirements to ensure conformance with the metals emissions standard. (1) For conformance with the Tier I (or adjusted Tier I) metals feed rate screening limits of paragraphs (b) or (e) of §266.106, the permit shall specify the following operating requirements:

(A) Total feed rate of each metal in hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks measured and specified under provisions of paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(B) Feed rate of each metal in the following feedstreams, measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section:

(1) Total feed streams;

(2) Total hazardous waste feed; and

(3) Total pumpable hazardous waste feed;

(D) Total feed rate of chlorine and chloride in total feed streams measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(E) Maximum combustion gas temperature measured at a location indicative of combustion chamber temperature, and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(F) Maximum flue gas temperature at the inlet to the particulate matter air pollution control system measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(G) Maximum device production rate when producing normal product expressed in appropriate units and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(H) Appropriate controls on operation and maintenance of the hazardous waste firing system and any air pollution control system;

(I) Allowable variation in boiler and industrial furnace system design including any air pollution control system or operating procedures; and

(J) Such other operating requirements as are necessary to ensure that the metals standards under §§266.106(c) or 266.106(d) are met.

(iii) For conformance with an alternative implementation approach approved by the Director under §266.106(f), the permit will specify the following operating requirements:

(A) Maximum emission rate for each metal specified as the average emission rate during the trial burn;

(B) Feed rate of total hazardous waste and pumpable hazardous waste, each measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6)(1) of this section;

(C) Feed rate of each metal in the following feedstreams, measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section:

(1) Total hazardous waste feed; and
control system or the Tier III conformance this section; measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(F) Maximum flue gas temperature at the inlet to the particulate matter air pollution control system measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(G) Maximum device production rate when producing normal product expressed in appropriate units and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(H) Appropriate controls on operation and maintenance of the hazardous waste firing system and any air pollution control system;

(I) Allowable variation in boiler and industrial furnace system design including any air pollution control system or operating procedures; and

(J) Such other operating requirements as are necessary to ensure that the metals standards under §§ 266.106(c) or 266.106(d) are met.

(5) Requirements to ensure conformance with the hydrogen chloride and chlorine gas standards. (i) For conformance with the Tier I total chlorine and chlorine feed rate screening limits of § 266.107(b)(1), the permit will specify the following operating requirements:

(A) Feed rate of total chlorine and chlorine in hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(B) Feed rate of total hazardous waste measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(C) A sampling and analysis program for total chlorine and chlorides for the hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks;

(D) Total feed rate of chlorine and chloride in total feed streams measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(E) Maximum combustion gas temperature measured at a location indicative of combustion chamber temperature, and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(F) Maximum flue gas temperature at the inlet to the particulate matter air pollution control system measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(G) Maximum device production rate when producing normal product expressed in appropriate units and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(H) Appropriate controls on operation and maintenance of the hazardous waste firing system and any air pollution control system;

(I) Allowable variation in boiler and industrial furnace system design including any air pollution control system or operating procedures; and

(J) Such other operating requirements as are necessary to ensure that the metals standards under §§ 266.106(c) or 266.106(d) are met.

(5) Requirements to ensure conformance with the hydrogen chloride and chlorine gas standards. (i) For conformance with the Tier I total chlorine and chlorine feed rate screening limits of § 266.107(b)(1), the permit will specify the following operating requirements:

(A) Feed rate of total chlorine and chlorine in hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(B) Feed rate of total hazardous waste measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(C) A sampling and analysis program for total chlorine and chlorides for the hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks;

(D) Total feed rate of chlorine and chloride in total feed streams measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(E) Maximum combustion gas temperature measured at a location indicative of combustion chamber temperature, and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(F) Maximum flue gas temperature at the inlet to the particulate matter air pollution control system measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(G) Maximum device production rate when producing normal product expressed in appropriate units and measured and specified as prescribed in paragraph (e)(6) of this section;

(H) Appropriate controls on operation and maintenance of the hazardous waste firing system and any air pollution control system;

(I) Allowable variation in boiler and industrial furnace system design including any air pollution control system or operating procedures; and

(J) Such other operating requirements as are necessary to ensure that the metals standards under §§ 266.106(c) or 266.106(d) are met.

(6) Measuring parameters and establishing limits based on trial burn data—(1) General requirements. As specified in paragraphs (e)(2) through (e)(5) of this section, each operating parameter shall be measured, and permit limits on the parameter shall be established, according to either of the following procedures:

...
(A) Instantaneous limits. A parameter may be measured and recorded on an instantaneous basis (i.e., the value that occurs at any time) and the permit limit specified as the time-weighted average during all valid runs of the trial burn; or

(B) Hourly rolling average. (1) The limit for a parameter may be established and continuously monitored on an hourly rolling average basis defined as follows:

   (1) A continuous monitor is one which continuously samples the regulated parameter without interruption, and evaluates the detector response at least once each 15 seconds, and computes and records the average value at least every 60 seconds.

   (11) An hourly rolling average is the arithmetic mean of the 60 most recent 1-minute average values recorded by the continuous monitoring system.

   (2) The permit limit for the parameter shall be established based on trial burn data as the average over all valid test runs of the highest hourly rolling average value for each run.

   (11) Rolling average limits for carcinogenic metals and lead. Feed rate limits for the carcinogenic metals (i.e., arsenic, beryllium, cadmium and chromium) and lead may be established either on an hourly rolling average basis as prescribed by paragraph (a)(6)(i) of this section or on (up to) a 24 hour rolling average basis. If the owner or operator elects to use an average period from 2 to 24 hours:

   (A) The feed rate of each metal shall be limited at any time to ten times the feed rate that would be allowed on an hourly rolling average basis;

   (B) The continuous monitor shall meet the following specifications:

       (1) A continuous monitor is one which continuously samples the regulated parameter without interruption, and evaluates the detector response at least once each 15 seconds, and computes and records the average value at least every 60 seconds.

       (2) The rolling average for the selected averaging period is defined as the arithmetic mean of one hour block averages for the averaging period. A one hour block average is the arithmetic mean of the one minute averages recorded during the 60-minute period beginning at one minute after the beginning of preceding clock hour; and

   (C) The permit limit for the feed rate of each metal shall be established based on trial burn data as the average over all valid test runs of the highest hourly rolling average feed rate for each run.

   (111) Feed rate limits for metals, total chloride and chlorine, and ash. Feed rate limits for metals, total chloride and chlorine, and ash are established and monitored by knowing the concentration of the substance (i.e., metals, chloride/chlorine, and ash) in each feedstream and the flow rate of the feedstream. To monitor the feed rate of these substances, the flow rate of each feedstream must be monitored under the continuous monitoring requirements of paragraphs (a)(6)(i) and (ii) of this section.

   (iv) Conduct of trial burn testing. (A) If compliance with all applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104 through 266.107 is not demonstrated simultaneously during a set of test runs, the operating conditions of additional test runs required to demonstrate compliance with remaining emissions standards must be as close as possible to the original operating conditions.

   (B) Prior to obtaining test data for purposes of demonstrating compliance with the emissions standards of §§ 266.104 through 266.107 or establishing limits on operating parameters under this section, the facility must operate under trial burn conditions for a sufficient period to reach steady-state operations. The Director may determine, however, that industrial furnaces that recycle collected particulate matter back into the furnace and that comply with an alternative implementation approach for metals under § 266.106(f) need not reach steady state conditions with respect to the flow of metals in the system prior to beginning compliance testing for metals emissions.

   (C) Trial burn data on the level of an operating parameter for which a limit must be established in the permit must be obtained during emissions sampling for the pollutant(s) (i.e., metals, PM, HCl/Cl₂, organic compounds) for which the parameter must be established as specified by paragraph (a) of this section.

   (7) General requirements—(1) Fugitive emissions. Fugitive emissions must be controlled by:

       (A) Keeping the combustion zone totally sealed against fugitive emissions; or

       (B) Maintaining the combustion zone pressure lower than atmospheric pressure; or
(C) An alternate means of control demonstrated (with part B of the permit application) to provide fugitive emissions control equivalent to maintenance of combustion zone pressure lower than atmospheric pressure.

(ii) Automatic waste feed cutoff. A boiler or industrial furnace must be operated with a functioning system that automatically cuts off the hazardous waste feed when operating conditions deviate from those established under this section. The Director may limit the number of cutoffs per an operating period on a case-by-case basis. In addition:

(A) The permit limit for (the indicator of) minimum combustion chamber temperature must be maintained while hazardous waste or hazardous waste residues remain in the combustion chamber.

(B) Exhaust gases must be ducted to the air pollution control system operated in accordance with the permit requirements while hazardous waste or hazardous waste residues remain in the combustion chamber; and

(C) Operating parameters for which permit limits are established must continue to be monitored during the cutoff, and the hazardous waste feed shall not be restarted until the levels of those parameters comply with the permit limits. For parameters that may be monitored on an instantaneous basis, the Director will establish a minimum period of time after a waste feed cutoff during which the parameter must not exceed the permit limit before the hazardous waste feed may be restarted.

(iii) Changes. A boiler or industrial furnace must cease burning hazardous waste when changes in combustion properties, or feed rates of the hazardous waste, other fuels, or industrial furnace feedstocks, or changes in the boiler or industrial furnace design or operating conditions deviate from the limits as specified in the permit.

(8) Monitoring and Inspections. (1) The owner or operator must monitor and record the following, at a minimum, while burning hazardous waste:

(A) If specified by the permit, feed rates and composition of hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feedstocks, and feed rates of ash, metals, and total chloride and chlorine;

(B) If specified by the permit, carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC), and oxygen on a continuous basis at a common point in the boiler or industrial furnace downstream of the combustion zone and prior to release of stack gases to the atmosphere in accordance with operating requirements specified in paragraph (e)(2)(ii) of this section. CO, HC, and oxygen monitors must be installed, operated, and maintained in accordance with methods specified in appendix IX of this part.

(C) Upon the request of the Director, sampling and analysis of the hazardous waste (and other fuels and industrial furnace feedstocks as appropriate), residues, and exhaust emissions must be conducted to verify that the operating requirements established in the permit achieve the applicable standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107.

(ii) All monitors shall record data in units corresponding to the permit limit unless otherwise specified in the permit.

(iii) The boiler or industrial furnace and associated equipment (pumps, valves, pipes, fuel storage tanks, etc.) must be subjected to thorough visual inspection when it contains hazardous waste, at least daily for leaks, spills, fugitive emissions, and signs of tampering.

(iv) The automatic hazardous waste feed cutoff system and associated alarms must be tested at least once every 7 days when hazardous waste is burned to verify operability, unless the applicant demonstrates to the Director that weekly inspections will unduly restrict or upset operations and that less frequent inspections will be adequate. At a minimum, operational testing must be conducted at least once every 30 days.

(v) These monitoring and inspection data must be recorded and the records must be placed in the operating record required by § 264.73 of this chapter.

(9) Direct transfer to the burner. If hazardous waste is directly transferred from a transport vehicle to a boiler or industrial furnace without the use of a storage unit, the owner and operator must comply with § 266.111.

(10) Recordkeeping. The owner or operator must keep in the operating record of the facility all information and data required by this section for not less than three years.
(11) Closure. At closure, the owner or operator must remove all hazardous waste and hazardous waste residues (including, but not limited to, ash, scrubber waters, and scrubber sludges) from the boiler or industrial furnace.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0073)
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§ 266.103 Interim status standards for burners.

(a) Purpose, scope, applicability.-(1) General. (1) The purpose of this section is to establish minimum national standards for owners and operators of "existing" boilers and industrial furnaces that burn hazardous waste where such standards define the acceptable management of hazardous waste during the period of interim status. The standards of this section apply to owners and operators of existing facilities until either a permit is issued under § 266.102(d) or until closure responsibilities identified in this section are fulfilled.

(11) Existing or in existence means a boiler or industrial furnace that on or before August 21, 1991 is either in operation burning or processing hazardous waste or for which construction (including the ancillary facilities to burn or to process the hazardous waste) has commenced. A facility has commenced construction if the owner or operator has obtained the Federal, State, and local approvals or permits necessary to begin physical construction; and either:

(A) A continuous on-site, physical construction program has begun; or

(B) The owner or operator has entered into contractual obligations which cannot be canceled or modified without substantial loss for physical construction of the facility to be completed within a reasonable time.

(111) If a boiler or industrial furnace is located at a facility that already has a permit or interim status, then the facility must comply with the applicable regulations dealing with permit modifications in § 270.42 or changes in interim status in § 270.72 of this chapter.

(2) Exemptions. The requirements of this section do not apply to hazardous waste and facilities exempt under §§ 266.100(b), or 266.108.

(3) Prohibition of burning dioxin-listed wastes. Hazardous waste listed for dioxin or derived from any of the following dioxin-listed wastes may not be burned in a boiler or industrial furnace operating under interim status: EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, or F027.

(4) Applicability of part 265 standards. Owners and operators of boilers and industrial furnaces that burn hazardous waste and are operating under interim status are subject to the following provisions of part 265 of this chapter, except as provided elsewhere by this section:

(1) In subpart A (General), § 265.4;

(11) In subpart B (General facility standards), §§ 265.11-265.17;

(111) In subpart C (Preparedness and prevention), §§ 265.31-265.37;

(iv) In subpart D (Contingency plan and emergency procedures), §§ 265.51-265.56;

(v) In subpart E (Manifest system, recordkeeping, and reporting), §§ 265.71-265.77, except that §§ 265.71, 265.72, and 265.76 do not apply to owners and operators of on-site facilities that do not receive any hazardous waste from off-site sources;

(vi) In subpart G (Closure and post-closure), §§ 265.111-265.115;

(vii) In subpart H (Financial requirements), §§ 265.141, 265.142, 265.143, and 265.147-265.151, except that States and the Federal government are exempt from the requirements of subpart H; and

(viii) Subpart BB (Air emission standards for equipment leaks), except § 265.1050(a).

(5) Special requirements for furnaces. The following controls apply during interim status to industrial furnaces (e.g., kilns, cupolas) that feed hazardous waste for a purpose other than solely as an ingredient (see paragraph (a)(5)(ii) of this section) at any location other than the hot end where products are normally discharged and where fuels are normally fired;
Controls. (A) The hazardous waste shall be fed at a location where combustion gas temperatures are at least 1800 °F;

(B) The owner or operator must determine that adequate oxygen is present in combustion gases to combust organic constituents in the waste and retain documentation of such determination in the facility record;

(C) For cement kiln systems, the hazardous waste shall be fed into the kiln; and

(D) The hydrocarbon controls of § 266.104(c) or paragraph (c)(7)(i) of this section apply upon certification of compliance under paragraph (c) of this section irrespective of the CO level achieved during the compliance test.

(B) The hazardous waste has a total concentration of nonmetal compounds listed in part 261, appendix VIII, of this chapter exceeding 500 ppm by weight as-generated (and, so, is considered to be burned for destruction); or

(B) The hazardous waste has a heating value of 5,000 Btu/lb or more, as-generated or as-fired (and, so, is considered to be burned as fuel).

Restrictions on burning hazardous waste that is not a fuel. Prior to certification of compliance under paragraph (c) of this section, owners and operators shall not feed hazardous waste (other than hazardous waste burned solely as an ingredient) in a boiler or industrial furnace that has a heating value less than 5,000 Btu/lb, as-generated, except for purposes of compliance testing (or testing prior to compliance testing) for a total period of time not to exceed 720 hours.

Direct transfer to the burner. If hazardous waste is directly transferred from a transport vehicle to a boiler or industrial furnace without the use of a storage unit, the owner and operator must comply with § 266.111.

Certification of precompliance- (1) General. The owner or operator must provide complete and accurate information specified in paragraph (b)(2) of this section to the Director on or before August 21, 1991, and must establish limits for the operating parameters specified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section. Such information is termed "certification of precompliance" and constitutes a certification that the owner or operator has determined that, when the facility is operated within the limits specified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, the owner or operator believes that, using best engineering judgment, emissions of particulate matter, metals, and HCl and Cl₂ are not likely to exceed the limits provided by §§ 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107. The facility may burn hazardous waste only under the operating conditions that the owner or operator establishes under paragraph (b)(3) of this section until the owner or operator submits a revised certification of precompliance under paragraph (b)(8) of this section or a certification of compliance under paragraph (c) of this section, or until a permit is issued.

(2) Information required. The following information must be submitted with the certification of precompliance to support the determination that the limits established for the operating parameters identified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section are not likely to result in an exceedance of the allowable emission rates for particulate matter, metals, and HCl and Cl₂:

(A) General facility information;

(A) EPA facility ID number;

(B) Facility name, contact person, telephone number, and address;

(C) Description of boilers and industrial furnaces burning hazardous waste, including type and capacity of device;

(D) A scaled plot plan showing the entire facility and location of the boilers and industrial furnaces burning hazardous waste; and

(E) A description of the air pollution control system on each device burning hazardous waste, including the temperature of the flue gas at the inlet to the particulate matter control system.

Except for facilities complying with the Tier I feed rate screening limits for metals or total chlorine and chloride provided by §§ 266.106 (b) or (e) and 266.107 (b)(1) or (e) respectively, the estimated
uncontrolled (at the inlet to the air pollution control system) emissions of particulate matter, each metal controlled by § 266.106, and hydrogen chloride and chlorine, and the following information to support such determinations:

(A) The feed rate (lb/hr) of ash, chlorine, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, silver, and thallium in each feedstream (hazardous waste, other fuels, industrial furnace feedstocks);

(B) The estimated partitioning factor to the combustion gas for the materials identified in paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(A) of this section and the basis for the estimate and an estimate of the partitioning to BCI and Cl₂ of total chloride and chlorine in feed materials. To estimate the partitioning factor, the owner or operator must use either best engineering judgment or the procedures specified in appendix IX of this part.

(C) For industrial furnaces that recycle collected particulate matter (PM) back into the furnace and that will certify compliance with the metals emissions standards under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(A), the estimated enrichment factor for each metal. To estimate the enrichment factor, the owner or operator must use either best engineering judgment or the procedures specified in "Alternative Methodology for Implementing Metals Controls" in appendix IX of this part.

(D) If best engineering judgment is used to estimate partitioning factors or enrichment factors under paragraphs (b)(2)(ii)(B) or (b)(2)(ii)(C) respectively, the basis for the judgment. When best engineering judgment is used to develop or evaluate data or information and make determinations under this section, the determinations must be made by a qualified, registered professional engineer and a certification of his/her determinations in accordance with § 270.11(d) of this chapter must be provided in the certification of precompliance.

(iii) For facilities complying with the Tier I feed rate screening limits for metals or total chlorine and chloride provided by §§ 266.106 (b) or (a) and 266.107 (b)(1) or (a), the feed rate (lb/hr) of total chlorine and chloride, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, silver, and thallium in each feedstream (hazardous waste, other fuels, industrial furnace feedstocks).

(iv) For facilities complying with the Tier II or Tier III emission limits for metals or HCl and Cl₂ (under §§ 266.106 (c) or (d) or 266.107(b)(2) or (c)), the estimated controlled (outlet of the air pollution control system) emissions rates of particulate matter, each metal controlled by § 266.106, and HCl and Cl₂, and the following information to support such determinations:

(A) The estimated air pollution control system (APCS) removal efficiency for particulate matter, HCl, Cl₂, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, silver, and thallium.

(B) To estimate APCS removal efficiency, the owner or operator must use either best engineering judgment or the procedures prescribed in appendix IX of this part.

(C) If best engineering judgment is used to estimate APCS removal efficiency, the basis for the judgment. Use of best engineering judgment must be in conformance with provisions of paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(D) of this section.

(v) Determination of allowable emissions rates for HCl, Cl₂, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, silver, and thallium, and the following information to support such determinations:

(A) For all facilities:

[1] Physical stack height;

[2] Good engineering practice stack height as defined by 40 CFR 51.100(ii);


[5] Attach a US Geological Service topographic map (or equivalent) showing the facility location and surrounding land within 5 km of the facility;

[6] Identify terrain type: complex or noncomplex; and

[7] Identify land use: urban or rural.
For owners and operators using Tier III site specific dispersion modeling to determine allowable levels under § 266.106(d) or § 266.107(c), or adjusted Tier I feed rate screening limits under §§ 266.106(e) or 266.107(e):

(1) Dispersion model and version used;

(2) Source of meteorological data;

(3) The dilution factor in micrograms per cubic meter per gram per second of emissions for the maximum annual average off-site (unless on-site is required) ground level concentration (MSI location); and

(4) Indicate the MSI location on the map required under paragraph (b)(2)(v)(A)(5);

(vi) For facilities complying with the Tier II or III emissions rate controls for metals or HCl and Cl₂, a comparison of the estimated controlled emissions rates determined under paragraph (b)(2)(iv) with the allowable emission rates determined under paragraph (b)(2)(v);

(vii) For facilities complying with the Tier II or adjusted Tier I feed rate screening limits for metals or total chloride and chlorine, a comparison of actual feed rates of each metal and total chlorine and chloride determined under paragraph (b)(2)(iii) of this section to the Tier II allowable feed rates; and

(viii) For industrial furnaces that feed hazardous waste for any purpose other than solely as an ingredient (as defined by paragraph (a)(5)(i) of this section) at any location other than the product discharge end of the device, documentation of compliance with the requirements of paragraphs (a)(5)(i) (A), (B), and (C) of this section.

(ix) For industrial furnaces that recycle collected particulate matter (PM) back into the furnace and that will certify compliance with the metals emissions standards under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) (A) of this section:

(A) The applicable particulate matter standard in lb/hr; and

(B) The precompliance limit on the concentration of each metal in collected PM.

(3) Limits on operating conditions. The owner and operator shall establish limits on the following parameters consistent with the determinations made under paragraph (b)(2) of this section and certify (under provisions of paragraph (b)(9) of this section) to the Director that the facility will operate within the limits during interim status when there is hazardous waste in the unit until revised certification of precompliance under paragraph (b)(8) of this section or certification of compliance under paragraph (c) of this section:

(i) Feed rate of total hazardous waste and (unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under § 266.106(b) or (e)) pumpable hazardous waste;

(ii) Feed rate of each metal in the following feed streams:

A. Total feed streams, except that industrial furnaces that comply with the alternative metals implementation approach under paragraph (b)(4) of this section must specify limits on the concentration of each metal in collected particulate matter in lieu of feed rate limits for total feedstreams;

B. Total hazardous waste feed; and

C. Total pumpable hazardous waste feed, unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under § 266.106 (b) or (e);

(iii) Total feed rate of chlorine and chloride in total feed streams;

(iv) Total feed rate of ash in total feed streams, except that the ash feed rate for cement kilns and light-weight aggregate kilns is not limited; and

(v) Maximum production rate of the device in appropriate units when producing normal product.

(4) Operating requirements for furnaces that recycle PM. Owners and operators of furnaces that recycle collected particulate matter (PM) back into the furnace and that will certify compliance with the metals emissions controls under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(A) of this section must comply with the special operating requirements provided in "Alternative Methodology for Implementing Metals Controls" in appendix IX of this part.
(3) Measurement of feed rates and production rates.

(1) General requirements. Limits on each of the parameters specified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section (except for limits on metals concentrations in collected particulate matter (PM) for industrial furnaces that recycle collected PM) shall be established and continuously monitored under either of the following methods:

(A) Instantaneous limits. A limit for a parameter may be established and continuously monitored on an instantaneous basis (i.e., the value that occurs at any time) not to be exceeded at any time; or

(B) Hourly rolling average limits. A limit for a parameter may be established and continuously monitored on an hourly rolling average basis defined as follows:

(1) A continuous monitor is one which continuously samples the regulated parameter without interruption, and evaluates the detector response at least once each 15 seconds, and computes and records the average value at least every 60 seconds.

(2) An hourly rolling average is the arithmetic mean of the 60 most recent 1-minute average values recorded by the continuous monitoring system.

(ii) Rolling average limits for carcinogenic metals and lead. Feed rate limits for the carcinogenic metals (arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, and chromium) and lead may be established either on an hourly rolling average basis as prescribed by paragraph (b)(5)(i)(B) or on (up to) a 24 hour rolling average basis. If the owner or operator elects to use an averaging period from 2 to 24 hours:

(A) The feed rate of each metal shall be limited at any time to ten times the feed rate that would be allowed on a hourly rolling average basis;

(B) The continuous monitor shall meet the following specifications:

(1) A continuous monitor is one which continuously samples the regulated parameter without interruption, and evaluates the detector response at least once each 15 seconds, and computes and records the average value at least every 60 seconds.

(2) The rolling average for the selected averaging period is defined as the arithmetic mean of one hour block averages for the averaging period. A one hour block average is the arithmetic mean of the one minute averages recorded during the 60-minute period beginning at one minute after the beginning of preceding clock hour.

(iii) Feed rate limits for metals, total chloride and chlorine, and ash. Feed rate limits for metals, total chloride and chlorine, and ash are established and monitored by knowing the concentration of the substance (i.e., metals, chloride/chlorine, and ash) in each feedstream and the flow rate of the feedstream. To monitor the feed rate of these substances, the flow rate of each feedstream must be monitored under the continuous monitoring requirements of paragraphs (b)(5)(i) and (ii) of this section.

(6) Public notice requirements at preclosure. On or before August 21, 1991 the owner or operator must submit a notice with the following information for publication in a major local newspaper of general circulation and send a copy of the notice to the appropriate units of State and local government. The owner and operator must provide to the Director with the certification of preclosure evidence of submitting the notice for publication. The notice, which shall be entitled "Notice of Certification of Preclosure with Hazardous Waste Burning Requirements of 40 CFR 266.103(b)", must include:

(i) Name and address of the owner and operator of the facility as well as the location of the device burning hazardous waste;

(ii) Date that the certification of preclosure is submitted to the Director;

(iii) Brief description of the regulatory process required to comply with the interim status requirements of this section including required emissions testing to demonstrate conformance with emissions standards for organic compounds, particulate matter, metals, and HCl and Cl₂;

(iv) Types and quantities of hazardous waste burned including, but not limited to, source, whether solids or liquids, as well as an appropriate description of the waste;

(v) Type of device(s) in which the hazardous waste is burned including a physical description and maximum production rate of each device;

(vi) Types and quantities of other fuels and industrial furnace feedstocks fed to each unit;
(vii) Brief description of the basis for this certification of precompliance as specified in paragraph (b)(2) of this section;

(viii) Locations where the operating record for the facility can be viewed and copied by interested parties. These locations shall at a minimum include:

(A) The Agency office where the supporting documentation was submitted or another location designated by the Director; and

(B) The facility site where the device is located;

(ix) Notification of the establishment of a facility mailing list whereby interested parties shall notify the Agency that they wish to be placed on the mailing list to receive future information and notices about this facility; and

(x) Location (mailing address) of the applicable EPA Regional Office, Hazardous Waste Division, where further information can be obtained on EPA regulation of hazardous waste burning.

(7) Monitoring other operating parameters. When the monitoring systems for the operating parameters listed in paragraphs (c)(1)(v through xiii) of this section are installed and operating in conformance with vendor specifications or (for CO, HC, and oxygen) specifications provided by appendix IX of this part, as appropriate, the parameters shall be continuously monitored and records shall be maintained in the operating record.

(8) Revised certification of precompliance. The owner or operator may revise at any time the information and operating conditions documented under paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) of this section in the certification of precompliance by submitting a revised certification of precompliance under procedures provided by those paragraphs.

(1) The public notice requirements of paragraph (b)(6) of this section do not apply to recertifications.

(11) The owner and operator must operate the facility within the limits established for the operating parameters under paragraph (b)(3) of this section until a revised certification is submitted under this paragraph or a certification of compliance is submitted under paragraph (c) of this section.

(9) Certification of precompliance statement. The owner or operator must include the following signed statement with the certification of precompliance submitted to the Director:

"I certify under penalty of law that this information was prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information and supporting documentation. Copies of all emissions tests, dispersion modeling results and other information used to determine conformance with the requirements of 266.103(b) are available at the facility and can be obtained from the facility contact person listed above. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manages the facility, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

I also acknowledge that the operating limits established in this certification pursuant to § 266.103(b) (3) and (4) are enforceable limits at which the facility can legally operate during interim status until: (1) A revised certification of precompliance is submitted, (2) a certification of compliance is submitted, or (3) an operating permit is issued."

(c) Certification of compliance. The owner or operator shall conduct emissions testing to document compliance with the emission standards of §§ 266.104 (b) through (e), 266.105, 266.106, 266.107, and paragraph (a)(5)(1)(D) of this section, under the procedures prescribed by this paragraph, except under extensions of time provided by paragraph (c)(7). Based on the compliance test, the owner or operator shall submit to the Director on or before August 21, 1992 a complete and accurate "certification of compliance" (under paragraph (c)(4) of this section) with those emission standards establishing limits on the operating parameters specified in paragraph (c)(1).

(1) Limits on operating conditions. The owner or operator shall establish limits on the following parameters based on operations during the compliance test (under procedures prescribed in paragraph (c)(4)(iv) of this section) and include these limits with the certification of compliance. The boiler or industrial furnace must be operated in accordance with these operating limits and all applicable emission standards at all times when there is hazardous waste in the unit until an operating permit is issued.
(i) Feed rate of total hazardous waste and (unless complying the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e)), pumpable hazardous waste;

(ii) Feed rate of each metal in the following feedstreams:

(A) Total feedstreams, except that industrial furnaces that must comply with the alternative metals implementation approach under paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section must specify limits on the concentration of each metal in collected particulate matter in lieu of feed rate limits for total feedstreams;

(B) Total hazardous waste feed (unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e)); and

(C) Total pumpable hazardous waste feed:

(iii) Total feed rate of chlorine and chloride in total feed streams;

(iv) Total feed rate of ash in total feed streams, except that the ash feed rate for cement kilns and light-weight aggregate kilns is not limited;

(v) Carbon monoxide concentration, and where required, hydrocarbon concentration in stack gas. When complying with the CO controls of §266.104(b), the CO limit is 10 ppmv, and when complying with the HC controls of §266.104(c), the HC limit is 20 ppmv. When complying with the CO controls of §266.104(c), the CO limit is established based on the compliance test;

(vi) Maximum production rate of the device in appropriate units when producing normal product;

(vii) Maximum combustion chamber temperature where the temperature measurement is as close to the combustion zone as possible and is upstream of any quench water injection, (unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e));

(viii) Maximum flue gas temperature entering a particulate matter control device (unless complying with Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e));

(ix) For systems using wet scrubbers, including wet ionizing scrubbers (unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e) and the total chlorine and chloride feed rate screening limits under §266.107(b) (1) or (e));

(A) Minimum liquid to flue gas ratio;

(B) Minimum scrubber blowdown from the system or maximum suspended solids content of scrubber water;

and

(C) Minimum pH level of the scrubber water;

(x) For systems using venturi scrubbers, the minimum differential gas pressure across the venturi (unless complying the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e) and the total chlorine and chloride feed rate screening limits under §266.107(b) (1) or (e));

(xi) For systems using dry scrubbers (unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e) and the total chlorine and chloride feed rate screening limits under §266.107(b) (1) or (e));

(A) Minimum caustic feed rate; and

(B) Maximum flue gas flow rate;

(xii) For systems using wet ionizing scrubbers or electrostatic precipitators (unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e) and the total chlorine and chloride feed rate screening limits under §266.107(b) (1) or (e));

(A) Minimum electrical power in kilovolt amperes (kVA) to the precipitator plates; and

(B) Maximum flue gas flow rate;

(xiii) For systems using fabric filters (baghouses), the minimum pressure drop (unless complying with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits under §266.106 (b) or (e) and the total chlorine and chloride feed rate screening limits under §266.107(b) (1) or (e)).
(2) Prior notice of compliance testing. At least 30 days prior to the compliance testing required by paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the owner or operator shall notify the Director and submit the following information:

(i) General facility information including:

(A) EPA facility ID number;

(B) Facility name, contact person, telephone number, and address;

(C) Person responsible for conducting compliance test, including company name, address, and telephone number, and a statement of qualifications;

(D) Planned date of the compliance test;

(ii) Specific information on each device to be tested including:

(A) Description of boiler or industrial furnace;

(B) A scaled plot plan showing the entire facility and location of the boiler or industrial furnace;

(C) A description of the air pollution control system;

(D) Identification of the continuous emission monitors that are installed, including:

(1) Carbon monoxide monitor;

(2) Oxygen monitor;

(3) Hydrocarbon monitor, specifying the minimum temperature of the system and, if the temperature is less than 150 °C, an explanation of why a heated system is not used (see paragraph (c)(5) of this section) and a brief description of the sample gas conditioning system;

(F) Indication of whether the stack is shared with another device that will be in operation during the compliance test;

(F) Other information useful to an understanding of the system design or operation.

(iii) Information on the testing planned, including a complete copy of the test protocol and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) plan, and a summary description for each test providing the following information at a minimum:

(A) Purpose of the test (e.g., demonstrate compliance with emissions of particulate matter); and

(B) Planned operating conditions, including levels for each pertinent parameter specified in paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(3) Compliance testing-(1) General. Compliance testing must be conducted under conditions for which the owner or operator has submitted a certification of precompliance under paragraph (b) of this section and under conditions established in the notification of compliance testing required by paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(ii) Special requirements for industrial furnaces that recycle collected PM. Owners and operators of industrial furnaces that recycle back into the furnace particulate matter (PM) from the air pollution control system must comply with one of the following procedures for testing to determine compliance with the metals standards of § 266.106(c) or (d):

(A) The special testing requirements prescribed in "Alternative Method for Implementing Metals Controls" in appendix IX of this part; or

(B) Stack emissions testing for a minimum of 6 hours each day while hazardous waste is burned during interim status. The testing must be conducted when burning normal hazardous waste for that day at normal feed rates for that day and when the air pollution control system is operated under normal conditions. During interim status, hazardous waste analysis for metals content must be sufficient for the owner or operator to determine if changes in metals content may affect the ability of the facility to meet the metals emissions standards established under § 266.106(c) or (d). Under this option, operating limits (under paragraph (c)(1) of
(1) Feed rate of total hazardous waste;
(2) Total feed rate of chlorine and chloride in total feed streams;
(3) Total feed rate of ash in total feed streams, except that the ash feed rate for cement kilns and light-weight aggregate kilns is not limited;
(4) Carbon monoxide concentration, and where required, hydrocarbon concentration in stack gas;
(5) Maximum production rate of the device in appropriate units when producing normal product; or

(C) Conduct compliance testing to determine compliance with the metals standards to establish limits on the operating parameters of paragraph (c)(1) of this section only after the kiln system has been conditioned to enable it to reach equilibrium with respect to metals fed into the system and metals emissions. During conditioning, hazardous waste and raw materials having the same metals content as will be fed during the compliance test must be fed at the feed rates that will be fed during the compliance test.

(iii) Conduct of compliance testing. (A) If compliance with all applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104 through 266.107 is not demonstrated simultaneously during a set of test runs, the operating conditions of additional test runs required to demonstrate compliance with remaining emissions standards must be as close as possible to the original operating conditions.

(B) Prior to obtaining test data for purposes of demonstrating compliance with the applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104 through 266.107 or establishing limits on operating parameters under this section, the facility must operate under compliance test conditions for a sufficient period to reach steady-state operations. Industrial furnaces that recycle collected particulate matter back into the furnace and that comply with paragraphs (c)(3)(iii)(A) or (B) of this section, however, need not reach steady state conditions with respect to the flow of metals in the system prior to beginning compliance testing for metals.

(C) Compliance test data on the level of an operating parameter for which a limit must be established in the certification of compliance must be obtained during emissions sampling for the pollutant(s) (i.e., metals, PM, HCl/Cl₂, organic compounds) for which the parameter must be established as specified by paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(4) Certification of compliance. Within 90 days of completing compliance testing, the owner or operator must certify to the Director compliance with the emissions standards of §§ 266.104(b), (c), and (e), 266.105, 266.106, 266.107, and paragraph (a)(5)(I)(D) of this section. The certification of compliance must include the following information:

(1) General facility and testing information including;
(A) EPA facility ID number;
(B) Facility name, contact person, telephone number, and address;
(C) Person responsible for conducting compliance testing, including company name, address, and telephone number, and a statement of qualifications;
(D) Date(s) of each compliance test;
(E) Description of boiler or industrial furnace tested;
(F) Person responsible for quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC), title, and telephone number, and statement that procedures prescribed in the QA/QC plan submitted under § 266.103(c)(2)(iii) have been followed, or a description of any changes and an explanation of why changes were necessary.

(G) Description of any changes in the unit configuration prior to or during testing that would alter any of the information submitted in the prior notice of compliance testing under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, and an explanation of why the changes were necessary;

(H) Description of any changes in the planned test conditions prior to or during the testing that alter any of the information submitted in the prior notice of compliance testing under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, and an explanation of why the changes were necessary; and
(1) The complete report on results of emissions testing.

(11) Specific information on each test including:

(A) Purpose(s) of test (e.g., demonstrate conformance with the emissions limits for particulate matter, metals, HCl, Cl₂, and CO)

(B) Summary of test results for each run and for each test including the following information:

(1) Date of run;

(2) Duration of run;

(3) Time-weighted average and highest hourly rolling average CO level for each run and for the test;

(4) Highest hourly rolling average BC level, if BC monitoring is required for each run and for the test;

(5) If dioxin and furan testing is required under § 266.104(e), time-weighted average emissions for each run and for the test of chlorinated dioxin and furan emissions, and the predicted maximum annual average ground level concentration of the toxicity equivalency factor;

(6) Time-weighted average particulate matter emissions for each run and for the test;

(7) Time-weighted average HCl and Cl₂ emissions for each run and for the test;

(8) Time-weighted average emissions for the metals subject to regulation under § 266.106 for each run and for the test; and

(9) QA/QC results.

(iii) Comparison of the actual emissions during each test with the emissions limits prescribed by §§ 266.104(b), (c), and (e), 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107 and established for the facility in the certification of precompliance under paragraph (b) of this section.

(iv) Determination of operating limits based on all valid runs of the compliance test for each applicable parameter listed in paragraph (c)(1) of this section using either of the following procedures:

(A) Instantaneous limits. A parameter may be measured and recorded on an instantaneous basis (i.e., the value that occurs at any time) and the operating limit specified as the time-weighted average during all runs of the compliance test; or

(B) Hourly rolling average basis. (1) The limit for a parameter may be established and continuously monitored on an hourly rolling average basis defined as follows:

(1) A continuous monitor is one which continuously samples the regulated parameter without interruption, and evaluates the detector response at least once each 15 seconds, and computes and records the average value at least every 60 seconds.

(11) An hourly rolling average is the arithmetic mean of the 60 most recent 1-minute average values recorded by the continuous monitoring system.

(2) The operating limit for the parameter shall be established based on compliance test data as the average over all test runs of the highest hourly rolling average value for each run.

(C) Rolling average limits for carcinogenic metals and lead. Feed rate limits for the carcinogenic metals (i.e., arsenic, beryllium, cadmium and chromium) and lead may be established either on an hourly rolling average basis as prescribed by paragraph (c)(4)(iv)(B) of this section or on (up to) a 24 hour rolling average basis. If the owner or operator elects to use an averaging period from 2 to 24 hours:

(1) The feed rate of each metal shall be limited at any time to ten times the feed rate that would be allowed on a hourly rolling average basis;

(2) The continuous monitor shall meet the following specifications:
(1) A continuous monitor is one which continuously samples the regulated parameter without interruption, and evaluates the detector response at least once each 15 seconds, and computes and records the average value at least every 60 seconds.

(11) The rolling average for the selected averaging period is defined as arithmetic mean of one hour block averages for the averaging period. A one hour block average is the arithmetic mean of the one minute averages recorded during the 60-minute period beginning at one minute after the beginning of preceding clock hour; and

(3) The operating limit for the feed rate of each metal shall be established based on compliance test data as the average over all test runs of the highest hourly rolling average feed rate for each run.

(D) Feed rate limits for metals, total chloride and chlorine, and ash. Feed rate limits for metals, total chlorine and chloride, and ash are established and monitored by knowing the concentration of the substance (i.e., metals, chloride/chlorine, and ash) in each feedstream and the flow rate of the feedstream. To monitor the feed rate of these substances, the flow rate of each feedstream must be monitored under the continuous monitoring requirements of paragraphs (c)(4)(iv)(A) through (C) of this section.

(v) Certification of compliance statement. The following statement shall accompany the certification of compliance:

"I certify under penalty of law that this information was prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information and supporting documentation. Copies of all emissions tests, dispersion modeling results and other information used to determine conformance with the requirements of 40 CFR 266.103(c) are available at the facility and can be obtained from the facility contact person listed above. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manages the facility, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

I also acknowledge that the operating conditions established in this certification pursuant to 40 CFR 266.103(c)(4)(iv) are enforceable limits at which the facility can legally operate during interim status until a revised certification of compliance is submitted."

(5) Special requirements for BC monitoring systems. When an owner or operator is required to comply with the hydrocarbon (HC) controls provided by 40 CFR 266.104(c) or paragraph (a)(5)(1)(D) of this section, a conditioned gas monitoring system may be used in conformance with specifications provided in appendix IX of this part provided that the owner or operator submits a certification of compliance without using extensions of time provided by paragraph (c)(7) of this section.

(6) Special operating requirements for industrial furnaces that recycle collected PM. Owners and operators of industrial furnaces that recycle back into the furnace particulate matter (PM) from the air pollution control system must:

(1) When complying with the requirements of paragraph (c)(3)(11)(A) of this section, comply with the operating requirements prescribed in "Alternative Method to Implement the Metals Controls" in appendix IX of this part; and

(11) When complying with the requirements of paragraph (c)(3)(11)(B) of this section, comply with the operating requirements prescribed by that paragraph.

(7) Extensions of time. (1) If the owner or operator does not submit a complete certification of compliance for all of the applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107 by August 21, 1992, he/she must either:

(A) Stop burning hazardous waste and begin closure activities under paragraph (1) of this section for the hazardous waste portion of the facility; or

(B) Limit hazardous waste burning only for purposes of compliance testing (and pretesting to prepare for compliance testing) a total period of 720 hours for the period of time beginning August 21, 1992, submit a notification to the Director by August 21, 1992 stating that the facility is operating under restricted interim status and intends to resume burning hazardous waste, and submit a complete certification of compliance by August 23, 1993; or

(C) Obtain a case-by-case extension of time under paragraph (c)(7)(11) of this section.
The owner or operator may request a case-by-case extension of time to extend any time limit provided by paragraph (c) of this section if compliance with the time limit is not practicable for reasons beyond the control of the owner or operator.

(A) In granting an extension, the Director may apply conditions as the facts warrant to ensure timely compliance with the requirements of this section and that the facility operates in a manner that does not pose a hazard to human health and the environment;

(B) When an owner and operator request an extension of time to enable them to obtain a RCRA operating permit because the facility cannot meet the HC limit of § 266.104(c) of this chapter:

(1) The Director shall, in considering whether to grant the extension:

(i) Determine whether the owner and operator have submitted in a timely manner a complete part B permit application that includes information required under § 270.22(b) of this chapter; and

(ii) Consider whether the owner and operator have made a good faith effort to certify compliance with all other emission controls, including the controls on dioxins and furans of § 266.104(e) and the controls on PM, metals, and HCl/Cl₂.

(2) If an extension is granted, the Director shall, as a condition of the extension, require the facility to operate under flue gas concentration limits on CO and HC that, based on available information, including information in the part B permit application, are baseline CO and HC levels as defined by § 266.104(f)(1).

(8) Revised certification of compliance. The owner or operator may submit at any time a revised certification of compliance (recertification of compliance) under the following procedures:

(i) Prior to submittal of a revised certification of compliance, hazardous waste may not be burned for more than a total of 720 hours under operating conditions that exceed those established under a current certification of compliance, and such burning may be conducted only for purposes of determining whether the facility can operate under revised conditions and continue to meet the applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107;

(ii) At least 30 days prior to first burning hazardous waste under operating conditions that exceed those established under a current certification of compliance, the owner or operator shall notify the Director and submit the following information:

(A) EPA facility ID number, and facility name, contact person, telephone number, and address;

(B) Operating conditions that the owner or operator is seeking to revise and description of the changes in facility design or operation that prompted the need to seek to revise the operating conditions;

(C) A determination that when operating under the revised operating conditions, the applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107 are not likely to be exceeded. To document this determination, the owner or operator shall submit the applicable information required under paragraph (b)(2) of this section; and

(D) Complete emissions testing protocol for any pretesting and for a new compliance test to determine compliance with the applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107 when operating under revised operating conditions. The protocol shall include a schedule of pre-testing and compliance testing. If the owner and operator revises the scheduled date for the compliance test, he/she shall notify the Director in writing at least 30 days prior to the revised date of the compliance test;

(iii) Conduct a compliance test under the revised operating conditions and the protocol submitted to the Director to determine compliance with the applicable emissions standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107; and

(iv) Submit a revised certification of compliance under paragraph (c)(4) of this section.

(d) Periodic Recertifications. The owner or operator must conduct compliance testing and submit to the Director a recertification of compliance under provisions of paragraph (c) of this section within three years from submitting the previous certification or recertification. If the owner or operator seeks to recertify compliance under new operating conditions, he/she must comply with the requirements of paragraph (c)(8) of this section.
Part

(a) Noncompliance with certification schedule. If the owner or operator does not comply with the interim status compliance schedule provided by paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section, hazardous waste burning must terminate on the date that the deadline is missed. Closure activities must begin under paragraph (1) of this section, and hazardous waste burning may not resume except under an operating permit issued under §270.66 of this chapter.

(f) Start-up and shut-down. Hazardous waste (except waste fed solely as an ingredient under the Tier I (or adjusted Tier I) feed rate screening limits for metals and chlorides/chlorine) must not be fed into the device during start-up and shut-down of the boiler or industrial furnace, unless the device is operating within the conditions of operation specified in the certification of compliance.

(g) Automatic waste feed cutoff. During the compliance test required by paragraph (c)(3) of this section, and upon certification of compliance under paragraph (c) of this section, a boiler or industrial furnace must be operated with a functioning system that automatically cuts off the hazardous waste feed when the applicable operating conditions specified in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (v through xiii) of this section deviate from those established in the certification of compliance. In addition:

(1) To minimize emissions of organic compounds, the minimum combustion chamber temperature (or the indicator of combustion chamber temperature) that occurred during the compliance test must be maintained while hazardous waste or hazardous waste residues remain in the combustion chamber, with the minimum temperature during the compliance test defined as either:

(i) If compliance with the combustion chamber temperature limit is based on a hourly rolling average, the minimum temperature during the compliance test is considered to be the average over all runs of the lowest hourly rolling average for each run; or

(ii) If compliance with the combustion chamber temperature limit is based on an instantaneous temperature measurement, the minimum temperature during the compliance test is considered to be the time-weighted average temperature during all runs of the test; and

(2) Operating parameters limited by the certification of compliance must continue to be monitored during the cutoff, and the hazardous waste feed shall not be restarted until the levels of those parameters comply with the limits established in the certification of compliance.

(h) Fugitive emissions. Fugitive emissions must be controlled by:

(1) Keeping the combustion zone totally sealed against fugitive emissions; or

(2) Maintaining the combustion zone pressure lower than atmospheric pressure; or

(3) An alternate means of control that the owner or operator can demonstrate provide fugitive emissions control equivalent to maintenance of combustion zone pressure lower than atmospheric pressure. Support for such demonstration shall be included in the operating record.

(i) Changes. A boiler or industrial furnace must cease burning hazardous waste when changes in combustion properties, or feed rates of the hazardous waste, other fuels, or industrial furnace feedstocks, or changes in the boiler or industrial furnace design or operating conditions deviate from the limits specified in the certification of compliance.

(j) Monitoring and inspections. (1) The owner or operator must monitor and record the following, at a minimum, while burning hazardous waste:

(1) Feed rates and composition of hazardous waste, other fuels, and industrial furnace feed stocks, and feed rates of ash, metals, and total chloride and chlorine as necessary to ensure conformance with the certification of precompliance or certification of compliance;

(11) Carbon monoxide (CO), oxygen, and if applicable, hydrocarbons (HC), on a continuous basis at a common point in the boiler or industrial furnace downstream of the combustion zone and prior to release of stack gases to the atmosphere in accordance with the operating limits specified in the certification of compliance. CO, HC, and oxygen monitors must be installed, operated, and maintained in accordance with methods specified in Appendix IX of this part.

(111) Upon the request of the Director, sampling and analysis of the hazardous waste (and other fuels and industrial furnace feed stocks as appropriate) and the stack gas emissions must be conducted to verify that the operating conditions established in the certification of precompliance or certification of compliance achieve the applicable standards of §§ 266.104, 266.105, 266.106, and 266.107.
[2] The boiler or industrial furnace and associated equipment (pumps, valves, pipes, fuel storage tanks, etc.) must be subjected to thorough visual inspection when they contain hazardous waste, at least daily for leaks, spills, fugitive emissions, and signs of tampering.

[3] The automatic hazardous waste feed cutoff system and associated alarms must be tested at least once every 7 days when hazardous waste is burned to verify operability, unless the owner or operator can demonstrate that weekly inspections will unduly restrict or upset operations and that less frequent inspections will be adequate. Support for such demonstration shall be included in the operating record. At a minimum, operational testing must be conducted at least once every 30 days.

[4] These monitoring and inspection data must be recorded and the records must be placed in the operating log.

[k] Recordkeeping. The owner or operator must keep in the operating record of the facility all information and data required by this section for a period of three years.

[1] Closure. At closure, the owner or operator must remove all hazardous waste and hazardous waste residues (including, but not limited to, ash, scrubber waters, and scrubber sludges) from the boiler or industrial furnace and must comply with §§ 265.111-265.115 of this chapter.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0073)
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$ 266.104 Standards to control organic emissions.

[a] DRE Standard-(1) General. Except as provided in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, a boiler or industrial furnace burning hazardous waste must achieve a destruction and removal efficiency (DRE) of 99.99% for all organic hazardous constituents in the waste feed. To demonstrate conformance with this requirement, 99.99% DRE must be demonstrated during a trial burn for each principal organic hazardous constituent (POHC) designated (under paragraph (a)(2) of this section) in its permit for each waste feed. DRE is determined for each POHC from the following equation:

\[
DRE = \left[1- \frac{W_{\text{out}}}{W_{\text{in}}} \right] \times 100
\]

where:

\( W_{\text{in}} \) = Mass feed rate of one principal organic hazardous constituent (POHC) in the hazardous waste fired to the boiler or industrial furnace; and

\( W_{\text{out}} \) = Mass emission rate of the same POHC present in stack gas prior to release to the atmosphere.

(2) Designation of POHCs. Principal organic hazardous constituents (POHCs) are those compounds for which compliance with the DRE requirements of this section shall be demonstrated in a trial burn in conformance with procedures prescribed in § 270.66 of this chapter. One or more POHCs shall be designated by the Director for each waste feed to be burned. POHCs shall be designated based on the degree of difficulty of destruction of the organic constituents in the waste and on their concentrations or mass in the waste feed considering the results of waste analyses submitted with part B of the permit application. POHCs are most likely to be selected from among those compounds listed in part 261, appendix VIII of this chapter that are also present in the normal waste feed. However, if the applicant demonstrates to the Regional Administrator's satisfaction that a compound not listed in appendix VIII or not present in the normal waste feed is a suitable indicator of compliance with the DRE requirements of this section, that compound may be designated as a POHC. Such POHCs need not be toxic or organic compounds.

(3) Dioxin-listed waste. A boiler or industrial furnace burning hazardous waste containing (or derived from) EPA Hazardous Wastes Nos. F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, or F027 must achieve a destruction and removal efficiency (DRE) of 99.9999% for each POHC designated (under paragraph (a)(2) of this section) in its permit. This performance must be demonstrated on POHCs that are more difficult to burn than tetra-, penta-, and hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofuranes. DRE is determined for each POHC from the equation in paragraph (a)(1) of this section. In addition, the owner or operator of the boiler or industrial furnace must notify the Director of intent to burn EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, or F027.
(4) Automatic waiver of DRE trial burn. Owners and operators of boilers operated under the special operating requirements provided by § 266.110 are considered to be in compliance with the DRE standard of paragraph (a)(1) of this section and are exempt from the DRE trial burn.

(5) Low risk waste. Owners and operators of boilers or industrial furnaces that burn hazardous waste in compliance with the requirements of § 266.109(a) are considered to be in compliance with the DRE standard of paragraph (a)(1) of this section and are exempt from the DRE trial burn.

(b) Carbon monoxide standard. (1) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the stack gas concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) from a boiler or industrial furnace burning hazardous waste cannot exceed 100 ppmv on an hourly rolling average basis (i.e., over any 60 minute period), continuously corrected to 7 percent oxygen, dry gas basis.

(2) CO and oxygen shall be continuously monitored in conformance with "Performance Specifications for Continuous Emission Monitoring of Carbon Monoxide and Oxygen for Incinerators, Boilers, and Industrial Furnaces Burning Hazardous Waste" in appendix IX of this part.

(3) Compliance with the 100 ppmv CO limit must be demonstrated during the trial burn (for new facilities or an interim status facility applying for a permit) or the compliance test (for interim status facilities). To demonstrate compliance, the highest hourly rolling average CO level during any valid run of the trial burn or compliance test must not exceed 100 ppmv.

(c) Alternative carbon monoxide standard. (1) The stack gas concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) from a boiler or industrial furnace burning hazardous waste may exceed the 100 ppmv limit provided that stack gas concentrations of hydrocarbons (HC) do not exceed 20 ppmv, except as provided by paragraph (f) of this section for certain industrial furnaces.

(2) HC limits must be established under this section on an hourly rolling average basis (i.e., over any 60 minute period), reported as propane, and continuously corrected to 7 percent oxygen, dry gas basis.

(3) HC shall be continuously monitored in conformance with "Performance Specifications for Continuous Emission Monitoring of Hydrocarbons for Incinerators, Boilers, and Industrial Furnaces Burning Hazardous Waste" in appendix IX of this part. CO and oxygen shall be continuously monitored in conformance with paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(4) The alternative CO standard is established based on CO data during the trial burn (for a new facility) and the compliance test (for an interim status facility). The alternative CO standard is the average over all valid runs of the highest hourly average CO level for each run. The CO limit is implemented on an hourly rolling average basis, and continuously corrected to 7 percent oxygen, dry gas basis.

(d) Special requirements for furnaces. Owners and operators of industrial furnaces (e.g., kilns, cupolas) that feed hazardous waste for a purpose other than solely as an ingredient (see § 266.103(a)(5)(ii)) at any location other than the end where products are normally discharged and where fuels are normally fired must comply with the hydrocarbon limits provided by paragraphs (c) or (f) of this section irrespective of whether stack gas CO concentrations meet the 100 ppmv limit of paragraph (b) of this section.

(e) Controls for dioxins and furans. Owners and operators of boilers and industrial furnaces that are equipped with a dry particulate matter control device that operates within the temperature range of 450-750 °F, and industrial furnaces operating under an alternative hydrocarbon limit established under paragraph (f) of this section must conduct a site-specific risk assessment as follows to demonstrate that emissions of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans do not result in an increased lifetime cancer risk to the hypothetical maximum exposed individual (MEI) exceeding 1 in 100,000:

(1) During the trial burn (for new facilities or an interim status facility applying for a permit) or compliance test (for interim status facilities), determine emission rates of the tetra-octa congeners of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (CDDs/CDFs) using Method 21, "Determination of Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins (PCDDs) and Polychlorinated Dibenzo-Furans (PCDFs) from Stationary Sources", in appendix IX of this part;

(2) Estimate the 2,3,7,8-TCDD toxicity equivalence of the tetra-octa CDDs/CDFs congeners using "Procedures for Estimating the Toxicity Equivalence of Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxin and Dibenzofuran Congeners" in appendix IX of this part. Multiply the emission rates of CDD/CDF congeners with a toxicity equivalence greater than zero (see the procedure) by the calculated toxicity equivalence factor to estimate the equivalent emission rate of 2,3,7,8-TCDD;

(3) Conduct dispersion modeling using methods recommended in Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised) or the "Hazardous Waste Combustion Air Quality Screening Procedure", which are provided in appendices X and IX,
respectively, of this part, or "EFIA SCREEN Screening Procedure" as described in Screening Procedures for Estimating Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources (incorporated by reference in §260.11) to predict the maximum annual average off-site ground level concentration of 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalents determined under paragraph (e)(2) of this section. The maximum annual average on-site concentration must be used when a person resides on-site; and

(4) The ratio of the predicted maximum annual average ground level concentration of 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalents to the risk-specific dose for 2,3,7,8-TCDD provided in appendix V of this part (2.2 X 10^{-6}) shall not exceed 1.0.

(f) Alternative BC limit for furnaces with organic matter in raw material. For industrial furnaces that cannot meet the 20 ppm BC limit because of organic matter in normal raw material, the Director may establish an alternative BC limit on a case-by-case basis (under a part B permit proceeding) at a level that ensures that flue gas BC (and CO) concentrations when burning hazardous waste are not greater than when not burning hazardous waste (the baseline BC level) provided that the owner or operator complies with the following requirements. However, cement kilns equipped with a by-pass duct meeting the requirements of paragraph (g) of this section, are not eligible for an alternative BC limit.

(1) The owner or operator must demonstrate that the facility is designed and operated to minimize hydrocarbon emissions from fuels and raw materials when the baseline BC (and CO) level is determined. The baseline BC (and CO) level is defined as the average over all valid test runs of the highest hourly rolling average value for each run when the facility does not burn hazardous waste, and produces normal products under normal operating conditions feeding normal feedstocks and fuels. More than one baseline level may be determined if the facility operates under different modes that may generate significantly different BC (and CO) levels;

(2) The owner or operator must develop an approach to monitor over time changes in the operation of the facility that could reduce the baseline BC level;

(3) The owner or operator must conduct emissions testing during the trial burn to:

(i) Determine the baseline BC (and CO) level;

(ii) Demonstrate that, when hazardous waste is burned, BC (and CO) levels do not exceed the baseline level; and

(iii) Identify the types and concentrations of organic compounds listed in appendix VIII, part 261 of this chapter, that are emitted and conduct dispersion modeling to predict the maximum annual average ground level concentration of each organic compound. On-site ground level concentrations must be considered for this evaluation if a person resides on site.

(A) Sampling and analysis of organic emissions shall be conducted using procedures prescribed by the Director.

(B) Dispersion modeling shall be conducted according to procedures provided by paragraph (e)(2) of this section; and

(iv) Demonstrate that maximum annual average ground level concentrations of the organic compounds identified in paragraph (f)(3)(iii) of this section do not exceed the following levels:

(A) For the noncarcinogenic compounds listed in appendix IV of this part, the levels established in appendix IV;

(B) For the carcinogenic compounds listed in appendix V of this part, the sum for all compounds of the ratio of the actual ground level concentration to the level established in appendix V cannot exceed 1.0. To estimate the health risk from chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofuran congeners, use the procedures prescribed by paragraph (e)(3) of this section to estimate the 2,3,7,8-TCDD toxicity equivalence of the congeners.

(C) For compounds not listed in appendix IV or V, 0.1 micrograms per cubic meter.

(4) All hydrocarbon levels specified under this paragraph are to be monitored and reported as specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section.

(g) Monitoring CO and BC in the by-pass duct of a cement kiln. Cement kilns may comply with the carbon monoxide and hydrocarbon limits provided by paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section by monitoring in the by-pass duct provided that:
(1) Hazardous waste is fired only into the kiln and not at any location downstream from the kiln exit relative to the direction of gas flow; and

(2) The by-pass duct diverts a minimum of 10% of kiln off-gas into the duct.

(h) Use of emissions test data to demonstrate compliance and establish operating limits. Compliance with the requirements of this section must be demonstrated simultaneously by emissions testing or during separate runs under identical operating conditions. Further, data to demonstrate compliance with the CO and HC limits of this section or to establish alternative CO or HC limits under this section must be obtained during the time that DRE testing, and where applicable, CDD/CDP testing under paragraph (e) of this section and comprehensive organic emissions testing under paragraph (f) is conducted.

(i) Enforcement. For the purposes of permit enforcement, compliance with the operating requirements specified in the permit (under § 266.102) will be regarded as compliance with this section. However, evidence that compliance with those permit conditions is insufficient to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section may be "information" justifying modification or revocation and re-issuance of a permit under § 270.41 of this chapter.

[56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32689, July 17, 1991]

§ 266.105 Standards to control particulate matter.

(a) A boiler or industrial furnace burning hazardous waste may not emit particulate matter in excess of 180 milligrams per dry standard cubic meter (0.08 grains per dry standard cubic foot) after correction to a stack gas concentration of 7% oxygen, using procedures prescribed in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, methods 1 through 5, and appendix IX of this part.

(b) An owner or operator meeting the requirements of § 266.109(b) for the low risk waste exemption is exempt from the particulate matter standard.

(c) For the purposes of permit enforcement, compliance with the operating requirements specified in the permit (under § 266.102) will be regarded as compliance with this section. However, evidence that compliance with those permit conditions is insufficient to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section may be "information" justifying modification or revocation and re-issuance of a permit under § 270.41 of this chapter.

§ 266.106 Standards to control metals emissions.

(a) General. The owner or operator must comply with the metals standards provided by paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e), or (f) of this section for each metal listed in paragraph (b) of this section that is present in the hazardous waste at detectable levels using analytical procedures specified in Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods (SW-846), incorporated by reference in § 260.11 of this chapter.

(b) Tier I feed rate screening limits. Feed rate screening limits for metals are specified in appendix I of this part as a function of terrain-adjusted effective stack height and terrain and land use in the vicinity of the facility. Criteria for facilities that are not eligible to comply with the screening limits are provided in paragraph (b)(7) of this section.

(1) Noncarcinogenic metals. The feed rates of antimony, barium, lead, mercury, thallium, and silver in all feed streams, including hazardous waste, fuels, and industrial furnace feed stocks shall not exceed the screening limits specified in appendix I of this part.

(i) The feed rate screening limits for antimony, barium, mercury, thallium, and silver are based on either:

(A) An hourly rolling average as defined in § 266.102(e)(6)(1)(B); or

(B) An instantaneous limit not to be exceeded at any time.

(ii) The feed rate screening limit for lead is based on one of the following:

(A) An hourly rolling average as defined in § 266.102(a)(6)(1)(B);

(B) An averaging period of 2 to 24 hours as defined in § 266.102(a)(6)(11) with an instantaneous feed rate limit not to exceed 10 times the feed rate that would be allowed on an hourly rolling average basis; or

(C) An instantaneous limit not to be exceeded at any time.
(2) Carcinogenic metals. (i) The feed rates of arsenic, cadmium, beryllium, and chromium in all feed streams, including hazardous waste, fuels, and industrial furnace feed stocks shall not exceed values derived from the screening limits specified in appendix I of this part. The feed rate of each of these metals is limited to a level such that the sum of the ratios of the actual feed rate to the feed rate screening limit specified in appendix I shall not exceed 1.0, as provided by the following equation:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{AFR_i}{FRL_i} \leq 1.0
\]

where:

- \( n \) = number of carcinogenic metals
- \( AFR_i \) = actual feed rate to the device for metal \( i \)
- \( FRL_i \) = feed rate screening limit provided by appendix I of this part for metal \( i \).

(ii) The feed rate screening limits for the carcinogenic metals are based on either:

(A) An hourly rolling average; or

(B) An averaging period of 2 to 24 hours as defined in § 266.102(e)(6)(ii) with an instantaneous feed rate limit not to exceed 10 times the feed rate that would be allowed on an hourly rolling average basis.

(3) TESH. (i) The terrain-adjusted effective stack height is determined according to the following equation:

\[
TESH = H_a + H_i - Tr
\]

where:

- \( H_a \) = Actual physical stack height
- \( H_i \) = Plume rise as determined from appendix VI of this part as a function of stack flow rate and stack gas exhaust temperature.
- \( Tr \) = Terrain rise within five kilometers of the stack.

(ii) If the TESH for a particular facility is not listed in the table in the appendices, the nearest lower TESH listed in the table shall be used. If the TESH is four meters or less, a value of four meters shall be used.

(iii) If the TESH for a particular facility is not listed in the table in the appendices, the nearest lower TESH listed in the table shall be used. If the TESH is four meters or less, a value of four meters shall be used.

(4) Terrain type. The screening limits are a function of whether the facility is located in noncomplex or complex terrain. A device located where any part of the surrounding terrain within 5 kilometers of the stack equals or exceeds the elevation of the physical stack height (\( H_a \)) is considered to be in complex terrain and the screening limits for complex terrain apply. Terrain measurements are to be made from U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute topographic maps of the area surrounding the facility.

(5) Land use. The screening limits are a function of whether the facility is located in an area where the land use is urban or rural. To determine whether land use in the vicinity of the facility is urban or rural, procedures provided in appendices IX or X of this part shall be used.

(6) Multiple stacks. Owners and operators of facilities with more than one on-site stack from a boiler, industrial furnace, incinerator, or other thermal treatment unit subject to controls of metals emissions under a RCRA operating permit or interim status controls must comply with the screening limits for all such units assuming all hazardous waste is fed into the device with the worst-case stack based on dispersion characteristics. The worst-case stack is determined from the following equation as applied to each stack:

\[
K = RVT
\]

where:

- \( K \) = a parameter accounting for relative influence of stack height and plume rise;
H = physical stack height (meters);
V = stack gas flow rate (m³/second); and
T = exhaust temperature (°K).

The stack with the lowest value of K is the worst-case stack.

(7) Criteria for facilities not eligible for screening limits. If any criteria below are met, the Tier I (and Tier II) screening limits do not apply. Owners and operators of such facilities must comply with the Tier III standards provided by paragraph (d) of this section.

(i) The device is located in a narrow valley less than one kilometer wide;

(ii) The device has a stack taller than 20 meters and is located such that the terrain rises to the physical height within one kilometer of the facility;

(iii) The device has a stack taller than 20 meters and is located within five kilometers of a shoreline of a large body of water such as an ocean or large lake;

(iv) The physical stack height of any stack is less than 2.5 times the height of any building within five building heights or five projected building widths of the stack and the distance from the stack to the closest boundary is within five building heights or five projected building widths of the associated building; or

(v) The Director determines that standards based on site-specific dispersion modeling are required.

(8) Implementation. The feed rate of metals in each feedstream must be monitored to ensure that the feed rate screening limits are not exceeded.

(c) Tier II emission rate screening limits. Emission rate screening limits are specified in Appendix I as a function of terrain-adjusted effective stack height and terrain and land use in the vicinity of the facility. Criteria for facilities that are not eligible to comply with the screening limits are provided in paragraph (b)(7) of this section.

(1) Noncarcinogenic metals. The emission rates of antimony, barium, lead, mercury, thallium, and silver shall not exceed the screening limits specified in Appendix I of this part.

(2) Carcinogenic metals. The emission rates of arsenic, cadmium, beryllium, and chromium shall not exceed values derived from the screening limits specified in Appendix I of this part. The emission rate of each of these metals is limited to a level such that the sum of the ratios of the actual emission rate to the emission rate screening limit specified in Appendix I shall not exceed 1.0, as provided by the following equation:

\[
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{\text{ERL}}(i)}{A_{\text{ER}}(1)} \times 1.0
\]

where:

n = number of carcinogenic metals
AER = actual emission rate for metal "i"
EERL = emission rate screening limit provided by appendix I of this part for metal "i".

(3) Implementation. The emission rate limits must be implemented by limiting feed rates of the individual metals to levels during the trial burn (for new facilities or an interim status facility applying for a permit) or the compliance test (for interim status facilities). The feed rate averaging periods are the same as provided by paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (ii) and (b)(2)(ii) of this section. The feed rate of metals in each feedstream must be monitored to ensure that the feed rate limits for the feedstreams specified under §§ 266.102 and 266.103 are not exceeded.

(4) Definitions and limitations. The definitions and limitations provided by paragraph (b) of this section for the following terms also apply to the Tier II emission rate screening limits provided by paragraph (c) of this section: terrain-adjusted effective stack height, good engineering practice stack height, terrain type, land use, and criteria for facilities not eligible to use the screening limits.
(5) Multiple stacks. (i) Owners and operators of facilities with more than one on-site stack from a boiler, industrial furnace, incinerator, or other thermal treatment unit subject to controls on metals emissions under a RCRA operating permit or interim status controls must comply with the emissions screening limits for any such stacks assuming all hazardous waste is fed into the device with the worst-case stack based on dispersion characteristics.

(ii) The worst-case stack is determined by procedures provided in paragraph (b)(6) of this section.

(iii) For each metal, the total emissions of the metal from those stacks shall not exceed the screening limit for the worst-case stack.

(d) Tier III site-specific risk assessment. (1) General. Conformance with the Tier III metals controls must be demonstrated by emissions testing to determine the emission rate for each metal, air dispersion modeling to predict the maximum annual average off-site ground level concentration for each metal, and a demonstration that acceptable ambient levels are not exceeded.

(2) Acceptable ambient levels. Appendices IV and V of this part list the acceptable ambient levels for purposes of this rule. Reference air concentrations (RACs) are listed for the noncarcinogenic metals and 10^{-5} risk-specific doses (RSDs) are listed for the carcinogenic metals. The RSD for a metal is the acceptable ambient level for that metal provided that only one of the four carcinogenic metals is emitted. If more than one carcinogenic metal is emitted, the acceptable ambient level for the carcinogenic metals is a fraction of the RSD as described in paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(3) Carcinogenic metals. For the carcinogenic metals, arsenic, cadmium, beryllium, and chromium, the sum of the ratios of the predicted maximum annual average off-site ground level concentrations (except that on-site concentrations must be considered if a person resides on site) to the risk-specific dose (RSD) for all carcinogenic metals emitted shall not exceed 1.0 as determined by the following equation:

\[ \frac{n}{1} \]

\[ \frac{\text{Risk-Specific Dose}}{\text{Predicted Ambient Concentration}} \]

\[ \frac{51.0}{i} \]

where: \( n \) = number of carcinogenic metals

(4) Noncarcinogenic metals. For the noncarcinogenic metals, the predicted maximum annual average off-site ground level concentration for each metal shall not exceed the reference air concentration (RAC).

(5) Multiple stacks. Owners and operators of facilities with more than one on-site stack from a boiler, industrial furnace, incinerator, or other thermal treatment unit subject to controls on metals emissions under a RCRA operating permit or interim status controls must conduct emissions testing and dispersion modeling to demonstrate that the aggregate emissions from all such on-site stacks do not result in an exceedance of the acceptable ambient levels.

(6) Implementation. Under Tier III, the metals controls must be implemented by limiting feed rates of the individual metals to levels during the trial burn (for new facilities or an interim status facility applying for a permit) or the compliance test (for interim status facilities). The feed rate averaging periods are the same as provided by paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (ii) and (b)(2)(ii) of this section. The feed rate of metals in each feedstream must be monitored to ensure that the feed rate limits for the feedstreams specified under §§ 266.102 or 266.103 are not exceeded.

(e) Adjusted Tier I feed rate screening limits. The owner or operator may adjust the feed rate screening limits provided by appendix I of this part to account for site-specific dispersion modeling. Under this approach, the adjusted feed rate screening limit for a metal is determined by back-calculating from the acceptable ambient levels provided by appendices IV and V of this part using dispersion modeling to determine the maximum allowable emission rate. This emission rate becomes the adjusted Tier I feed rate screening limit. The feed rate screening limits for carcinogenic metals are implemented as prescribed in paragraph (b)(2) of this section.
(f) Alternative implementation approaches. (1) The Director may approve on a case-by-case basis approaches to implement the Tier II or Tier III metals emission limits provided by paragraphs (c) or (d) of this section alternative to monitoring the feed rate of metals in each feedstream.

(2) The emission limits provided by paragraph (d) of this section must be determined as follows:

(i) For each noncarcinogenic metal, by back-calculating from the RAC provided in appendix IV of this part to determine the allowable emission rate for each metal; and using the dilution factor for the maximum annual average ground level concentration predicted by dispersion modeling in conformance with paragraph (h) of this section; and

(ii) For each carcinogenic metal by:

(A) Back-calculating from the RAC provided in appendix V of this part to determine the allowable emission rate for each metal if that metal were the only carcinogenic metal emitted using the dilution factor for the maximum annual average ground level concentration predicted by dispersion modeling in conformance with paragraph (h) of this section;

(B) If more than one carcinogenic metal is emitted, selecting an emission limit for each carcinogenic metal not to exceed the emission rate determined by paragraph (f)(2)(i)(A) of this section such that the sum for all carcinogenic metals of the ratios of the selected emission limit to the emission rate determined by that paragraph does not exceed 1.0.

(g) Emission testing—(1) General. Emission testing for metals shall be conducted using the Multiple Metals Train as described in appendix IX of this part.

(2) Hexavalent chromium. Emissions of chromium are assumed to be hexavalent chromium unless the owner or operator conducts emissions testing to determine hexavalent chromium emissions using procedures prescribed in Appendix IX of this part.

(h) Dispersion modeling. Dispersion modeling required under this section shall be conducted according to methods recommended in appendix X of this part, the "Hazardous Waste Combustion Air Quality Screening Procedure" described in appendix IX of this part, or "EPA SCREEN Screening Procedure" as described in Screening Procedures for Estimating Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources (the latter document is incorporated by reference, see § 260.11) to predict the maximum annual average off-site ground level concentration. However, on-site concentrations must be considered when a person resides on-site.

(1) Enforcement. For the purposes of permit enforcement, compliance with the operating requirements specified in the permit (under § 266.102) will be regarded as compliance with this section. However, evidence that compliance with those permit conditions is insufficient to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section may be "information" justifying modification or revocation and re-issuance of a permit under § 270.41 of this chapter.

[56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32699, July 17, 1991]

§ 266.107 Standards to control hydrogen chloride (HCl) and chlorine gas (Cl₂) emissions.

(a) General. The owner or operator must comply with the hydrogen chloride (HCl) and chlorine (Cl₂) controls provided by paragraphs (b) or (c) of this section.

(b) Screening limits—(1) Tier I feed rate screening limits. Feed rate screening limits are specified for total chlorine in Appendix II of this part as a function of terrain-adjusted effective stack height and terrain and land use in the vicinity of the facility. The feed rate of total chlorine and chloride, both organic and inorganic, in all feed streams, including hazardous waste, fuels, and industrial furnace feed stocks shall not exceed the levels specified.

(2) Tier II emission rate screening limits. Emission rate screening limits for HCl and Cl₂ are specified in Appendix III of this part as a function of terrain-adjusted effective stack height and terrain and land use in the vicinity of the facility. The stack emission rates of HCl and Cl₂ shall not exceed the levels specified.

(3) Definitions and limitations. The definitions and limitations provided by § 266.106(b) for the following terms also apply to the screening limits provided by this paragraph: terrain-adjusted effective stack height, good engineering practice stack height, terrain type, land use, and criteria for facilities not eligible to use the screening limits.
(4) Multiple stacks. Owners and operators of facilities with more than one on-site stack from a boiler, industrial furnace, incinerator, or other thermal treatment unit subject to controls on HCl or Cl₂ emissions under a RCRA operating permit or interim status controls must comply with the Tier I and Tier II screening limits for those stacks assuming all hazardous waste is fed into the device with the worst-case stack based on dispersion characteristics.

(1) The worst-case stack is determined by procedures provided in §266.106(b)(6).

(ii) Under Tier I, the total feed rate of chlorine and chloride to all subject devices shall not exceed the screening limit for the worst-case stack.

(iii) Under Tier II, the total emissions of HCl and Cl₂ from all subject stacks shall not exceed the screening limit for the worst-case stack.

(c) Tier III site-specific risk assessments.—(1) General. Conformance with the Tier III controls must be demonstrated by emissions testing to determine the emission rate for HCl and Cl₂, air dispersion modeling to predict the maximum annual average off-site ground level concentration for each compound, and a demonstration that acceptable ambient levels are not exceeded.

(2) Acceptable ambient levels. Appendix IV of this part lists the reference air concentrations (RACs) for HCl (7 micrograms per cubic meter) and Cl₂ (0.4 micrograms per cubic meter).

(3) Multiple stacks. Owners and operators of facilities with more than one on-site stack from a boiler, industrial furnace, incinerator, or other thermal treatment unit subject to controls on HCl or Cl₂ emissions under a RCRA operating permit or interim status controls must conduct emissions testing and dispersion modeling to demonstrate that the aggregate emissions from all such on-site stacks do not result in an exceedance of the acceptable ambient levels for HCl and Cl₂.

(4) Averaging periods. The HCl and Cl₂ controls are implemented by limiting the feed rate of total chlorine and chloride in all feedstreams, including hazardous waste, fuels, and industrial furnace feed stocks. Under Tier I, the feed rate of total chlorine and chloride is limited to the Tier I Screening Limits. Under Tier II and Tier III, the feed rate of total chlorine and chloride is limited to the feed rates during the trial burn (for new facilities or an interim status facility applying for a permit) or the compliance test (for interim status facilities). The feed rate limits are based on either:

(1) An hourly rolling average as defined in §266.102(a)(6); or

(2) An instantaneous basis not to be exceeded at any time.

(e) Adjusted Tier I feed rate screening limits. The owner or operator may adjust the feed rate screening limit provided by Appendix II of this part to account for site-specific dispersion modeling. Under this approach, the adjusted feed rate screening limit is determined by back-calculating from the acceptable ambient level for Cl₂ provided by Appendix IV of this part using dispersion modeling to determine the maximum allowable emission rate. This emission rate becomes the adjusted Tier I feed rate screening limit.

(f) Emissions testing. Emissions testing for HCl and Cl₂ shall be conducted using the procedures described in Appendix IX of this part.

(g) Dispersion modeling. Dispersion modeling shall be conducted according to the provisions of §266.106(h).

(h) Enforcement. For the purposes of permit enforcement, compliance with the operating requirements specified in the permit (under §266.102) will be regarded as compliance with this section. However, evidence that compliance with those permit conditions is insufficient to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section may be "information justifying modification or revocation and re-issuance of a permit under §270.41 of this chapter.

[56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32690, July 17, 1991]

§266.108 Small quantity on-site burner exemption.

(a) Exempt quantities. Owners and operators of facilities that burn hazardous waste in an on-site boiler or industrial furnace are exempt from the requirements of this section provided that:

(1) The quantity of hazardous waste burned in a device for a calendar month does not exceed the limits provided in the following table based on the terrain-adjusted effective stack height as defined in §266.106(b)(2):
Exempt Quantities for Small Quantity Burner Exemption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain-adjusted effective stack height of device (meters)</th>
<th>Allowable burning rate (gallons/month)</th>
<th>Allowable hazardous waste burning rate (gallons/month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 3.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40.0 to 44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 to 5.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45.0 to 49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 to 7.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50.0 to 54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0 to 9.9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>55.0 to 59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0 to 11.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60.0 to 64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0 to 13.9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>65.0 to 69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0 to 15.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70.0 to 74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0 to 17.9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>75.0 to 79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0 to 19.9</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80.0 to 84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0 to 21.9</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85.0 to 89.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0 to 23.9</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90.0 to 94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0 to 25.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95.0 to 99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.0 to 27.9</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100.0 to 104.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.0 to 29.9</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>105.0 to 109.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0 to 34.9</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>110.0 to 114.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0 to 39.9</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>115.0 or greater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) The maximum hazardous waste firing rate does not exceed at any time 1 percent of the total fuel requirements for the device (hazardous waste plus other fuel) on a volume basis.

(3) The hazardous waste has a minimum heating value of 5,000 Btu/lb, as generated; and

(4) The hazardous waste fuel does not contain (and is not derived from) EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, or F027.

(b) Mixing with nonhazardous fuels. If hazardous waste fuel is mixed with a nonhazardous fuel, the quantity of hazardous waste before such mixing is used to comply with paragraph (a).

(c) Multiple stacks. If an owner or operator burns hazardous waste in more than one on-site boiler or industrial furnace exempt under this section, the quantity limits provided by paragraph (a)(1) of this section are implemented according to the following equation:

\[ \text{Actual Quantity Burned}_{(i)} = \frac{1.0}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Actual Quantity Burned}_{(i)}} \]

where:

- \( n \) means the number of stacks;
- \( \text{Actual Quantity Burned} \) means the waste quantity burned per month in device "i";
- \( \text{Allowable Quantity Burned} \) means the maximum allowable exempt quantity for stack "i" from the table in (a)(1) above.

Note: Hazardous wastes that are subject to the special requirements for small quantity generators under §261.5 of this chapter may be burned in an off-site device under the exemption provided by §266.108, but must be included in the quantity determination for the exemption.
(d) Notification requirements. The owner or operator of facilities qualifying for the small quantity burner exemption under this section must provide a one-time signed, written notice to EPA indicating the following:

1. The combustion unit is operating as a small quantity burner of hazardous waste;
2. The owner and operator are in compliance with the requirements of this section; and
3. The maximum quantity of hazardous waste that the facility may burn per month as provided by 266.108(a)(1).

(e) Recordkeeping requirements. The owner or operator must maintain at the facility for at least three years sufficient records documenting compliance with the hazardous waste quantity, firing rate, and heating value limits of this section. At a minimum, these records must indicate the quantity of hazardous waste and other fuel burned in each unit per calendar month, and the heating value of the hazardous waste.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0073)

[56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32690, July 17, 1991]

§ 266.109 Low risk waste exemption.

(a) Waiver of DRE standard. The DRE standard of § 266.104(a) does not apply if the boiler or industrial furnace is operated in conformance with (a)(1) of this section and the owner or operator demonstrates by procedures prescribed in (a)(2) of this section that the burning will not result in unacceptable adverse health effects.

1. The device shall be operated as follows:

1. A minimum of 50 percent of fuel fired to the device shall be fossil fuel, fuels derived from fossil fuel, tall oil, or, if approved by the Director on a case-by-case basis, other nonhazardous fuel with combustion characteristics comparable to fossil fuel. Such fuels are termed "primary fuel" for purposes of this section. (Tall oil is a fuel derived from vegetable and rosin fatty acids.) The 50 percent primary fuel firing rate shall be determined on a total heat or volume input basis, whichever results in the larger volume of primary fuel fired;

2. Primary fuels and hazardous waste fuels shall have a minimum as-fired heating value of 8,000 Btu/lb;

3. The hazardous waste is fired directly into the primary fuel flame zone of the combustion chamber; and

4. The device operates in conformance with the carbon monoxide controls provided by § 266.104(b)(1). Devices subject to the exemption provided by this section are not eligible for the alternative carbon monoxide controls provided by § 266.104(c).

2. Procedures to demonstrate that the hazardous waste burning will not pose unacceptable adverse public health effects are as follows:

1. Identify and quantify those nonmetal compounds listed in appendix VIII, part 261 of this chapter that could reasonably be expected to be present in the hazardous waste. The constituents excluded from analysis must be identified and the basis for their exclusion explained;

2. Calculate reasonable, worst case emission rates for each constituent identified in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section by assuming the device achieves 99.9 percent destruction and removal efficiency. That is, assume that 0.1 percent of the mass weight of each constituent fed to the device is emitted.

3. For each constituent identified in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section, use emissions dispersion modeling to predict the maximum annual average ground level concentration of the constituent.

A. Dispersion modeling shall be conducted using methods specified in § 266.106(h).

B. Owners and operators of facilities with more than one on-site stack from a boiler or industrial furnace that is exempt under this section must conduct dispersion modeling of emissions from all stacks exempt under this section to predict ambient levels prescribed by this paragraph.
(iv) Ground level concentrations of constituents predicted under paragraph (a)(2)(iii) of this section must not exceed the following levels:

(A) For the noncarcinogenic compounds listed in appendix IV of this part, the levels established in appendix IV;

(B) For the carcinogenic compounds listed in appendix V of this part, the sum for all constituents of the ratio of the actual ground level concentration to the level established in appendix V cannot exceed 1.0; and

(C) For constituents not listed in appendix IV or V, 0.1 micrograms per cubic meter.

(b) Waiver of particulate matter standard. The particulate matter standard of § 266.105 does not apply if:

(1) The DRE standard is waived under paragraph (a) of this section; and

(2) The owner or operator complies with the Tier I or adjusted Tier I metals feed rate screening limits provided by § 266.105 (b) or (e).

[56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32690, July 17, 1991]

§ 266.110 Waiver of DRE trial burn for boilers.

Boilers that operate under the special requirements of this section, and that do not burn hazardous waste containing (or derived from) EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, or F027, are considered to be in conformance with the DRE standard of § 266.104(a), and a trial burn to demonstrate DRE is waived. When burning hazardous waste:

(a) A minimum of 50 percent of fuel fired to the boiler shall be fossil fuel, fuels derived from fossil fuel, tall oil, or, if approved by the Director on a case-by-case basis, other nonhazardous fuel with combustion characteristics comparable to fossil fuel. Such fuels are termed "primary fuel" for purposes of this section. (Tall oil is a fuel derived from vegetable and resin fatty acids.) The 50 percent primary fuel firing rate shall be determined on a total heat or volume input basis, whichever results in the larger volume of primary fuel fired;

(b) Boiler load shall not be less than 40 percent. Boiler load is the ratio at any time of the total heat input to the maximum design heat input;

(c) Primary fuels and hazardous waste fuels shall have a minimum as-fired heating value of 8,000 Btu/lb, and each material fired in a burner where hazardous waste is fired must have a heating value of at least 8,000 Btu/lb, as-fired;

(d) The device shall operate in conformance with the carbon monoxide standard provided by § 266.104(b)(1). Boilers subject to the waiver of the DRE trial burn provided by this section are not eligible for the alternative carbon monoxide standard provided by § 266.104(c);

(e) The boiler must be a watertube type boiler that does not feed fuel using a stoker or stoker type mechanism; and

(f) The hazardous waste shall be fired directly into the primary fuel flame zone of the combustion chamber with an air or steam atomization firing system, mechanical atomization system, or a rotary cup atomization system under the following conditions:

(1) Viscosity. The viscosity of the hazardous waste fuel as-fired shall not exceed 300 SSU;

(2) Particle size. When a high pressure air or steam atomizer, low pressure atomizer, or mechanical atomizer is used, 70% of the hazardous waste fuel must pass through a 200 mesh (74 micron) screen, and when a rotary cup atomizer is used, 70% of the hazardous waste fuel must pass through a 100 mesh (150 micron) screen;

(3) Mechanical atomization systems. Fuel pressure within a mechanical atomization system and fuel flow rate shall be maintained within the design range taking into account the viscosity and volatility of the fuel;

(4) Rotary cup atomization systems. Fuel flow rate through a rotary cup atomization system must be maintained within the design range taking into account the viscosity and volatility of the fuel.

[56 FR 7208, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32690, July 17, 1991]
§ 266.111 Standards for direct transfer.

(a) Applicability. The regulations in this section apply to owners and operators of boilers and industrial furnaces subject to §§ 266.101 or 266.103 if hazardous waste is directly transferred from a transport vehicle to a boiler or industrial furnace without the use of a storage unit.

(b) Definitions. (1) When used in this section, the following terms have the meanings given below:

Direct transfer equipment means any device (including but not limited to, such devices as piping, fittings, flanges, valves, and pumps) that is used to distribute, meter, or control the flow of hazardous waste between a container (i.e., transport vehicle) and a boiler or industrial furnace.

Container means any portable device in which hazardous waste is transported, stored, treated, or otherwise handled, and includes transport vehicles that are containers themselves (e.g., tank trucks, tanker-trailers, and rail tank cars), and containers placed on or in a transport vehicle.

(2) This section references several requirements provided in subparts I and J of parts 264 and 265. For purposes of this section, the term "tank systems" in those referenced requirements means direct transfer equipment as defined in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(c) General operating requirements. (1) No direct transfer of a pumpable hazardous waste shall be conducted from an open-top container to a boiler or industrial furnace.

(2) Direct transfer equipment used for pumpable hazardous waste shall always be closed, except when necessary to add or remove the waste, and shall not be opened, handled, or stored in a manner that may cause any rupture or leak.

(3) The direct transfer of hazardous waste to a boiler or industrial furnace shall be conducted so that it does not:

(i) Generate extreme heat or pressure, fire, explosion, or violent reaction;
(ii) Produce uncontrolled toxic mists, fumes, dusts, or gases in sufficient quantities to threaten human health;
(iii) Produce uncontrolled flammable fumes or gases in sufficient quantities to pose a risk of fire and explosions;
(iv) Damage the structural integrity of the container or direct transfer equipment containing the waste;
(v) Adversely affect the capability of the boiler or industrial furnace to meet the standards provided by §§ 266.104 through 266.107; or
(vi) Threaten human health or the environment.

(4) Hazardous waste shall not be placed in direct transfer equipment, if it could cause the equipment or its secondary containment system to rupture, leak, corrode, or otherwise fail.

(5) The owner or operator of the facility shall use appropriate controls and practices to prevent spills and overflows from the direct transfer equipment or its secondary containment systems. These include at a minimum:

(i) Spill prevention controls (e.g., check valves, dry disconnect couplings); and
(ii) Automatic waste feed cutoff to use if a leak or spill occurs from the direct transfer equipment.

(d) Areas where direct transfer vehicles (containers) are located. Applying the definition of container under this section, owners and operators must comply with the following requirements:

(1) The containment requirements of § 264.175 of this chapter;
(2) The use and management requirements of subpart I, part 265 of this chapter, except for §§ 265.170 and 265.174; and
(3) The closure requirements of § 264.178 of this chapter.
(e) Direct transfer equipment. Direct transfer equipment must meet the following requirements:

(1) Secondary containment. Owners and operators shall comply with the secondary containment requirements of §265.193 of this chapter, except for paragraphs 265.193(a), (d), (e), and (i) as follows:

(i) For all new direct transfer equipment, prior to their being put into service; and

(ii) For existing direct transfer equipment within 2 years after August 21, 1991.

(2) Requirements prior to meeting secondary containment requirements. (1) For existing direct transfer equipment that does not have secondary containment, the owner or operator shall determine whether the equipment is leaking or is unfit for use. The owner or operator shall obtain and keep on file at the facility a written assessment reviewed and certified by a qualified, registered professional engineer in accordance with §270.11(d) of this chapter that attests to the equipment’s integrity by August 21, 1992.

(11) This assessment shall determine whether the direct transfer equipment is adequately designed and has sufficient structural strength and compatibility with the waste(s) to be transferred to ensure that it will not collapse, rupture, or fail. At a minimum, this assessment shall consider the following:

(A) Design standard(s), if available, according to which the direct transfer equipment was constructed;

(B) Hazardous characteristics of the waste(s) that have been or will be handled;

(C) Existing corrosion protection measures;

(D) Documented age of the equipment, if available, (otherwise, an estimate of the age); and

(E) Results of a leak test or other integrity examination such that the effects of temperature variations, vapor pockets, cracks, leaks, corrosion, and erosion are accounted for.

(iii) If, as a result of the assessment specified above, the direct transfer equipment is found to be leaking or unfit for use, the owner or operator shall comply with the requirements of §§265.196(a) and (b) of this chapter.

(3) Inspections and recordkeeping. (1) The owner or operator must inspect at least once each operating hour when hazardous waste is being transferred from the transport vehicle (container) to the boiler or industrial furnace:

(A) Overfill/spill control equipment (e.g., waste-feed cutoff systems, bypass systems, and drainage systems) to ensure that it is in good working order;

(B) The above ground portions of the direct transfer equipment to detect corrosion, erosion, or releases of waste (e.g., wet spots, dead vegetation); and

(C) Data gathered from monitoring equipment and leak-detection equipment, (e.g., pressure and temperature gauges) to ensure that the direct transfer equipment is being operated according to its design.

(iii) The owner or operator must inspect cathodic protection systems, if used, to ensure that they are functioning properly according to the schedule provided by §265.195(b) of this chapter.

(iii) Records of inspections made under this paragraph shall be maintained in the operating record at the facility, and available for inspection for at least 3 years from the date of the inspection.

(4) Design and installation of new ancillary equipment. Owners and operators must comply with the requirements of §265.192 of this chapter.

(5) Response to leaks or spills. Owners and operators must comply with the requirements of §265.196 of this chapter.

(6) Closure. Owners and operators must comply with the requirements of §265.197 of this chapter, except for §265.197(c)(2) through (c)(4).

Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0073)
A residue derived from the burning or processing of hazardous waste in a boiler or industrial furnace is not excluded from the definition of a hazardous waste under §261.4(b)(4), (7), or (8) unless the device and the owner or operator meet the following requirements:

(a) The device meets the following criteria:

(1) Boilers. Boilers must burn coal and at least 50% of the heat input to the boiler must be provided by the coal;

(2) Ore or mineral furnaces. Industrial furnaces subject to §261.4(b)(7) must process at least 50% by weight normal, nonhazardous raw materials;

(3) Cement kilns. Cement kilns must process at least 50% by weight normal cement-production raw materials;

(b) The owner or operator demonstrates that the hazardous waste does not significantly affect the residue by demonstrating conformance with either of the following criteria:

(1) Comparison of waste-derived residue with normal residue. The waste-derived residue must not contain appendix VIII, part 261 constituents (toxic constituents) that could reasonably be attributable to the hazardous waste at concentrations significantly higher than in residue generated without burning or processing of hazardous waste, using the following procedure. Toxic compounds that could reasonably be attributable to burning or processing the hazardous waste (constituents of concern) include toxic constituents in the hazardous waste, and the organic compounds listed in appendix VIII of this part that may be generated as products of incomplete combustion. Sampling and analyses shall be in conformance with procedures prescribed in Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, incorporated by reference in §260.11(a) of this chapter.

(11) Normal residue. Concentrations of toxic constituents of concern in normal residue shall be determined based on analyses of a minimum of 10 composite samples. The upper 95% confidence level about the mean of the concentration in the normal residue shall be considered the statistically-derived concentration in the normal residue. If changes in raw materials or fuels reduce the statistically-derived concentrations of the toxic constituents of concern in the normal residue, the statistically-derived concentrations must be revised or statistically-derived concentrations of toxic constituents in normal residue must be established for a new mode of operation with the new raw material or fuel. To determine the upper 95% confidence level about the mean of the concentration in the normal residue, the owner or operator shall use statistical procedures prescribed in "Statistical Methodology for Bevill Residue Determinations" in appendix IX of this part.

(11) Waste-derived residue. Concentrations of toxic constituents of concern in waste-derived residue shall be determined based on analysis of samples composited over a period of not more than 24 hours. The concentration of a toxic constituent in the waste-derived residue is not considered to be significantly higher than in the normal residue if the concentration in the waste-derived residue does not exceed the concentration established for the normal residue under paragraph (b)(1)(1) of this section; or

(2) Comparison of waste-derived residue concentrations with health-based limits—(1) Nonmetal constituents. The concentrations of nonmetal toxic constituents of concern (specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section) in the waste-derived residue must not exceed the health-based levels specified in appendix VII of this part. If a health-based limit for a constituent of concern is not listed in appendix VII of this part, then a limit of 0.002 micrograms per kilogram or the level of detection (using analytical procedures prescribed in SW-846), whichever is higher, shall be used; and

(11) Metal constituents. The concentration of metals in an extract obtained using the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure of §261.24 of this chapter must not exceed the levels specified in appendix VII of this part; and

(c) Records sufficient to document compliance with the provisions of this section must be retained for a period of three years. At a minimum, the following shall be recorded:

(1) Levels of constituents in appendix VIII, part 261, that are present in waste-derived residues;

(2) If the waste-derived residue is compared with normal residue under paragraph (b)(1) of this section:

(4) The levels of constituents in appendix VIII, part 261, that are present in normal residues; and
(ii) Data and information, including analyses of samples as necessary, obtained to determine if changes in raw materials or fuels would reduce the concentration of toxic constituents of concern in the normal residue.

Appendix I—Tier I and Tier II Feed Rate and Emissions Screening Limits for Metals

Table I-A—Tier I and Tier II Feed Rate and Emissions Screening Limits for Noncarcinogenic Metals for Facilities in Noncomplex Terrain

[Values for urban areas]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain adjusted eff. stack ht. (m)</th>
<th>Antimony (g/hr)</th>
<th>Barium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Lead (g/hr)</th>
<th>Mercury (g/hr)</th>
<th>Silver (g/hr)</th>
<th>Thallium (g/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.0E+01</td>
<td>1.0E+01</td>
<td>1.8E+01</td>
<td>6.0E+01</td>
<td>6.0E+02</td>
<td>6.0E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0E+01</td>
<td>1.1E+01</td>
<td>2.0E+01</td>
<td>6.8E+01</td>
<td>6.8E+02</td>
<td>6.8E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.6E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
<td>2.3E+01</td>
<td>7.6E+01</td>
<td>7.6E+02</td>
<td>7.6E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.6E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
<td>2.6E+01</td>
<td>8.6E+01</td>
<td>8.6E+02</td>
<td>8.6E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.6E+01</td>
<td>1.7E+01</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
<td>9.6E+01</td>
<td>9.6E+02</td>
<td>9.6E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.1E+02</td>
<td>1.8E+01</td>
<td>3.4E+01</td>
<td>1.1E+02</td>
<td>1.1E+03</td>
<td>1.1E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
<td>2.1E+01</td>
<td>3.6E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
<td>1.3E+03</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>2.4E+01</td>
<td>4.0E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>1.4E+03</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.6E+02</td>
<td>2.7E+01</td>
<td>4.6E+01</td>
<td>1.6E+02</td>
<td>1.6E+03</td>
<td>1.6E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.8E+02</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
<td>5.4E+01</td>
<td>1.8E+02</td>
<td>1.8E+03</td>
<td>1.8E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.0E+02</td>
<td>3.4E+01</td>
<td>6.0E+01</td>
<td>2.0E+02</td>
<td>2.0E+03</td>
<td>2.0E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.3E+02</td>
<td>3.9E+01</td>
<td>6.8E+01</td>
<td>2.3E+02</td>
<td>2.3E+03</td>
<td>2.3E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.6E+02</td>
<td>4.3E+01</td>
<td>7.8E+01</td>
<td>2.6E+02</td>
<td>2.6E+03</td>
<td>2.6E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.0E+02</td>
<td>5.0E+01</td>
<td>9.0E+01</td>
<td>3.0E+02</td>
<td>3.0E+03</td>
<td>3.0E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.0E+02</td>
<td>6.6E+01</td>
<td>1.1E+02</td>
<td>4.0E+02</td>
<td>4.0E+03</td>
<td>4.0E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.6E+02</td>
<td>7.8E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>4.6E+02</td>
<td>4.6E+03</td>
<td>4.6E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.0E+02</td>
<td>1.0E+02</td>
<td>1.8E+02</td>
<td>6.0E+02</td>
<td>6.0E+03</td>
<td>6.0E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.8E+02</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
<td>2.3E+02</td>
<td>7.8E+02</td>
<td>7.8E+03</td>
<td>7.8E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>9.6E+02</td>
<td>1.7E+02</td>
<td>3.0E+02</td>
<td>9.6E+02</td>
<td>9.6E+03</td>
<td>9.6E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.2E+03</td>
<td>2.0E+02</td>
<td>3.6E+02</td>
<td>1.2E+03</td>
<td>1.2E+04</td>
<td>1.2E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.5E+03</td>
<td>2.5E+02</td>
<td>4.3E+02</td>
<td>1.5E+03</td>
<td>1.5E+04</td>
<td>1.5E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.7E+03</td>
<td>2.8E+02</td>
<td>5.0E+02</td>
<td>1.7E+03</td>
<td>1.7E+04</td>
<td>1.7E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.9E+03</td>
<td>3.2E+02</td>
<td>5.8E+02</td>
<td>1.9E+03</td>
<td>1.9E+04</td>
<td>1.9E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.2E+03</td>
<td>3.6E+02</td>
<td>6.4E+02</td>
<td>2.2E+03</td>
<td>2.2E+04</td>
<td>2.2E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>2.5E+03</td>
<td>4.0E+02</td>
<td>7.6E+02</td>
<td>2.5E+03</td>
<td>2.5E+04</td>
<td>2.5E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.8E+03</td>
<td>4.6E+02</td>
<td>8.2E+02</td>
<td>2.8E+03</td>
<td>2.8E+04</td>
<td>2.8E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>3.2E+03</td>
<td>5.4E+02</td>
<td>9.6E+02</td>
<td>3.2E+03</td>
<td>3.2E+04</td>
<td>3.2E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.6E+03</td>
<td>6.0E+02</td>
<td>1.1E+03</td>
<td>3.6E+03</td>
<td>3.6E+04</td>
<td>3.6E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.0E+03</td>
<td>6.8E+02</td>
<td>1.3E+03</td>
<td>4.0E+03</td>
<td>4.0E+04</td>
<td>4.0E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.6E+03</td>
<td>7.8E+02</td>
<td>1.4E+03</td>
<td>4.6E+03</td>
<td>4.6E+04</td>
<td>4.6E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.4E+03</td>
<td>8.6E+02</td>
<td>1.6E+03</td>
<td>5.4E+03</td>
<td>5.4E+04</td>
<td>5.4E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>6.0E+03</td>
<td>1.0E+03</td>
<td>1.8E+03</td>
<td>6.0E+03</td>
<td>6.0E+04</td>
<td>6.0E+03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I-B—Tier I and Tier II Feed Rate and Emissions Screening Limits for Noncarcinogenic Metals for Facilities in Noncomplex Terrain

[Values for rural areas]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain adjusted eff. stack ht. (m)</th>
<th>Antimony (g/hr)</th>
<th>Barium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Lead (g/hr)</th>
<th>Mercury (g/hr)</th>
<th>Silver (g/hr)</th>
<th>Thallium (g/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Table I-C. Tier I and Tier II Feed Rate and Emissions Screening Limits for Noncarcinogenic Metals for Facilities in Complex Terrain

#### Values for urban and rural areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain adjusted eff. stack ht. (m)</th>
<th>Antimony (g/hr)</th>
<th>Barium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Lead (g/hr)</th>
<th>Mercury (g/hr)</th>
<th>Silver (g/hr)</th>
<th>Thallium (g/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
<td>2.4E+03</td>
<td>4.3E+00</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1E+01</td>
<td>3.5E+03</td>
<td>6.2E+00</td>
<td>2.1E+01</td>
<td>2.1E+02</td>
<td>2.1E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
<td>5.0E+03</td>
<td>9.2E+00</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
<td>3.0E+02</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.3E+01</td>
<td>7.6E+03</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
<td>4.3E+01</td>
<td>4.3E+02</td>
<td>4.3E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.4E+01</td>
<td>9.0E+03</td>
<td>1.7E+01</td>
<td>5.4E+01</td>
<td>5.4E+02</td>
<td>5.4E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.8E+01</td>
<td>1.1E+04</td>
<td>2.0E+01</td>
<td>6.8E+01</td>
<td>6.8E+02</td>
<td>6.8E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.8E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+04</td>
<td>2.4E+01</td>
<td>7.8E+01</td>
<td>7.8E+02</td>
<td>7.8E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.6E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+04</td>
<td>2.6E+01</td>
<td>8.6E+01</td>
<td>8.6E+02</td>
<td>8.6E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.6E+01</td>
<td>1.6E+04</td>
<td>2.9E+01</td>
<td>9.6E+01</td>
<td>9.6E+02</td>
<td>9.6E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.0E+02</td>
<td>1.8E+04</td>
<td>3.2E+01</td>
<td>1.0E+02</td>
<td>1.0E+03</td>
<td>1.0E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.2E+02</td>
<td>1.9E+04</td>
<td>3.5E+01</td>
<td>1.2E+02</td>
<td>1.2E+03</td>
<td>1.2E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
<td>2.2E+04</td>
<td>3.6E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
<td>1.3E+03</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>2.4E+04</td>
<td>4.3E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>1.4E+03</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table I-D.-Tier I and Tier II Feed Rate and Emissions Screening Limits for Carcinogenic Metals for Facilities in Noncomplex Terrain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain adjusted stack ht. (m)</th>
<th>Arsenic (g/hr)</th>
<th>Cadmium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Chromium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Beryllium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Arsenic (g/hr)</th>
<th>Cadmium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Chromium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Beryllium (g/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.6E+01</td>
<td>1.1E+00</td>
<td>1.7E-01</td>
<td>8.2E-01</td>
<td>2.4E-01</td>
<td>5.8E-01</td>
<td>8.6E-02</td>
<td>4.3E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.4E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>1.9E-01</td>
<td>9.4E-01</td>
<td>2.8E-01</td>
<td>6.6E-01</td>
<td>1.0E-01</td>
<td>5.0E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.0E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+00</td>
<td>1.7E-01</td>
<td>3.8E-01</td>
<td>3.2E-01</td>
<td>2.2E-01</td>
<td>7.6E-01</td>
<td>1.1E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.8E-01</td>
<td>1.6E+00</td>
<td>2.2E-01</td>
<td>1.1E+00</td>
<td>3.6E-01</td>
<td>8.6E-01</td>
<td>1.3E-01</td>
<td>6.4E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.6E-01</td>
<td>2.1E+00</td>
<td>3.1E-01</td>
<td>5.4E-01</td>
<td>4.3E-01</td>
<td>1.1E+00</td>
<td>2.1E-01</td>
<td>7.8E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.6E-01</td>
<td>2.3E+00</td>
<td>3.5E-01</td>
<td>1.7E+00</td>
<td>6.4E-01</td>
<td>1.6E+00</td>
<td>2.4E-01</td>
<td>1.2E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.1E+00</td>
<td>2.6E+00</td>
<td>4.0E-01</td>
<td>2.0E+00</td>
<td>6.8E-01</td>
<td>2.0E+00</td>
<td>3.0E-01</td>
<td>1.5E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2E+00</td>
<td>3.0E+00</td>
<td>4.4E-01</td>
<td>2.2E+00</td>
<td>1.0E+00</td>
<td>2.5E+00</td>
<td>3.7E-01</td>
<td>1.9E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.4E+00</td>
<td>3.4E+00</td>
<td>5.0E-01</td>
<td>2.5E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>3.2E+00</td>
<td>4.8E-01</td>
<td>2.4E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.6E+00</td>
<td>3.9E+00</td>
<td>5.8E-01</td>
<td>2.8E+00</td>
<td>1.7E+00</td>
<td>4.0E+00</td>
<td>6.0E-01</td>
<td>3.0E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.8E+00</td>
<td>4.3E+00</td>
<td>6.4E-01</td>
<td>3.2E+00</td>
<td>2.1E+00</td>
<td>5.0E+00</td>
<td>7.6E-01</td>
<td>3.9E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.0E+00</td>
<td>4.8E+00</td>
<td>7.2E-01</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
<td>2.7E+00</td>
<td>6.4E+00</td>
<td>9.8E-01</td>
<td>5.0E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.3E+00</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>8.2E-01</td>
<td>4.0E+00</td>
<td>3.5E+00</td>
<td>8.2E+00</td>
<td>1.2E+00</td>
<td>6.2E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.0E+00</td>
<td>6.8E+00</td>
<td>1.0E+00</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>1.9E+00</td>
<td>9.6E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
<td>9.0E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>6.8E+00</td>
<td>8.2E+00</td>
<td>2.0E+00</td>
<td>3.0E+00</td>
<td>1.5E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.6E+00</td>
<td>1.1E+01</td>
<td>1.7E+00</td>
<td>8.6E+00</td>
<td>1.1E+00</td>
<td>2.8E+00</td>
<td>4.2E+00</td>
<td>2.1E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.0E+00</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
<td>2.2E+00</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>3.7E+00</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>2.8E+00</td>
<td>2.8E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>7.6E+00</td>
<td>1.8E+01</td>
<td>2.7E+00</td>
<td>7.2E+00</td>
<td>5.0E+00</td>
<td>7.2E+00</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>9.4E+00</td>
<td>2.2E+01</td>
<td>3.4E+00</td>
<td>6.4E+00</td>
<td>2.7E+00</td>
<td>9.6E+00</td>
<td>4.8E+00</td>
<td>4.8E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.1E+01</td>
<td>2.8E+01</td>
<td>4.2E+00</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>6.4E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
<td>3.1E+01</td>
<td>4.6E+00</td>
<td>3.6E+00</td>
<td>4.3E+00</td>
<td>1.0E+02</td>
<td>1.5E+01</td>
<td>7.6E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.5E+01</td>
<td>3.6E+01</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>5.0E+00</td>
<td>1.2E+02</td>
<td>1.8E+01</td>
<td>9.0E+00</td>
<td>9.0E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.7E+01</td>
<td>4.0E+01</td>
<td>6.0E+00</td>
<td>6.0E+00</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>2.2E+01</td>
<td>1.1E+02</td>
<td>1.1E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.9E+01</td>
<td>4.6E+01</td>
<td>6.8E+00</td>
<td>7.2E+00</td>
<td>2.0E+02</td>
<td>2.6E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.2E+01</td>
<td>5.0E+01</td>
<td>7.6E+00</td>
<td>8.6E+00</td>
<td>2.0E+02</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
<td>1.5E+02</td>
<td>1.5E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>2.5E+01</td>
<td>5.6E+01</td>
<td>9.0E+00</td>
<td>4.6E+00</td>
<td>1.0E+02</td>
<td>2.4E+02</td>
<td>3.6E+01</td>
<td>1.6E+02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table I-E.—Tier I and Tier II Feed Rate and Emissions Screening Limits for Carcinogenic Metals for Facilities in Complex Terrain

Values for use in urban and rural areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain</th>
<th>Arsenic (g/hr)</th>
<th>Cadmium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Chromium (g/hr)</th>
<th>Beryllium (g/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adjusted eff. stack ht. (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1E-01</td>
<td>2.6E-01</td>
<td>4.0E-02</td>
<td>2.0E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6E-01</td>
<td>3.9E-01</td>
<td>5.8E-02</td>
<td>2.9E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4E-01</td>
<td>5.8E-01</td>
<td>6.6E-02</td>
<td>4.3E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.5E-01</td>
<td>8.2E-01</td>
<td>1.3E-01</td>
<td>6.2E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.3E-01</td>
<td>1.0E+00</td>
<td>1.5E-01</td>
<td>7.6E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.0E-01</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>1.9E-01</td>
<td>9.4E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.0E-01</td>
<td>1.4E+00</td>
<td>2.2E-01</td>
<td>1.1E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.8E-01</td>
<td>1.6E+00</td>
<td>2.4E-01</td>
<td>1.2E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.6E-01</td>
<td>1.8E+00</td>
<td>2.7E-01</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>8.2E-01</td>
<td>1.9E+00</td>
<td>3.0E-01</td>
<td>1.5E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.0E-01</td>
<td>2.1E+00</td>
<td>3.3E-01</td>
<td>1.6E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.0E+00</td>
<td>2.4E+00</td>
<td>3.6E-01</td>
<td>1.8E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.1E+00</td>
<td>2.7E+00</td>
<td>4.0E-01</td>
<td>2.0E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.2E+00</td>
<td>3.0E+00</td>
<td>4.4E-01</td>
<td>2.2E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.5E+00</td>
<td>3.7E+00</td>
<td>5.4E-01</td>
<td>2.7E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.8E+00</td>
<td>4.0E+00</td>
<td>6.8E-01</td>
<td>2.4E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.4E+00</td>
<td>4.4E+00</td>
<td>8.4E-01</td>
<td>4.2E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.9E+00</td>
<td>6.8E+00</td>
<td>1.0E+00</td>
<td>5.0E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.5E+00</td>
<td>8.4E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>6.4E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>4.3E+00</td>
<td>1.0E+01</td>
<td>1.5E+00</td>
<td>7.8E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
<td>1.9E+00</td>
<td>9.6E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>6.0E+00</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
<td>2.2E+00</td>
<td>1.2E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>6.8E+00</td>
<td>1.6E+01</td>
<td>2.4E+00</td>
<td>1.2E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>7.6E+00</td>
<td>1.8E+01</td>
<td>2.7E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>8.2E+00</td>
<td>2.0E+01</td>
<td>3.0E+00</td>
<td>1.5E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.4E+00</td>
<td>2.3E+01</td>
<td>3.4E+00</td>
<td>1.7E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.0E+01</td>
<td>2.5E+01</td>
<td>4.0E+00</td>
<td>1.9E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.2E+01</td>
<td>2.8E+01</td>
<td>4.3E+00</td>
<td>2.1E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
<td>3.2E+01</td>
<td>4.6E+00</td>
<td>2.4E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5E+01</td>
<td>3.5E+01</td>
<td>5.4E+00</td>
<td>2.7E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>1.7E+01</td>
<td>4.0E+01</td>
<td>6.0E+00</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.9E+01</td>
<td>4.4E+01</td>
<td>6.4E+00</td>
<td>3.3E+01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[56 FR 7228, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32690, July 17, 1991]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain-adjusted effective stack height (m)</th>
<th>Urban (g/hr)</th>
<th>Rural (g/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.2E + 01</td>
<td>4.2E + 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.1E + 01</td>
<td>4.8E + 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0E + 02</td>
<td>5.3E + 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2E + 02</td>
<td>6.2E + 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.3E + 02</td>
<td>7.7E + 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.5E + 02</td>
<td>9.1E + 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.7E + 02</td>
<td>1.2E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.9E + 02</td>
<td>1.4E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.1E + 02</td>
<td>1.8E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.4E + 02</td>
<td>2.3E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.7E + 02</td>
<td>2.9E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.1E + 02</td>
<td>3.7E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.5E + 02</td>
<td>4.7E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.9E + 02</td>
<td>5.6E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.3E + 02</td>
<td>9.6E + 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.2E + 02</td>
<td>1.4E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>8.2E + 02</td>
<td>2.0E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.1E + 03</td>
<td>2.6E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.3E + 03</td>
<td>3.5E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.6E + 03</td>
<td>4.6E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.0E + 03</td>
<td>6.2E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.3E + 03</td>
<td>7.2E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.5E + 03</td>
<td>8.6E + 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.9E + 03</td>
<td>1.0E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.3E + 03</td>
<td>1.2E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.7E + 03</td>
<td>1.4E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.2E + 03</td>
<td>1.7E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.8E + 03</td>
<td>1.9E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>5.3E + 03</td>
<td>2.1E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.2E + 03</td>
<td>2.3E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>7.2E + 03</td>
<td>2.5E + 04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>8.2E + 03</td>
<td>2.8E + 03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[56 FR 32690, July 17, 1991]
Appendix III.-Tier II Emission Rate Screening Limits for Free Chlorine and Hydrogen Chloride

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain-adjusted effective stack height (m)</th>
<th>Noncomplex terrain</th>
<th>Complex terrain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Values for urban areas</td>
<td>Values for rural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C\textsubscript{Cl\textsubscript{2}} (g/hr)</td>
<td>HCl (g/hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.2E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.1E+01</td>
<td>1.6E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0E+02</td>
<td>1.8E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2E+02</td>
<td>2.0E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.3E+02</td>
<td>2.3E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.5E+02</td>
<td>2.6E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.7E+02</td>
<td>2.9E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.9E+02</td>
<td>3.3E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.1E+02</td>
<td>3.7E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.4E+02</td>
<td>4.2E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.7E+02</td>
<td>4.8E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.1E+02</td>
<td>5.4E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.5E+02</td>
<td>6.0E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.9E+02</td>
<td>6.6E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.3E+02</td>
<td>9.2E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.2E+02</td>
<td>1.1E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>8.2E+02</td>
<td>1.4E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.1E+03</td>
<td>1.8E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.3E+03</td>
<td>2.3E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.6E+03</td>
<td>2.8E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.0E+03</td>
<td>3.4E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.3E+03</td>
<td>3.9E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.5E+03</td>
<td>4.5E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.9E+03</td>
<td>5.0E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.3E+03</td>
<td>5.6E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.7E+03</td>
<td>6.2E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.2E+03</td>
<td>7.4E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.8E+03</td>
<td>8.4E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>5.3E+03</td>
<td>9.2E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.2E+03</td>
<td>1.1E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>7.2E+03</td>
<td>1.3E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>8.2E+03</td>
<td>1.4E+05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix IV.-Reference Air Concentrations*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>RAC (ug/m\textsuperscript{3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>75-07-0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetophenone</td>
<td>98-86-2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldicarb</td>
<td>116-06-3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Phosphide</td>
<td>20859-73-8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl Alcohol</td>
<td>107-18-6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Name</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>7440-35-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>7440-39-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Cyanide</td>
<td>542-62-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Cyanide</td>
<td>592-01-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloral</td>
<td>75-87-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine (free)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chloro-1,3-butadiene</td>
<td>126-99-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium III</td>
<td>16065-83-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Cyanide</td>
<td>544-92-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cressol</td>
<td>1319-77-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanene</td>
<td>98-62-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide (free)</td>
<td>57-12-15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanogen</td>
<td>460-19-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanogen Bromide</td>
<td>506-68-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-butyl Phthalate</td>
<td>84-74-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>75-71-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dichlorophenol</td>
<td>120-83-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl Phthalate</td>
<td>84-66-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>60-51-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dinitrophenol</td>
<td>51-28-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoses</td>
<td>88-85-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylamine</td>
<td>122-39-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosulfan</td>
<td>115-29-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin</td>
<td>72-20-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>7782-41-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic Acid</td>
<td>64-18-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycidaldehyde</td>
<td>765-34-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorocyclopentadiene</td>
<td>77-47-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorophene</td>
<td>70-30-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocyanic Acid</td>
<td>74-90-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Chloride</td>
<td>7647-01-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Sulfide</td>
<td>7783-06-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyl Alcohol</td>
<td>78-83-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maleic Anyhydride</td>
<td>106-31-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>7439-97-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacrylonitrile</td>
<td>126-98-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>67-52-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxychlor</td>
<td>72-43-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Chlorocarbonate</td>
<td>79-22-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Ethyl Ketone</td>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Pyrazol</td>
<td>298-00-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel Cyanide</td>
<td>557-19-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric Oxide</td>
<td>10102-43-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>98-95-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorobenzene</td>
<td>608-93-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>87-86-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>108-95-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylmercuric Acetate</td>
<td>62-38-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphine</td>
<td>7803-51-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phthalic Anhydride</td>
<td>85-44-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Cyanide</td>
<td>151-50-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Silver Cyanide</td>
<td>506-61-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>110-86-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenious Acid</td>
<td>7783-60-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenourea</td>
<td>630-10-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Cyanide</td>
<td>506-64-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Cyanide</td>
<td>143-33-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strychnine</td>
<td>57-24-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,5-Tetrachlorobenzene</td>
<td>95-94-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol</td>
<td>58-90-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>CAS No.</td>
<td>Unit risk (mL/ug)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide</td>
<td>79-06-1</td>
<td>1.3E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>6.8E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldrin</td>
<td>109-00-2</td>
<td>4.9E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aniline</td>
<td>62-55-3</td>
<td>7.4E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>7440-38-2</td>
<td>4.3E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benz(a)anthracene</td>
<td>56-55-3</td>
<td>8.9E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>8.3E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzidine</td>
<td>92-87-5</td>
<td>6.7E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene</td>
<td>50-32-8</td>
<td>3.2E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>7440-41-7</td>
<td>2.4E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether</td>
<td>111-44-4</td>
<td>3.3E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(chloromethyl)ether</td>
<td>542-88-1</td>
<td>6.2E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate</td>
<td>117-81-7</td>
<td>2.4E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>2.8E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440-43-9</td>
<td>1.8E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>1.5E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodane</td>
<td>57-74-9</td>
<td>3.7E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>2.3E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>3.6E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium VI</td>
<td>7440-47-3</td>
<td>1.2E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>50-29-3</td>
<td>9.7E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenz(a,h)anthracene</td>
<td>51-70-3</td>
<td>1.4E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>96-12-6</td>
<td>6.3E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>2.2E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>2.6E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>2.6E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>5.0E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>542-75-6</td>
<td>3.5E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Dieldrin
60-57-1 4.6E-03 2.2E-03

### Diethylstilbestrol
56-53-1 1.4E-01 7.1E-05

### Dimethylnitrosamine
62-75-9 1.4E-02 7.1E-04

### 2,4-Dinitrotoluene
121-14-2 6.8E-05 1.1E-01

### 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine
122-66-7 2.2E-04 4.5E-02

### 1,4-Dioxane
123-91-1 1.4E-06 7.1E+00

### Epichlorohydrin
106-69-8 1.2E-06 8.3E+00

### Ethylene Oxide
75-21-8 1.0E-04 1.0E-01

### Ethylene Dibromide
106-93-4 2.2E-04 4.5E-02

### Formaldehyde
50-00-0 1.3E-05 7.7E-01

### Heptachlor
76-44-8 1.3E-03 7.7E-03

### Heptachlor Epoxide
1024-57-3 2.6E-03 3.8E-03

### Hexachlorobenzene
118-74-1 4.9E-04 2.0E-02

### Hexachlorobutadiene
87-68-3 2.0E-05 5.0E-01

### Alpha-hexachlorocyclohexane
319-84-9 1.8E-03 5.6E-03

### Beta-hexachlorocyclohexane
319-85-7 5.3E-04 1.9E-02

### Gamma-hexachlorocyclohexane
58-89-9 3.8E-04 2.6E-02

### Hexachlorocyclohexane, Technical
5.1E-04 2.0E-02

### Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (1,2 Mixture)
1.3E+0 7.7E-06

### Hexachloroethane
67-72-1 4.0E-06 2.5E+00

### Hydrazine
302-01-2 2.9E-03 1.4E-03

### Hydrazine Sulfate
302-02-2 2.9E-03 1.4E-03

### 1-Methylchloroanthrene
56-49-5 2.7E-03 1.7E-03

### Methyl Hydrazine
60-34-4 3.1E-04 1.2E-02

### Methylene Chloride
75-09-2 4.1E-06 2.4E+00

### 4,4'-Methylene-bis-2-chloroaniline
101-14-4 4.7E-05 2.1E-01

### Nickel
7440-02-0 2.4E-04 4.2E-02

### Nickel Refinery Dust
7440-02-0 2.4E-02 4.2E-02

### Nickel Subsulfide
12035-72-2 4.8E-04 4.1E-03

### 2-Nitropropane
79-46-9 2.7E-02 1.7E-04

### N-Nitrosodiethylamine
924-16-3 1.6E-03 6.3E-03

### N-Nitrosodiethylamine
684-93-5 8.6E-02 1.2E-04

### N-Nitosodietethylamine
55-18-5 4.3E-02 2.3E-02

### N-Nitrosopyrrolidine
930-55-2 6.1E-04 1.6E-02

### Pentachloronitrobenzene
82-68-8 7.3E-05 1.4E-01

### PCBs
1336-36-3 1.2E-03 8.3E-03

### Pronamide
23950-58-5 4.6E-06 2.2E+00

### Reserpine
50-55-5 3.0E-03 1.3E-03

### 2,3,5,6-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
1746-01-6 4.5E+01 2.2E+07

### 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
79-33-5 3.8E-05 1.7E-01

### Tetrachloroethylene
127-18-4 4.6E-07 2.1E-01

### Thiourea
62-56-6 5.5E-04 1.8E-02

### 1,1,2-Trichloroethane
79-00-5 1.6E-05 6.3E-01

### Trichloroethylene
79-01-6 1.3E-06 7.7E+00

### 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
88-06-2 5.7E-06 1.8E+00

### Toxaphene
8001-35-2 3.2E-04 3.1E-02

### Vinyl Chloride
75-01-4 7.1E-06 1.4E+00

---

[56 FR 7232, Feb. 21, 1991]

Appendix VI.- Stack Plume Rise

[Estimated Plume Rise (in Meters) Based on Stack Exit Flow Rate and Gas Temperature]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate (mL/s)</th>
<th>&lt;325</th>
<th>325-349</th>
<th>350-399</th>
<th>400-449</th>
<th>450-499</th>
<th>500-599</th>
<th>600-699</th>
<th>700-799</th>
<th>800-899</th>
<th>900-999</th>
<th>&gt;1000</th>
<th>&gt;1499</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0-1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0-3.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0-4.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0-7.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5-9.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0-12.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5-14.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0-19.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0-24.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0-29.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0-34.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0-39.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0-49.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.0-59.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.0-69.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.0-79.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.0-89.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.0-99.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0-119.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix VII.—Health-Based Limits for Exclusion of Waste-Derived Residues*

Metals—TCLP Extract Concentration Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>Concentration limits (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>7440-36-0</td>
<td>1xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>7440-38-2</td>
<td>5xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>7440-39-3</td>
<td>1xE+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>7440-41-7</td>
<td>7xE+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440-43-9</td>
<td>1xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>7440-47-3</td>
<td>5xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td>5xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>7439-97-6</td>
<td>2xE+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>7xE+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>7782-49-2</td>
<td>1xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td>5xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>7440-28-0</td>
<td>7xE+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nonmetals—Residue Concentration Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>Concentration limits for residues (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>2xE-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetophenone</td>
<td>99-86-2</td>
<td>4xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>5xE-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide</td>
<td>79-06-1</td>
<td>2xE-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>7xE-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldrin</td>
<td>309-00-2</td>
<td>2xE-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl alcohol</td>
<td>107-18-6</td>
<td>2xE-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum phosphide</td>
<td>20859-73-8</td>
<td>1xE-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aniline</td>
<td>62-53-3</td>
<td>6xE-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium cyanide</td>
<td>542-62-1</td>
<td>1xE+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benz(a)anthracene</td>
<td>56-55-3</td>
<td>1xE-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>5xE-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzidine</td>
<td>92-87-5</td>
<td>1xE-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Name</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether</td>
<td>111-44-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(chloromethyl) ether</td>
<td>542-88-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-ethynylmethyl) phthalate</td>
<td>117-81-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium cyanide</td>
<td>597-96-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordane</td>
<td>57-74-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper cyanide</td>
<td>544-92-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cresols (Cresylic acid)</td>
<td>1319-77-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanogen</td>
<td>460-19-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>50-29-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenz(a,h)-anthracene</td>
<td>53-70-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dichlorophenol</td>
<td>120-83-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>542-75-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl phthalate</td>
<td>60-57-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylstilbestrol</td>
<td>56-53-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>60-51-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>121-14-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylamine</td>
<td>122-39-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Diphenylhydrazine</td>
<td>122-66-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosulfan</td>
<td>115-29-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin</td>
<td>72-20-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epichlorohydrin</td>
<td>106-89-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene dibromide</td>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene oxide</td>
<td>75-21-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>7782-61-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>64-18-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor</td>
<td>76-44-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor epoxide</td>
<td>100-25-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene</td>
<td>118-74-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorocyclopentadiene</td>
<td>77-47-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorodibenzofuran</td>
<td>19068-74-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachloroethane</td>
<td>67-72-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrazine</td>
<td>302-01-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen cyanide</td>
<td>74-90-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen sulfide</td>
<td>7783-06-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isosulfuron</td>
<td>78-83-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamidophos</td>
<td>16752-77-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxychlor</td>
<td>72-43-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methylcholanthrene</td>
<td>56-49-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Methylenebis[2-chloroaniline]</td>
<td>101-14-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene chloride</td>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)</td>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl hydrazine</td>
<td>60-34-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>298-00-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel cyanide</td>
<td>557-19-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric oxide</td>
<td>10102-43-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>98-95-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>62-53-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylacetamide</td>
<td>92-41-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylacetamide</td>
<td>69-37-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>513-88-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>101-61-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>108-95-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>62-53-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phosphine 7803-51-2 1xE-02
Polychlorinated biphenyls, N.C.S 1336-36-3 5xE-05
Potassium cyanide 151-50-8 2xE+00
Potassium silver cyanide 506-61-6 7xE+00
Pronamide 23950-56-5 3xE+00
Pyridine 110-86-1 4xE-02
Reserpine 50-55-5 3xE-05
Selenium 630-10-4 2xE-01
Silver cyanide 506-64-9 4xE+00
Sodium cyanide 143-33-9 1xE+00
Strychnine 57-24-9 1xE-02
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene 95-94-3 1xE-02
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 79-34-5 2xE-03
Tetrachloroethylene 127-18-4 7xE-01
2,1,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol 58-90-2 1xE-02
Tetraethyl lead 78-00-2 4xE-06
Thiourea 62-50-6 2xE-04
Toluene 108-88-3 1xE+01
Toxaphene 6001-15-2 5xE-03
1,1,2-Trichloroethane 79-02-5 6xE-03
Trichloroethylene 79-01-6 5xE-03
Trichloromonomofluoromethane 75-69-4 1xE+01
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 95-95-4 4xE+00
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol 88-06-2 4xE+00
Vanadium pentoxide 1314-62-1 7xE-01
Vinyl chloride 75-01-4 2xE-03

*Note: The health-based concentration limits for Appendix VIII part 261 constituents for which a health-based concentration is not provided below is 2xE-06 mg/kg.

[56 FR 7234, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32691, July 17, 1991]

Appendix VIII.—Potential PICs for Determination of Exclusion of Waste-Derived Residues

PICs Found in Stack Effluents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volatiles</th>
<th>Semivolatiles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>Diethyl phthalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylen chloride</td>
<td>Butyl benzyl phthalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>2,4-Dimethylphenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>o-Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>m-Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>p-Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene</td>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromochloromethane</td>
<td>2,4,6-Trichlorophenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodi chloromethane</td>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>o-Nitrophenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene bromide</td>
<td>o-Chlorophenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone</td>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dimethyl phthalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monochloro benzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,6-Toluene dichlorocyanate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[56 FR 7235, Feb. 21, 1991; 56 FR 32691, July 17, 1991]
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Section 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document presents required methods for demonstrating compliance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency regulations for boilers and industrial furnaces (BIFs) burning hazardous waste (see 40 CFR part 266, subpart H). Included in this document are:

5. Simplified Land Use Classification Procedure for Compliance with Tier I and Tier II Limits.
7. Procedures for Determining Default Values for Air Pollution Control System Removal Efficiencies.

Additional methods referenced in subpart H of part 266 but not included in this document can be found in 40 CFR parts 60 and 61, and "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Physical/Chemical Methods" (SW-846).

The CEM performance specifications of section 2.0, the S&A methods of section 3.0, and the toxicity equivalency procedure for dioxins and furans of section 4.0 are required procedures for determining compliance with BIF regulations. The CEM performance specifications and the S&A methods are interim. The finalized CEM performance specifications and methods will be published in SW-846 or 40 CFR parts 60 and 61.

SECTION 2.0 PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONTINUOUS EMISSION MONITORING SYSTEMS

2.1 Performance Specifications for Continuous Emission Monitoring of Carbon Monoxide and Oxygen for Incinerators, Boilers, and Industrial Furnaces Burning Hazardous Waste

2.1.1 Applicability and Principle

2.1.1.1 Applicability. These performance specifications apply to carbon monoxide (CO) and oxygen (O2) continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMSs) installed on incinerators, boilers, and industrial furnaces burning hazardous waste. The specifications include procedures which are intended to be used to evaluate the acceptability of the CEMS at the time of its installation or whenever specified in regulations or permits. The procedures are not designed to evaluate CEMS performance over an extended period of time. The source owner or operator is responsible for the proper calibration, maintenance, and operation of the CEMS at all times.
2.1.2 Principle. Installation and measurement location specifications, performance and equipment specifications, test and data reduction procedures, and brief quality assurance guidelines are included in the specifications. Calibration drift, relative accuracy, calibration error, and response time tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CEMS with the specifications.

2.1.2 Definitions

2.1.2.1 Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS). A continuous monitor is one in which the sample to be analyzed passes the measurement section of the analyzer without interruption, and which evaluates the detector response to the sample at least once each 15 seconds and computes and records the results at least every 60 seconds. A CEMS consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the sample extraction and transport hardware, the analyzer(s), and the data recording/processing hardware and software.

2.1.2.2 Monitoring System Types. The specifications require CEMSs capable of accepting calibration gases. Alternative system designs may be used if approved by the Regional Administrator. There are two basic types of monitoring systems: extractive and in-situ.

2.1.2.2.1 Extractive. Systems that use a pump or other mechanical, pneumatic, or hydraulic means to draw a sample of the stack or flue gas and convey it to a remotely located analyzer.

2.1.2.2.2 In-situ. Systems that perform an analysis without removing a sample from the stack. Point in-situ analyzers place the sensing or detecting element directly in the flue gas stream. Cross-stack in-situ analyzers measure the parameter of interest by placing a source beam on one side of the stack and the detector (in single-pass instruments) or a retroreflector (in double-pass instruments) on the other side, and measuring the parameter of interest (e.g., CO) by the attenuation of the beam by the gas in its path.

2.1.2.3 Instrument Measurement Range. The difference between the minimum and maximum concentration that can be measured by a specific instrument. The minimum is often stated or assumed to be zero and the range expressed only as the maximum.

2.1.2.4 Span or Span Value. Full scale instrument measurement range.

2.1.2.5 Calibration Drift (CD). The difference in the CEMS output readings from the established reference value after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment takes place. A CD test is performed to demonstrate the stability of the CEMS calibration over time.

2.1.2.6 Response Time. The time interval between the start of a step change in the system input (e.g., change of calibration gas) and the time when the data recorder displays 95 percent of the final value.

2.1.2.7 Accuracy. A measure of agreement between a measured value and an accepted or true value, expressed as the percentage difference between the true and measured values relative to the true value. For these performance specifications, accuracy is checked by conducting a calibration error (CE) test and a relative accuracy (RA) test. Certain facilities, such as those using solid waste or batch-fed processes, may observe long periods of almost no CO emissions with brief, high-level CO emission spikes. These facilities, as well as facilities whose CO emissions never exceed 5-10 ppm, may need to be exempted from the RA requirement because the RA test procedure cannot ensure acquisition of meaningful test results under these conditions. An alternative procedure for accuracy determination is described in section 2.1.9.

2.1.2.8 Calibration Error (CE). The difference between the concentration indicated by the CEMS and the known concentration of the cylinder gas. A CE test procedure is performed to document the accuracy and linearity of the monitoring equipment over the entire measurement range.

2.1.2.9 Relative Accuracy (RA). A comparison of the CEMS response to a value measured by a performance test method (PTM). The PA test is used to validate the calibration technique and verify the ability of the CEMS to provide representative and accurate measurements.

2.1.2.10 Performance Test Method (PTM). The sampling and analysis procedure used to obtain reference measurements for comparison to CEMS measurements. The applicable test methods are Method 10, 10A, or 10B (for the determination of CO) and Method 3 or 3A (for the determination of O2). These methods are found in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A.

2.1.2.11 Performance Specification Test (PST) Period. The period during which CD, CE, response time, and RA tests are conducted.

2.1.2.12 Centroidal Area. A concentric area that is geometrically similar to the stack or duct cross section and is no greater than 1 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area.
2.1.3 Installation and Measurement Location Specifications

2.1.3.1 CEMS Installation and Measurement Locations. The CEMS shall be installed in a location in which measurements representative of the source’s emissions can be obtained. The optimum location of the sample interface for the CEMS is determined by a number of factors, including ease of access for calibration and maintenance, the degree to which sample conditioning will be required, the degree to which it represents the combustion situation in the firebox. The location should be as free from in-leakage influences as possible and reasonably free from severe flow disturbances. The sample location should be at least two equivalent duct diameters downstream from the nearest control device, point of pollutant generation, or other point at which a change in the pollutant concentration or emission rate occurs and at least 0.5 diameter upstream from the exhaust or control device. The equivalent duct diameter is calculated as per 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, method 1, section 2.1. If these criteria are not achievable or if the location is otherwise less than optimum, the possibility of stratification should be checked as described in Section 2.1.3.3 to determine whether the location would cause failure of the relative accuracy test.

2.1.3.1.1 For extractive or point in-situ CEMSs, the measurement point should be within or centrally located over the centroidal area of the stack or duct cross section.

2.1.3.1.2 For cross-stack CEMSs, the effective measurement path should (1) have at least 70 percent of the path within the inner 50 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area or (2) be centrally located over any part of the centroidal area.

2.1.3.1.3 Both the CO and O2 monitors should be installed at the same general location. If this is not possible, they may be installed at different locations if the effluent gases at both sample locations are not stratified and there is no in-leakage of air between sampling locations.

2.1.3.2 Performance Test Method (PTM) Measurement Location and Traverse Points.

2.1.3.2.1 Select an accessible PTM measurement point at least two equivalent diameters downstream from the nearest control device, the point of CO generation, or other point at which a change in the CO concentration may occur, and at least a half equivalent diameter upstream from the effluent exhaust or control device. When pollutant concentration changes are due solely to diluent leakage (e.g., air heater leakages) and CO and O2 are simultaneously measured at the same location, one half diameter may be used in place of two equivalent diameters. The CEMS and PTM locations need not be the same.

2.1.3.2.2 Select traverse points that ensure acquisition of representative samples over the stack or duct cross section. At a minimum, establish a measurement line that passes through the centroidal area in the direction of any expected stratification. If this line intersects with the CEMS measurements, displace the line up to 30 cm (or 5 percent of the equivalent diameter of the cross section, whichever is less) from the centroidal area. Locate three traverse points at 27, 50, and 93 percent of the measurement line. If the measurement line is no longer than 2.4 meters and pollutant stratification is not expected, the tester may choose to locate the three traverse points on the line at 0.4, 1.2, and 2.0 meters from the stack or duct wall. This option must not be used at a site located within eight equivalent diameters downstream of a flow disturbance. The tester may select other traverse points, provided that they can be shown to the satisfaction of the Administrator to provide a representative sample over the stack or duct cross-section. Conduct all necessary PTM tests within 3 cm of the selected traverse points. Sampling must not be performed within 3 cm of the duct or stack inner wall.

2.1.3.3 Stratification Test Procedure. Stratification is defined as a difference in excess of 10 percent between the average concentration in the duct or stack and the concentration at any point more than 1.0 meter from the duct or stack wall. To determine whether effluent stratification exists, a dual probe system should be used to determine the average effluent concentration while measurements at each traverse point are being made. One probe, located at the stack or duct centroid, is used as a stationary reference point to indicate the change in effluent concentration over time. The second probe is used for sampling at the traverse points specified in method 1, appendix A, 40 CFR part 50. The monitoring system samples sequentially at the reference and traverse points throughout the testing period for five minutes at each point.

2.1.4 CEMS Performance and Equipment Specifications

Table 2.1-1 summarizes the performance specifications for the CEMS. Two sets of standards for CO are given: one for low-range and another for high-range measurements. The high-range specifications relate to measurement and quantification of short duration high concentration peaks, while the low-range specifications relate to the overall average operating condition of the burning device. The dual-range specifications can be met by using (1) one analyzer for each range, (2) a dual range unit, or (3) a single measurement range instrument capable of meeting both specifications with a single unit. Adjustments cannot be made to the analyzer between determinations of low- and high-level accuracy within the single measurement range. In the
second case, when the concentration exceeds the span of the lower range, the data acquisition system recorder shall switch to the high range automatically.

2.1.4.1 CEMS Span Value. In order to measure high and low concentrations with the same or similar degree of accuracy, the maximum ranges (span values) are specified for low and high range analyzers. The span values are listed in Table 2.1-2. Tier I and Tier II format definitions are established in 40 CFR part 266, Subpart H.

Table 2.1-1—Performance Specifications of CO and O₂ Monitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CO monitors</th>
<th>O₂ monitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low range</td>
<td>High range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration drift 24 hours.</td>
<td>±6 ppm¹</td>
<td>±90 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration error.</td>
<td>±10 ppm²</td>
<td>±150 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response time.</td>
<td>±2 min</td>
<td>±2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative accuracy²</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: ¹For Tier II, CD and CE are ±3% and ±5% of twice the permit limit, respectively.

FOOTNOTE: ²Expressed as the sum of the mean absolute value plus the 95% confidence interval of a series of measurements.

FOOTNOTE: ³The greater of 10% of PTM or 10 ppm.

Table 2.1-2—CEMS Span Values for CO and O₂ Monitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO monitors</th>
<th>O₂ monitors (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low range (ppm)</td>
<td>High range (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 X permit limit.</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.4.2 Daily Calibration Gas Values. The owner or operator must choose calibration gas concentrations (or calibration filters for in-situ systems) that include zero and high-level calibration values for the daily calibration checks. For a single measurement range monitor, three CO calibration gas concentrations (or calibration filters for in-situ systems) shall be used, i.e., the zero and high-level concentrations of the low-range CO analyzer and the high-level concentration of the high-range CO analyzer.

2.1.4.2.1 The zero level for the CO or O₂ analyzer may be between zero and 20 percent of the span value, e.g., 0-40 ppm for low-range CO analyzer, 0-600 ppm for the high-range CO analyzer, and 0-5 percent for the O₂ analyzer (for Tier I).
2.1.4.2 The high-level concentration for the CO or O\textsubscript{2} analyzer shall be between 50 and 90 percent of the span value, i.e., 100-180 ppm for the low-range CO analyzer, 1500-2700 ppm for the high-range CO analyzer, and 12.5-22.5 percent O\textsubscript{2} for the O\textsubscript{2} analyzer.

2.1.4.3 Data Recorder Scale. The strip chart recorder, computer, or digital recorder must be capable of recording all readings within the CEMS's measurement range and shall have a resolution of 0.5 percent of span value, i.e., 1 ppm CO for low-range CO analyzer, 15 ppm CO for high-range CO analyzer, and 0.1 percent O\textsubscript{2} for the O\textsubscript{2} analyzer.

2.1.4.4 Response Time. The response time for the CO or O\textsubscript{2} monitor shall not exceed 2 minutes to achieve 95 percent of the final stable value.

2.1.4.5 Calibration Drift. The CEMS must allow the determination of CO at the zero and high-level values. The CO must be determined separately for CO and O\textsubscript{2} monitors in terms of concentration. The CO CEMS calibration response must not drift or deviate from the reference value of the calibration gas (or calibration filters for in-situ systems) by more than 1 percent of the span value after each 24-hour period of the 7-day test, i.e., 6 ppm CO for the low-range analyzer (Tier I) and 90 ppm for the high-range analyzer, at both zero and high levels. The O\textsubscript{2} monitor calibration response must not drift or deviate from the reference value by more than 0.5 percent O\textsubscript{2} at both zero and high levels.

2.1.4.6 Relative Accuracy. The result of the RA test of the CO CEMS (which incorporates the O\textsubscript{2} monitor) must be no greater than 10 percent of the mean value of the PFM results or must be within 10 ppm CO of the PFM results, whichever is less restrictive. The ppm CO concentration shall be corrected to 7 percent O\textsubscript{2} before calculating the RA.

2.1.4.7 Calibration Error. The mean difference between the CEMS and reference values at all three test points (see Table 2.1.3) must be no greater than 5 percent of span value for CO monitors (i.e., 10 ppm CO for low range Tier I CO analyzers and 150 ppm CO for high range CO analyzers) and 0.5 percent for O\textsubscript{2} analyzers.

2.1.4.8 Measurement and Recording Frequency. The sample to be analyzed shall pass through the measurement section of the analyzer without interruption. The detector shall measure the sample concentration at least once every 15 seconds. An average emission rate shall be computed and recorded at least once every 60 seconds.

2.1.4.9 Hourly Rolling Average Calculation. The CEMS shall calculate every minute an hourly rolling average, which is the arithmetic mean of the 60 most recent 1-minute average values.

2.1.4.10 Retest. If the CEMS produces results within the specified criteria, the test is successful. If the CEMS does not meet one or more of the criteria, the necessary corrections must be made and the performance tests repeated.

2.1.5 Test Periods

2.1.5.1 Pretest Preparation Period. Install the CEMS, prepare the PFM test site according to the specifications in section 2.1.1, and prepare the CEMS for operation and calibration according to the manufacturer's written instructions. A pretest conditioning period similar to that of the 7-day CD test is recommended to verify the operational status of the CEMS.

2.1.5.2 Calibration Drift Test Period. While the facility is operating under normal conditions, determine the CO at 24-hour intervals for seven consecutive days according to the procedure given in section 2.1.6.1. All CO determinations must be made following a 24-hour period during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment takes place. If the combustion unit is taken out of service during the test period, record the onset and duration of the downtime and continue the calibration drift test when the unit resumes operation.

2.1.5.3 Relative Accuracy Test Period. Conduct the RA test according to the procedure in section 2.1.6.4 while the facility is operating under normal conditions. RA testing for CO and O\textsubscript{2} shall be conducted simultaneously so that the results can be calculated for CO corrected to 7 percent O\textsubscript{2}. The RA test shall be conducted during the CD test period. It is emphasized that during the CD test period, no adjustments or repairs may be made to the CEMS other than routine calibration adjustments performed immediately following the daily CD determination.

2.1.5.4 Calibration Error Test and Response Time Test Periods. Conduct the CE and response time tests during the CD test period.

2.1.6 Performance Specification Test Procedures
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
Part 266, Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X
Page: 63

2.1.6.1 Calibration Drift Test.

2.1.6.1.1 Sampling Strategy. Conduct the CD test for all monitors at 24-hour intervals for seven consecutive days using calibration gases at the two (or three, if applicable) concentration levels specified in section 2.1.4.2. Introduce the calibration gases into the sampling system as close to the sampling probe outlet as practical. The gas shall pass through all filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and other CEMS components used during normal sampling. If periodic automatic or manual adjustments are made to the CEMS zero and calibration settings, conduct the CD test immediately before these adjustments, or conduct it in such a way that the CD can be determined. Record the CEMS response and subtract this value from the reference (calibration gas) value. To meet the specification, none of the differences shall exceed the limits specified in Table 2.1-1.

2.1.6.1.2 Calculations. Summarize the results on a data sheet. An example is shown in Figure 2.1-1. Calculate the differences between the CEMS responses and the reference values.

2.1.6.2 Response Time. Check the entire CEMS including sample extraction and transport, sample conditioning, gas analyses, and the data recording.

2.1.6.2.1 Introduce zero gas into the system. For extractive systems, introduce the calibration gases at the probe as near to the sample location as possible. For in-situ system, introduce the zero gas at a point such that all components active in the analysis are tested. When the system output has stabilized (no change greater than 1 percent of full scale for 30 seconds), switch to monitor stack effluent and wait for a stable value. Record the time (upscale response time) required to reach 95 percent of the final stable value.

2.1.6.2.2 Next, introduce a high-level calibration gas and repeat the above procedure. Repeat the entire procedure three times and determine the mean upscale and downscale response times. The longer of the two means is the system response time.

2.1.6.3 Calibration Error Test Procedure.

2.1.6.3.1 Sampling Strategy. Challenge each monitor (both low- and high-range CO and O₂) with zero gas and EPA Protocol 1 cylinder gases at three measurement points within the ranges specified in Table 2.1-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.1-3-Calibration Error Concentration Ranges for Tier I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAS Concentration Ranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: ¹For Tier II, the CE specifications for the low-range CO CEMS are 0-20%, 30-40%, and 70-80% of twice the permit limit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>CALIBRATION VALUE</th>
<th>MONITOR RESPONSE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>PERCENT OF SPAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acceptance Criteria: ≤ 5% of span each day for seven days.*

Figure 2.1-1 Calibration Drift Determination
2.1.6.3.1.1 If a single measurement range is used, the calibration gases used in the daily CD checks (if they are Protocol I cylinder gases and meet the criteria in section 2.1.6.3.1) may be used for determining CE.

2.1.6.3.1.2 Operate each monitor in its normal sampling mode as nearly as possible. The calibration gas shall be injected into the sample system as close to the sampling probe outlet as practical and should pass through all CEMS components used during normal sampling. Challenge the CEMS three non-consecutive times at each measurement point and record the responses. The duration of each gas injection should be sufficient to ensure that the CEMS surfaces are conditioned.

2.1.6.3.2 Calculations. Summarize the results on a data sheet. An example data sheet is shown in Figure 2.1-2. Average the differences between the instrument response and the certified cylinder gas value for each gas. Calculate three CE results (five CE results for a single-range CO CEMS) according to Equation 5 (section 2.1.7.5). No confidence coefficient is used in CE calculations.

2.1.6.4 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure.

2.1.6.4.1 Sampling Strategy for PTM tests. Conduct the PTM tests in such a way that they will yield measurements representative of the emissions from the source and can be correlated to the CEMS data. Although it is preferable to conduct the CO, diluent, and moisture (if needed) simultaneously, moisture measurements that are taken within a 60-minute period which includes the simultaneous CO and O₂ measurements may be used to calculate the dry CO concentration.

Note: At times, CEMS RA tests may be conducted during incinerator performance tests. In these cases, PTM results obtained during CEMS RA tests may be used to determine compliance with incinerator emissions limits as long as the source and test conditions are consistent with the applicable regulations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN NUMBER</th>
<th>CALIBRATION VALUE</th>
<th>MONITOR RESPONSE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zero/low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Mid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Mid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Mid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 - High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean Difference =**

**Calibration Error =**

Figure 2.2-2 Calibration Error Determination
2.1.6.4.2 Performance Test Methods.

2.1.6.4.2.1 Unless otherwise specified in the regulations, method 3 or 3A and method 10, 10A, or 10B (40 CFR part 60, appendix A) are the test methods for S, and CE, respectively. Make a sample traverse of at least 21 minutes, sampling for 7 minutes at each of three traverse points (see section 3.2).

2.1.6.4.2.2 When the installed CEMS uses a nondispersive infrared (NDIR) analyzer, method 10 shall use the alternative interference trap specified in section 10.1 of the method. An option, which may be approved by the Administrator in certain cases, would allow the test to be conducted using method 10 without the interference trap. Under this option, a laboratory interference test is performed for the analyzer prior to the field test. The laboratory interference test includes the analysis of SO2, NO, and CO2 calibration gases over the range of expected effluent concentrations. Acceptable performance is indicated if the CO analyzer response to each of the gases is less than 1 percent of the applicable measurement range of the analyzer.

2.1.6.4.3 Number of PTM Tests. Conduct a minimum of nine sets of all necessary PTM tests. If more than nine sets are conducted, a maximum of three sets may be rejected at the tester’s discretion. The total number of sets used to determine the RA must be greater than or equal to nine. All data, including the rejected data, must be reported.

2.1.6.4.4 Correlation of PTM and CEMS Data. The time and duration of each PTM test run and the CEMS response time should be considered in correlating the data. Use the CEMS fine output (the one used for reporting) to determine an integrated average CO concentration for each PTM test run. Confirm that the pair of results are on a consistent moisture and 02 concentration basis. Each integrated CEMS value should then be compared against the corresponding average PTM value. If the CO concentration measured by the CEMS is normalized to a specified diluent concentration, the PTM results shall be normalized to the same value.

2.1.6.4.5 Calculations. Summarize the results on a data sheet. Calculate the mean of the PTM values and calculate the arithmetic differences between the PTM and the CEMS data sets. The mean of the differences, standard deviation, confidence coefficient, and CEMS RA should be calculated using Equations 1 through 4.

2.1.7 Equations

2.1.7.1 Arithmetic Mean (d). Calculate the mean of a data set using Equation 1.

\[ \bar{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \]  

where: \( n \) = number of data points.

2.1.7.2 Standard Deviation (s_d). Calculate \( s_d \) using Equation 2.

\[ s_d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - \bar{d})^2} \]  

2.1.7.3 Confidence Coefficient (CC). Calculate the 2.5 percent error CC (one-tailed) using Equation 3.

\[ CC = t_{0.975} \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} \]  

where:

\[ t_{0.975} \] = t-value (see Table 2.1-4).
Table 2.1-4-t-Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n^2</th>
<th>t_0.975</th>
<th>n^2</th>
<th>t_0.975</th>
<th>n^2</th>
<th>t_0.975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.706</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.447</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.303</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.365</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.182</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.306</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.776</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.662</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.571</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.228</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: a The values in this table are already corrected for n-1 degrees of freedom. Use n equal to the number of individual values.

2.1.7.4 Relative Accuracy. Calculate the RA of a set of data using Equation 4.

\[ RA = \frac{|d|}{PTM} \times 100 \]  
(Eq. 4)

where:

- \( d \) = Absolute value of the mean of the differences (Equation 1).
- CC = Absolute value of the confidence coefficient (Equation 3).
- PTM = Average reference value.

2.1.7.5 Calibration Error. Calculate CE using Equation 5.

\[ CE = \frac{|d|}{PTM} \times 100 \]  
(Eq. 5)

where:

- \( d \) = Mean difference between CEMS response and the known reference concentration.

2.1.8 Reporting

At a minimum, summarize in tabular form the results of the CD, RA, response time, and CE test, as appropriate. Include all data sheets, calculations, CEMS data records, and cylinder gas or reference material certifications.

2.1.9 Alternative Procedure

2.1.9.1 Alternative RA Procedure Rationale. Under some operating conditions, it may not be possible to obtain meaningful results using the RA test procedure. This includes conditions where consistent, very low CO emissions or low CO emissions interrupted periodically by short duration, high level spikes are observed. It may be appropriate in these circumstances to waive the PTM RA test and substitute the following procedure.

2.1.9.2 Alternative RA Procedure. Conduct a complete CEMS status check following the manufacturer's written instructions. The check should include operation of the light source, signal receiver, timing mechanism functions, data acquisition and data reduction functions, data recorders, mechanically operated functions (mirror movements, calibration gas valve operations, etc.), sample filters, sample line heaters, moisture traps, and other related functions of the CEMS, as applicable. All parts of the CEMS must be functioning properly before the RA requirement can be waived. The instruments must also have successfully passed the CE and CD requirements of the performance specifications. Substitution of the alternative procedure requires approval of the Regional Administrator.
2.1.10.1 A daily calibration check for each monitor. The calibration must be adjusted if the check indicates the instrument's CD exceeds the specification established in section 2.1.4.5. The gases shall be injected as close to the probe as possible to provide a check of the entire sampling system. If an alternative calibration procedure is desired (e.g., direct injections or gas cells), subject to Administrator approval, the adequacy of this alternative procedure may be demonstrated during the initial 7-day CD test. Periodic comparisons of the two procedures are suggested.

2.1.10.2 A daily system audit. The audit must include a review of the calibration check data, an inspection of the recording system, an inspection of the control panel warning lights, and an inspection of the sample transport and interface system (e.g., flowmeters, filters), as appropriate.

2.1.10.3 A quarterly calibration error (CE) test. Quarterly QA tests may be substituted for the CE test when approved by the Director on a case-by-case basis.

2.1.10.4 An annual performance specification test.

2.1.11 References


2.2 Performance Specifications for Continuous Emission Monitoring of Hydrocarbons for Incinerators, Boilers, and Industrial Furnaces Burning Hazardous Waste

2.2.1 Applicability and Principle

2.2.1.1 Applicability. These performance specifications apply to hydrocarbon (HC) continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) installed on incinerators, boilers, and industrial furnaces burning hazardous waste. The specifications include procedures which are intended to be used to evaluate the acceptability of the CEMS at the time of its installation or whenever specified in regulations or permits. The procedures are not designed to evaluate CEMS performance over an extended period of time. The source owner or operator is responsible for the proper calibration, maintenance, and operation of the CEMS at all times.

2.2.1.2 Principle. A gas sample is extracted from the source through a heated sample line and heated filter (except as provided by section 2.2.10) to a flame ionization detector (FID). Results are reported as volume concentration equivalents of propane. Installation and measurement location specifications, performance and equipment specifications, test and data reduction procedures, and brief quality assurance guidelines are included in the specifications. Calibration drift, calibration error, and response time tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CEMS with the specifications.

2.2.2 Definitions

2.2.2.1 Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS). The total equipment used to acquire data, which includes sample extraction and transport hardware, analyzer, data recording and processing hardware, and software. The system consists of the following major subsystems:

2.2.2.1.1 Sample Interface. That portion of the system that is used for one or more of the following: Sample acquisition, sample transportation, sample conditioning, or protection of the analyzer from the effects of the stack effluent.
2.2.2.2 Organic Analyzer. That portion of the system that senses organic concentration and generates an output proportional to the gas concentration.

2.2.2.1.3 Data Recorder. That portion of the system that records a permanent record of the measurement values. The data recorder may include automatic data reduction capabilities.

2.2.2.7 Instrument Measurement Range. The difference between the minimum and maximum concentration that can be measured by a specific instrument. The minimum is often stated or assumed to be zero and the range expressed only as the maximum.

2.2.2.3 Span or Span Value. Full scale instrument measurement range.

2.2.2.4 Calibration Gas. A known concentration of a gas in an appropriate diluent gas.

2.2.2.5 Calibration Drift (CD). The difference in the CEMS output readings from the established reference value after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment takes place. A CD test is performed to demonstrate the stability of the CEMS calibration over time.

2.2.2.6 Response Time. The time interval between the start of a step change in the system input (e.g., change of calibration gas) and the time when the data recorder displays 95 percent of the final value.

2.2.2.7 Accuracy. A measurement of agreement between a measured value and an accepted or true value, expressed as the percentage difference between the true and measured values relative to the true value. For these performance specifications, accuracy is checked by conducting a calibration error (CE) test.

2.2.2.8 Calibration Error (CE). The difference between the concentration indicated by the CEMS and the known concentration of the cylinder gas. A CE test procedure is performed to document the accuracy and linearity of the monitoring equipment over the entire measurement range.

2.2.2.9 Performance Specification Test (PST) Period. The period during which CD, CE, and response time tests are conducted.

2.2.2.10 Centroidal Area. A concentric area that is geometrically similar to the stack or duct cross section and is no greater than 1 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area.

2.2.3 Installation and Measurement Location Specifications

2.2.3.1 CEMS Installation and Measurement Locations. The CEMS shall be installed in a location in which measurements representative of the source's emissions can be obtained. The optimum location of the sample interface for the CEMS is determined by a number of factors, including ease of access for calibration and maintenance, the degree to which sample conditioning will be required, the degree to which it represents total emissions, and the degree to which it represents the combustion situation in the firebox. The location should be as free from in-leakage influences as possible and reasonably free from severe flow disturbances. The sample location should be at least two equivalent duct diameters downstream from the nearest control device, point of pollutant generation, or other point at which a change in the pollutant concentration or emission rate occurs and at least 0.5 diameter upstream from the exhaust or control device. The equivalent duct diameter is calculated as per 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, method 1, section 2.1. If these criteria are not achievable or if the location is otherwise less than optimum, the possibility of stratification should be investigated as described in section 2.2.3.2. The measurement point shall be within the centroidal area of the stack or duct cross section.

2.2.3.2 Stratification Test Procedure. Stratification is defined as a difference in excess of 10 percent between the average concentration in the duct or stack and the concentration at any point more than 1.0 meter from the duct or stack wall. To determine whether effluent stratification exists, a dual probe system should be used to determine the average effluent concentration while measurements at each traverse point are being made. One probe, located at the stack or duct centroid, is used as a stationary reference point to indicate the change in effluent concentration over time. The second probe is used for sampling at the traverse points specified in 40 CFR part 60 appendix A, method 1. The monitoring system samples sequentially at the reference and traverse points throughout the testing period for five minutes at each point.

2.2.4 CEMS Performance and Equipment Specifications

If this method is applied in highly explosive areas, caution and care shall be exercised in choice of equipment and installation.

2.2.4.1 Flame Ionization Detector (FID) Analyzer. A heated FID analyzer capable of meeting or exceeding the requirements of these specifications. Heated systems shall maintain the temperature of the sample
gas between 150 °C (300 °F) and 175 °C (350 °F) throughout the system. This requires all system components such as the probe, calibration valve, filter, sample lines, pump, and the FID to be kept heated at all times such that no moisture is condensed out of the system.

Note: As specified in the regulations, unheated HC CEMS may be considered an acceptable interim alternative monitoring technique. For additional notes, see section 2.2.10. The essential components of the measurement system are described below:

2.2.4.1.1 Sample Probe. Stainless steel, or equivalent, to collect a gas sample from the centroidal area of the stack cross-section.

2.2.4.1.2 Sample Line. Stainless steel or Teflon tubing to transport the sample to the analyzer.

Note: Mention of trade names or specific products does not constitute endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.

2.2.4.1.3 Calibration Valve Assembly. A heated three-way valve assembly to direct the zero and calibration gases to the analyzer is recommended. Other methods, such as quick-connect lines, to route calibration gas to the analyzers are applicable.

2.2.4.1.4 Particulate Filter. An in-stack or out-of-stack sintered stainless steel filter is recommended if exhaust gas particulate loading is significant. An out-of-stack filter must be heated.

2.2.4.1.5 Fuel. The fuel specified by the manufacturer (e.g., 40 percent hydrogen/60 percent helium, 40 percent hydrogen/60 percent nitrogen gas mixtures, or pure hydrogen) should be used.

2.2.4.1.6 Zero Gas. High purity air with less than 0.1 parts per million by volume (ppm) HC as methane or carbon equivalent or less than 0.1 percent of the span value, whichever is greater.

2.2.4.1.7 Calibration Gases. Appropriate concentrations of propane gas (in air or nitrogen). Preparation of the calibration gases should be done according to the procedures in EPA Protocol 1. In addition, the manufacturer of the cylinder gas should provide a recommended shelf life for each calibration gas cylinder over which the concentration does not change by more than ± 2 percent from the certified value.

2.2.4.2 CEMS Span Value. 100 ppm propane.

2.2.4.3 Daily Calibration Gas Values. The owner or operator must choose calibration gas concentrations that include zero and high-level calibration values.

2.2.4.3.1 The zero level may be between 0 and 20 ppm (zero and 20 percent of the span value).

2.2.4.3.2 The high-level concentration shall be between 50 and 90 ppm (50 and 90 percent of the span value).

2.2.4.4 Data Recorder Scale. The strip chart recorder, computer, or digital recorder must be capable of recording all readings within the CEMS's measurement range and shall have a resolution of 0.5 ppm (0.5 percent of span value).

2.2.4.5 Response Time. The response time for the CEMS must not exceed 2 minutes to achieve 95 percent of the final stable value.

2.2.4.6 Calibration Drift. The CEMS must allow the determination of CD at the zero and high-level values. The CEMS calibration response must not differ by more than ± 3 ppm (± 3 percent of the span value) after each 24-hour period of the 7-day test at both zero and high levels.

2.2.4.7 Calibration Error. The mean difference between the CEMS and reference values at all three test points listed below shall be no greater than ± 5 ppm (± 5 percent of span value).

2.2.4.7.1 Zero Level. Zero to 20 ppm (0 to 20 percent of span value).

2.2.4.7.2 Mid-Level. 30 to 40 ppm (30 to 40 percent of span value).

2.2.4.7.3 High-Level. 70 to 80 ppm (70 to 80 percent of span value).

2.2.4.8 Measurement and Recording Frequency. The sample to be analyzed shall pass through the measurement section of the analyzer without interruption. The detector shall measure the sample concentration...
2.2.4.7.3 High-Level. 70 to 80 ppm (70 to 80 percent of span value).

2.2.4.8 Measurement and Recording Frequency. The sample to be analyzed shall pass through the measurement section of the analyzer without interruption. The detector shall measure the sample concentration at least once every 15 seconds. An average emission rate shall be computed and recorded at least once every 60 seconds.

2.2.4.9 Hourly Rolling Average Calculation. The CEMS shall calculate every minute an hourly rolling average, which is the arithmetic mean of the 60 most recent 1-minute average values.

2.2.4.10 Retest. If the CEMS produces results within the specified criteria, the test is successful. If the CEMS does not meet one or more of the criteria, necessary corrections must be made and the performance tests repeated.

2.2.5 Performance Specification Test (PST) Periods

2.2.5.1 Pretest Preparation, Period. Install the CEMS, prepare the PPM test site according to the specifications in section 2.2.1, and prepare the CEMS for operation and calibration according to the manufacturer's written instructions. A pretest conditioning period similar to that of the 7-day CD test is recommended to verify the operational status of the CEMS.

2.2.5.2 Calibration Drift Test Period. While the facility is operating under normal conditions, determine the magnitude of the CD at 24-hour intervals for seven consecutive days according to the procedure given in section 2.2.6.1. All CD determinations must be made following a 24-hour period during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment takes place. If the combustion unit is taken out of service during the test period, record the onset and duration of the downtime and continue the CD test when the unit resumes operation.

2.2.5.3 Calibration Error Test and Response Time Test Periods. Conduct the CE and response time tests during the CD test period.

2.2.6 Performance Specification Test Procedures

2.2.6.1 Calibration Drift Test.

2.2.6.1.1 Sampling Strategy. Conduct the CD test at 24-hour intervals for seven consecutive days using calibration gases at the two daily concentration levels specified in section 2.2.4.3. Introduce the two calibration gases into the sampling system as close to the sampling probe outlet as practical. The gas shall pass through all CEM components used during normal sampling. If periodic automatic or manual adjustments are made to the CEMS zero and calibration settings, conduct the CD test immediately before these adjustments, or conduct it in such a way that the CD can be determined. Record the CEMS response and subtract this value from the reference (calibration gas) value. To meet the specification, none of the differences shall exceed 3 ppm.

2.2.6.1.2 Calculations. Summarize the results on a data sheet. An example is shown in Figure 2.2.1. Calculate the differences between the CEMS responses and the reference values.

2.2.6.2 Response Time. The entire system including sample extraction and transport, sample conditioning, gas analyses, and the data recording is checked with this procedure.

2.2.6.2.1 Introduce the calibration gases at the probe as near to the sample location as possible. Introduce the zero gas into the system. When the system output has stabilized (no change greater than 1 percent of full scale for 30 sec), switch to monitor stack effluent and wait for a stable value. Record the time (upscale response time) required to reach 95 percent of the final stable value.

2.2.6.2.2 Next, introduce a high-level calibration gas and repeat the above procedure. Repeat the entire procedure three times and determine the mean upscale and downscale response times. The longer of the two means is the system response time.

2.2.6.3 Calibration Error Test Procedure.

2.2.6.3.1.1 Sampling Strategy. Challenge the CEMS with zero gas and EPA Protocol 1 cylinder gases at measurement points within the ranges specified in section 2.2.4.7.

2.2.6.3.1.1 The daily calibration gases, if Protocol 1, may be used for this test.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>CALIBRATION VALUE</th>
<th>MONITOR RESPONSE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>PERCENT OF SPAN*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZERO/LOW LEVEL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>CALIBRATION VALUE</th>
<th>MONITOR RESPONSE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>PERCENT OF SPAN*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HIGH LEVEL**

*Acceptance Criteria: ≤ 3% of span each day for seven days.

Figure 2.2-1 Calibration Drift Determination
2.2.6.3.1.2. Operate the CEMS as nearly as possible in its normal sampling mode. The calibration gas should be injected into the sampling system as close to the sampling probe outlet as practical and shall pass through all filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and other monitor components used during normal sampling. Challenge the CEMS three non-consecutive times at each measurement point and record the responses. The duration of each gas injection should be for a sufficient period of time to ensure that the CEMS surfaces are conditioned.

2.2.6.3.2 Calculations. Summarize the results on a data sheet. An example data sheet is shown in Figure 2.2-2. Average the differences between the instrument response and the certified cylinder gas value for each gas. Calculate three CE results according to Equation 1. No confidence coefficient is used in CE calculations.

2.2.7 Equations

2.2.7.1 Calibration Error. Calculate CE using Equation 1.

\[
CE = \frac{\bar{d}}{FS} \times 100
\]  

(Eq. 1)

where:

\(\bar{d}\) = Mean difference between CEMS response and the known reference concentration.

2.2.8 Reporting

At a minimum, summarize in tabular form the results of the CD, response time, and CE test, as appropriate. Include all data sheets, calculations, CEMS data records, and cylinder gas or reference material certifications.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN NUMBER</th>
<th>CALIBRATION VALUE</th>
<th>MONITOR RESPONSE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zero/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Mid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Mid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Mid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 - High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean Difference =**

**Calibration Error =** %

---

**Figure 2.1-2 Calibration Error Determination**
2.2.9 Quality Assurance (QA)

Proper calibration, maintenance, and operation of the CDMS is the responsibility of the owner or operator. The owner or operator must establish a QA program to evaluate and monitor CDMS performance. As a minimum, the QA program must include:

2.2.9.1 A daily calibration check for each monitor. The calibration must be adjusted if the check indicates the instrument’s CD exceeds 1 ppm. The gas shall be injected as close to the probe as possible to provide a check of the entire sampling system. If an alternative calibration procedure is desired (e.g., direct injections or gas cells), subject to Administrator approval, the adequacy of this alternative procedure may be demonstrated during the initial 7-day CD test. Periodic comparisons of the two procedures are suggested.

2.2.9.2 A daily system audit. The audit must include a review of the calibration check data, an inspection of the recording system, an inspection of the control panel warning lights, and an inspection of the sample transport and interface system (e.g., flowmeters, filters), as appropriate.

2.2.9.3 A quarterly CE test. Quarterly RA tests may be substituted for the CE test when approved by the Director on a case-by-case basis.

2.2.9.4 An annual performance specification test.

2.2.10 Alternative Measurement Technique

The regulations allow gas conditioning systems to be used in conjunction with unheated HC CDMS during an interim period. This gas conditioning may include cooling to not less than 40 °F and the use of condensate traps to reduce the moisture content of sample gas entering the PID to less than 2 ppm. The gas conditioning system, however, must not allow the sample gas to bubble through the condensate as this would remove water soluble organic compounds. All components upstream of the conditioning system should be heated as described in section 2.2.4 to minimize operating and maintenance problems.

2.2.11 References


Section 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

3.1 Methodology for the Determination of Metals Emissions in Exhaust Gases from Hazardous Waste Incineration and Similar Combustion Processes

3.1.1 Applicability and Principle

3.1.1.1 Applicability. This method is being developed for the determination of total chromium (Cr), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), nickel (Ni), manganese (Mn), beryllium (Be), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), selenium (Se), phosphorus (P), thallium (Tl), silver (Ag), antimony (Sb), barium (Ba), and mercury (Hg) stack emissions from hazardous waste incinerators and similar combustion processes. This method may also be used for the determination of particulate emissions following the procedures and precautions described. Modifications to the sample recovery and analysis procedures described in this protocol for the purpose of determining particulate emissions may potentially impact the front-half mercury determination. Mercury emissions should be determined using EPA method 101A given in 40 CFR part 63.

3.1.1.2 Principle. The stack sample is withdrawn isokinetically from the source, with particulate emissions collected in the probe and on a heated filter and gaseous emissions collected in a series of chilled impingers containing an aqueous solution of dilute nitric acid combined with dilute hydrogen peroxide in each of two impingers, and acidic potassium permanganate solution in each of two impingers. Sampling train components are recovered and digested in separate front- and back-half fractions. Materials collected in the sampling train are digested with acid solutions to dissolve organics and to remove organic constituents that may create analytical interferences. Acid digestion is performed using conventional Parr® Bomb or microwave digestion techniques. The nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide impinger solution, the acidic potassium permanganate impinger solution, the HCl rinse solution, and the probe rinse and digested filter solutions are
analyzed for mercury by cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAAS). The nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide solution and the probe times and digested filter solutions of the train catches are analyzed for Cr, Cd, Ni, Mn, Be, Cu, Zn, Pb, Se, P, TI, Ag, Sb, Ba, and As by inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectroscopy (ICAP) or atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy (GFAAS) is used for analysis of antimony, arsenic, cadmium, lead, selenium, and thallium, if these elements require greater analytical sensitivity than can be obtained by ICAP. Additionally, if desired, the tester may use AAS for analyses of all metals if the resulting in-stack method detection limits meet the goal of the testing program. For convenience, aliquots of each digested sample Fraction 1A plus Fraction 2A can be combined proportionally with respect to the original Fraction 1 (normally diluted to 300 ml following digestion and prior to analysis) section 3.1.5.3.3; and concentrated Fraction 2A (normally diluted to 150 ml following digestion and prior to analysis) section 3.1.5.3.4.1 or 3.1.5.3.4.2 for a single analytical determination. The efficiency of the analytical procedure is quantified by the analysis of spiked quality control samples containing each of the target metals and/or other quality assurance measures, as necessary, including actual sample matrix effects checks.

3.1.2 Range, Sensitivity, Precision, and Interferences

3.1.2.1 Range. For the analytes described in this methodology and for similar analyses, the ICAP response is linear over several orders of magnitude. Samples containing metal concentrations in the nanograms per milliliter (ng/ml) to micrograms per milliliter (μg/ml) range in the analytical finish sample can be analyzed using this technique. Samples containing greater than approximately 50 μg/ml of chromium, lead, or arsenic should be diluted to that level or lower for final analysis. Samples containing greater than approximately 20 μg/ml of cadmium should be diluted to that level before analysis.

3.1.2.2 Analytical Sensitivity. ICAP analytical detection limits for the sample solutions (based on SW-846, method 6010) are approximately as follows: Sb (32 ng/ml), As (3 ng/ml), Ba (2 ng/ml), Be (0.3 ng/ml), Cd (4 ng/ml), Cr (7 ng/ml), Cu (6 ng/ml), Pb (42 ng/ml), Mn (2 ng/ml), Ni (15 ng/ml), F (75 ng/ml), Se (75 ng/ml), Ag (7 ng/ml), TI 440 ng/ml, and Zn (2 ng/ml). The actual method detection limits are sample dependent and may vary as the sample matrix may affect the limits. The analytical detection limits for analysis by direct aspiration AAS (based on SW-846, Method 7000 series) are approximately as follows: Sb (200 ng/ml), As (2 ng/ml), Ba (100 ng/ml), Be (5 ng/ml), Cd (5 ng/ml), Cr (50 ng/ml), Cu (20 ng/ml), Pb (100 ng/ml), Mn (10 ng/ml), Ni (40 ng/ml), Se (2 ng/ml), Ag (10 ng/ml), TI (100 ng/ml), and Zn (5 ng/ml). The detection limit for mercury by CVAAAS is approximately 0.2 ng/ml. The use of GFAAS can give added sensitivity compared to the use of direct aspiration AAS for the following metals: Sb (3 ng/ml), As (1 ng/ml), Be (0.2 ng/ml), Cd (0.1 ng/ml), Cr (1 ng/ml), Pb (1 ng/ml), Se (0.5 ng/ml), and TI (1 ng/ml).

Using (1) the procedures described in this method, (2) the analytical detection limits described in the previous paragraph, (3) a volume of 300 ml, Fraction 1, for the front half and 150 ml, Fraction 2A, for the back-half samples, and (4) a stack gas sample volume of 1.25 m³, the corresponding instack method detection limits are presented in Table A-1 and calculated as shown:

\[ A \times B \times C = D \]

where:

A = analytical detection limit, μg/ml.
B = volume of sample prior to aliquot for analysis, ml.
C = stack sample volume, dm³ (dm³).
D = in-stack detection limit, μg/ft³.

Values in Table 3.1-1 are calculated for the front and back half and/or the total train.

To ensure optimum sensitivity in obtaining the measurements, the concentrations of target metals in the solutions are suggested to be at least ten times the analytical detection limits. Under certain conditions, and with greater care in the analytical procedure, this concentration can be as low as approximately three times the analytical detection limit. In all cases, on at least one sample (run) in the source test and for each metal analyzed, repetitive analyses, method of standard additions (MSA), serial dilution, or matrix spike addition, etc., shall be used to establish the quality of the data.

Actual in-stack method detection limits will be determined based on actual source sampling parameters and analytical results as described above. If required, the method in-stack detection limits can be made more sensitive than those shown in Table A-1 for a specific test by using one or more of the following options:
A 1-hour sampling run may collect a stack gas sampling volume of about 1.25 m³. If the sampling time is increased and 5 m³ are collected, the in-stack method detection limits would be one fourth of the values shown in Table A-I (this means that with this change, the method is four times more sensitive than a 1-hour run. Larger sample volumes (longer runs) would make it even more sensitive).

The in-stack detection limits assume that all of the sample is digested (with exception of the aliquot for mercury) and the final liquid volumes for analysis are 100 ml. Fraction 1 for the front half and 150 ml, Fraction 2A, for the back-half sample. If the front-half volume is reduced from 300 ml to 30 ml, the front-half in-stack detection limits would be one tenth of the values shown above (ten times more sensitive). If the back-half volume is reduced from 150 ml to 25 ml, the in-stack detection limits would be one sixtieth of the above values. Matrix effects checks are necessary on analyses of samples and typically are of greater significance for samples that have been concentrated to less than the normal original sample volume. Reduction to a volume of less than 25 ml may not allow redissolving of the residue and may increase interference by other compounds.

When both of the above two improvements are used on one sample at the same time, the resultant improvements are multiplicative. For example, where stack gas volume is increased by a factor of five and the total liquid sample digested volume of both the front and back halves is reduced by a factor of six, the in-stack method detection limit is reduced by a factor of thirty (the method is thirty times more sensitive).

### Table 3. In-Stack Method Detection Limits (µg/m³) for Train Fractions Using ICP and AAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Front-half fraction 1 probe and filter</th>
<th>Back-half fraction 2 impingers 1-3</th>
<th>Back-half fractions &quot;Bg. only&quot; impingers 4-6</th>
<th>Total train</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>7.7 (0.7) *</td>
<td>3.8 (0.4) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.5 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>12.7 (0.3) *</td>
<td>6.4 (0.1) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>0.07 (0.05) *</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11 (0.08) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>1.0 (0.02) *</td>
<td>0.5 (0.01) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>1.7 (0.2) *</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>10.1 (0.2) *</td>
<td>5.0 (0.1) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.1 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2) *</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.6 **</td>
<td>3.0 **</td>
<td>2.0 **</td>
<td>5.6 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(0.3) *</td>
<td>(0.3) *</td>
<td>27 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>9.6 (0.2) *</td>
<td>4.8 (0.1) *</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.4 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOOTNOTE: (*) Detection limit when analyzed by GFAAS.

FOOTNOTE: ** Detection limit when analyzed by CVAS, estimated for back half and total train.

Note: Actual method in-stack detection limits will be determined based on actual source sampling parameters and analytical results as described earlier in this section.

* Conversely, reducing stack gas sample volume and increasing sample liquid volume will increase in-stack detection limits (the method would then be less sensitive). The front-half and back-half samples (Fractions 1A plus and 2A) can be combined proportionally (see section 3.1.1.1 of this methodology) prior to analysis. The resultant liquid volume (excluding the mercury fractions, which must be analyzed separately) is recorded. Combining the sample as described does not allow determination (whether front or back half) of where in the train the sample was captured. The in-stack method detection limit then becomes a single value for all metals except mercury, for which the contribution of the mercury fractions must be considered.

* The above discussion assumes no blank correction. Blank corrections are discussed later in this method.

3.1.2.3 Precision. The precisions (relative standard deviation) for each metal detected in a method development test at a sewage sludge incinerator, are as follows: Sb (12.7%), As (11.5%), Ba (20.6%), Cd (11.5%), Cr (11.2%), Cu (11.5%), Pb (14.5%), Se (15.3%), Ti (12.3%), and Zn (11.8%). The precision for nickel was 7.7% for another test conducted at a source simulator. Beryllium, manganese, and silver were not detected in the tests; however, based on the analytical sensitivity of the ICP, for these metals, it is assumed that their precisions should be similar to those for the other metals, when detected at similar levels.

3.1.2.4 Interferences. Iron can be a spectral interference during the analysis of arsenic, chromium, and cadmium by ICP. Aluminum can be a spectral interference during the analysis of arsenic and lead by ICP. Generally, these interferences can be reduced by diluting the sample, but this increases the method detection limit (in-stack detection limit). Refer to EPA method 6010 (SW-846) or the other analytical methods used for details on potential interferences for this method. The analyst must eliminate or reduce interferences to acceptable levels. For all GFAAS analyses, matrix modifiers should be used to limit interferences, and standards should be matrix matched.

3.1.3 Apparatus

3.1.3.1 Sampling Train. A schematic of the sampling train is shown in Figure 3.1-1. It is similar to the 40 CFR part 60, appendix A method 5 train. The sampling train consists of the following components:

3.1.3.1.1 Probe Nozzle (Probe Tip) and Borosilicate or Quartz Glass Probe Liner. Same as method 5, sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, except that glass nozzles are required unless an alternate probe tip prevents the possibility of contamination or interference of the sample with its materials of construction. If a probe tip other than glass is used, no correction (because of any affect on the sample) by the probe tip of the stack sample test results can be made.

3.1.3.1.2 Pitot Tube and Differential Pressure Gauge. Same as method 2, sections 2.1 and 2.2, respectively.

3.1.3.1.3 Filter Holder. Glass, same as method 5, section 2.1.5, except that a Teflon filter support or other non-metallic, non-contaminating support must be used to replace the glass frit.

3.1.3.1.4 Filter Heating System. Same as method 5, section 2.1.6.

3.1.3.1.5 Condenser. The following system shall be used for the condensation and collection of gaseous metals and for determining the moisture content of the stack gas. The condensing system should consist of four to seven impingers connected in series with leak-free ground glass fittings or other leak-free, non-contaminating fittings. The first impinger is optional and is recommended as a moisture knockout trap for use during test conditions which require such a trap. The first impinger shall be appropriately-sized, if necessary, for an expected large moisture catch and generally constructed as described for the first impinger in method 5, paragraph 2.1.7. The second impinger (or the first HNO₃/H₂O₂ impinger) shall also be constructed as described for the first impinger in method 5. The third impinger (or the second HNO₃/H₂O₂ impinger) shall be the same as the Greenburg Smith impinger with the standard tip described as the second impinger in method 5, paragraph 2.1.7. All other impingers used in the methods train are the same as the first HNO₃/H₂O₂ impinger described in this paragraph. In summary, the first impinger which may be optional as described in this...
methodology shall be empty, the second and third shall contain known quantities of a nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide solution (section 3.1.4.2.1), the fourth shall be empty, the fifth and sixth shall contain a known quantity of acidic potassium permanganate solution (section 3.1.4.2.2), and the last impinger shall contain a known quantity of silica gel. A thermometer capable of measuring to within 1°C (2°F) shall be placed at the outlet of the last impinger. When the moisture knockout impinger is not needed, it is removed from the train and the other impingers remain the same. If mercury analysis is not to be performed, the potassium permanganate impingers and the empty impinger preceding them are removed.
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Figure 3.1-1 Schematic of multiple met!
3.1.3.1.6 Metering System, Barometer, and Gas Density Determination Equipment. Same as method 5, sections 2.1.8 through 2.1.10, respectively.

3.1.3.1.7 Teflon Tape. For capping openings and sealing connections, if necessary, on the sampling train.

3.1.3.2 Sample Recovery. Same as method 5, sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.8 (Nonmetallic Probe-Liner and Probe-Nozzle Brushes or Swabs, Wash Bottles, Sample Storage Containers, Petri Dishes, Glass Graduated Cylinder, Plastic Storage Containers, Funnel and Rubber Policeman, and Glass Funnel), respectively, with the following exceptions and additions:

3.1.3.2.1 Nonmetallic Probe-Liner and Probe-Nozzle Brushes or Swabs. For quantitative recovery of materials collected in the front half of the sampling train; description of acceptable all-Teflon component brushes or swabs is to be included in EPA's Emission Measurement Technical Information Center (EMTIC) files.

3.1.3.2.2 Sample Storage Containers. Glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps which are non-reactive to the oxidizing solutions, with a capacity of 1000- and 500-ml, shall be used for KMnO₄-containing samples and blanks. Polyethylene bottles may be used for other sample types.

3.1.3.2.3 Graduated Cylinder. Glass or equivalent.

3.1.3.2.4 Funnel. Glass or equivalent.

3.1.3.2.5 Labels. For identification of samples.

3.1.3.2.6 Polypropylene Tweezers and/or Plastic Gloves. For recovery of the filter from the sampling train filter holder.

3.1.3.3 Sample Preparation and Analysis. For the analysis, the following equipment is needed:

3.1.3.3.1 Volumetric Flasks, 100-ml, 250-ml, and 1000-ml. For preparation of standards and sample dilution.

3.1.3.3.2 Graduated Cylinders. For preparation of reagents.

3.1.3.3.3 Parr® Bombs or Microwave Pressure Relief Vessels with Capping Station (GEM Corporation model or equivalent).

3.1.3.3.4 Beakers and Watch glasses. 250-ml beakers for sample digestion with watch glasses to cover the tops.

3.1.3.3.5 Ring Stands and Clamps. For securing equipment such as filtration apparatus.

3.1.3.3.6 Filter Funnels. For holding filter paper.

3.1.3.3.7 Whatman 541 Filter Paper (or equivalent). For filtration of digested samples.

3.1.3.3.8 Disposable Pasteur Pipets and Bulbs.

3.1.3.3.9 Volumetric Pipets.

3.1.3.3.10 Analytical Balance. Accurate to within 0.1 mg.

3.1.3.3.11 Microwave or Conventional Oven. For heating samples at fixed power levels or temperatures.

3.1.3.3.12 Hot Plates.

3.1.3.3.13 Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS). Equipped with a background corrector.

3.1.3.3.13.1 Graphite Furnace Attachment. With antimony, arsenic, cadmium, lead, selenium, thallium hollow cathode lamps (HCLs) or electrodeless discharge lamps (EDLs). (Same as EPA SW-846 methods 7041 (antimony), 7060 (arsenic), 7131 (cadmium), 7421 (lead), 7740 (selenium), and 7841 (thallium).)

3.1.3.3.13.2 Cold Vapor Mercury Attachment. With a mercury HCL or EDL. The equipment needed for the cold vapor mercury attachment includes an air recirculation pump, a quartz cell, an aerator apparatus, and a heat lamp or desiccator tube. The heat lamp should be capable of raising the ambient temperature at the quartz cell by 10°C such that no condensation forms on the wall of the quartz cell. (Same as EPA method 7470.)
3.1.3.14 Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Spectrometer. With either a direct or sequential reader and an alumina torch. (Same as EPA method SO10.)

3.1.4 Reagents

The complexity of this methodology is such that to obtain reliable results, the testers (including analysts) should be experienced and knowledgeable in source sampling, in handling and preparing (including mixing) reagents as described, and using adequate safety procedures and protective equipment in performing this method, including sampling, mixing reagents, digestions, and analyses. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents conform to the specifications established by the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available; otherwise, use the best available grade.

3.1.4.1 Sampling. The reagents used in sampling are as follows:

3.1.4.1.1 Filters. The filters shall contain less than 1.3μg/in² of each of the metals to be measured. Analytical results provided by filter manufacturers are acceptable. However, if no such results are available, filter blanks must be analyzed for each target metal prior to emission testing. Quartz fiber or glass fiber (which meets the requirement of containing less than 1.3 μg/in² of each metal) filters without organic binders shall be used. The filters should exhibit at least 99.95 percent efficiency (<0.05 percent penetration) on 0.3 micron dicetyl phthalate smoke particles. The filter efficiency test shall be conducted in accordance with ASTM Standard Method D2868-71 (incorporated by reference). For particulate determination in sources containing SO₂ or SO₃, the filter material must be of a type that is unreactive to SO₂ or SO₃, as described in EPA method 5. Quartz fiber filters meeting these requirements are recommended for use in this method.

3.1.4.1.2 Water. To conform to ASTM Specification D1193-77, Type II (incorporated by reference). If necessary, analyze the water for all target metals prior to field use. All target metal concentrations should be less than 1 ng/ml.

3.1.4.1.3 Nitric Acid. Concentrated. Baker Instra-analyzed or equivalent.

3.1.4.1.4 Hydrochloric Acid. Concentrated. Baker Instra-analyzed or equivalent.

3.1.4.1.5 Hydrogen Peroxide, 30 Percent (V/V).

3.1.4.1.6 Potassium Permanganate.

3.1.4.1.7 Sulfuric Acid. Concentrated.

3.1.4.1.8 Silica Gel and Crushed Ice. Same as method 5, sections 3.1.2 and 3.1.4, respectively.

3.1.4.2 Pretest Preparation for Sampling Reagents.

3.1.4.2.1 Nitric Acid (HNO₃)/Hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂) Absorbing Solution, 5 Percent HNO₃/10 Percent H₂O₂. Carefully with stirring, add 50 ml of concentrated HNO₃ to a 1000-ml volumetric flask containing approximately 500 ml of water, and then, carefully with stirring, add 333 ml of 30 percent H₂O₂. Dilute to volume (1000 ml) with water. Mix well. The reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

3.1.4.2.2 Acidic Potassium Permanganate (K₂MnO₄) Absorbing Solution, 4 Percent K₂MnO₄ (W/V), 10 Percent H₂SO₄ (V/V). Prepare fresh daily. Mix carefully, with stirring, 100 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ into 800 ml of water, and add water with stirring to make a volume of 1 L. This solution is 10 percent H₂SO₄ (V/V). Dissolve, with stirring, 40 g of K₂MnO₄ into 10 percent H₂SO₄ (V/V) and add 10 percent H₂SO₄ (V/V) with stirring to make a volume of 1 L; this is the acidic potassium permanganate absorbing solution. Prepare and store in glass bottles to prevent degradation. The reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of Mn.

Precaution: To prevent autocatalytic decomposition of the permanganate solution, filter the solution through Whatman 541 filter paper. Also, due to the potential reaction of the potassium permanganate with the acid, there may be pressure buildup in the sample storage bottle; these bottles shall not be fully filled and shall be vented both to relieve potential excess pressure and prevent explosion due to pressure buildup. Venting is required, but should not allow contamination of the sample; a No. 70-72 hole drilled in the container cap and Teflon liner has been used.

3.1.4.2.3 Nitric Acid, 0.1 N. With stirring, add 6.3 ml of concentrated HNO₃ (70 percent) to a flask containing approximately 900 ml of water. Dilute to 1000 ml with water. Mix well. The reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.
3.1.4.2.4 Hydrochloric Acid (HCl), 8 N. Make the desired volume of 8 N HCl in the following proportions. Carefully with stirring, add 690 ml of concentrated HCl to a flask containing 210 ml of water. Dilute to 1000 ml with water. Mix well. The reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of Ag.

3.1.4.3 Glassware Cleaning Reagents.

3.1.4.3.1 Nitric Acid, Concentrated. Fisher ACS grade or equivalent.

3.1.4.3.2 Water. To conform to ASTM Specifications D1193-77, Type II.

3.1.4.3.3 Nitric Acid, 10 Percent (V/V). With stirring, add 500 ml of concentrated HNO₃ to a flask containing approximately 4000 ml of water. Dilute to 5000 ml with water. Mix well. Reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

3.1.4.3.4 Water. To conform to ASTM Specifications D1193-77, Type II.

3.1.4.3.5 Hydrochloric Acid, Concentrated. Fisher ACS grade or equivalent.

3.1.4.4 Sample Digestion and Analysis Reagents.

3.1.4.4.1 Hydrochloric Acid, Concentrated.

3.1.4.4.2 Hydrofluoric Acid, Concentrated.

3.1.4.4.3 Nitric Acid, Concentrated. Baker Intra-analyzed or equivalent.

3.1.4.4.4 Nitric Acid, 50 Percent (V/V). With stirring, add 125 ml of concentrated HNO₃ to 100 ml of water. Dilute to 250 ml with water. Mix well. Reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

3.1.4.4.5 Nitric Acid, 5 Percent (V/V). With stirring, add 50 ml of concentrated HNO₃ to 800 ml of water. Dilute to 1000 ml with water. Mix well. Reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

3.1.4.4.6 Water. To conform to ASTM Specifications D1193-77, Type II.

3.1.4.4.7 Hydroxylamine Hydrochloride and Sodium Chloride Solution. See EPA method 7470 for preparation.

3.1.4.4.8 Stannous Chloride. See method 7470.

3.1.4.4.9 Potassium Permanganate, 5 Percent (W/V). See method 7470.

3.1.4.4.10 Sulfuric Acid, Concentrated.

3.1.4.4.11 Nitric Acid, 50 Percent (V/V).

3.1.4.4.12 Potassium Persulfate, 5 Percent (W/V). See Method 7470.

3.1.4.4.13 Nickel Nitrate, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O.

3.1.4.4.14 Lanthanum, Oxide, La₂O₃.

3.1.4.4.15 AAS Grade Mg Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.16 AAS Grade Pb Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.17 AAS Grade As Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.18 AAS Grade Cd Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.19 AAS Grade Cr Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.20 AAS Grade Sb Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.21 AAS Grade Ba Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.22 AAS Grade Be Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.23 AAS Grade Cu Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.4.24 AAS Grade Mn Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.25 AAS Grade M Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.26 AAS Grade F Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.27 AAS Grade Se Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.28 AAS Grade Ag Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.29 AAS Grade Tl Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.30 AAS Grade Zn Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.31 AAS Grade Al Standard, 1000 µg/ml.
3.1.4.32 AAS Grade Fe Standard, 1000 µg/ml.

3.1.4.33 The metals standards may also be made from solid chemicals as described in EPA Method 200.7. EPA SW-846 Method 7470 or Standard Methods for the Analysis of Water and Wastewater, 15th Edition. Method 303F should be referred to for additional information on mercury standards.

3.1.4.34 Mercury Standards and Quality Control Samples. Prepare fresh weekly a 10 µg/ml intermediate mercury standard by adding 5 ml of 1000 µg/ml mercury stock solution to a 500-ml volumetric flask; dilute with stirring to 500 ml by first carefully adding 20 ml of 15 percent HNO₃ and then adding water to the 500-ml volume. Mix well. Prepare a 200 µg/ml working mercury standard solution fresh daily; Add 5 ml of the 10 µg/ml intermediate standard to a 250-ml volumetric flask and dilute to 250 ml with 5 ml of 4 percent HNO₃, 5 ml of 15 percent HNO₃, and then water. Mix well. At least six separate aliquots of the working mercury standard solution should be used to prepare the standard curve. These aliquots should contain 0.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0 ml of the working standard solution containing 0, 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1000 ng mercury, respectively. Quality control samples should be prepared by making a separate 10 µg/ml standard and diluting until in the range of the calibration.

3.1.4.35 ICAP Standards and Quality Control Samples. Calibration standards for ICAP analysis can be combined into four different mixed standard solutions as shown below.

Mixed Standard Solutions for ICAP Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>As, Ba, Cd, Mn, Pb, Se, Zn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Ba, Cu, Fe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Al, Cr, Ni.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Ag, P, Sb, Tl.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepare these standards by combining and diluting the appropriate volumes of the 1000 µg/ml solutions with 5 percent nitric acid. A minimum of one standard and a blank can be used to form each calibration curve. However, a separate quality control sample spiked with known amounts of the target metals in quantities in the midrange of the calibration curve should be prepared. Suggested standard levels are 25 µg/ml for Al, Cr, and Pb, 15 µg/ml for Fe, and 10 µg/ml for the remaining elements. Standards containing less than 1 µg/ml of metal should be prepared daily. Standards containing greater than 1 µg/ml of metal should be stable for a minimum of 1 to 2 weeks.

3.1.4.36 Graphite Furnace AAS Standards. Antimony, arsenic, cadmium, lead, selenium, and thallium. Prepare a 10 µg/ml standard by adding 1 ml of 1000 µg/ml standard to a 100-ml volumetric flask. Dilute with stirring to 100 ml with 10 percent nitric acid. For graphite furnace AAS, the standards must be matrix matched. Prepare a 100 ng/ml standard by adding 1 ml of the 10 µg/ml standard to a 100-ml volumetric flask and dilute to 100 ml with the appropriate matrix solution. Other standards should be prepared by dilution of the 100 ng/ml standards. At least five standards should be used to make up the standard curve. Suggested levels are 0, 10, 50, 75, and 100 ng/ml. Quality control samples should be prepared by making a separate 10 µg/ml standard and diluting until it is in the range of the samples. Standards containing less than 1 µg/ml of metal should be prepared daily. Standards containing greater than 1 µg/ml of metal should be stable for a minimum of 1 to 2 weeks.
3.1.4.3 Matrix Modifiers.

3.1.4.4.3 Nickel Nitrate, 1 Percent (V/V). Dissolve 4.956 g of Ni(NO₃)₂ · 6H₂O in approximately 50 ml of water in a 100-ml volumetric flask. Dilute to 100 ml with water.

3.1.4.4.3.2 Nickel Nitrate, 0.1 Percent (V/V). Dilute 10 ml of the 1 percent nickel nitrate solution from section 3.1.4.4.3.1 above to 100 ml with water. Inject an equal amount of sample and this modifier into the graphite furnace during AAS analysis for As.

3.1.4.4.3.3 Lanthanum. Carefully dissolve 0.5664 g of La₂O₃ in 10 ml of concentrated HNO₃ and dilute the solution by adding it with stirring to approximately 50 ml of water, and then dilute to 100 ml with water. Mix well. Inject an equal amount of sample and this modifier into the graphite furnace during AAS analysis for Pb.

3.1.5 Procedure

3.1.5.1 Sampling. The complexity of this method is such that, to obtain reliable results, testers and analysts should be trained and experienced with the test procedures, including source sampling, reagent preparation and handling, sample handling, analytical calculations, reporting, and descriptions specifically at the beginning of and throughout section 3.1.4 and all other sections of this methodology.

3.1.5.1.1 Pretreat Preparation. Follow the same general procedure given in method 5, section 4.1.1., except that, unless particulate emissions are to be determined, the filter need not be desiccated or weighed. All sampling train glassware should first be rinsed with hot tap water and then washed in hot soapy water. Next, glassware should be rinsed three times with tap water, followed by three additional rinses with water. All glassware should then be soaked in a 10 percent (V/V) nitric acid solution for a minimum of 4 hours, rinsed three times with water, rinsed a final time with acetone, and allowed to air dry. All glassware openings where contamination can occur should be covered until the sampling train is assembled for sampling.

3.1.5.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as method 5, section 4.1.2.

3.1.5.1.3 Preparation of Sampling Train. Follow the same general procedures given in method 5, section 4.1.3, except place 100 ml of the nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide solution (section 3.1.4.2.1) in each of the two HNO₃/H₂O₂ impingers as shown in Figure 3.1-1 (normally the second and third impingers), place 100 ml of the acidic potassium permanganate absorbing solution (section 3.1.4.2.2) in each of the two permanganate impingers as shown in Figure A-1, and transfer approximately 200 to 300 g of preweighed silica gel from its container to the last impinger. Alternatively, the silica gel may be weighed directly in the impinger just prior to train assembly.

Several options are available to the tester based on the sampling requirements and conditions. The use of an empty first impinger can be eliminated if the moisture to be collected in the impingers will be less than approximately 100 ml. If necessary, use as applicable to this methodology the procedure described in section 7.1.1 of EPA method 101A, 40 CFR part 61, appendix B, to maintain the desired color in the last permanganate impinger.

Retain for reagent blanks volumes of the nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide solution per section 3.1.5.2.9 of this method and of the acidic potassium permanganate solution per section 3.1.5.2.10. These reagent blanks should be labeled and analyzed as described in section 3.1.7. Set up the sampling train as shown in Figure 3.1-1, or if mercury analysis is not to be performed in the train, then it should be modified by removing the two permanganate impingers and the impinger preceding the permanganate impingers. If necessary to ensure leak-free sampling train connections and prevent contamination Teflon tape or other non-contaminating material should be used instead of silicone grease.

Precaution: Extreme care should be taken to prevent contamination within the train. Prevent the mercury collection reagent (acidic potassium permanganate) from contacting any glassware of the train which is washed and analyzed for Mn. Prevent hydrogen peroxide from mixing with the acidic potassium permanganate.

Mercury emissions can be measured, alternatively, in a separate train which measures only mercury emissions by using EPA method 101A with the modifications described below (and with the further modification that the permanganate containers shall be processed as described in the precaution in section 3.1.4.2.2 and the note in section 3.1.5.2.5 of this methodology). This alternative method is applicable for measurement of mercury emissions, and it may be of special interest to sources which must measure both mercury and manganese emissions.

Section 7.2.1 of method 101A shall be modified as follows after the 250 to 400-ml HNO₃ rinse:
To remove any precipitated material and any residual brown deposits on the glassware following the permanganate rinse, rinse with approximately 100 ml of deionized distilled water, and add this water rinse carefully assuring transfer of all loose precipitated materials from the three permanganate impingers into the permanganate container No. 1. If no visible deposits remain after this water rinse, do not rinse with 8 N HCl. However, if deposits do remain on the glassware after this water rinse, wash the impinger surfaces with 100 ml of 8 N HCl, and place the wash in a separate sample container labeled Container No. 1.A. containing 200 ml of water as follows. Place 200 ml of water in a sample container labeled Container No. 1.A. Wash the impinger walls and stem with the HCl by turning the impinger on its side and rotating it so that the HCl contacts all inside surfaces. Use a total of only 25 ml of 8 N HCl for rinsing all permanganate impingers combined. Rinse the first impinger, then pour the actual rinse used for the first impinger into the second impinger for its rinse, etc. Finally, pour the 25 ml of 8 N HCl rinse carefully with stirring into Container No. 1.A. Analyze the HCl rinse separately by carefully diluting with stirring the contents of Container No. 1.A. to 500 ml with deionized distilled water. Filter (if necessary) through Whatman 40 filter paper, and then analyze for mercury according to section 7.4, except limit the aliquot size to a maximum of 10 ml. Prepare and analyze a water diluted blank 8 N HCl sample by using the same procedure as that used by Container No. 1.A., except add 5 ml of 8 N HCl with stirring to 40 ml of water, and then dilute to 100 ml with water. Then analyze as instructed for the sample from Container No. 1.A. Because the previous separate permanganate solution rinse (section 7.2.1) and water rinse (as modified in these guidelines) have the capability to recover a very high percentage of the mercury from the permanganate impingers, the amount of mercury in the HCl rinse in Container No. 1.A. may be very small, possibly even insignificantly small. However, add the total of any mercury analyzed and calculated for the HCl rinse sample Container No. 1.A. to that calculated from the mercury sample from section 7.3.2 which contains the separate permanganate rinse (and water rinse as modified herein) for calculation of the total sample mercury concentration.

3.1.5.1.4 Leak-Check Procedures. Follow the leak-check procedures given in method 5, section 4.1.4.1 (Pretest Leak-Check), section 4.1.4.2 (Leak-Checks During the Sample Run), and section 4.1.4.3 (Post-Test Leak-Checks).

3.1.5.1.5 Sampling Train Operation. Follow the procedures given in method 5, section 4.1.5. For each run, record the date required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Figure 5-2 of method 5.

3.1.5.1.6 Calculation of Percent Isokinetic. Same as method 5, section 4.1.6.

3.1.5.3 Sample Recovery. Begin cleanup procedures as soon as the probe is removed from the stack at the end of a sampling period.

The probe should be allowed to cool prior to sample recovery. When it can be safely handled, wipe off all external particulate matter near the tip of the probe nozzle and place a rinsed, non-contaminating cap over the probe to prevent losing or gaining particulate matter. Do not cap the probe tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling. This normally causes a vacuum to form in the filter holder, thus causing the undesired result of drawing liquid from the impingers into the filter.

Before moving the sampling train to the cleanup site, remove the probe from the sampling train and cap the open outlet. Be careful not to lose any condensate that might be present. Cap the filter inlet where the probe was fastened. Remove the umbilical cord from the last impinger and cap the impinger. Cap off the filter holder outlet and impinger inlet. Use noncontaminating caps, whether ground-glass stoppers, plastic caps, serum caps, or Teflon tape to close these openings.

Alternatively, the train can be disassembled before the probe and filter holder/oven are completely cooled, if this procedure is followed: Initially disconnect the filter holder outlet/impinger inlet and loosen cap the open ends. Then disconnect the probe from the filter holder or cyclone inlet and loosely cap the open ends. Cap the probe tip and remove the umbilical cord as previously described.

Transfer the probe and filter-impinger assembly to a cleanup area that is clean and protected from the wind and other potential causes of contamination or loss of sample. Inspect the train before and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions. The sample is recovered and treated as follows (see schematic in Figure 3.1-2). Ensure that all items necessary for recovery of the sample do not contaminate it.

3.1.5.2.1 Container No. 1 (Filter). Carefully remove the filter from the filter holder and place it in its identified petri dish container. Acid-washed polypropylene or Teflon coated tweezers or clean, disposable surgical gloves rinsed with water and dried should be used to handle the filters. If it is necessary to fold the filter, make certain the particulate case is inside the fold. Carefully transfer the filter and any particulate matter or filter fibers that adhere to the filter holder gasket to the petri dish by using a dry (acid-cleaned) nylon bristle brush. Do not use any metalcontaining materials when recovering this train. Seal the labeled petri dish.
Figure 3.1-2 Sample recovery scheme.
3.1.5.2.2 Container No. 2 (Acetone Rinse).

Note: Perform section 3.1.5.2.2 only if determination of particulate emissions are desired in addition to metals emissions. If only metals emissions are desired, skip section 3.1.5.2.2 and go to section 3.1.5.2.1.

Taking care to see that dust on the outside of the probe or other exterior surfaces does not get into the sample, quantitatively recover particulate matter and any condensate from the probe nozzle, probe fitting (plastic such as Teflon, polypropylene, etc.), fittings are recommended to prevent contamination by metal fittings; further, if desired, a single glass piece consisting of a combined probe tip and probe liner may be used, but such a single glass piece is not a requirement of this methodology, a probe liner, and front half of the filter holder by washing these components with 100 ml of acetone and placing the wash in a glass container.

Note: The use of exactly 100 ml is necessary for the subsequent blank correction procedures. Distilled water may be used instead of acetone when approved by the Administrator and shall be used when specified by the Administrator; in these cases, save a water blank and follow the Administrator's directions on analysis.

Perform the acetone rinses as follows: Carefully remove the probe nozzle and clean the inside surface by rinsing with acetone from a wash bottle and brushing with a nonmetallic brush. Brush until the acetone rinse shows no visible particles, after which make a final rinse of the inside surface with acetone.

Brush and rinse the sample-exposed inside parts of the fitting with acetone in a similar way until no visible particles remain.

Rinse the probe liner with acetone by tilting and rotating the probe while squirting acetone into its upper end so that all inside surfaces will be wetted with acetone. Allow the acetone to drain from the lower end into the sample container. A funnel may be used to aid in transferring liquid washings to the container. Follow the acetone rinse with a nonmetallic probe brush. Hold the probe in an inclined position, squirt acetone into the upper end as the probe brush is being pushed with a twisting action through the probe; hold a sample container underneath the lower end of the probe, and catch any acetone and particulate matter which is brushed through the probe three times or more until none remains in the probe liner on visual inspection. Rinse the brush with acetone, and quantitatively collect these washings in the sample container. After the brushing, make a final acetone rinse of the probe as described above.

It is recommended that two people clean the probe to minimize sample losses. Between sampling runs, keep brushes clean and protected from contamination.

Clean the inside of the front half of the filter holder by rubbing the surfaces with a nonmetallic nylon bristle brush and rinsing with acetone. Rinse each surface three times or more if needed to remove visible particulate. Make a final rinse of the brush and filter holder. After all acetone washings and particulate matter have been collected in the sample container tighten the lid on the sample container so that acetone will not leak out when it is shipped to the laboratory. Mark the height of the fluid level to determine whether or not leakage occurred during transport. Label the container clearly to identify its contents.

3.1.5.2.3 Container No. 3 (Probe Rinse). Keep the probe assembly clean and free from contamination as described in section 3.1.5.2.2 of this method during the 0.1 N nitric acid rinse described below. Rinse the probe nozzle and fitting probe liner, and front half of the filter holder thoroughly with 100 ml of 0.1 N nitric acid and place the wash into a sample storage container.

Note: The use of exactly 100 ml is necessary for the subsequent blank correction procedures. Perform the rinses as applicable and generally as described in method 12, section 6.1.2.2. Record the volume of the combined rinse. Mark the height of the fluid level on the outside of the storage container and use this mark to determine if leakage occurs during transport. Seal the container and clearly label the contents. Finally, rinse the nozzle, probe liner, and front half of the filter holder with water followed by acetone and discard these rinses.

3.1.5.2.4 Container No. 4 (Impingers 1 through 3, HNO₃/H₂O₂ Impingers and Moisture Knockout Impinger, when used. Contents and Rinses). Due to the potentially large quantity of liquid involved, the tester may place the impinger solutions from impingers 1 through 3 in more than one container. Measure the liquid in the first three impingers volumetrically to within 0.1 ml. using a graduated cylinder. Record the volume of liquid present. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the sampled flue gas. Clean each of the first three impingers, the filter support, the back half of the filter housing, and connecting glassware by thoroughly rinsing with 100 ml of 0.1 N nitric acid using the procedure as applicable and generally as described in method 12, section 6.1.4.

Note: The use of exactly 100 ml of 0.1 N nitric acid rinse is necessary for the subsequent blank correction procedures. Combine the rinses and impinger solutions, measure and record the volume. Mark the height of the fluid level on the outside of the container to determine if leakage occurs during transport. Seal the container and clearly label the contents.
3.1.5.2.5 Container Nos. 5A, 5B, and SC. 5A (0.1 N HNO₃), 5B (KNO₃/H₂SO₄ absorbing solution), and SC (8 N HCl rinse and dilution): (As described previously at the end of section 3.1.5.1 of this method, if mercury is not being measured in this train, then impingers 4, 5, and 6, as shown in Figure 3.1-2, are not necessary and may be eliminated.) Pour all the impinger which was empty at the start of the run and which immediately precedes the two permanganate impingers (normally impinger No. 4) into a graduated cylinder and measure the volume to within 0.5 ml. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the sampled flue gas. Place the liquid in Sample Container No. 5A. Rinse the impinger (No. 4) with 100 ml of 0.1 N HNO₃ and place this into Container No. 5A.

Pour all the liquid from the two permanganate impingers into a graduated cylinder and measure the volume to within 0.5 ml. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the sampled flue gas. Place this KNO₃ absorbing solution stack sample from the two permanganate impingers into Container No. 5B. Using 100 ml total of fresh acidified potassium permanganate solution, rinse the two permanganate impingers and connecting glass pieces a minimum of three times and place the rinses into Container No. 5B, carefully ensuring transfer of all loose precipitated materials from the two impingers into Container No. 5B. Using 100 ml total of water, rinse the permanganate impingers and connecting glass pieces a minimum of three times, and place the rinses into Container 5B, carefully ensuring transfer of all loose precipitated material, if any, from the two impingers into Container No. 5B. Mark the height of the fluid level on the outside of the bottle to determine if leakage occurs during transport. See the following note and the precaution in paragraph 3.1.4.2.2 and properly prepare the bottle and clearly label the contents.

Note: Due to the potential reaction of the potassium permanganate with the acid, there may be pressure buildup in the sample storage bottles. These bottles shall not be completely filled and shall be vented to relieve potential excess pressure. Venting is required. A No. 70-72 hole drilled in the container cap and Teflon liner has been used.

If no visible deposits remain after the above described water rinse, do not rinse with 8 N HCl. However, if deposits remain on the glassware after this water rinse, wash the impinger surfaces with 25 ml of 8 N HCl and place the wash in a separate sample container labeled Container No. 5C containing 200 ml of water as follows. Place 200 ml of water in a sample container labeled Container No. 5C. Wash the impinger walls and stem with the HCl by turning the impinger on its side and rotating it so that the HCl contacts all inside surfaces. Use a total of only 25 ml of 8 N HCl for rinsing both permanganate impingers combined. Rinse the first impinger, then pour the actual rinse used for the first impinger into the second impinger for its rinse. Finally, pour the 25 ml of 8 N HCl rinse carefully with stirring into Container No. 5C. Mark the height of the fluid level on the outside of the bottle to determine if leakage occurs during transport.

3.1.5.2.6 Containers No. 6 (Silica Gel). Note the color of the indicating silica gel to determine whether it has been completely spent and make a notation of its condition. Transfer the silica gel from its impinger to its original container and seal. The tester may use a funnel to pour the silica gel and a rubber policeman to remove the silica gel from the impinger.

The small amount of particles that may adhere to the impinger wall need not be removed. Do not use water or other liquids to transfer the silica gel since weight gained in the silica gel impinger is used for moisture calculations. Alternatively, if a balance is available in the field, record the weight of the spent silica gel (or silica gel plus impinger) to the nearest 0.5 g.

3.1.5.2.7 Container No. 7 (Acetone Blank). If particulate emissions are to be determined, at least once during each field test, place a 100-m. portion of the acetone used in the sample recovery process into a labeled container for use in the front-half field reagent blank. Seal the container.

3.1.5.2.8 Container No. 8A (0.1 N Nitric Acid Blank). At least once during each field test, place 300 ml of the 0.1 N nitric acid solution used in the sample recovery process into a labeled container for use in the front-half and back-half field reagent blanks. Seal the container. Container No. 8B (water blank). At least once during each field test, place 100 ml of the water used in the sample recovery process into a labeled Container No. 8B. Seal the container.

3.1.5.2.9 Container No. 9 (5% Nitric Acid/10% Hydrogen Peroxide Blank). At least once during each field test, place 200 ml of the 5% nitric acid/10% hydrogen peroxide solution used as the nitric acid impinger reagent into a labeled container for use in the back-half field reagent blank. Seal the container.

3.1.5.2.10 Container No. 10 (Acidified Potassium Permanganate Blank). At least once during each field test, place 100 ml of the acidified potassium permanganate solution used as the impinger solution and in the sample recovery process into a labeled container for use in the back-half field reagent blank for mercury analysis. Prepare the container as described in section 3.1.5.2.5.

Note: Due to the potential reaction of the potassium permanganate with the acid, there may be pressure buildup in the sample storage bottles. These bottles shall not be completely filled and shall be vented to
relieve potential excess pressure. Venting is required. A No. 70-72 hole drilled in the container cap and Teflon liner has been used.

3.1.5.2.11 Container No. 11 (8 N HCl Blank). At least once during each field test, perform both of the following: Place 200 ml of water into a sample container. Pour 25 ml of 8N HCl carefully with stirring into the 200 ml of water in the container. Mix well and seal the container.

3.1.5.2.12 Container No. 12 (Filter Blank). Once during each field test, place three unused blank filters from the same lot as the sampling filters in a labeled petri dish. Seal the petri dish. These will be used in the front-half field reagent blank.

3.1.5.3 Sample Preparation. Note the level of the liquid in each of the containers and determine if any sample was lost during shipment. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results. A diagram illustrating sample preparation and analysis procedures for each of the sample train components is shown in Figure 3.1-3.

3.1.5.3.1 Container No. 1 (Filter). If particulate emissions are being determined, then desiccate the filter and filter catch without added heat and weigh to a constant weight as described in section 4.3 of method 5. For analysis of metals, divide the filter with its filter catch into portions containing approximately 0.5 g each and place into the analyst's choice of either individual microwave pressure relief vessels or Parr® Bombs. Add 6 ml of concentrated nitric acid and 4 ml of concentrated hydrofluoric acid to each vessel. For microwave heating, microwave the sample vessels for approximately 12-15 minutes in intervals of 1 to 2 minutes at 600 Watts. For conventional heating, heat the Parr Bombs at 140°C (285°F) for 6 hours. Cool the samples to room temperature and combine with the acid digested probe rinse as required in section 3.1.5.3.3, below.
Figure 3.1-3  Sample preparation and analysis scheme.
Notes: 1. Suggested microwave heating times are approximate and are dependent upon the number of samples being digested. Twelve to 15 minute heating times have been found to be acceptable for simultaneous digestion of up to 12 individual samples. Sufficient heating is evidenced by solvent reflux within the vessel.

2. If the sampling train uses an optional cyclone, the cyclone catch should be prepared and digested using the same procedures described for the filters and combined with the digested filter samples.

3.1.5.3.2 Container No. 2 (Acetone Rinse). Note the level of liquid in the container and confirm on the analysis sheet whether leakage occurred during transport. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results. Measure the liquid in this container either volumetrically to ± 1 ml or gravimetrically to ± 0.5 g. Transfer the contents to an acid-cleaned, tared 250-ml beaker and evaporate to dryness at ambient temperature and pressure. If particulate emissions are being determined, desiccate for 24 hours without added heat, weigh to a constant weight according to the procedures described in section 4.3 of method 5, and report the results to the nearest 0.1 mg. Redissolve the residue with 10 ml of concentrated nitric acid and, carefully with stirring, quantitatively combine the resultant sample including all liquid and any particulate matter with Container No. 3 prior to beginning the following section 3.1.5.3.3.

3.1.5.3.3 Container No. 3 (Probe Rinse). The pH of this sample shall be 2 or lower. If the pH is higher, the sample should be acidified to pH 2 by the careful addition with stirring of concentrated nitric acid. The sample should be rinsed into a beaker with water and the beaker should be covered with a ribbed watchglass. The sample volume should be reduced to approximately 20 ml by heating on a hot plate at a temperature just below boiling. Digest the sample in microwave vessels or Parr® Bombs by quantitatively transferring the sample to the vessel or bomb, by carefully adding the 6 ml of concentrated nitric acid and 4 ml of concentrated hydrofluoric acid and then continuing to follow the procedures described in section 3.1.5.3.1; then combine the resultant sample directly with the acid digested portions of the filter prepared previously in section 3.1.5.3.1. The resultant combined sample is referred to as Fraction 1 precursor. Filter the combined solution of the acid digested filter and probe rinse samples using Whatman™ 541 filter paper. Dilute to 300 ml (or the appropriate volume for the expected metals concentration) with water. This dilution is Fraction 1. Measure and record the volume of the Fraction 1 solution to within 0.1 ml. Quantitatively remove a 50-ml aliquot and label as Fraction 1B. Label the remaining 250-ml portion as Fraction 1A. Fraction 1A is used for ICP or AAS analysis. Fraction 1B is used for the determination of front-half mercury.

3.1.5.3.4 Container No. 4 (Impingers 1-3). Measure and record the total volume of this sample (fraction 2) to within 0.5 ml. Remove a 75- to 100-ml aliquot for mercury analysis and label as Fraction 2B. Label the remaining portion of Container No. 4 as aliquot Fraction 2A. Aliquot Fraction 2A defines the volume of 2A prior to digestion. All of the aliquot Fraction 2A is digested to produce concentrated Fraction 2A. Concentrated Fraction 2A defines the volume of 2A after digestion which is normally 150 ml. Only concentrated Fraction 2A is analyzed for metals (except that it is not analyzed for mercury). The Fraction 2B aliquot should be prepared and analyzed for mercury as described in section 3.1.5.3.4.3. Aliquot Fraction 2A shall be pH 2 or lower. If necessary, use concentrated nitric acid, by careful addition and stirring, to lower aliquot Fraction 2A to pH 2. The sample should be rinsed into a beaker with water and the beaker should be covered with a ribbed watchglass. The sample volume should be reduced to approximately 20 ml by heating on a hot plate at a temperature just below boiling. Next follow either the conventional or microwave digestion procedures described in sections 3.1.5.3.4.1 and 3.1.5.3.4.2, below.

3.1.5.3.4.1 Conventional Digestion Procedure. Add 30 ml of 50 percent nitric acid and heat for 30 minutes on a hot plate to just below boiling. Add 10 ml of 3 percent hydrogen peroxide and heat for 20 more minutes. Add 50 ml of hot water and heat the sample for an additional 20 minutes. Cool, filter the sample, and dilute to 150 ml (or the appropriate volume for the expected metals concentrations) with water. This dilution is concentrated Fraction 2A. Measure and record the volume of the Fraction 2A solution to within 0.1 ml.

3.1.5.3.4.2 Microwave Digestion Procedure. Add 10 ml of 50 percent nitric acid and heat for 6 minutes in intervals of 1 to 2 minutes at 600 Watts. Allow the sample to cool. Add 10 ml of 3 percent hydrogen peroxide and heat for 2 more minutes. Add 50 ml of hot water and heat for an additional 5 minutes. Cool, filter the sample, and dilute to 150 ml (or the appropriate volume for the expected metals concentrations) with water. This dilution is concentrated Fraction 2A. Measure and record the volume of the Fraction 2A solution to within 0.1 ml.

Note: All microwave heating times given are approximate and are dependent upon the number of samples being digested at a time. Heating times as given above have been found acceptable for simultaneous digestion of up to 12 individual samples. Sufficient heating is evidenced by solvent reflux within the vessel.

3.1.5.3.5 Container Nos. 5A, 5B, and 5C (Impingers 4, 5, and 6). Keep these samples separate from each other and measure and record the volumes of 5A and 5B separately to within 0.5 ml. Dilute sample 5C to 500 ml with water. These samples 5A, 5B, and 5C are referred to respectively as Fractions 3A, 3B, and 3C. Follow the analysis procedures described in section 3.1.5.4.3.
Worksheet 5.0-2 Maximum Hourly Ambient Air Concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Distance (km)</th>
<th>Stack 1 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Stack 2 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Stack 3 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Summed Concentration from all Stacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ER = Annual Average Emission Rate
DC = Hourly Dispersion Coefficient (from Worksheet 5.0-1)
C = Estimated Maximum Hourly Ambient Air Concentration
3.1.5.4.2 AAS by Direct Aspiration and/or Graphite Furnace. If analysis of metals in Fraction 1A and Fraction 2A using graphite furnace or direct aspiration AAS is desired, Table 3.1-2 should be used to determine which techniques and methods should be applied for each target metal. Table 3.1-2 should also be consulted to determine possible interferences and techniques to be followed for their minimization. Calibrate the instrument according to section 3.1.6.3 and follow the quality control procedures specified in section 3.1.7.3.2.

Table 3.1-2: Applicable Techniques, Methods, and Minimization of Interference for AAS Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>SW-846 Method No.</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Interferences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>Aspiration</td>
<td>7040</td>
<td>217.6</td>
<td>1000 mg/mL Pb Ni, Cu, or acid: Use secondary wavelength of 231.1 nm; match sample &amp; standards' acid concentration or use nitrous oxide/acetylene flame. Secondary wavelength or Zeeman correction. spiked samples and add nickel nitrate solution to digestes prior to analysis. Use Zeeman background correction. Aluminum: High Mg and Si: Add 0.1% fluoride. Use method of standard additions. Be: Be in optical path: Optimize parameters to minimize effects. Background correction is required. As: Absorption and light scattering: As above. Excess chloride: Ammonium phosphate used as a matrix modifier. Pipet tips: Use cadmium-free tips. Alkaline metal: KCl ionization suppressant in optical path.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cr Furnace 7191 357.9
Cu Aspiration 7210 324.7
Fe Aspiration 7380 248.3
Pb Aspiration 7420 283.3
Pb Furnace 7421 283.3
Mn Aspiration 7460 279.5
Ni Aspiration 7520 232.0
Se Furnace 7740 196.0
Ag Aspiration 7760 328.1
Tl Aspiration 7840 276.8

Absorption and scatter
200 mg/L Ca and P

Absorption and scatter
All calcium nitrate for a known constant effect and to eliminate effect of phosphate.
Consult manufacturer's literature.

Contamination Great care taken to avoid contamination.

Poor recoveries Matrix modifier, add 10 µl of phosphoric acid to 1 mL of prepared sample in sampler cup.

403.1 nm alternate

352.4 nm alternate

Fe, Co, and Cr Matrix matching or nitrous-oxide/acylene flame.

Volatility Spike samples and reference materials and add nickel nitrate to minimize volatilization.

Adsortion & scatter Background correction is required and Zeeman background correction can be useful.

Adsorption & scatter Background correction is required.

AgCl insoluble Avoid hydrochloric acid unless silver is in solution as a chloride complex.

Viscosity Sample and standards monitored for aspiration rate.

Hydrochloric acid
3.1.5.4.3 Cold Vapor AAS Mercury Analysis. Fraction 1B, Fraction 2B, and Fractions 3A, 3B, and 3C should be analyzed separately for mercury using cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy following the method outlined in EPA SW-846 method 7470 or in Standard Methods for Water and Wastewater Analysis, 15th Edition, Method 303F. Set up the calibration curve (zero to 1000 ng) as described in SW-846 method 7470 or similar to method 303F, using 300-ml BOD bottles instead of Erlenmeyers. Dilute separately, as described below, a 1 ml to 10 ml aliquot of each original sample to 100 ml with water. Record the amount of the aliquot used for dilution to 100 ml. If no prior knowledge exists of the expected amount of mercury in the sample, a 5-ml aliquot is suggested for the first dilution to 100 ml and analysis. To determine the stack emission value for mercury, the amount of the aliquot of the sample used for dilution and analysis is dependent on the amount of mercury in the aliquot: The total amount of mercury in the aliquot used for analysis shall be less than 1 μg, and within the range (zero to 1000 ng) of the calibration curve. Place each sample aliquot into a separate 300-ml BOD bottle and add enough Type II water to make a total volume of 100 ml. Then analyze the 100 ml for mercury by adding to it sequentially the sample preparation solutions and performing the sample preparation and analysis as described in the procedures of SW-846 method 7470 or method 303F. If, during the described analysis, the reading maximum(s) are off-scale (because the aliquot of the original sample analyzed contained more mercury than the maximum of the calibration range) including the analysis of the 100-ml dilution of the 1-ml aliquot of the original sample causing a reading maximum which is off-scale, then perform the following: Dilute the original sample (or a portion of it) with 0.1% HNO₃ in water (1.5 ml concentrated HNO₃ per liter aqueous solution) so that when a 1-ml to 10-ml aliquot of the dilution of the original sample is then further diluted to 100 ml in the BOD bottle, and analyzed by the procedures described above, it will yield an analysis within the range of the calibration curve.

3.1.6 Calibration

Maintain a laboratory log of all calibrations.

3.1.6.1 Sampling Train Calibration. Calibrate the sampling train components according to the indicated sections of method 5: Probe Nozzle (section 5.1); Pilot Tube (section 5.2); Metering System (section 5.3); Probe Heater (section 5.4); Temperature Gauges (section 5.5); Leak-Check of the Metering System (section 5.6); and Barometer (section 5.7).

3.1.6.2 Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Spectrometer Calibration. Prepare standards as outlined in section 3.1.4.4. Profile and calibrate the instrument according to the instrument manufacturer's recommended procedures using the above standards. The instrument calibration should be checked once per hour. If the instrument does not reproduce the concentrations of the standard within 10 percent, the complete calibration procedures should be performed.

3.1.6.3 Atomic Absorption Spectrometer-Direct Aspiration, Graphite Furnace and Cold Vapor Mercury Analyzes. Prepare the standards as outlined in section 3.1.4.4. Calibrate the spectrometer using these prepared standards. Calibration procedures are also outlined in the EPA methods referred to in Table 3.1-2 and in SW-846 Method 7470 or Standard Methods for Water and Wastewater, 15th Edition, method 303F (for mercury). Each standard curve should be run in duplicate and the mean values used to calculate the calibration line. The instrument should be recalibrated approximately once every 10 to 12 samples.
3.1.7 Quality Control

3.1.7.1 Sampling, Field Reagent Blanks. When analyzed, the blank samples in Container Numbers 7 through 12 produced previously in sections 3.1.5.2.7 through 3.1.5.2.12, respectively, shall be processed, digested, and analyzed as follows: Digest and process one of the filters from Container No. 12 per section 3.1.5.3.1, 100 ml from Container No. 7 per section 3.1.5.3.2, and 100 ml from Container No. 8A per section 3.1.5.3.3. This produces Fraction Blank 1A and Fraction Blank 1B from Fraction Blank 1. (If desired, the other two filters may be digested separately according to section 3.1.5.3.1, diluted separately to 300 ml each, and analyzed separately to produce a blank value for each of the two additional filters. If these analyses are performed, they will produce two additional values for each of Fraction Blank 1A and Fraction Blank 1B. The three Fraction Blank 1A values will be calculated as three values of $M_{blank}$ in Equation 3 of section 3.1.6.4.3, and then the three values shall be totaled and divided by 3 to become the value $M_{blank}$ to be used in the computation of $M_e$ by Equation 3. Similarly, the three Fraction Blank 1B values will be calculated separately as three values, totaled, averaged, and used as the value for $M_{blank}$ in Equation 8 of section 3.1.8.5.3. The analyses of the two extra filters are optional and are not a requirement of this method, but if the analyses are performed, the results must be considered as described above.) Combine 100 ml of Container No. 8A with 200 ml of the contents of Container No. 9 and digest and process the resultant volume per section 3.1.5.3.4. This produces concentrated Fraction Blank 2A and Fraction Blank 2B from Fraction Blank 2. A 100-ml portion of Container No. 8A is Fraction Blank 3A. Combine 100 ml of the contents of Container No. 10 with 30 ml of the contents of Container No. 8B. This produces Fraction Blank 3B (use 400 ml as the volume of Fraction Blank 3B when calculating the blank value. Use the actual volume when calculating all the other blank values). Dilute 225 ml of the contents of Container No. 11 to 500 ml with water. This produces Fraction Blank 3C. Analyze Fraction Blank 1A and Fraction Blank 1B per section 3.1.5.4.1 and/or 3.1.5.4.2. Analyze Fraction Blank 1B, Fraction Blank 2B, and Fraction Blanks 3A, 3B, and 3C per section 3.1.5.4.3. The analysis of Fraction Blank 1A produces the front-half reagent blank correction values for the metals except mercury; the analysis of Fraction Blank 1B produces the front-half reagent blank correction value for mercury. The analysis of concentrated Fraction Blank 2A produces the back-half reagent blank correction values for the metals except mercury, while separate analysis of Fraction Blanks 2B, 3A, 3B, and 3C produce the back-half reagent blank correction value for mercury.

3.1.7.2 An attempt may be made to determine if the laboratory reagents used in section 3.1.5.3 caused contamination. They should be analyzed by the procedures in section 3.1.5.4. The Administrator will determine whether the laboratory blank reagent values can be used in the calculation of the stationary source test results.

3.1.7.3 Quality Control Samples. The following quality control samples should be analyzed.

3.1.7.3.1 ICAP Analysis. Follow the quality control shown in section 8 of method 6010. For the purposes of a three-run test series, these requirements have been modified to include the following: Two instrument check standard runs, two calibration blank runs, one interference check sample at the beginning of the analysis (must be within 25% or analyze by the method of standard additions), one quality control sample to check the accuracy of the calibration standards (must be within 25% of all calibrations), and one duplicate analysis (must be within 10% of average or repeat all analyses).

3.1.7.3.2 Direct Aspiration and/or Graphite Furnace AAS Analysis for antimony, arsenic, barium, beryliliox, cadmium, copper, chromium, lead, nickel, manganese, mercury, phosphorus, selenium, silver, thallium, and zinc. All samples should be analyzed in duplicate. Perform a matrix spike on at least one front-half sample and one back-half sample or one combined sample. If recoveries of less than 75 percent or greater than 125 percent are obtained for the matrix spike, analyze each sample by the method of standard additions. A quality control sample should be analyzed to check the accuracy of the calibration standards. The results must be within 10% or the calibration repeated.

3.1.7.3.3 Cold Vapor AAS Analysis for Mercury. All samples should be analyzed in duplicate. A quality control sample should be analyzed to check the accuracy of the calibration standards (within 15% or repeat calibration). Perform a matrix spike on one sample from the nitric impinger portion (must be within 25% or samples must be analyzed by the method of standard additions). Additional information on quality control can be obtained from EPA SW-846 method 7470 or in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 15th Edition, method 303P.

3.1.8 Calculations

3.1.8.1 Dry Gas Volume. Using the data from this test, calculate $V_n(\text{std})$, the dry gas sample volume at standard conditions as outlined in Section 6.3 of Method 5.

3.1.8.2 Volume of Water Vapor and Moisture Content. Using the data obtained from this test, calculate the volume of water vapor $V_w(\text{std})$ and the moisture content $R_{ws}$ of the stack gas. Use Equations 5-2 and 5-3 of Method 5.
3.1.8.3 Stack Gas Velocity. Using the data from this test and Equation 2-9 of Method 2, calculate the average stack gas velocity.

3.1.8.4 Metals (Except Mercury) in Source Sample.

3.1.8.4.1 Fraction 1A, Front Half, Metals (except Hg). Calculate separately the amount of each metal collected in Fraction 1 of the sampling train using the following equation:

\[ M_{\text{th}} = C_{\text{a1}} F_{\text{d}} V_{\text{soln,1}} \]  

\[ \text{Eq. 1'} \]

where:

- \( M_{\text{th}} \) = total mass of each metal (except Hg) collected in the front half of the sampling train (Fraction 1), µg.
- \( C_{\text{a1}} \) = concentration of metal in sample Fraction 1A as read from the standard curve (µg/ml).
- \( F_{\text{d}} \) = dilution factor (\( F_d = \frac{1}{V_{\text{sample}}} \)) where \( V_{\text{sample}} \) is the volume of sample solution used in the instrument to produce the reading \( C_{\text{a1}} \). For example, when 2 ml of Fraction 1A are diluted to 10 ml, \( F_d = 5 \).
- \( V_{\text{soln,1}} \) = total volume of digested sample solution (Fraction 1), ml.

If Fractions 1A and 2A are combined, proportional aliquots must be used. Appropriate changes must be made in Equations 1-3 to reflect this approach.

3.1.8.4.2 Fraction 2A, Back Half, Metals (except Hg). Calculate separately the amount of each metal collected in Fraction 2 of the sampling train using the following equation:

\[ M_{\text{bh}} = C_{\text{a2}} F_{\text{a}} V_{\text{a}} \]

\[ \text{Eq. 2'} \]

where:

- \( M_{\text{bh}} \) = total mass of each metal (except Hg) collected in the back half of the sampling train (Fraction 2), µg.
- \( C_{\text{a2}} \) = concentration of metal in sample concentrated Fraction 2A, as read from the standard curve (µg/ml).
- \( F_{\text{a}} \) = aliquot factor, volume of Fraction 2 divided by volume of aliquot Fraction 2A (see section 3.1.5.3.4).
- \( V_{\text{a}} \) = total volume of digested sample solution (concentrated Fraction 2A), ml (see section 3.1.5.3.4.1 or 3.1.5.3.4.2, as applicable).

3.1.8.4.3 Total Train, Metals (except Hg). Calculate the total amount of each of the quantified metals collected in the sampling train as follows:

\[ M_{\text{t}} = (M_{\text{th}} - M_{\text{Fhb}}) + (M_{\text{bh}} - M_{\text{Bhb}}) \]

\[ \text{Eq. 3'} \]

where:

- \( M_{\text{t}} \) = total mass of each metal (separately stated for each metal) collected in the sampling train, µg.
- \( M_{\text{Fhb}} \) = blank correction value for mass of metal detected in front-half field reagent blank, µg.
- \( M_{\text{Bhb}} \) = blank correction value for mass of metal detected in back-half field reagent blank, µg.

Note: If the measured blank value for the front half (\( M_{\text{Fhb}} \)) is in the range 0.0 to 1 µg (where A µg equals the value determined by multiplying 1.4 µg per square inch (1.4 µg/in²) times the actual area in square inches (in²) of the filter used in the emission sample) \( M_{\text{Fhb}} \) may be used to correct the emission sample value (\( M_{\text{Eh}} \)); if \( M_{\text{Fhb}} \) exceeds 1 µg, the greater of the two following values (either I. or II.) may be used:

I. A µg, or
II. the lesser of (a) \( M_{\text{Fhb}} \), or (b) 5 percent of \( M_{\text{Eh}} \).

If the measured blank value for the back half (\( M_{\text{Bhb}} \)) is in the range 0.0 to 1 µg, \( M_{\text{Bhb}} \) may be used to correct the emission sample value (\( M_{\text{Eh}} \)); if \( M_{\text{Bhb}} \) exceeds 1 µg, the greater of the two following values may be used: (a) 1 µg or (b) 5 percent of \( M_{\text{Eh}} \).

3.1.8.5 Mercury in Source Sample.

3.1.8.5.1 Fraction 1B, Front Half, Hg. Calculate the amount of mercury collected in the front half, Fraction 1, of the sampling train using the following equation:
\[ H_{g_{bh}} = \frac{Q_{fr}}{V_{f1B}} \times V_{soln,1} \]  
where:

- \( H_{g_{bh}} \) = total mass of mercury collected in the front half of the sampling train (Fraction 1), \( \mu g. \)
- \( Q_{fr} \) = quantity of mercury in analyzed sample, \( \mu g. \)
- \( V_{f1B} \) = total volume of Fraction 1B analyzed, \( ml. \)
- \( V_{soln,1} \) = volume of Fraction 1B analyzed, \( ml. \)

See the following notice.

Note: \( V_{f1B} \) is the actual amount of Fraction 1B analyzed. For example, if 1 ml of Fraction 1B were diluted to 100 ml to bring it into the proper analytical range, and 1 ml of the 100-ml dilution were analyzed, \( V_{f1B} \) would be 0.01 ml.

### 3.1.8.5.2 Fraction 2B and Fractions 3A, 3B, and 3C, Back Half, Hg. Calculate the amount of mercury collected in Fractions 2 using Equation 5 and in Fractions 3A, 3B, and 3C using Equation 6. Calculate the total amount of mercury collected in the back half of the sampling train using Equation 7.

\[ H_{g_{bh2}} = \frac{Q_{bh2}}{V_{f2B}} \times V_{soln,2} \]  
where:

- \( H_{g_{bh2}} \) = total mass of mercury collected in Fraction 2, \( \mu g. \)
- \( Q_{bh2} \) = quantity of mercury in analyzed sample, \( \mu g. \)
- \( V_{f2B} \) = volume of Fraction 2B analyzed, \( ml. \)
- \( V_{soln,2} \) = total volume of Fraction 2, \( ml. \)

Note: \( V_{f2B} \) is the actual amount of Fraction 2B analyzed. For example, if 1 ml of Fraction 2B were diluted to 10 ml to bring it into the proper analytical range, and 5 ml of the 10-ml dilution was analyzed, \( V_{f2B} \) would be 0.5.

Use Equation 6 to calculate separately the back-half mercury for Fractions 3A, then 3B, then 3C.

\[ H_{g_{bh3(A,B,C)}} = \frac{Q_{bh3(A,B,C)}}{V_{f3(A,B,C)}} \times V_{soln,3(A,B,C)} \]  
where:

- \( H_{g_{bh3(A,B,C)}} \) = total mass of mercury collected separately in Fraction 3A, 3B, or 3C, \( \mu g. \)
- \( Q_{bh3(A,B,C)} \) = quantity of mercury in separately analyzed samples, \( \mu g. \)
- \( V_{f3(A,B,C)} \) = volume of Fraction 3A, 3B, or 3C analyzed, \( ml. \) (see Note in sections 3.1.8.5.1 and 3.1.8.5.2, and calculate similarly).
- \( V_{soln,3(A,B,C)} \) = total volume of Fraction 3A, 3B, or 3C, \( ml. \)

\[ H_{g_{bh}} = H_{g_{bh2}} + H_{g_{bh3A}} + H_{g_{bh3B}} + H_{g_{bh3C}} \]  
where:

- \( H_{g_{bh}} \) = total mass of mercury collected in the back half of the sampling train, \( \mu g. \)

### 3.1.8.5.3 Total Train Mercury catch. Calculate the total amount of mercury collected in the sampling train using Equation 8.

\[ H_{g_{t}} = \frac{(H_{g_{fr}} - H_{g_{fr1}}) + (H_{g_{fr}} - H_{g_{fr2}})}{2} \]  
where:

- \( H_{g_{fr}} \) = total mass of mercury collected in the sampling train, \( \mu g. \)
Sampling purge and filtration. The impinger sample extendee is:

3.1.9 Bibliography


3.2 Determination of Hexavalent Chromium Emissions from Stationary Sources (Method Cr\(^{6+}\))

3.2.1 Applicability and Principle

3.2.1.1 Applicability. This method applies to the determination of hexavalent chromium (Cr\(^{6+}\)) emissions from hazardous waste incinerators, municipal waste combustors, sewage sludge incinerators, and boilers and industrial furnaces. With the approval of the Administrator, this method may also be used to measure total chromium. The sampling train, constructed of Teflon components, has only been evaluated at temperatures less than 300 °F. Trains constructed of other materials, for testing at higher temperatures, are currently being evaluated.

3.2.1.2 Principle. For incinerators and combustors, the Cr\(^{6+}\) emissions are collected isokinetically from the source. To eliminate the possibility of Cr\(^{6+}\) reduction between the nozzle and impinger, the emission samples are collected with a recirculatory train where the impinger reagent is continuously recirculated to the nozzle. Recovery procedures include a post-sampling purge and filtration. The impinger train samples are analyzed for Cr\(^{6+}\) by an ion chromatograph equipped with a post-column reactor and a visible wavelength detector. The IC/PCR separates the Cr\(^{6+}\) as chromate (Cr\(^{6+}\)) from other components in the sample matrices that may interfere with the Cr\(^{5+}\)-specific diphenylcarbazide reaction that occurs in the post-column reactor. To increase sensitivity for trace levels of chromium, a preconcentration system is also used in conjunction with the IC/PCR.

3.2.2 Range, Sensitivity, Precision, and Interference

3.2.2.1 Range. Employing a preconcentration procedure, the lower limit of the detection range can be extended to 16 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (ng/dscm) with a 3 dscm gas sample (0.1 ppb in solution). With sample dilution, there is no upper limit.
3.2.2.2 Sensitivity. A minimum detection limit of 6 ng/dscm with a 3 dscm gas sample can be achieved by preconcentration (0.05 ppm in solution).

3.2.2.3 Precision. The precision of the IC/PCR with sample preconcentration is 5 to 10 percent. The overall precision for sewage sludge incinerators emitting 120 ng/dscm of Cr$^{6+}$ and 3.5 µg/dscm of total chromium is 25% and 9% for Cr$^{6+}$ and total chromium, respectively; for hazardous waste incinerators emitting 300 ng/dscm of Cr$^{6+}$ it is 20 percent.

3.2.2.4 Interference. Components in the sample matrix may cause Cr$^{6+}$ to convert to trivalent chromium (Cr$^{3+}$) or cause Cr$^{3+}$ to convert to Cr$^{6+}$. A post-sampling nitrogen purge and sample filtration are included to eliminate many of these interferences. The chromatographic separation of Cr$^{6+}$ using ion chromatography reduces the potential for other metals to interfere with the post-column reaction. For the IC/PCR analysis, only compounds that coelute with Cr$^{6+}$ and affect the diphenylcarbazide reaction will cause interference. Periodic analysis of deionized (DI) water blanks is used to demonstrate that the analytical system is essentially free from contamination. Sample cross-contamination that can occur when high-level and low-level samples or standards are analyzed alternately is eliminated by thorough purging of the sample loop. Purging can easily be achieved by increasing the injection volume of the samples to ten times the size of the sample loop.

3.2.3 Apparatus

3.2.3.1 Sampling Train. Schematics of the recirculating sampling trains employed in this method are shown in Figures 3.2-1 and 3.2-2. The recirculatory train is readily assembled from commercially available components. All portions of the train in contact with the sample are either glass, quartz, Tygon, or Teflon, and are to be cleaned as per subsection 3.2.5.1.1.

The metering system is identical to that specified by Method 5 (see section 3.8.1); the sampling train consists of the following components:
Figure 3.2-1 Schematic of recirculating impinger train with aspirator assembly.
Figure 3.2-2  Schematic of recirculatory impinger train with pump/sprayer assembly.
3.2.3.1.1 Probe Nozzle. Glass or Teflon with a sharp, tapered leading edge. The angle of taper shall be 53° and the taper shall be on the outside to preserve a constant internal diameter. The probe nozzle shall be of the button-nose or elbow design, unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.

A range of nozzle sizes suitable for isokinetic sampling should be available, e.g., 0.32 to 1.27 cm (1/8 to 1/2 in) (or larger if higher volume sample trains are used) inside diameter (ID) nozzles in increments of 0.16 cm (1/16 in). Each nozzle shall be calibrated according to the procedures outlined in section 3.2.6.

3.2.3.1.2 Teflon Aspirator or Pump/Sprayer Assembly. Teflon aspirator capable of recirculating absorbing reagent at 50 ml/min while operating at 0.75 cfm. Alternatively, a pump/spayer assembly may be used instead of the Teflon aspirator. A Teflon union-T is connected behind the nozzle to provide the absorbing reagent/sample gas mix; a peristaltic pump is used to recirculate the absorbing reagent at a flow rate of at least 50 ml/min. Teflon fittings, Teflon ferrules, and Teflon nuts are used to connect a glass or Teflon nozzle, recirculating line, and sample line to the Teflon aspirator or union-T. Tygon, C-flex® or other suitable inert tubing for use with peristaltic pump.

Note: Mention of trade names or specific product does not constitute endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.

3.2.3.1.3 Teflon Sample Line. Teflon, 3/8" outside diameter (CD) and 1/4" inside diameter (ID), or 1/2" CD x 3/8" ID, of suitable length to connect aspirator (or T-union) to first Teflon impinger.

3.2.3.1.4 Teflon Recirculating Line. Teflon, 1/4" O.D. and 1/8" I.D., of suitable length to connect first impinger to aspirator (or T-union).

3.2.3.1.5 Teflon Impingers. Four Teflon Impingers; Teflon tubes and fittings, such as made by Savillex®, can be used to construct impingers 2" diameter by 12" long, with vacuum-tight 3/8" O.D. Teflon compression fittings. Alternatively, standard glass impingers that have been Teflon-lined, with Teflon stems and U-tubes, may be used. Inlet fittings on impinger top to be bored through to accept 3/8" O.D. tubing as impinger stem. The second and third 3/8" OD Teflon stem has a 1/4" OD Teflon tube, 2" long, inserted at its end to duplicate the effects of the Greenburg-Smith impinger stem. The first impinger stem should extend 2" from impinger bottom; high enough in the impinger reagent to prevent air from entering recirculating line; the second and third impinger stems should extend to 1/2" from impinger bottom. The first impinger should include a 1/4" O.D. Teflon compression fitting for recirculation line. The fourth impinger serves as a knockout impinger.

3.2.3.1.6 Glass Impinger. Silica gel impinger. Vacuum-tight impingers, capable of containing 400 g of silica gel, with compatible fittings. The silica gel impinger will have a modified stem (1/2" ID at tip of stem).

3.2.3.1.7 Thermometer, (identical to that specified by Method 5) at the outlet of the silica gel impinger, to monitor the exit temperature of the gas.

3.2.3.1.8 Metering System, Barometer, and Gas Density Determinations Equipment. Same as method 5, sections 2.1.8 through 2.1.10, respectively.

3.2.3.2 Sample Recovery. Clean all items for sample handling or storage with 10% nitric acid solution by soaking, where possible, and rinse thoroughly with DI water before use.

3.2.3.2.1 Nitrogen Purge Line. Inert tubing and fittings capable of delivering 0 to 1 scf/min (continuously adjustable) of nitrogen gas to the impinger train from a standard gas cylinder (see Figure 3.2.1). Standard 3/8-inch Teflon tubing and compression fittings in conjunction with an adjustable pressure regulator and needle valve may be used.
Figure 3.2-3 Schematic of post test nitrogen purge system.
3.2.1.2.2 Wash bottles. Two polyethylene wash bottles, for DI water and nitric rinse solution.

3.2.1.2.3 Sample Storage Containers. Polyethylene, with leak-free screw cap, 500-ml or 1000-ml.

3.2.1.2.4 1000-ml Graduated Cylinder.

3.2.1.2.5 Plastic Storage Containers. Air tight containers to store silica gel.

3.2.1.2.6 Funnel and Rubber Polliceman. To aid in transfer of silica gel from impinger to storage containers; not necessary if silica gel is weighed directly in the impinger.

3.2.1.2.7 Balance.

3.2.1.3 Sample Preparation for Analysis. Sample preparation prior to analysis includes purging the sample train immediately following the sample run, and filtering the recovered sample to remove particulate matter immediately following recovery.

3.2.1.3.1 Beakers, Funnel, Volumetric Flasks, Volumetric Pipets, and Graduated Cylinders. Assorted sizes, Teflon or glass, for preparation of samples, sample dilution, and preparation of calibration standards. Prepare initially following procedure described in section 3.2.5.1.3 and rinse between use with 0.1 N HNO₃ and DI water.

3.2.1.3.2 Filtration Apparatus. Teflon, or equivalent, for filtering samples, and Teflon filter holder. Teflon impinger components have been found to be satisfactory as a sample reservoir for pressure filtration using nitrogen.

3.2.1.4 Analysis.

3.2.1.4.1 IC/PCR System. High performance liquid chromatograph pump, sample injection valve, post-column reagent delivery and mixing system, and a visible detector, capable of operating at 520 nm, all with a non-metallic (or inert) flow path. An electronic recording integrator operating in the peak area mode is recommended, but other recording devices and integration techniques are acceptable provided the repeatability criteria and the linearity criteria for the calibration curve described in section 3.2.5.5 can be satisfied. A sample loading system will be required if preconcentration is employed.

3.2.1.4.2 Analytical Column. A high performance ion chromatograph (HPIC) non-metallic column with anion separation characteristics and a high loading capacity designed for separation of metal chelating compounds to prevent metal interference. Resolution described in section 3.2.5.4 must be obtained. A non-metallic guard column with the same ion-exchange material is recommended.

3.2.1.4.3 Preconcentration Column. An HPIC non-metallic column with acceptable anion retention characteristics and sample loading rates as described in section 3.2.5.5.

3.2.1.4.4 0.45 um filter cartridge. For the removal of insoluble material. To be used just prior to sample injection/analysis.

3.2.4 Reagents

All reagents should, at a minimum, conform to the specifications established by the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. All prepared reagents should be checked by IC/PCR analysis for Cr⁶⁺ to ensure that contamination is below the analytical detection limit for direct injection or, if selected, preconcentration. If total chromium is also to be determined, the reagents should also be checked by the analytical technique selected to ensure that contamination is below the analytical detection limit.

3.2.4.1 Sampling.

3.2.4.1.1 Water. Deionized water. It is recommended that water blanks be checked prior to preparing sampling reagents to ensure that the Cr⁶⁺ content is less than the analytical detection limit.

3.2.4.1.2 Potassium Hydroxide. 0.1 N. Add 5.6 gm of KOH(s) to approximately 900 ml of DI water and let dissolve. Dilute to 1000 ml with DI water.

Note: At sources with high concentrations of acids and/or SO₄²⁻, the concentration of KOH should be increased to 0.5 N to ensure that the pH of the solution is above 8.5 after sampling.

3.2.4.1.3 Silica Gel and Crushed Ice. Same as Method 5, sections 3.1.2 and 3.1.4, respectively.
3.2.4.2 Sample Recovery. The reagents used in sample recovery are as follows:

3.2.4.2.1 Water. Same as subsection 3.2.4.1.1.

3.2.4.2.2 Nitric Acid, 0.1 N. Add 6.3 ml of concentrated HNO₃ (70 percent) to a graduated cylinder containing approximately 900 ml of DI water. Dilute to 1000 ml with DI water, and mix well.

3.2.4.2.3 pH Indicator Strip. pH indicator capable of determining pH of solution between the pH range of 7 and 12, at 0.5 pH intervals.

3.2.4.3 Sample Preparation

3.2.4.3.1 Water. Same as subsection 3.2.4.1.1.

3.2.4.3.2 Nitric Acid, 0.1 N. Same as subsection 3.2.4.2.2.

3.2.4.3.3 Filters. Acetate membrane, or equivalent, filters with 0.45 micrometer or smaller pore size to remove insoluble material.

3.2.4.4 Analysis

3.2.4.4.1 Chromatographic Eluent. The eluent used in the analytical system is ammonium sulfate based. It is prepared by adding 6.5 ml of 29 percent ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) and 33 grams of ammonium sulfate [(NH₄)₂SO₄] to 500 ml of DI water. The mixture should then be diluted to 1 liter with DI water and mixed well. Other combinations of eluents and/or columns may be employed provided peak resolution, as described in section 3.2.5.4, repeatability and linearity, as described in section 3.2.6.2, and analytical sensitivity are acceptable.

3.2.4.4.2 Post-Column Reagent. An effective post-column reagent for use with the chromatographic eluent described in section 3.2.4.4.1 is diphencylcarbazide (DPC) based system. Dissolve 0.5 g of 1,5-diphenylcarbazide (DPC) in 100 ml of ACS grade methanol. Add to 500 ml of degassed DI water containing 50 ml of 96 percent spectrophotometric grade sulfuric acid. Dilute to 1 liter with degassed DI water.

3.2.4.4.3 Cr⁶⁺ Calibration Standard. Prepare Cr⁶⁺ standards from potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇, FW 294.19). To prepare a 1000 μg/ml Cr⁶⁺ stock solution, dissolve 2.829 g of dry K₂Cr₂O₇ in 1 liter of DI water. To prepare working standards, dilute the stock solution to the chosen standard concentrations for instrument calibration with 0.05 N KOH to achieve a matrix similar to the actual field samples.

3.2.4.4.4 Performance Audit Sample. A performance audit sample shall be obtained from the Quality Assurance Division of EPA and analyzed with the field samples. The mailing address to request audit samples is: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, Quality Assurance Division, Source Branch, Mail Drop 77-A, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

The audit sample should be prepared in a suitable sample matrix at a concentration similar to the actual field samples.

3.2.5 Procedure

Safety First-Year Safety Glasses at All Times During This Test Method

3.2.5.1 Sampling. The complexity of this method is such that to obtain reliable results, testers should be trained and experienced with test procedures.

3.2.5.1.1 Pretreatment Preparation. All components shall be maintained and calibrated according to the procedures described in APDP-0576, unless otherwise specified herein.

Rinse all sample train components from the glass nozzle up to the silica gel impinger and sample containers with hot tap water followed by washing with hot soapy water. Next, rinse the train components and sample containers three times with tap water followed by three rinses with DI water. All the components and containers should then be soaked overnight, or a minimum of 4 hours, in a 10 percent (v/v) nitric acid solution, then rinsed three times with DI water. Allow the components to air dry prior to covering all openings with Parafilm, or equivalent.

3.2.5.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as method 5, section 4.1.2.

3.2.5.1.3 Preparation of Sampling Train. Measure 300 ml of 0.1 N KOH into a graduated cylinder (or tare-weighted precleaned polyethylene container). Place approximately 150 ml of the 0.1 N KOH reagent in the
first Teflon impinger. Split the rest of the 0.1 M KOH between the second and third Teflon impingers. The next Teflon impinger is left dry. Place a preweighed 100-to 400-g portion of indicating silica gel in the final glass impinger. (For sampling periods in excess of two hours, or for high moisture sites, 400-g of silica gel is recommended.)

Retain reagent blanks of the 0.1 M KOH equal to the volumes used with the field samples.

3.2.5.1.4 Leak-Check Procedures. Follow the leak-check procedures given in Method 5, section 4.1.4.1 (Pretest Leak-Check), Section 4.1.4.2 (Leak-Checks During the Sample Run), and Section 4.1.4.3 (Post-Test Leak-Checks).

3.2.5.1.5 Sampling Train Operation. Follow the procedures given in method 5, section 4.1.5. The sampling train should be iced down with water and ice to ensure heat transfer with the Teflon impingers.

Note: If the gas to be sampled is above 200 °F, it may be necessary to wrap three or four feet of the Teflon sample and recirculating lines inside the ice bath to keep the recirculated reagent cool enough so it does not turn to steam.

For each run, record the data required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Figure 5.2 of method 5.

At the end of the sampling run, determine the pH of the reagent in the first impinger using a pH indicator strip. The pH of the solution shall be greater than 8.5.

3.2.5.1.6 Calculation of Percent Isokinetic. Same as method 5, section 4.1.6.

3.2.5.2 Post-Test Nitrogen Purge. The nitrogen purge is used as a safeguard against the conversion of hexavalent chromium to the trivalent oxidation state. The purge is effective in the removal of $\text{Cr}_2$ from the impinger contents.

Attach the nitrogen purge line to the input of the impinger train. Check to ensure the output of the impinger train is open, and that the recirculating line is capped off. Open the nitrogen gas flow slowly and adjust the delivery rate to 10 L/min. Check the recirculating line to ensure that the pressure is not forcing the impinger reagent out through this line. Continue the purge under these conditions for one-half hour, periodically checking the flow rate.

3.2.5.3 Sample Recovery. Begin cleanup procedures as soon as the train assembly has been purged at the end of the sampling run. The probe assembly may be disconnected from the sample train prior to sample purging.

The probe assembly should be allowed to cool prior to sample recovery. Disconnect the umbilical cord from the sample train. When the probe assembly can be safely handled, wipe off all external particulate matter near the tip of the nozzle, and cap the nozzle prior to transporting the sample train to a cleanup area that is clean and protected from the wind and other potential causes of contamination or loss of sample. Inspect the train before and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions.

3.2.5.3.1 Container No. 1 (Impingers 1 through 3). Disconnect the first impinger from the second impinger and disconnect the recirculation line from the aspirator or peristaltic pump. Drain the Teflon impingers into a precleaned graduated cylinder or tare-weighed precleaned polyethylene sample container and measure the volume of the liquid to within 1 ml or 1 g. Record the volume of liquid present as this information is required to calculate the moisture content of the flue gas sample. If necessary, transfer the sample from the graduated cylinder to a precleaned polyethylene sample container. With DI water, rinse four times the insides of the glass nozzle, the aspirator, the sample and recirculation lines, the impingers, and the connecting tubing, and combine the rinses with the impinger solution in the sample container.

3.2.5.3.2 Container No. 2 ($\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$, rinse optional for total chromium). With 0.1 M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$, rinse three times the entire train assembly, from the nozzle to the fourth impinger and combine the rinses into a separate precleaned polyethylene sample container for possible total chromium analysis. Repeat the rinse procedure a final time with DI water, and discard the water rinses. Mark the height of the fluid level on the container or, alternatively if a balance is available, weigh the container and record the weight to permit determination of any leakage during transport. Label the container clearly to identify its contents.

3.2.5.3.3 Container No. 3 (Silica Gel). Note the color of the indicating silica gel to determine if it has been completely spent. Quantitatively transfer the silica gel from its impinger to the original container, and seal the container. A funnel and a rubber policeman may be used to aid in the transfer. The small amount of particulate that may adhere to the impinger wall need not be removed. Do not use water or other liquids to transfer the silica gel. Alternatively, if a balance is available in the field, record the weight of the spent silica gel (or the silica gel plus impinger) to the nearest 0.1 g.
3.2.5.3.4 Container No. 4 (0.1 N KOH Blank). Once during each field test, place a volume of reagent equal to the volume placed in the sample train into a precleaned polyethylene sample container, and seal the container. Mark the height of the fluid level on the container or, alternatively if a balance is available, weigh the container and record the weight to permit determination of any leakage during transport. Label the container clearly to identify its contents.

3.2.5.3.5 Container No. 5 (DI Water Blank). Once during each field test, place a volume of DI water equal to the volume employed to rinse the sample train into a precleaned polyethylene sample container, and seal the container. Mark the height of the fluid level on the container or, alternatively if a balance is available, weigh the container and record the weight to permit determination of any leakage during transport. Label the container clearly to identify its contents.

3.2.5.3.6 Container No. 6 (0.1 N HNO₃ Blank). Once during each field test if total chromium is to be determined, place a volume of 0.1 N HNO₃ reagent equal to the volume employed to rinse the sample train into a precleaned polyethylene sample container, and seal the container. Mark the height of the fluid level on the container or, alternatively if a balance is available, weigh the container and record the weight to permit determination of any leakage during transport. Label the container clearly to identify its contents.

3.2.5.4 Sample Preparation. For determination of Cr⁶⁺, the sample should be filtered immediately following recovery to remove any insoluble matter. Nitrogen gas may be used as a pressure assist to the filtration process (see Figure Cr⁶⁺-4).

Filter the entire impinger sample through a 0.45-micrometer acetate filter (or equivalent), and collect the filtrate in a 1000-mL graduated cylinder. Rinse the sample container with DI water three separate times, pass these rinses through the filter, and add the rinses to the sample filtrate. Rinse the Teflon reservoir with DI water three separate times, pass these rinses through the filter, and add the rinses to the sample. Determine the final volume of the filtrate and rinses and return them to the rinsed polyethylene sample container. Label the container clearly to identify its contents. Rinse the Teflon reservoir once with 0.1 N HNO₃ and once with DI water and discard these rinses.

If total chromium is to be determined, quantitatively recover the filter and residue and place them in a vial. (The acetate filter may be digested with 5 mL of 70 percent nitric acid; this digestion solution may then be diluted with DI water for total chromium analysis.)
3.2.5 4.1 Container 2 (N2O, rinse, optional for total chromium). This sample shall be analyzed in accordance with the selected procedure for total chromium analysis. At a minimum, the sample should be subject to a digestion procedure sufficient to solubilize all chromium present.

3.2.5.4.2 Container 3 (Silica Gel). Weigh the spent silica gel to the nearest 0.5 g using a balance. (This step may be conducted in the field.)

3.2.5.5 Sample analysis. The Cr\textsuperscript{6+} content of the sample filtrate is determined by ion chromatography coupled with a post-column reactor (IC/PCR). To increase sensitivity for trace levels of chromium, a preconcentration system is also used in conjunction with the IC/PCR.

Prior to preconcentration and/or analysis, all field samples will be filtered through a 0.45-µm filter. This filtration should be conducted just prior to sample injection/analysis.

The preconcentration is accomplished by selectively retaining the analyte on a solid absorbent (as described in 3.2.4.4.3), followed by removal of the analyte from the absorbent. The sample is injected into a sample loop of the desired size (repeated loadings or larger size loop for greater sensitivity) and the Cr\textsuperscript{6+} is collected on the resin bed of the column. When the injection valve is switched, the eluent displaces the concentrated Cr\textsuperscript{6+} sample moving it off the preconcentration column and onto the IC anion separation column. After separation from other sample components, Cr\textsuperscript{6+} forms a specific complex in the post-column reactor with a diphencydroparabine reaction solution, and the complex is then detected by visible absorbance at a wavelength of 520 nm. The amount of absorbance measured is proportional to the concentration of the Cr\textsuperscript{6+} complex formed. The IC retention time and absorbance of the Cr\textsuperscript{6+} complex is compared with known Cr\textsuperscript{6+} standards analyzed under identical conditions to provide both qualitative and quantitative analyses.

Prior to sample analysis, establish a stable baseline with the detector set at the required attenuation by setting the eluent flowrate at approximately 1 ml/min and post-column reagent flowrate at approximately 0.5 ml/min.

Note: As long as the ratio of eluent flowrate to PCR flowrate remains constant, the standard curve should remain linear. Inject a sample of DI water to ensure that no Cr\textsuperscript{6+} appears in the water blank.

First, inject the calibration standards prepared, as described in section 3.2.4.4.4, to cover the appropriate concentration range, starting with the lowest standard first. Next, inject in duplicate, the performance audit sample, followed by the 0.1 N HCl field blank and the field samples. Finally, repeat the injection of the calibration standards to allow for compensation of instrument drift. Measure areas or heights of the Cr\textsuperscript{6+}/DPC complex chromatogram peak. The response for replicate, consecutive injections of samples must be within 5 percent of the average response, or the injection should be repeated until the 5 percent criterion can be met. Use the average response (peak areas or heights) from the duplicate injections of calibration standards to generate a linear calibration curve. From calibration curve, determine the concentration of the field samples employing the average response from the duplicate injections.

The results for the analysis of the performance audit sample must be within 10 percent of the reference value for the field sample analysis to be valid.

3.2.6 Calibration. Maintain a written log of all calibration activities.

3.2.6.1 Sample Train Calibration. Calibrate the sample train components according to the indicated sections of method 5: Probe Nozzle (section 5.1); Pitot Tube (section 5.2); Metering System (section 5.3); Temperature Gauges (section 5.5); Leak-Check of the Metering System (section 5.6); and Barometer (section 5.7).

3.2.6.2 Calibration Curve for the IC/PCR. Prepare working standards from the stock solution described in section 3.2.4.4.4 by dilution with a DI water solution to approximate the field sample matrix. Prepare at least four standards to cover one order of magnitude that bracket the field sample concentrations. Run the standards with the field samples as described in section 3.2.5.5. For each standard, determine the peak areas (recommended) or the peak heights, calculate the average response from the duplicate injections, and plot the average response against the Cr\textsuperscript{6+} concentration in µg/l. The individual responses for each calibration standard determined before and after field sample analysis must be within 5 percent of the average response for the analysis to be valid. If the 5 percent criteria is exceeded, excessive drift and/or instrument degradation may have occurred, and must be corrected before further analyses are performed.

Employing linear regression, calculate a predicted value for each calibration standard with the average response for the duplicate injections. Each predicted value must be within 7 percent of the actual
3.2.7 Calculations

3.2.7.1 Dry Gas Volume. Using the data from the test, calculate \( V_{m(\text{std})} \), the dry gas sample volume at standard conditions as outlined in Section 6.3 of Method 5.

3.2.7.2 Volume of Water Vapor and Moisture Content. Using the data from the test, calculate \( V_{w(\text{std})} \) and \( M_{\text{w}} \), the volume of water vapor and the moisture content of the stack gas, respectively, using Equations 5-2 and 5-3 of Method 5.

3.2.7.3 Stack Gas Velocity. Using the data from the test and Equation 5-9 of Method 2, calculate the average stack gas velocity.

3.2.7.4 Total \( \mu g \) Cr\(^{6+} \) per Sample. Calculate as described below:

\[
m = (S-B) \times V_{1s} \times d
\]

where:

\[
m = \text{Mass of Cr}^{6+} \text{ in the sample, } \mu g.
\]

\[
S = \text{Concentration of sample, } \mu g \text{ Cr}^{6+}/ml.
\]

\[
B = \text{Concentration of blank, } \mu g \text{ Cr}^{6+}/ml.
\]

\[
V_{1s} = \text{Volume of sample after filtration, ml.}
\]

\[
d = \text{Dilution factor (1 if not diluted)}.
\]

3.3 Measurement of HCl and Cl\(_2\)

3.3.1 Isookinetic HCl/Cl\(_2\) Emission Sampling Train (Method 0050)

3.3.1.1 Scope and Application.

3.3.1.1.1 This method describes the collection of hydrogen chloride (HCl, CAS Registry Number 7647-01-0) and chlorine (Cl\(_2\), CAS Registry Number 7782-50-5) in stack gas emission samples from hazardous waste incinerators' municipal waste combustors, and boilers and industrial furnaces. The collected gas samples are analyzed using Method 9057. This method collects the emission sample isokinetically and is therefore particularly suited for sampling at sources, such as those controlled by wet scrubbers, emitting acid particulate matter (e.g., HCl dissolved in water droplets). A method impinger train sampling method designed for sampling sources of HCl/Cl\(_2\) emissions not in particulate form is presented in method 0051.

3.3.1.1.2 This method is not acceptable for demonstrating compliance with HCl emission standards less than 20 ppm.

3.3.1.1.3 This method may also be used to collect samples for subsequent determination of particulate emissions (by EPA method 5, reference 1) following the additional sampling procedures described.

3.3.1.2 Summary of Method.

3.3.1.2.1 Gaseous and particulate pollutants are withdrawn from an emission source and are collected in an optional cyclone, on a filter, and in absorbing solutions. The cyclone collects any liquid droplets and is not necessary if the source emissions do not contain liquid droplets. The Teflon mat or quartz-fiber filter collects other particulate matter including chloride salts. Acidic and alkaline absorbing solutions collect gaseous HCl and Cl\(_2\), respectively. Following sampling of emissions containing liquid droplets, any HCl/Cl\(_2\) dissolved in the liquid in the cyclone and/or on the filter is vaporized and ultimately collected in the impingers by pulling Ascarite II® conditioned ambient air through the sampling train. In the acidified water absorbing solution, the HCl gas is solubilized and forms chloride (Cl\(^-\) ) ions. The Cl\(_2\) gas present in the emissions has a very low solubility in acidified water and passes through to the alkaline absorbing solution where it undergoes hydrolysis to form a proton (H\(^+\) ), Cl\(^-\), and hypochlorous acid (HClO). The Cl\(^-\) ions in the separate solutions are measured by ion chromatography (method 9057). If desired, the particulate matter recovered from the filter and the probe is analyzed following the procedures in EPA Method 5 (reference 1).

3.3.1.3 Interferences.

3.3.1.3.1 Volatile materials which produce chloride ions upon dissolution during sampling are obvious interferences in the measurement of HCl. One interferant for HCl is diatomic chlorine (Cl\(_2\) ) gas which disproportionatates to HCl and hypochlorous acid (HClO) upon dissolution in water. Cl\(_2\) gas exhibits a low
solubility in water, however, and the use of acidic rather than neutral or basic solutions for collection of hydrogen chloride gas greatly reduces the dissolution of any chlorine present.

3.3.1.4 Apparatus and Materials.

3.3.1.4.1 Sampling Train.

3.3.1.4.1.1 A schematic of the sampling train used in this method is shown in Figure 3.3-1. This sampling train configuration is adapted from EPA method 5 procedures, and, as such, the majority of the required equipment is identical to that used in EPA Method 5 determinations. The new components required are a glass nozzle and probe, a Teflon union, a quartz-fiber or Teflon mat filter (see section 3.3.1.5.5), a Teflon frit, and acidic and alkaline absorbing solutions.

3.3.1.4.1.2 Construction details for the basic train components are provided in section 3.4 of EPA's Quality Assurance Handbook, Volume III (reference 2); commercial models of this equipment are also available.
Additionally, the following subsections identify allowable train configuration modifications.

3.1.1.4.1.3 Basic operating and maintenance procedures for the sampling train are also described in Reference 2. As correct usage is important in obtaining valid results, all users should refer to Reference 2 and adopt the operating and maintenance procedures outlined therein unless otherwise specified. The sampling train consists of the components detailed below.

3.1.1.4.1.3.1 Probe nozzle. Glass with sharp, tapered (30° angle) leading edge. The taper shall be on the outside to preserve a constant I.D. The nozzle shall be buttonhook or elbow design. The nozzle should be coupled to the probe liner using a Teflon union. It is recommended that a stainless steel nut be used on this union. In cases where the stack temperature exceeds 210 °C (410 °F), a one-piece glass nozzle/liner assembly must be used. A range of nozzle sizes suitable for isokinetic sampling should be available. Each nozzle shall be calibrated according to the procedures outlined in EPA Method 5 (see References 1 and 2).

3.1.1.4.1.3.2 Probe liner. Borosilicate or quartz-glass tubing with a heated system capable of maintaining a gas temperature of 120 ± 14 °C (248 ± 25 °F) at the exit and during sampling. Because the actual temperature at the outlet of the probe is not usually monitored during sampling, probes constructed and calibrated according to the procedures in Reference 2 are considered acceptable. Either borosilicate or quartz-glass probe liners may be used for stack temperatures up to about 480 °C (900 °F). Quartz liners shall be used for temperatures between 480 and 900 °C (900 and 1650 °F). (The softening temperature for borosilicate is 820 °C (1508 °F), and for quartz is 1500 °C (2732 °F). Water-cooling of the stainless steel sheath will be necessary at temperatures approaching and exceeding 500 °C.

3.1.1.4.1.3.3 Pitot tube. Type 5, as described in section 2.1 of EPA Method 2 (Reference 1). The pitot tube shall be attached to the probe to allow constant monitoring of the stack-gas velocity. The impact (high-pressure) opening plane of the pitot tube shall be even with or above the nozzle entry plane (see section 3.1.1 of Reference 2) during sampling. The Type 5 pitot tube assembly shall have a known coefficient, determined as outlined in section 3.1.1 of Reference 2.

3.1.1.4.1.3.4 Differential pressure gauge. Inclined manometer or equivalent device as described in section 2.2 of EPA Method 2 (Reference 1). One manometer shall be used for velocity-head (delta P) readings and the other for orifice differential pressure (delta H) readings.

3.1.1.4.1.3.5 Cyclone (optional). Glass.

3.1.1.4.1.3.6 Filter holder. Borosilicate glass, with a Teflon frit filter support and a sealing gasket. The sealing gasket shall be constructed of Teflon or equivalent materials. The holder design shall provide a positive seal against leakage at any point along the filter circumference. The holder shall be attached immediately to the outlet of the cyclone.

3.1.1.4.1.3.7 Filter heating system. Any heating system capable of maintaining a temperature of 120 ± 14 °C (248 ± 25 °F) around the filter and cyclone during sampling. Temperature gauge capable of measuring temperature to within 3 °C (5.4 °F) shall be installed so that the temperature around the filter holder can be regulated and monitored during sampling.

3.1.1.4.1.3.8 Impinger train. The following system shall be used to determine the stack gas moisture content and to collect HCl and Cl\textsubscript{2} five or six impingers connected in series with leak-free ground glass fittings or any similar leak-free non-contaminating fittings. The first impinger shown in Figure 1 (knockout or condensate impinger) is optional and is recommended as a water knockout trap for use under test conditions which require such a trap. If used, this impinger should be constructed as described below for the alkaline impingers, but with a shortened stem, and should contain 50 ml of 0.1 N H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. The following two impingers (acid impingers which each contain 100 ml of 0.1 N H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}) shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design with the standard tip (see method 5, paragraph 2.1.7). The next two impingers (alkaline impingers which each contain 100 ml of 0.1 N NaOH) and the last impinger (containing silicate gel) shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design modified by replacing the tip with a 1.3 cm (1/2 in) I.D. glass tube extending about 1.3 cm (1/2 in) from the bottom of the impinger (see method 5, paragraph 2.1.7). The condensate, acid, and alkaline impingers shall contain known quantities of the appropriate absorbing reagents. The last impinger shall contain a known weight of silicate gel or equivalent desiccant.

3.1.1.4.1.3.9 Metering system. The necessary components are a vacuum gauge, leak-free pump, thermometer capable of measuring temperature to within 3 °C (5.4 °F), dry-gas meter capable of measuring volume to within 1 percent, an orifice meter, (rate meter), and related equipment, as shown in Figure 1. At a minimum, the pump should be capable of free flow, and the dry-gas meter should have a recording capacity of 0.999.6 cu ft with a resolution of 0.005 cu ft. Other metering systems capable of maintaining sampling rates within 10 percent of isokineticity and of determining sample volumes to within 2 percent may be used. The metering system should be used in conjunction with a pitot tube to enable checks of isokinetic sampling rates.
3.3.1.4.1.3.10 Barometer. Mercury, aneroid, or other barometer capable of measuring atmospheric pressure to within 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg). In many cases, the barometric reading may be obtained from a nearby National Weather Service station, in which case the station value (which is the absolute barometric pressure) is requested and an adjustment for elevation differences between the weather station and sampling point is applied at a rate of minus 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg) per 100-m (300 ft) elevation increase (vice versa for elevation decrease).

3.3.1.4.1.3.11 Gas density determination equipment. Temperature sensor and pressure gauge (as described in sections 2.3 and 2.4 of EPA method 2), and gas analyzer, if necessary (as described in EPA method 3, Reference 1). The temperature sensor ideally should be permanently attached to the pitot tube or sampling probe in a fixed configuration such that the tip of the sensor extends beyond the leading edge of the probe sheath and does not touch any metal. Alternatively, the sensor may be attached just prior to use in the field. Note, however, that if the temperature sensor is attached in the field, the sensor must be placed in an interference-free arrangement with respect to the Type 8 pitot tube openings (see EPA method 2, Figure 2-7). As a second alternative, if the stack gas is saturated, the stack temperature may be measured at a single point near the center of the stack.

3.3.1.4.1.3.12 Ascarite tube for conditioning ambient air. Tube tightly packed with approximately 150 g of fresh 8 to 20 mesh Ascarite II* sodium hydroxide coated silica, or equivalent, to dry and remove acid gases from the ambient air used to remove moisture from the filter and optional cyclone. The inlet and outlet ends of the tube should be packed with at least 1 cm thickness of glass wood or filter material suitable to prevent escape of Ascarite II fines. Fit one end with flexible tubing, etc. to allow connection to probe nozzle.

3.3.1.4.2 Sample Recovery.

3.3.1.4.2.1 Probe liner. Probe and nozzle brushes; nylon bristle brushes with stainless steel wire handles are required. The probe brush shall have extensions of stainless steel, Teflon, or inert material at least as long as the probe. The brushes shall be properly sized and shaped to brush out the probe liner and the probe nozzle.

3.3.1.4.2.2 Wash bottles. Two. Polyethylene or glass, 500 ml or larger.

3.3.1.4.2.3 Glass sample storage containers. Glass, 500- or 1000-ml. Screw-cap liners shall be Teflon and constructed so as to be leak-free. Narrow-mouth glass bottles have been found to exhibit less tendency toward leakage.

3.3.1.4.2.4 Petri dishes. Glass or plastic, sealed around the circumference with Teflon tape, for storage and transport of filter samples.

3.3.1.4.2.5 Graduated cylinder and/or balances. To measure condensed water to the nearest 1 ml or 1 g. Graduated cylinders shall have subdivisions not >2 ml. Laboratory triple-beam balances capable of weighing to ± 0.5 g or better are required.

3.3.1.4.2.6 Plastic storage containers. Screw-cap polypropylene or polyethylene containers to store silica gel.

3.3.1.4.2.7 Funnel and rubber policeman. To aid in transfer of silica gel to container (not necessary if silica gel is weighed in field).

3.3.1.4.2.8 Funnels. Glass, to aid in sample recovery.

3.3.1.5 Reagents

3.3.1.5.1 Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently higher purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

3.3.1.5.2 ASTM Type II water (ASTM D1193-77 (1983)). All references to water in the method refer to ASTM Type II unless otherwise specified. It is advisable to analyze a blank sample of this reagent prior to sampling, since the reagent blank values obtained during the field sample analysis must be less than 10 percent of the sample values (see method 9057).

3.3.1.5.3 Sulfuric acid (0.1 N), H2SO4, used as the HCl absorbing reagent in the impinger train. To prepare 1 L, slowly add 2.80 ml of concentrated H2SO4 to about 900 ml of water while stirring, and adjust the
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3.3.1.5.7 Acetone. When using this train for determination of particulate emissions, reagent grade acetone, <0.001 percent residue, in glass bottles is required. Acetone from metal containers generally has a high residue blank and should not be used. Sometimes suppliers transfer acetone to glass bottles from metal containers; thus, acetone blanks shall be run prior to field use and only acetone with low blank values ( <0.001 percent) shall be used. In no case shall a blank value greater than 0.001 percent of the weight of acetone used be subtracted from the sample weight.  

3.3.1.5.8 Crushed ice. Quantities ranging from 10-50 lbs may be necessary during a sampling run, depending on ambient air temperature.  

3.3.1.5.9 Stopcock grease. Acetone-insoluble, heat-stable silicone grease may be used, if needed. Silicone grease usage is not necessary if screw-on connectors or Teflon sleeves on ground-glass joints are used.  

3.3.1.6 Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling.  

3.3.1.6.1 Sample collection is described in this method. The analytical procedures for HCl and Cl\textsubscript{2} are described in method 9057 and for particulate matter in EPA method 5 (Reference 1).  

3.3.1.6.2 Samples should be stored in clearly labeled, tightly sealed containers between sample collection. The may be aga1ny up to four weeks after collection.  

3.3.1.7 Procedure.  

3.3.1.7.1 Preparation for Field Test.  

3.3.1.7.1.1 All sampling equipment shall be maintained and calibrated according to the procedures described in section 3.4.2 of EPA's Quality Assurance Handbook, Volume III (Reference 2).  

3.3.1.7.1.2 Weigh several 200- to 300-g portions of silica gel in airtight containers to the nearest 0.1 g. Record on each container the total weight of the silica gel plus containers. As an alternative to preweighing the silica gel, it may instead be weighed directly in the impinger just prior to train assembly.  

3.3.1.7.1.3 Check filters visually against light for irregularities and flaws or pinhole leaks. Label the shipping containers (glass or plastic Petri dishes) and keep the filters in these containers at all times except during sampling (and weighing for particulate analysis).  

3.3.1.7.1.4 If a particulate determination will be conducted, desiccate the filters at 20 ± 5.6°C (68 ± 10°F) and ambient pressure for at least 24 hours, and weight at intervals of at least 6 hours to a constant weight (i.e., <0.5-mg change from previous weighing), recording results to the nearest 0.1 mg. During each weighing, the filter must not be exposed for more than a 2-min period to the laboratory atmosphere and relative humidity above 50 percent. Alternatively (unless otherwise specified by the Administrator), the filters may be oven-dried at 105°C (220°F) for 2-3 hours, desiccate for 2 hours, and weighed.  

3.3.1.7.2 Preliminary Field Determinations.  

3.3.1.7.2.1 Select the sampling site and the minimum number of sampling points according to EPA method 1 or as specified by the Administrator. Determine the stack pressure, temperature, and range of velocity heads using EPA method 2. It is recommended that a leak-check of the pilot lines (see EPA method 2, section 3.1) be performed. Determine the stack-gas moisture content using EPA method 4 or its alternatives to establish estimates of isokinetic sampling rate settings. Determine the stack gas dry molecular weight, as described in
EPA method 2, section 3.6. If integrated EPA method 3 (Reference 1) sampling is used for molecular weight determination, the integrated bag sample shall be taken simultaneously with, and for the same total length of time as the sample run.

3.3.1.7.2.2 Select a nozzle size based on the range of velocity heads so that it is not necessary to change the nozzle size to maintain isokinetic sampling rates. During the run, do not change the nozzle. Ensure that the proper differential pressure gauge is chosen for the range of velocity heads encountered (see section 2.2 of EPA method 2).

3.3.1.7.2.3 Select a suitable probe liner and probe length so that all traverse points can be sampled. For large stacks, to reduce the length of the probe, consider sampling from opposite sides of the stack.

3.3.1.7.2.4 The total sampling time should be two hours. Allocate the same time to all traverse points defined by EPA method 1. To avoid timekeeping errors, the length of time sampled at each traverse point should be an integer or an integer plus one-half min. Size the condensate impinger for the expected moisture catch or be prepared to empty it during the run.

3.3.1.7.3 Preparation of Sampling Train.

3.3.1.7.3.1 Add 50 ml of 0.1 N H₂SO₄ to the condensate impinger, if used. Place 100 ml of 0.1 N NaOH in each of the following two impingers. Finally, transfer approximately 200-300 g of preweighed silica gel from its container to the last impinger. More silica gel may be used, but care should be taken to ensure that it is not entrained and carried out from the impinger during sampling. Place the silica gel container in a clean place for later use in the sample recovery. Alternatively, the weight of the silica gel plus impinger may be determined to the nearest 0.5 g and recorded.

3.3.1.7.3.2 Using a tweezer or clean disposable surgical gloves, place a labeled (identified) filter (weighed, if particulate matter to be determined) in the filter holder. Be sure that the filter is properly centered and the gasket properly placed to prevent the sample gas stream from circumventing the filter. Check the filter for tears after assembly is completed.

3.3.1.7.3.3 To use glass liners, install the selected nozzle using a Viton-A O-ring when stack temperatures are <120°C (500°F) and a woven glass-fiber gasket when temperatures are higher. Other connecting systems utilizing either 316 stainless steel or Teflon ferrules may be used. Mark the probe with heat-resistant tape or by some other method to denote the proper distance into the stack or duct for each sampling point.

3.3.1.7.3.4 Set up the train as in Figure 3.3-1. A minimal amount of silicone grease may be used on ground glass joints. Connect temperature sensors to the appropriate potentiometer/display unit. Check all temperature sensors at ambient temperature.

3.3.1.7.3.5 Place crushed ice around the impingers.

3.3.1.7.3.6 Turn on and set the filter and probe heating systems at the desired operating temperatures. Allow time for the temperatures to stabilize.

3.3.1.7.4 Leak-Check Procedures.

3.3.1.7.4.1 Pretest leak-check. A pretest leak-check is recommended, but not required. If the tester opts to conduct the pretest leak-check, the following procedure shall be used.

3.3.1.7.4.1.1 If a Viton-A O-ring or other leak-free connection is used in assembling the probe nozzle to the probe liner, leak-check the train at the sampling site by plugging the nozzle and pulling a 380-mm Hg (15-in. Hg) vacuum.

Note: A lower vacuum may be used, provided that it is not exceeded during the test.

3.3.1.7.4.1.2 If a woven glass-fiber gasket is used, do not connect the probe to the train during the leak-check. Instead, leak-check the train by first plugging the inlet to the cyclone, if used, or the filter holder and pulling a 380-mm Hg (15-in. Hg) vacuum (see Note above). Then, connect the probe to the train and leak-check the train about 25-mm Hg (1-in. Hg) vacuum; alternatively, leak-check the probe with the rest of the sampling train in one step at 380-mm Hg (15-in. Hg) vacuum. Leakage rates in excess of 4 percent of the average sampling rate or 0.00057 m³/min (0.02 cfm), whichever is less, are unacceptable.

3.3.1.7.4.1.3 The following leak-check instructions for the sampling train may be helpful. Start the pump with bypass valve fully open and coarse adjust valve completely closed. Partially open the coarse adjust valve and slowly close the bypass valve until the desired vacuum is reached. Do not reverse direction of the
3.3.1.7.4.1.4 When the leak-check is completed, first slowly remove the plug from the inlet to the probe, cyclone, or filter holder and immediately turn off the vacuum pump. This prevents the liquid in the impingers from being forced backward into the filter holder and silica gel from being entrained backward into the fifth impinger.

3.3.1.7.4.2 Leak-checks during sample run. If during the sampling run, a component (e.g., filter assembly or impinger) change becomes necessary or a port change is conducted, a leak-check shall be conducted immediately after the interruption of sampling and before the change is made. The leak-check shall be conducted according to the procedure outlined in Section 3.3.1.7.4.1, except that it shall be conducted at a vacuum greater than or equal to the maximum value recorded up to that point in the test. If the leakage rate is found to be no greater than 0.00057 m³/min (0.02 cfm) or 4 percent of the average sampling rate (whichever is less), the results are acceptable. If a higher leakage rate is obtained, the tester shall void the sampling run. Immediately after a component change or port change, and before sampling is reinitiated, another leak-check similar to a pre-test leak-check is recommended.

3.3.1.7.4.3 Post-test leak-check. A leak-check is mandatory at the conclusion of each sampling run. The leak-check shall be done using the same procedures as those with the pre-test leak-check, except that it shall be conducted at a vacuum greater than or equal to the maximum value reached during the sampling run. If the leakage rate is found to be no greater than 0.00057 m³/min (0.02 cfm) or 4 percent of the average sampling rate (whichever is less), the results are acceptable. If a higher leakage rate is obtained, the tester shall void the sampling run.

3.3.1.7.5 Train Operation.

3.3.1.7.5.1 During the sampling run, maintain an isokinetic sampling rate to within 10 percent of true isokinetic, unless otherwise specified by the Administrator. Maintain a temperature around the filter (and cyclone, if used) of 120 ± 14°C (248 ± 25°F).

3.3.1.7.5.2 For each run, record the data required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Figure 3.3-2. Be sure to record the initial dry gas meter reading. Record the dry gas meter readings at the beginning and end of each sampling time increment, when changes in flow rates are made before and after each leak-check, and when sampling is halted. Take other readings required by Figure 3.3-2 at least once at each sample point during each time increment and additional readings when significant changes (20 percent variation in velocity head readings) necessitate additional adjustments in flow rate. Level and zero the manometer. Because the manometer level and zero may drift due to vibrations and temperature changes, make periodic checks during the traverse.

3.3.1.7.5.3 Clean the stack access ports prior to the test run to eliminate the chance of sampling deposited material. To begin sampling, remove the nozzle cap, verify that the filter and probe heating systems are at the specified temperature, and verify that the pitot tube and probe are positioned properly. Position the nozzle at the first traverse point, with the tip pointing directly into the gas stream. Immediately start the pump and adjust the flow to isokinetic conditions using a calculator or a nomograph. Nomographs are designed for use when the Type S pitot tube coefficient is 0.84 ± 0.02 and the stack gas equivalent density (dry molecular weight) is equal to 29 ± 4. If the stack gas molecular weight and the pitot tube coefficient are outside the above ranges, do not use the nomographs unless appropriate steps are taken to compensate for the deviations (see Reference 3).

3.3.1.7.5.4 When the stack is under significant negative pressure (equivalent to the height of the impinger stem), take care to close the coarse adjust valve before inserting the probe into the stack, to prevent water from backing into the filter holder. If necessary, the pump may be turned on with the coarse adjust valve closed.

3.3.1.7.5.5 When the probe is in position, block off the openings around the probe and stack access port to prevent unrepresentative dilution of the gas stream.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traverse Point</th>
<th>Sampling Line (0) min</th>
<th>Vacuum mm Hg (in. Hg)</th>
<th>Stack Temperature ( T_s ) °C(°F)</th>
<th>Stack Velocity Head ( F_p ) mm (in) H₂O</th>
<th>Pressure Differential Across Orifice Meter mm (H₂O) (in H₂O)</th>
<th>Gas Sample Volume lb (ft³)</th>
<th>Gas Sample Temp at Dry Gas Meter °C(°F) °C(°F)</th>
<th>Filter Solder Temperature °C(°F) °C(°F)</th>
<th>Temperature of Gas Leaving Condenser or Last Impingement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.3-2 Field Data Form**
3.3.1.7.5.6 Traverse the stack cross section, as required by EPA Method 1 or as specified by the Administrator, being careful not to bump the probe nozzle into the stack walls when sampling near the walls or when removing or inserting the probe through the access port, in order to minimize the chance of extracting deposited material.

3.3.1.7.5.7 During the test run, make periodic adjustments to keep the temperature around the filter holder (and cyclone, if used) at the proper level. Add more ice, and, if necessary, salt to maintain a temperature of <20 °C (68 °F) at the condenser/silica gel outlet. Also, periodically check the level and zero of the manometer.

3.3.1.7.5.8 If the pressure drop across the filter becomes too high, making isokinetic sampling difficult to maintain, it may be replaced in the midst of a sample run. Using another complete filter holder assembly is recommended, rather than attempting to change the filter itself. After a new filter assembly is installed, conduct a leak-check. If determined, the total particulate weight shall include the summation of all filter assembly catches.

3.3.1.7.5.9 If the condensate impinger becomes too full, it may be emptied, recharged with 50 ml of 0.1 N H₂SO₄, and replaced during the sample run. The condensate emptied must be saved and included in the measurement of the volume of moisture collected and included in the sample for analysis. The additional 50 ml of absorbing reagent must also be considered in calculating the moisture. After the impinger is reinstalled in the train, conduct a leak check.

3.3.1.7.5.10 A single train shall be used for the entire sample run, except in cases where simultaneous sampling is required in two or more separate ducts or at two or more different locations within the same duct, or in cases where equipment failure necessitates a change of trains. In all other situations, the use of two or more trains will be subject to the approval of the Administrator.*

3.3.1.7.5.11 Note that when two or more trains are used, separate analyses of the particulate catch (if applicable) and the HCl and Cl₂ imipinger catches from each train shall be performed, unless identical nozzle sizes were used on all trains. In that case, the particulate catch and the HCl and Cl₂ impinger catches from the individual trains may be combined, and a single particulate analysis and single HCl and Cl₂ analyses of the impinger contents may be performed.

3.3.1.7.5.12 At the end of the sample run, turn off the coarse adjust valve, remove the probe and nozzle from the stack, turn off the pump, and record the final dry gas meter reading.

3.3.1.7.5.13 If there is any possibility that liquid has collected in the glass cyclone and/or on the filter, connect the Ascarite tube at the probe inlet and operate the train with the filter heating system at 120 ± 14 °C (248 ± 25 °F) at a low flow rate (e.g., H = 1) sufficient to vaporize the liquid and any HCl in the cyclone or on the filter and pull it through the train into the impingers. After 30 minutes, turn off the flow, remove the Ascarite tube, and examine the cyclone and filter for any visible moisture. If moisture is visible, repeat this step for 15 minutes.

3.3.1.7.5.14 Conduct a post-test leak check. Also, leak-check the pitot lines as described in EPA method 2. The lines must pass this leak-check in order to validate the velocity-head data.

3.3.1.7.5.15 If the moisture value is available, calculate percent isokineticity (see section 3.3.1.7.5.10) to determine whether the run was valid or another test run should be conducted.

3.3.1.7.6 Sample Recovery.

3.3.1.7.6.1 Allow the probe to cool. When the probe can be handled safely, wipe off all the external surfaces of the tip of the probe nozzle and place a cap over the tip. Do not cap the probe tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling down because this will create a vacuum in the filter holder, drawing water from the impingers into the holder.

3.3.1.7.6.2 Before moving the sampling train to the cleanup site, remove the probe, wipe off any silicone grease, and cap the open outlet, being careful not to lose any condensate that might be present. Wipe off any silicone grease and cap the filter or cyclone inlet. Remove the umbilical cord from the last impinger and cap the impinger. If a flexible line is used between the first impinger and the filter holder, disconnect it at the filter holder and let any condensed water drain into the first impinger. Wipe off any silicone grease and cap the filter holder outlet and the impinger inlet. Ground glass stoppers, plastic caps, serum caps, Teflon tape, Parafilm®, or aluminum foil may be used to close these openings.

3.3.1.7.6.3 Transfer the probe and filter/impinger assembly to the cleanup area. This area should be clean and protected from the weather to minimize sample contamination or loss.
Carefully tape handle the filter. If particulate matter squirting is carried out a separate, prelabeled glass sample container. Transfer 200 ml of each solution directly from the wash bottle being used (rinse solutions) or the supply container (absorbing reagents) and place each in a

3.3.1.7.6.5 Inspect the train prior to and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions.

3.3.1.7.6.6 Container No. 1 (filter catch for particulate determination). Carefully remove the filter from the filter holder and place it in its identified Petri dish container. Use one or more pair of tweezers to handle the filter. If it is necessary to fold the filter, ensure that the particulate cake is inside the fold. Carefully transfer to the Petri dish any particulate matter or filter fibers that adhere to the filter holder gasket, using a dry nylon bristle brush or sharp-edged blade, or both. Label the container and seal with Teflon tape around the circumference of the lid.

3.3.1.7.6.7 Container No. 2 (front-half rinse for particulate determination). Taking care that dust on the outside of the probe or other exterior surfaces does not get into the sample, quantitatively recover particulate matter or any condensate from the probe nozzle, probe fitting, probe liner, and front half of the filter holder by washing these components with acetone into a glass container. Retain an acetone blank and analyze with the samples.

3.3.1.7.6.8 Perform rinses as follows: Carefully remove the probe nozzle and clean the inside surface by rinsing with acetone from a wash bottle and brushing with a nylon bristle brush. Brush until the rinse shows no visible particles; then make a final rinse of the inside surface with the acetone. Brush and rinse the inside parts of the Swagelok fitting with the acetone in a similar way until no visible particles remain.

3.3.1.7.6.9 Have two people rinse the probe liner with acetone by tilting and rotating the probe while squirting acetone into its upper end so that all inside surfaces will be wetted with solvent. Let the acetone drain from the lower end into the sample container. A glass funnel may be used to aid in transferring liquid washed to the container.

3.3.1.7.6.10 Follow the acetone rinse with a probe brush. Hold the probe in an inclined position and squirt acetone into the upper end while pushing the probe through the probe with a twisting action; place a sample container underneath the lower end of the probe and catch any acetone and particulate matter that is brushed from the probe. Run the brush through the probe three or more times until no visible particulate matter is carried out with the acetone or until none remains in the probe liner on visual inspection. Rinse the brush with acetone and quantitatively collect these washings in the sample container. After the brushing, make a final acetone rinse of the probe as described above. Between sampling runs, keep brushes clean and protected from contamination.

3.3.1.7.6.11 Clean the inside of the front half of the filter holder and cyclone by rubbing the surfaces with a nylon bristle brush and rinsing with acetone. Rinse each surface three times, or more if needed, to remove visible particulate. Make a final rinse of the brush and filter holder. Carefully rinse out the glass cyclone and cyclone flask (if applicable). Brush and rinse any particulate material adhering to the inner surfaces of these components into the front-half rinse sample. After all rinses and particulate matter have been collected in the sample container, tighten the lid on the sample container so that acetone will not leak out when it is shipped to the laboratory. Mark the height of the fluid level to determine whether leakage occurs during transport. Label the container to identify its contents.

3.3.1.7.6.12 Container No. 3 (knockout and acid impinger catch for moisture and HCl determination). Disconnect the impingers. Measure the liquid in the acid and knockout impingers to within ± 1 ml by using a graduated cylinder or by weighing it to within ± 0.5 g by using a balance (if one is available). Record the volume or weight of liquid present. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the effluent gas. Quantitatively transfer this liquid to a leak-free sample storage container. Rinse these impingers, connecting glassware (and tubing, if used); and the back half of the filter holder with water and add these rinses to the storage container. Seal the container, shake to mix, and label. The fluid level should be marked so that if any sample is lost during transport, a correction proportional to the lost volume can be applied. Retain rinse water and acidic absorbing solution blanks and analyze with the samples.

3.3.1.7.6.13 Container No. 4 (alkaline impinger catch for Cl₂ and moisture determination). Measure and record the liquid in the alkaline impingers as described in section 3.3.1.7.6.12. Quantitatively transfer this liquid to a leak-free sample storage container. Rinse these two impingers and connecting glassware with water and add these rinses to the container. Seal the container, shake to mix, and label; mark the fluid level. Retain alkaline absorbing solution blank and analyze with the samples.

3.3.1.7.6.14 Container No. 5 (silica gel for moisture determination). Note the color of the indicating silica gel to determine if it has been completely spent and make a notation of its condition. Transfer the silica gel from the last impinger to its original container and seal. A funnel may make it easier to pour the
silica gel without spilling. A rubber policeman may be used as an aid in removing the silica gel from the impinger. It is not necessary to remove the small amount of dust particles that may adhere strongly to the impinger wall. Because the gain in weight is to be used for moisture calculations, do not use any water or other liquids to transfer the silica gel. If a balance is available in the field, weigh the container and its contents to 0.5 g or better.

3.1.1.7.6.15 Prior to shipment, recheck all sample containers to ensure that the caps are well secured. Seal the lids of all containers around the circumference with Teflon tape. Ship all liquid samples upright and all particulate filters with the particulate catch facing upward.

3.3.1.7.7 Calculations. Retain at least one extra decimal figure beyond those contained in the available data in intermediate calculations, and round off only the final answer appropriately.

3.3.1.7.1 Nomenclature.

\[ \begin{align*} 
A_n &= \text{Cross-sectional area of nozzle, } \text{m}^2 (\text{ft}^2). \\
B_{\text{wa}} &= \text{Water vapor in the gas stream, proportion by volume.} \\
C_b &= \text{Acetone blank residue concentration, mg/mg.} \\
C_d &= \text{Type S pitot tube coefficient (nominally 0.84 to 0.02), dimensionless.} \\
C_s &= \text{Concentration of particulate matter in stack gas, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions, g/dscm (g/dscf).} \\
I &= \text{Percent of isokinetic sampling.} \\
m &= \text{Mass of residue of acetone after evaporation, mg.} \\
M_a &= \text{Total amount of particulate matter collected, mg.} \\
M_d &= \text{Stack-gas dry molecular weight, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).} \\
M_w &= \text{Molecular weight of water, 18.0 g/g-mole (18.0 lb/lb-mole).} \\
P &= \text{Barometric pressure at the sampling site, mm Hg (in. Hg).} \\
P_{\text{std}} &= \text{Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).} \\
R &= \text{Ideal gas constant, 0.08206 mm Hg·m}^3/\text{K·g-mole (21.85 in. Hg·ft}^3/\text{R·lb-mole).} \\
T &= \text{Absolute average dry-gas meter temperature (see Figure 2), °K (°R).} \\
T_{\text{std}} &= \text{Absolute average stack-gas temperature (see Figure 2), °K (°R).} \\
V_{\text{l,c}} &= \text{Total volume of liquid collected in the impingers and silica gel, ml.} \\
V_{\text{m}} &= \text{Volume of gas sample measured by the dry-gas meter, dcm (dscf).} \\
V_{\text{m (std)}} &= \text{Volume of gas sample measured by the dry-gas meter, corrected to standard conditions, dcm (dscf).} \\
V_{\text{w}} &= \text{Volume of water vapor in the gas sample, corrected to standard conditions, scm (scf).} \\
V_{\text{B}} &= \text{Stack-gas velocity, calculated by Method 2, Equation 2-9, using data obtained from Method 5, m/sec (ft/sec).} \\
W_a &= \text{Weight of residue in acetone wash, mg.} \\
W_v &= \text{Volume of acetone blank, ml.} \\
W_{\text{aw}} &= \text{Volume of acetone used in wash, ml.} \\
X &= \text{Dry-gas-meter calibration factor, dimensionless.} \\
\Delta H &= \text{Average pressure differential across the orifice meter, mm Hg (in. Hg).} \\
E_a &= \text{Density of acetone, mg/ml (see label on bottle).} \\
E_w &= \text{Density of water, 0.9982 g/ml (0.00201 lb/ml).} \\
\beta &= \text{Conversion to percent.} \\
13.6 &= \text{Specific gravity of mercury.} \\
60 &= \text{Sec/min.} \\
100 &= \text{Conversion to percent.} \\
\end{align*} \]

3.3.1.7.7.2 Average dry-gas meter temperature and average orifice pressure drop. See data sheet (Figure 3.3-2).

3.3.1.7.7.3 Dry gas volume. Correct the sample measured by the dry gas meter to standard conditions (20 °C, 760 mm Hg [68 °F, 29.92 in. Hg]) by using Equation 1:

\[ \begin{align*} 
V_{\text{m (std)}} &= V_{\text{m}} \frac{T_{\text{std}} P_{\text{std}} + \Delta H/13.6}{T_m P_m} \\
V_{\text{m (std)}} &= V_{\text{m}} \frac{P_{\text{bar}} - \Delta H/13.6}{K \gamma_v} \\
&= \frac{P_{\text{bar}}}{K \gamma_v} \frac{T_m}{P_{\text{std}}} \\
&= \frac{T_m}{P_{\text{std}}} \\
&= \text{(1)} \\
\end{align*} \]
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\[ T_m \]

where:

\[ X_1 = 0.3858 \text{ K/mm Hg for metric units, or} \]
\[ X_1 = 17.64 \text{ °R/in. Hg for English units.} \]

3.3.1.7.7.4 Volume of water vapor.

\[ V_{w(\text{std})} = \frac{V_{\text{LC}}}{M_w} \times \frac{P_{\text{w}}}{P_{\text{std}}} X_1 V_{\text{LC}} \]  

(2)

\[ V_{w(\text{std})} = \frac{V_{\text{LC}}}{M_w} \times \frac{P_{\text{w}}}{P_{\text{std}}} X_1 V_{\text{LC}} \]  

where:

\[ X_2 = 0.001333 \text{ m}^3/\text{ml for metric units, or} \]
\[ X_2 = 0.04707 \text{ m}^3/\text{ml for English units.} \]

3.3.1.7.7.5 Moisture content.

\[ B_{w} = \frac{V_{w(\text{std})}}{V_{m(\text{std})} V_{w(\text{std})}} \]

(3)

Note: In saturated or water-droplet-laden gas streams, two calculations of the moisture content of the stack gas shall be made, one from the impinger analysis (Equation 3) and a second from the assumption of saturated conditions. The lower of the two values of \( B_w \) shall be considered correct. The procedure for determining the moisture content based upon assumption of saturated conditions is given in the Note to section 1.2 of Method 4. For the purposes of this method, the average stack gas temperature from Figure 2 may be used to make this determination, provided that the accuracy of the in-stack temperature sensor is ± 1 °C (± 2 °F).

3.3.1.7.7.6 Acetone blank concentration. For particulate determination.

\[ C_a = \frac{n_a}{V_{\text{Aa}}} \]  

(4)

3.3.1.7.7.7 Acetone wash blank. For particulate determination.

\[ W_a = C_a V_{\text{Aa}} \]  

(5)

3.3.1.7.7.8 Total particulate weight. Determine the total particulate catch from the sum of the weights obtained from Container Nos. 1 and 2 less the acetone blank \( W_a \).

3.3.1.7.7.9 Particulate concentration.

\[ C_p = \frac{(0.001 \text{ g/gq})(n_p/V_{m(\text{std})})}{60} \]  

(6)

3.3.1.7.7.10 Isokinetic variation.

3.3.1.7.7.10.1 Calculation from raw data.

\[ \frac{100 T_a X_1 P_{\text{bar}}}{13.6} \frac{(V_{m}/T_{a})/(P_{\text{bar}} + H)}{V_{\text{Aa}}} \]

(7)

\[ \frac{100 T_a X_1 P_{\text{bar}}}{13.6} \frac{(V_{m}/T_{a})/(P_{\text{bar}} + H)}{V_{\text{Aa}}} \]

where:

\[ X_1 = 0.003454 \text{ mm Hg-m}^3/\text{ml-K for metric units, or} \]
\[ X_1 = 0.002869 \text{ in. Hg-ft}^3/\text{ml °R for English units.} \]
3.3.1.7.7.10.2 Calculation for intermediate values.

\[ I = \frac{T_{n}V_{n}(\text{std})P_{\text{std}}^{100}}{T_{\text{std}}V_{n}^{\text{BA}}n^{\text{BA}}50(1-B_{\text{Wb}})} \]

\[ = K_{d}\frac{T_{n}V_{n}(\text{std})}{P_{\text{BA}}^{\text{BA}}n^{\text{BA}}50(1-B_{\text{Wb}})} \]

where:

- \( K_{d} = 4.320 \) for metric units, or
- \( K_{d} = 0.09450 \) for English units.

3.3.1.7.7.10.3 Acceptable units. If 90 percent \( < I < 110 \) percent, the results are acceptable. If the results are low in comparison with the standard and \( I \) is beyond the acceptable range, or if \( I \) is less than 90 percent, the Administrator may opt to accept the results.

3.3.1.8 Quality Control.

3.3.1.8.1 Sampling. See EPA Manual 600/4-77-027b for Method 5 quality control.

3.3.1.8.2 Analysis. At the present time, a validated audit material does not exist for this method. Analytical quality control procedures are detailed in Method 9057.

3.3.1.9 Method Performance.

3.3.1.9.1 The in-stack detection limit for the method is approximately 0.02 µg of HCl per liter of stack gas. The method has a negative bias below 20 ppm HCl (Reference 6).

3.3.1.9.2 It is preferable to include the cyclone in the sampling train to protect the filter from any moisture present. There is research in progress regarding the necessity of the cyclone at low moisture sources and the use of Aascarite II in the drying procedure (Section 3.3.1.7.5.12).
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3.3.2 Midget Impinger HCl/Cl₂ Emission Sampling Train (Method 0051)

3.3.2.1 Scope and Application.
This method describes the collection of hydrogen chloride (HCl, CAS Registry Number 7647-01-0) and chlorine (Cl₂, CAS Registry Number 7782-50-5) in stack gas emission samples from hazardous waste incineration, municipal waste combustors, and boilers and industrial furnaces. The collected samples are analyzed using method 9057. This method is designed to collect HCl/Cl₂ in their gaseous forms. Sources, such as those controlled by wet scrubbers, that emit acid particulate matter (e.g., HCl dissolved in water droplets) must be sampled using an isokinetic HCl/Cl₂ sampling train (see Method 0050).

Summary of Method.

An integrated gas sample is extracted from the stack and passes through a particulate filter, acidified water, and finally through an alkaline solution. The filter serves to remove particulate matter such as chloride salts which could potentially react and form analyte in the absorbing solutions. In the acidified water absorbing solution, the HCl gas is solubilized and forms chloride ions (Cl⁻) as follows:

HCl + H₂O = H₃O⁺ + Cl⁻

The Cl₂ gas present in the emissions has a very low solubility in acidified water and passes through to the alkaline absorbing solution where it undergoes hydrolysis to form a proton (H⁺), Cl⁻, and hypochlorous acid (HClO) as follows:

H₂O + Cl₂ = H⁺ + Cl⁻ + HClO

The Cl⁻ ions in the separate solutions are measured by ion chromatography (Method 9057).

Interferences.

Volatile materials which produce chloride ions upon dissolution during sampling are obvious interferences in the measurement of HCl. One interferant for HCl is diatomic chlorine (Cl₂) gas which disproportionate to HCl and hypochlorous acid (HClO) upon dissolution in water. Cl₂ gas exhibits a low solubility in water, however, and the use of acidic rather than neutral or basic solutions for collection of hydrogen chloride gas greatly reduces the dissolution of any chlorine present. Sampling a 400 ppm HCl gas stream containing 50 ppm Cl₂ with this method does not cause a significant bias. Sampling a 220 ppm HCl gas stream containing 180 ppm Cl₂ results in a positive bias of 3.4 percent in the HCl measurement.

Reducing agents such as SO₂ may cause a positive bias in the Cl₂ measurement by the following reaction:

HClO + HSO₃⁻ = H₂SO₄ + Cl⁻

Apparatus and Materials.

Sampling Train. The sampling train is shown in Figure 1 and component parts are discussed below.

Probe. Borosilicate glass, approximately 3/8-in (9-mm) inside diameter, with a heating system to prevent condensation. When the concentration of alkaline particulate matter in the emissions is high, a 3/8-in (9-mm) inside diameter Teflon elbow should be attached to the inlet of the probe; a 1-in (25-mm) length of Teflon tubing with a 3/8-in (9-mm) inside diameter should be attached at the open end of the elbow to permit the opening of the probe to be burned away from the gas stream, thus reducing the amount of particulate entering the train. When high concentrations of particulate matter are not present, the Teflon elbow is not necessary, and the probe inlet can be perpendicular to the gas stream. When sampling at locations where gas temperatures are greater than approximately 400°F, such as wet scrubber inlets, glass or quartz elbows must be used. In no case should a glass wool plug be used to remove particulate matter; use of such a filtering device could result in a bias in the data.

Three-way stopcock. A borosilicate, three-way glass stopcock with a heating system to prevent condensation. The heated stopcock should connect directly to the outlet of the probe and filter assembly and the inlet of the first impinger. The heating system should be capable of preventing condensation up to the inlet of the first impinger. Silicone grease may be used, if necessary, to prevent leakage.

Impingers. Five 30-m1 midget impingers with leak-free glass connectors. Silicone grease may be used, if necessary, to prevent leakage. For sampling at high moisture sources or for extended sampling times greater than one hour, a midget impinger with a shortened stem (such that the gas sample does not bubble through the collected condensate) should be used in front of the first impinger.
3.3.2.4.1.4 Mae West impinger or drying tubes. Mae West design impinger (or drying tube, if a moisture determination is not to be conducted) filled with silica gel, or equivalent, to dry the gas sample and to protect the dry gas meter and pump.

3.3.2.4.1.5 Sample Line. Leak-free, with compatible fittings to connect the last impinger to the needle valve.

3.3.2.4.1.6 Barometer. Mercury, aneroid, or other barometer capable of measuring atmospheric pressure within 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg). In many cases, the barometric reading may be obtained from a nearby National Weather Service station, in which case the station value (which is the basic barometric pressure) shall be requested and an adjustment for the elevation differences between the weather station and sampling point shall be applied at a rate of minus 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg) per 30 m (100 ft) elevation increase or vice versa for elevation decrease.

3.3.2.4.1.7 Purge pump. Purge line, drying tube, needle valve, and rate meter. Pump capable of purging sample probe at 2 liters/min, with drying tube, filled with silica gel or equivalent, to protect pump, and a rate meter, 0 to 5 liters/min.

3.3.2.4.1.8 Metering system. The following items comprise the metering system which is identical to that used for EPA Method 6 (see Reference 5).

3.3.2.4.1.8.1 Valve. Needle valve, to regulate sample gas flow rate.

3.3.2.4.1.8.2 Pump. Leak-free diaphragm pump, or equivalent, to pull gas through train. Install a small surge tank between the pump and the rate meter to eliminate the pulsation effect of the diaphragm pump on the rotameter.

3.3.2.4.1.8.3 Rate meter. Rotameter, or equivalent, capable of measuring flow rate to within 2 percent of selected flow rate of 2 liters/min.

3.3.2.4.1.8.4 Volume meter. Dry gas meter, sufficiently accurate to measure the sample volume within 2 percent, calibrated at the selected flow rate and conditions encountered during sampling, and equipped with a temperature gauge (dial thermometer or equivalent) capable of measuring temperature to within 3°C (3.4°F).

3.3.2.4.1.8.5 Vacuum gauge. At least 760 mm Hg (30 in. Hg) gauge to be used for leak check of the sampling train.

3.3.2.4.2 Sample Recovery.

3.3.2.4.2.1 Wash bottles. Polyethylene or glass, 500 ml or larger, two.

3.3.2.4.2.2 Storage bottles. Glass, with Teflon-lined lids, 100 ml, to store impinger samples (two per sampling run).

3.3.2.5 Reagents.

3.3.2.5.1 Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

3.3.2.5.2 ASTM Type II Water (ASTM D1193-77 [1983]). All references to water in the method refer to ASTM Type II unless otherwise specified. It is advisable to analyze a blank sample of this reagent prior to sampling, since the reagent blank value obtained during the field sample analysis must be less than 10 percent of the sample value (see method 9057).

3.3.2.5.3 Sulfuric acid (0.1 N), H₂SO₄. Used as the HCl absorbing reagent. To prepare 100 ml, slowly add 0.28 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ to about 90 ml of water while stirring, and adjust the final volume to 100 ml using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution. It is advisable to analyze a blank sample of this reagent prior to sampling, since the reagent blank value obtained during the field sample analysis must be less than 10 percent of the sample values (see method 9057).

3.3.2.5.4 Sodium hydroxide (0.1 N), NaOH. Used as the Cl₂ absorbing reagent. To prepare 100 ml, dissolve 0.40 g of solid NaOH in about 90 ml of water and adjust the final volume to 100 ml using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution. It is advisable to analyze a blank sample of this reagent prior to
sampling, since the reagent blank value obtained during the field sample analysis must be less than 10 percent of the sample values (see method 9057).

3.3.2.5.5 Filter. Teflon mat Pallflex\textsuperscript{\textregistered} TX40B75 or equivalent. Locate in a glass, quartz, or Teflon filter holder with a Teflon filter support in a filter box heated to 250°F.

3.3.2.5.6 Stopcock grease. Acetone-insoluble, heat-stable silicone grease may be used, if necessary.

3.3.2.5.7 Silica gel. Indicating type, 6- to 16-mesh. If the silica gel has been used previously, dry at 175°C (350°F) for 2 hours. New silica gel may be used as received. Alternatively, other types of desiccants (equivalent or better) may be used.

3.3.2.6 Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling.

3.3.2.6.1 Sample collection is described in this method. The analytical procedures are described in method 9057.

3.3.2.6.2 Samples should be stored in clearly labeled, tightly sealed containers between sample recovery and analysis. They may be analyzed up to four weeks after collection.

3.3.2.7 Procedure.

3.3.2.7.1 Calibration. Section 3.5.2 of EPA's Quality Assurance Handbook, Volume III (Reference 4) may be used as a guide for these operations.

3.3.2.7.1.1 Dry Gas Metering System.

3.3.2.7.1.1.1 Initial calibration. Before its initial use in the field, first leak check the metering system (sample line, drying tube, if used, vacuum gauge, needle valve, pump, rate meter, and dry gas meter) as follows: plug the inlet end of the sampling line, pull a vacuum of 250 mm (10 in) Hg, plug off the outlet of the dry gas meter, and turn off the pump. The vacuum should remain stable for 30 seconds. Carefully release the vacuum from the system by slowly removing the plug from the sample line inlet. Remove the sampling line (and drying tube, if applicable), and connect the dry gas metering system to an appropriately sized wet test meter (e.g., 1 liter per revolution). Make three independent calibration runs, using at least five revolutions of the dry gas meter per run. Calculate the calibration factors, Y (wet test meter calibration volume divided by the dry gas meter volume, with both volumes adjusted to the same reference temperature and pressure), for each run, and average the results. If any Y value deviates by more than 2 percent from the average, the metering system is unacceptable for use. Otherwise, use the average as the calibration factor for subsequent test runs.

3.3.2.7.1.1.2 Post-test calibration check. After each field test series, conduct a calibration check as in section 3.3.2.7.1.1.1 above, except for the following variations: (a) The leak check is not to be conducted. (b) three or more revolutions of the dry gas meter may be used. (c) only two independent runs need to be made. If the calibration factor does not deviate by more than 5 percent from the initial calibration factor (determined in section 3.3.2.7.1.1.1), the dry gas meter volumes obtained during the test series are acceptable. If the calibration factor deviates by more than 5 percent, recalibrate the metering system as section 3.3.2.7.1.1.1, and for the calculations, use the calibration factor (initial or recalibration) that yields the lower gas volume for each test run.

3.3.2.7.1.2 Thermometer(s). Prior to each field test, calibrate against mercury-in-glass thermometers at ambient temperature. If the thermometer being calibrated reads within 2°C (2.6°F) of the mercury-in-glass thermometer, it is acceptable. If not, adjust the thermometer or use an appropriate correction factor.

3.3.2.7.1.3 Rate meter. The rate meter need not be calibrated, but should be cleaned and maintained according to the manufacturer's instructions.

3.3.2.7.1.4 Barometer Prior to each field test, calibrate against a mercury barometer. The field barometer should agree within 0.1 in. Hg with the mercury barometer. If it does not, the field barometer should be adjusted.

3.3.2.7.2 Sampling.

3.3.2.7.2.1 Preparation of collection train. Prepare the sampling train as follows: The first or knockout impinger should have a shortened stem and be left empty to condense moisture in the gas stream. The next two nidget impingers should each be filled with 15 ml of 0.1 N H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, and the fourth and fifth impingers should each be filled with 15 ml of 0.1 N NaOH. Place a fresh charge of silica gel, or equivalent, in the Mae West Impinger (or the drying tube). Connect the impingers in series with the Knockout Impinger first, followed by the two impingers containing the acidified reagent, the two impingers containing the alkaline reagent, and
the Mae West impinger containing the silica gel. If the moisture will be determined, weigh the impinger assembly to the nearest ± 0.1 g and record the weight.

3.3.2.7.2.2 Leak check procedures. Leak check the probe and three-way stopcock prior to inserting the probe into the stack. Connect the stopcock to the outlet of the probe, and connect the sample line to the needle valve. Plug the probe inlet, turn on the sample pump, and pull a vacuum of at least 250 mm Hg (10 in. Hg). Turn off the needle valve, and note the vacuum gauge reading. The vacuum should remain stable for at least 30 seconds. Place the probe in the stack at the sampling location, and adjust the filter heating system to 250°F and the probe and stopcock heating systems to a temperature sufficient to prevent water condensation. Connect the first impinger to the stopcock, and connect the sample line to the last impinger and the needle valve. Upon completion of a sampling run, remove the probe from the stack and leak check as described above. If a leak has occurred, the sampling run must be voided. Alternatively, the portion of the train behind the probe may be leak checked between multiple runs at the same site as follows: Close the stopcock to the first impinger (see Figure 3.3-3A), and turn on the sample pump. Pull a vacuum of at least 250 mm Hg (10 in. Hg), turn off the needle valve, and note the vacuum gauge reading. The vacuum should remain stable for at least 30 seconds. Release the vacuum on the impinger train by turning the stopcock to the vent position to permit ambient air to enter (see Figure 3.3-3B). If this procedure is used, the full train leak check described above must be conducted following the final run and all preceding sampling runs voided if a leak has occurred.
Figure 3.3-3 Midget Impinger HCl/Cl₂ Sampling Train
1.3.2.7.2.1 Purge procedure. Immediately prior to sampling, connect the purge line to the stopcock and turn the stopcock to permit the purge pump to purge the probe (see Figure 3.3-3C). Turn on the purge pump, and adjust the purge rate to 2 liters/min. Purge for at least 5 minutes prior to sampling.

1.3.2.7.2.4 Sample collection. Turn on sample pump, pull a slight vacuum of approximately 25 mm Hg (1 in. Hg) on the impinger train, and turn the stopcock to permit stack gas to be pulled through the impinger train (see Figure 3.3-3C). Adjust the sampling rate to 2 liters/min, as indicated by the rate meter, and maintain this rate within 10 percent during the entire sampling run. Take readings of the dry gas meter, the dry gas meter temperature, rate meter, and vacuum gauge at least once every five minutes during the run. A sampling time of one hour is recommended. However, if the expected condensate catch for this sampling run duration will exceed the capacity of the sampling train, (1) a larger knockout impinger may be used or (2) two sequential half-hour runs may be conducted. At the conclusion of the sampling run, remove the train from the stack, cool, and perform a leak check as described in section 3.3.2.7.2.

1.3.2.7.3 Sample recovery. Following sampling, disconnect the impinger train from the remaining sampling equipment at the inlet to the knockout impinger and the outlet to the last impinger. If performing a moisture determination, wipe off any moisture on the outside of the train and any excess silicone grease at the inlet and outlet openings; weigh the train to the nearest 0.5 g and record this weight. Then disconnect the impingers from each other. Quantitatively transfer the contents of the first three impingers (the knockout impinger and the two 0.1 N H₂SO₄ impingers) to a leak-free storage bottle. Add the water rinses of each of these impingers and connecting glassware from the second set of impingers (containing the 0.1 N NaOH) should be recovered in a similar manner if a Cl₂ analysis is desired. The sample bottle should be marked so that if any sample is lost during transport, a correction proportional to the lost volume can be applied. Save portions of the 0.1 N H₂SO₄ and 0.1 N NaOH used as impinger reagents as reagent blanks. Take 50 ml of each and place in separate leak-free storage bottles. Label and mark the fluid levels as previously described.

1.3.2.7.4 Calculations. Retain at least one extra decimal figure beyond those contained in the available data in intermediate calculations, and round off only the final answer appropriately.

3.3.2.7.4.1 Nomenclature.

\[ R_w \] = Water vapor in the gas stream, proportion by volume.

\[ M_w \] = Molecular weight of water, 18.0 g/mol (18.0 lb/1b-mole).

\[ P_{bar} \] = Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).

\[ K \] = Ideal gas constant, 0.082057 L·atm/mol·K.

\[ T \] = Average dry gas meter absolute temperature, °K (°R).

\[ T_{std} \] = Standard absolute temperature, 293 °K (528 °R).

\[ V_{tc} \] = Total volume of liquid collected in impingers and silica gel, ml (equivalent to the difference in weight of the impinger train before and after sampling, 1 mg = 1 ml).

\[ V_p \] = Dry gas volume measured by the dry gas meter, dacm (dcf).

\[ V_{w(std)} \] = Dry gas volume measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions, dacm (dacf).

\[ V_{w(std)} \] = Volume of water vapor in the gas sample, corrected to standard conditions, dacm (dacf).

\[ Y \] = Dry gas meter calibration factor.

\[ E_w \] = Density of water, 0.9982 g/ml (0.00220 lb/ml).

3.3.2.7.4.2 Sample volume, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions. Calculate as described below:

\[ V_{m(std)} = V_{m} \left( \frac{T_{std}}{T_C} \right) \left( \frac{P_{bar}}{P_{std}} \right) \]

\[ V_{m} = \frac{V_{w} P_{bar}}{K_{Y} Y} \]

where:

\[ K_{Y} = 0.082057 \text{ L·atm/mol·K for metric units.} \]

\[ K_{Y} = 0.181437 \text{ L·atm/mol·R for English units.} \]

3.3.2.7.4.3 Volume of water vapor.
3.3.2.7 Quality Control.

3.3.2.7.1 At the present time, a validated audit material does not exist for this method. Analytical quality control procedures are detailed in Method 9057.

3.3.2.9 Method Performance.

3.3.2.9.1 The in-stack detection limit for the method is approximately 0.08 µg of HCl per liter of stack gas for a 1-hour sample.

3.3.2.9.2 The precision and bias for measurement of HCl using this sampling protocol combined with the analytical protocol of method 9057 have been determined. The within laboratory relative standard deviation is 6.2 percent and 3.2 percent at HCl concentrations of 1.9 and 15.3 ppm, respectively. The method does not exhibit any bias for HCl when sampling at Cl₂ concentrations less than 50 ppm.
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3.3.3 Protocol for Analysis of Samples from HCl/Cl₂ Emission Sampling Train (Method 9057)

3.3.3.1 Scope and Application.

3.3.3.1.1 This method describes the analytical protocol for determination of hydrogen chloride (HCl, CAS Registry Number 7647-01-0) and chloride (Cl⁻, CAS Registry Number 7782-50-5) in stack gas emission samples collected from hazardous waste and municipal waste incinerators using the midget impinger HCl/Cl₂ sampling train (method 0051) or the isokinetic HCl/Cl₂ sampling train (method 0050).

3.3.3.1.2 The lower detection limit is 0.1 µg of chloride (Cl⁻) per ml of sample solution. Samples with concentrations which exceed the linear range of the analytical instrumentation may be diluted.
3.3.3.1 This method is recommended for use only by analysts experienced in the use of ion chromatography and in the interpretation of ion chromatograms.

3.3.3.2 Summary of Method.

3.3.3.2.1 The stoichiometry of HCl and Cl₂ collection in the sampling train (see methods 0050 and 0051) is as follows: In the acidified water absorbing solution, the HCl gas is solubilized and forms chloride ions (Cl⁻) according to the following formula:

\[
\text{HCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{Cl}^-
\]

The Cl₂ gas present in the emissions has a very low solubility in acidified water and passes through to the alkaline absorbing solution where it undergoes hydrolysis to form a proton (H³⁺), Cl⁻, and hypochlorous acid (HClO) as shown:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Cl}_2 = \text{H}^+ + \text{Cl}^- + \text{HClO}
\]

Non-suppressed or suppressed ion chromatography (IC) is used for analysis of the Cl⁻.

3.3.3.3 Interferences.

3.3.3.3.1 Volatile materials which produce chloride ions upon dissolution during sampling are obvious interferences in the measurement of HCl. One likely interferent is diatomic chlorine (Cl₂) gas which disproportionate to HCl and hypochlorous acid (HClO) upon dissolution in water. Cl₂ gas exhibits a low solubility in water, however, and the use of acidic rather than neutral or basic solutions for collection of hydrogen chloride gas greatly reduces the dissolution of any chlorine present. Sampling a 400 ppm HCl gas stream containing 50 ppm Cl₂ with this method does not cause a significant bias. Sampling a 220 ppm HCl gas stream containing 180 ppm Cl₂ results in a positive bias of 1.4 percent in the HCl measurement. Other interferants have not been encountered.

3.3.3.3.2 Reducing agents such as SO₂ may cause a positive bias in the Cl₂ measurement by the following reaction:

\[
\text{HClO} + \text{HSO}_3^- = \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{Cl}^-
\]

3.3.3.4 Apparatus and Materials.

3.3.3.4.1 Volumetric Flasks. Class A, various sizes.

3.3.3.4.2 Volumetric Pipettes. Class A, assortment, to dilute samples to calibration range of the IC.

3.3.3.4.3 Ion Chromatograph. Suppressed or non-suppressed, with a conductivity detector and electronic integrator operating in the peak area mode. Other detectors, a strip chart recorder, and peak heights may be used provided the 5 percent repeatability criteria for sample analysis and the linearity criteria for the calibration curve can be met.

3.3.3.5 Reagents.

3.3.3.5.1 Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

3.3.3.5.2 ASTM Type II Water (ASTM D1193-77 (1983)). All references to water in the method refer to ASTM Type II unless otherwise specified.

3.3.3.5.3 Sulfuric acid (0.1 N), H₂SO₄. To prepare 100 ml, slowly add 0.20 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ to about 90 ml of water while stirring, and adjust the final volume to 100 ml using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution.

3.3.3.5.4 Sodium hydroxide (0.1 N), NaOH. To prepare 100 ml, dissolve 0.40 g of solid NaOH in about 90 ml of water and adjust the final volume to 100 ml using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution.

3.3.3.5.5 Reagent blank solutions. A separate blank solution of each sampling train reagent used and collected in the field (0.1 N H₂SO₄ and 0.1 N NaOH) should be prepared for analysis with the field samples. For mist-chip impinger train sample analysis, dilute 50 ml of each reagent with rinse water collected in the field as
a blank to the final volume of the samples; for isokinetic train sample analysis, dilute 200 ml to the same final volume as the field samples also using the blank sample of rinse water.

3.3.3.5.6 Sodium chloride, NaCl, stock standard solution. Solutions containing a nominal certified concentration of 1000 mg/L NaCl are commercially available as convenient stock solutions from which working standards can be made by appropriate volumetric dilution. Alternately, concentrated stock solutions may be produced from reagent grade NaCl that has been dried at 110 °C for two or more hours and then cooled to room temperature in a desiccator immediately before weighing. Accurately weigh 1.6 to 1.7 g of the dried NaCl to within 0.1 mg, dissolve in water, and dilute to 1 liter. The exact Cl⁻ concentration can be calculated using the equation:

\[ \mu g \text{Cl}^{-}/ml = g \text{ of NaCl} \times 10^3 \times 35.453/58.44 \]

Refrigerate the stock standard solutions and store no longer than one month.

3.3.3.5.7 Chromatographic eluent. Effective eluents for non-suppressed ion chromatography using a resin- or silica-based weak ion exchange column are a 4 mM potassium hydrogen phthalate solution, adjusted to a pH of 4.0 using a saturated sodium borate solution, and a 4 mM sodium bicarbonate solution, adjusted to a pH of 8.6 using 1 M sodium hydroxide. An effective eluent for suppressed ion chromatography is a solution containing 3 mM sodium bicarbonate and 2.4 mM sodium carbonate. Other dilute solutions buffered to a similar pH that contain no ions interfering with the chromatographic analysis may be used. If, using suppressed ion chromatography, the "water dip" resulting from sample injection is interfering with the chlorine peak, use a 2 mM sodium hydroxide/2.4 mM sodium bicarbonate eluent.

3.3.3.6 Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling.

3.3.3.6.1 Sample collection using the midget impinger HCl/Cl₂ train or the isokinetic HCl/Cl₂ train is described in Method 0051 or 0050, respectively.

3.3.3.6.2 Samples should be stored in clearly labeled, tightly sealed containers between sample recovery and analysis. They may be analyzed up to four weeks after collection.

3.3.3.7 Procedure.

3.3.3.7.1 Sample preparation for analysis. Check the liquid level in each sample, and determine if any sample was lost during shipment. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, the volume can be determined from the difference between the initial and final solution levels, and this value can be used to correct the analytical results. For midget impinger train samples, quantitatively transfer each sample solution to a 100 ml volumetric flask and dilute to 100 ml with water. For isokinetic sampling train samples, quantitatively transfer each sample to a volumetric flask or graduated cylinder and dilute with water to a final volume appropriate for all samples.

3.3.3.7.2 Calibration of Ion Chromatograph.

3.3.3.7.2.1 The ion chromatographic conditions will depend on the type of analytical column used and whether suppressed or non-suppressed ion chromatography is used. Prior to calibration and sample analysis, establish a stable baseline. Next, inject a sample of water, and determine if any Cl⁻ appears in the chromatogram. If Cl⁻ is present, repeat the load/injection procedure until no Cl⁻ is present.

3.3.3.7.2.2 To prepare the calibration standards, dilute given amounts (1.0 ml or greater) of the stock standard solution to convenient volumes, using 0.1 H₂SO₄ or 0.1 NaOH as appropriate. Prepare at least four standards that are within the linear range of the field samples. Inject the calibration standards, starting with the lowest concentration standard first, both before and after injection of the quality control check sample, reagent blank, and field samples. This allows compensation for any instrument drift occurring during sample analysis.

3.3.3.7.2.3 Determine the peak areas, or heights, of the standards and plot individual values versus Cl⁻ concentrations in µg/ml. Draw a smooth curve through the points. Use linear regression to calculate a formula describing the resulting linear curve.

3.3.3.7.3 Sample analysis. Between injections of the series of calibration standards, inject in duplicate the reagent blanks and the field samples, including a matrix spike sample. Measure the areas or heights (same as done for the calibration standards) of the Cl⁻ peaks. Use the average response to determine the concentrations of the field samples, matrix spike, and reagent blanks using the linear calibration curve. The results for a reagent blank should not exceed 10 percent of the corresponding value for a field sample.
3.3.3.7.4 Calculations. Retain at least one extra decimal figure beyond those contained in the available data in intermediate calculations, and round off only the final answer appropriately.

3.3.3.7.4.1 Total µg HCl per sample. Calculate as described below:

\[ M_{HCl} = (S - B) \times V_s \times \frac{36.46}{35.45} \]  

where:

- \( S \): Analysis of sample, µg Cl\(^-\)/ml,
- \( B \): Analysis of reagent blank, µg Cl\(^-\)/ml,
- \( V_s \): Volume of filtered and diluted sample, ml,
- 36.46: Molecular weight of HCl, µg/µg-mole,
- 35.45: Atomic weight of Cl\(^-\), µg/µg-mole.

3.3.3.7.4.2 Total µg Cl\(_2\) per sample. Calculate as described below:

\[ M_{Cl_2} = (S - B) \times V_s \times \frac{70.91}{35.45} \]  

where:

- \( M_{Cl_2} \): Mass of Cl\(_2\) in sample, µg,
- 70.91: Molecular weight of Cl\(_2\), µg/µg-mole,
- 35.45: Atomic weight of Cl\(^-\), µg/µg-mole.

3.3.3.7.4.3 Concentration of HCl in the flue gas. Calculate as described below:

\[ C = \frac{K \times m}{V_{m(\text{std})}} \]  

where:

- \( C \): Concentration of HCl or Cl\(_2\), dry basis, mg/dscm,
- \( K \): 10\(^{-3}\) mg/µg,
- \( m \): Mass of HCl or Cl\(_2\) in sample, µg,
- \( V_{m(\text{std})} \): Dry gas volume measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions, dscm (from Method 0050 or Method 0051).

3.3.3.8 Quality Control.

3.3.3.8.1 At the present time, a validated audit material does not exist for this method. However, it is strongly recommended that a quality control check sample and a matrix spike sample be used.

3.3.3.8.1.1 Quality control check sample. Chloride solutions of reliably known concentrations are available for purchase from the National Bureau of Standards (SRM 3182). The QC check sample should be prepared in the appropriate absorbing reagent at a concentration approximately equal to the mid range calibration standard. The quality control check sample should be injected in duplicate immediately after the calibration standards have been injected for the first time. The Cl\(^-\) value obtained for the check sample using the final calibration curve should be within 10 percent of the known value for the check sample.

3.3.3.8.1.2 Matrix spike sample. A portion of at least one field sample should be used to prepare a matrix spike sample. Spike the sample aliquot in the range of the expected concentration. Analyze the matrix spike sample in duplicate along with the field samples. Based on the matrix spike results, determine the recovery for the spiked material. This should be within 10 percent of the known spike value.

3.3.3.9 Method Performance.

3.3.3.9.1 The lower detection limit of the analytical method is 0.1 µg of Cl\(^-\) per ml of sample solution. Samples with concentrations which exceed the linear range of the IC may be diluted.

3.3.3.9.2 The precision and bias for analysis of HCl using this analytical protocol have been measured in combination with the midget impinger HCl/Cl\(_2\) train (method 0051) for sample collection. The within-laboratory relative standard deviation is 5.2 percent and 1.2 percent at HCl concentrations of 1.9 and 15.3 ppm, respectively. The method does not exhibit any bias for HCl when sampling at Cl\(_2\) concentrations less than 50 ppm.
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3.4 Determination of Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans (PCDDs) and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans (PCDFs) From Stationary Sources (Method 23)

3.4.1 Applicability and Principle

3.4.1.1 Applicability. This method is applicable to the determination of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) from stationary sources.

3.4.1.2 Principle. A sample is withdrawn from the gas stream isokinetically and collected in the sample probe, on a glass fiber filter, and on a packed column of absorbent material. The sample cannot be separated into a particle vapor fraction. The PCDDs and PCDFs are extracted from the sample, separated by high resolution gas chromatography, and measured by high resolution mass spectrometry.

3.4.2 Apparatus

3.4.2.1 Sampling. A schematic of the sampling train used in this method is shown in Figure 3.4-1. Sealing greases may not be used in assembling the train. The train is identical to that described in Section 2.1 of Method 5 (40 CFR part 60, appendix A) with the following additions:

3.4.2.1.1 Reagents. Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

3.4.2.1.2 Nozzle. The nozzle shall be made of nickel, nickel-plated stainless steel, quartz, or borosilicate glass.
3.4.2.1.3 Sample Transfer Lines. The sample transfer lines, if needed, shall be heat-traced, heavy walled TFE (1/2 in. OD with 1/8 in. wall) with connecting fittings that are capable of forming leak-free, vacuum-tight connections without using sealing greases. The line shall be as short as possible and must be maintained at 120 °C.

3.4.2.1.4 Filter Support. Teflon or Teflon-coated wire.

3.4.2.1.5 Condenser. Glass, coil type with compatible fittings. A schematic diagram is shown in Figure 3.4-2.

3.4.2.1.6 Water Bath. Thermostatically controlled to maintain the gas temperature exiting the condenser at <20 °C (68 °F).

3.4.2.1.7 Adsorbent Module. Glass container to hold the solid adsorbent. A schematic diagram is shown in Figure 3.4-2. Other physical configurations of the resin trap/condenser assembly are acceptable. The connecting fittings shall form leak-free, vacuum tight seals. No sealant greases shall be used in the sampling train. A coarse glass frit is included to retain the adsorbent.
Figure 3.4-2 Condenser and adsorbent trap
3.4.2.2 Sample Recovery.

3.4.2.2.1 Fitting Caps. Ground glass, Teflon tape, or aluminum foil (Section 3.4.2.2.6) to cap off the sample-exposed sections of the tank.

3.4.2.2.2 Wash Bottles. Teflon, 500-ml.

3.4.2.2.3 Probe-Liner Probe-Nozzle, and Filter-Holder Brushes. Inert bristle brushes with precleaned stainless steel or Teflon handles. The probe brush shall have extensions of stainless steel or Teflon, at least as long as the probe. The brushes shall be properly sized and shaped to brush out the nozzle, probe liner, and transfer line, if used.

3.4.2.2.4 Filter Storage Container. Sealed filter holder, wide-mouth amber glass jar with Teflon-lined cap, or glass petri dish.

3.4.2.2.5 Balance. Triple beam.

3.4.2.2.6 Aluminum Foil. Heavy duty, hexane-rinsed.

3.4.2.2.7 Metal Storage Container. Air-tight container to store silica gel.

3.4.2.2.8 Graduated Cylinder. Glass, 250-ml with 2-ml graduation.

3.4.2.2.9 Glass sample Storage container. Amber glass bottle for sample glassware washes, 500- or 1000-ml, with leak-free Teflon-lined caps.

3.4.2.3 Analysis.

3.4.2.3.1 Sample Container. 125- and 250-ml flint glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps.

3.4.2.3.2 Test Tube. Glass.

3.4.2.3.3 Soxhlet Extraction Apparatus. Capable of holding 43 X 123 mm extraction thimbles.

3.4.2.3.4 Extraction Thimble. Glass, precleaned cellulosic, or glass fiber.

3.4.2.3.5 Pasteur Pipettes. For preparing liquid chromatographic columns.

3.4.2.3.6 Reacti-vials. Amber glass, 2-ml, silanized prior to use.

3.4.2.3.7 Rotary Evaporator. Buchi/Brinkman RF-121 or equivalent.

3.4.2.3.8 Nitrogen Evaporator Concentrator. N-Evap Analytical Evaporator Model III or equivalent.

3.4.2.3.9 Separatory Funnel. Glass, 2-liter.

3.4.2.3.10 Gas Chromatograph. Consisting of the following components:

3.4.2.3.10.1 Oven. Capable of maintaining the separation column at the proper operating temperature ± 1 °C and performing programmed increases in temperature at rates of at least 3 °C/min.

3.4.2.3.10.2 Temperature Gauge. To monitor column, oven, detector, and exhaust temperatures ± 1 °C.

3.4.2.3.10.3 Flow System. Gas metering system to measure sample, fuel, combustion gas, and carrier gas flows.

3.4.2.3.10.4 Capillary Columns. A fused silica column, 60 X 0.25 mm inside diameter (ID), coated with DB-5 and a fused silica column, 30 m X 0.25 mm ID coated with DB-225. Other column systems may be used provided that the user is able to demonstrate, using calibration and performance checks, that the column system is able to meet the specifications of section 3.4.4.1.2.2.

3.4.2.3.11 Mass Spectrometer. Capable of routine operation at a resolution of 1:10000 with a stability of ± 5 ppm.

3.4.2.3.12 Data System. Compatible with the mass spectrometer and capable of monitoring at least five groups of 25 ions.
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3.4.2.3.13 Analytical Balance. To measure within 0.1 mg.

3.4.3 Reagents

3.4.3.1 Sampling.

3.4.3.1.1 Filters. Glass fiber filters, without organic binder, exhibiting at least 99.95 percent efficiency ( <0.05 percent penetration) on 0.3-micron dioctyl phthalate smoke particles. The filter efficiency test shall be conducted in accordance with ASTM Standard Method D 1986-71 (Reapproved 1978) [incorporated by reference—see § 60.17].

3.4.3.1.1.1 Precleaning. All filters shall be cleaned before their initial use. Place a glass extraction thimble, 1 g of silica gel, and a plug of glass wool into a Soxhlet apparatus, charge the apparatus with toluene, and reflux for a minimum of 3 hours. Remove the toluene and discard it, but retain the silica gel. Place no more than 50 filters in the thimble onto the silica gel bed and top with the cleaned glass wool. Charge the Soxhlet with toluene and reflux for 16 hours. After extraction, allow the Soxhlet to cool, remove the toluene extract, and retain it for analysis. Remove the filters and dry them under a clean N2 stream. Store the filters in a glass petri dish sealed with Teflon tape.

3.4.3.1.2 Adsorbent Resin. Amberlite XAD-2 resin, thoroughly cleaned before initial use.

3.4.3.1.2.1 Cleaning Procedure. This procedure may be carried out in a giant Soxhlet extractor. An all-glass filter thimble containing an extra-coarse frit is used for extraction of XAD-2. The frit is recessed 10-15 mm above a crenelated ring at the bottom of the thimble to facilitate drainage. The resin must be carefully retained in the extractor cup with a glass wool plug and a stainless steel ring because it floats on methylene chloride. This process involves sequential extraction in the following order:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Initial rinse: Place resin in a beaker, rinse once with water, and discard. Fill with water a second time, let stand over-night, and discard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Extract with water for 8 hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Extract for 22 hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>Extract for 22 hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene Chloride (fresh)</td>
<td>Extract for 22 hours.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.3.1.2.2 Drying.

3.4.3.1.2.2.1 Drying Column. Pyrex pipe, 10.2 cm ID by 0.6 m long, with suitable retainers.

3.4.3.1.2.2.2 Procedure. The adsorbent must be dried with clean inert gas. Liquid nitrogen from a standard commercial liquid nitrogen cylinder has proven to be a reliable source of large volumes of gas free from organic contaminants. Connect the liquid nitrogen cylinder to the column by a length of cleaned copper tubing, 0.95 cm ID, coiled to pass through a heat source. A convenient heat source is a water-bath heated from a steam line. The final nitrogen temperature should only be warm to the touch and not over 40 °C. Continue flowing nitrogen through the adsorbent until all the residual solvent is removed. The flow rate should be sufficient to gently agitate the particles but not so excessive as to cause the particles to fracture.

3.4.3.1.2.3 Quality Control Check. The adsorbent must be checked for residual methylene chloride as well as PCDDs and PCDFs.

3.4.3.1.2.3.1 Extraction. Weigh a 1.0 g sample of dried resin into a small vial, add 3 ml of toluene, cap the vial, and shake it well.

3.4.3.1.2.3.2 Analysis. Inject a 2-µl sample of the extract into a gas chromatograph operated under the following conditions:

- Column: 6 ft X 1/8 in. stainless steel containing 10 percent OV-101 on 100/120 Supelcoport.
- Carrier Gas: Helium at a rate of 30 ml/min.
Detector: Flame ionization detector operated at a sensitivity of $4 \times 10^{-11}$ A/mV.

Injection Port Temperature: 250 °C.

Detector Temperature: 305 °C.

Oven Temperature: 30 °C for 4 min; programmed to rise at 40 °C/min until it reaches 250 °C; return to 30 °C after 17 minutes.

Compare the results of the analysis to the results from the reference solution. Prepare the reference solution by injecting 2.5 µl of methylene chloride into 100 ml of toluene. This corresponds to 100 µg of methylene chloride per g of adsorbent. The maximum acceptable concentration is 1000 µg/g of adsorbent. If the adsorbent exceeds this level, drying must be continued until the excess methylene chloride is removed.

3.4.3.1.2.3.3 Storage. The adsorbent must be used within 4 weeks of cleaning. After cleaning, it may be stored in a wide mouth amber glass container with a Teflon-lined cap or placed in one of the glass adsorbent modules tightly sealed with glass stoppers. If precleaned adsorbent is purchased in sealed containers, it must be used within 4 weeks after the seal is broken.

3.4.3.1.3 Glass Wool. Cleaned by sequential immersion in three aliquots of methylene chloride, dried in a 110 °C oven, and stored in a methylene chloride-washed glass jar with a Teflon-lined screw cap.

3.4.3.1.4 Water. Deionized distilled and stored in a methylene chloride-washed glass container with a Teflon-lined screw cap.

3.4.3.1.5 Silica Gel. Indicating type, 6 to 16 mesh. If previously used, dry at 175 °C (350 °F) for two hours. New silica gel may be used as received. Alternatively, other types of desiccants (equivalent to better) may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

3.4.3.1.6 Chromic Acid Cleaning Solution. Dissolve 20 g of sodium dichromate in 15 ml of water, and then carefully add 400 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid.

3.4.3.2 Sample Recovery.

3.4.3.2.1 Acetone. Pesticide quality.

3.4.3.2.2 Methylene Chloride. Pesticide quality.

3.4.3.2.3 Toluene. Pesticide quality.

3.4.3.3 Analysis.

3.4.3.3.1 Potassium Hydroxide. ACS grade, 2-percent (weight/volume) in water.

3.4.3.3.2 Sodium Sulfate. Granulated, reagent grade. Purify prior to use by rinsing with methylene chloride and oven drying. Store the cleaned material in a glass container with a Teflon-lined screw cap.

3.4.3.3.3 Sulfuric Acid. Reagent grade.

3.4.3.3.4 Sodium Hydroxide. 1.0 N. Weigh 40 g of sodium hydroxide into a 1-liter volumetric flask.

Dilute to 1 liter with water.

3.4.3.3.5 Hexane. Pesticide grade.

3.4.3.3.6 Methylene Chloride. Pesticide grade.

3.4.3.3.7 Benzene. Pesticide grade.

3.4.3.3.8 Ethyl Acetate.

3.4.3.3.9 Methanol. Pesticide grade.

3.4.3.3.10 Toluene. Pesticide grade.

3.4.3.3.11 Nonane. Pesticide grade.

3.4.3.3.12 Cyclohexane. Pesticide grade.
4.3.3.13 Basic Alumina. Activity grade 1, 100-200 mesh. Prior to use, activate the alumina by heating for 16 hours at 130 °C before use. Store in a desiccator. Pre-activated alumina may be purchased from a supplier and may be used as received.

4.3.3.14 Silica Gel, Bio-Sil A, 100-200 mesh. Prior to use, activate the silica gel by heating for at least 30 minutes at 180 °C. After cooling, rinse the silica gel sequentially with methanol and methylene chloride. Heat the rinsed silica gel at 50 °C for 10 minutes, and then increase the temperature gradually to 180 °C over 25 minutes and maintain it at this temperature for 90 minutes. Cool at room temperature and store in a glass container with a Teflon-lined screw cap.

4.3.3.15 Silica Gel Impregnated with Sulfuric Acid. Combine 100 g of silica gel with 44 g of concentrated sulfuric acid in a screw-capped glass bottle and agitate thoroughly. Disperse the solids with a stirring rod until a uniform mixture is obtained. Store the mixture in a glass container with a Teflon-lined screw cap.

4.3.3.16 Silica Gel Impregnated with Sodium Hydroxide. Combine 39 g of 1 N sodium hydroxide with 100 g of silica gel in a screw-capped glass bottle and agitate thoroughly. Disperse the solids with a stirring rod until a uniform mixture is obtained. Store the mixture in a glass container with a Teflon-lined screw cap.

4.3.3.17 Carbon/Celite. Combine 10.7 g of AX-21 carbon with 124 g of Celite 545 in a 250-ml glass bottle with a Teflon-lined screw cap. Agitate the mixture thoroughly until a uniform mixture is obtained. Store in the glass container.

4.3.3.18 Nitrogen. Ultra high purity.

4.3.3.19 Hydrogen. Ultra high purity.

4.3.3.20 Internal Standard Solution. Prepare a stock standard solution containing the isotopically labeled PCDDs and PCDFs at the concentrations shown in Table 3.4-1 under the heading "Internal Standards" in 10 ml of nonane.

4.3.3.21 Surrogate Standard Solution. Prepare a stock standard solution containing the isotopically labeled PCDDs and PCDFs at the concentrations shown in Table 1 under the heading "Surrogate Standards" in 10 ml of nonane.

4.3.3.22 Recovery Standard Solution. Prepare a stock standard solution containing the isotopically labeled PCDDs and PCDFs at the concentrations shown in Table 1 under the heading "Recovery Standards" in 10 ml of nonane.

Table 3.4-1: Composition of the Sample Fortification and Recovery Standards Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Concentration (pg/µl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal Standards:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C$_{12}$-2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{12}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C$_{12}$-2,3,7,8-TCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{12}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surrogate Standards:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3.4.4 Procedure

3.4.4.1 Sampling. The complexity of this method is such that, in order to obtain reliable results, analysts should be trained and experienced with the analytical procedures.

3.4.4.1.1 Preparation Prior to Analysis.

3.4.4.1.1.1 Cleaning Glassware. All glass components of the train upstream of and including the adsorbent module, shall be cleaned as described in Section 3A of the "Manual of Analytical Methods for the Analysis of Pesticides in Human and Environmental Samples." Special care shall be devoted to the removal of residual silicone grease sealants on ground glass connections of used glassware. Any residue shall be removed by soaking the glassware for several hours in a chromic acid cleaning solution prior to cleaning as described above.

3.4.4.1.1.2 Adsorbent Trap. The traps must be loaded in a clean area to avoid contamination. They may not be loaded in the field. Fill a trap with 20 to 40 g of XAD-2. Follow the XAD-2 with glass wool and tightly cap both ends of the trap. Add 100 μl of the surrogate standard solution (Section 3.4.3.1.21) to each trap.

3.4.4.1.1.3 Sample Train. It is suggested that all components be maintained according to the procedure described in APPT-0576.

3.4.4.1.1.4 Silica Gel. Weigh several 200 to 300 g portions of silica gel in an air-tight container to the nearest 0.5 g. Record the total weight of the silica gel plus container, on each container. As an alternative, the silica gel may be weighed directly in its impinger or sample holder just prior to sampling.

3.4.4.1.1.5 Filter. Check each filter against light for irregularities and flaws or pinhole leaks. Pack the filters flat in a clean glass container.

3.4.4.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as Section 4.1.2 of Method 5.

3.4.4.1.3 Preparation of Collection Train.

3.4.4.1.3.1 During preparation and assembly of the sampling train, keep all train openings where contamination can enter, sealed until just prior to assembly or until sampling is about to begin.

3.4.4.1.3.2 Place approximately 100 ml of water in the second and third impingers, leave the first and fourth impingers empty, and transfer approximately 200 to 300 g of preweighed silica gel from its container to the fifth impinger.

3.4.4.1.3.3 Place the silica gel container in a clean place for later use in the sample recovery. Alternatively, the weight of the silica gel plus impinger may be determined to the nearest 0.5 g and recorded.

3.4.4.1.3.4 Assemble the train as shown in Figure 3.4-1.

3.4.4.1.3.5 Turn on the adsorbent module and condenser coil recirculating pump and begin monitoring the adsorbent module gas entry temperature. Ensure proper sorbent temperature gas entry temperature before proceeding and before sampling is initiated. It is extremely important that the XAD-2 adsorbent resin
temperature never exceed 50 °C because thermal decomposition will occur. During testing, the XAD-2 temperature must not exceed 20 °C for efficient capture of the PCDs and PCDFs.

3.4.4.1.4 Leak-Check Procedure. Same as method 5, section 4.1.4.

3.4.4.1.5 Sample Train Operation. Same as method 5, section 4.1.5.

3.4.4.2 Sample Recovery. Proper cleanup procedure begins as soon as the probe is removed from the stack at the end of the sampling period. Seal the nozzle end of the sampling probe with Teflon tape or aluminum foil.

When the probe can be safely handled, wipe off all external particulate matter near the tip of the probe. Remove the probe from the train and close off both ends with aluminum foil. Seal off the inlet to the train with Teflon tape, a ground glass cap, or aluminum foil.

Transfer the probe and impinger assembly to the cleanup area. This area shall be clean and enclosed so that the chances of losing or contaminating the sample are minimized. Smoking, which could contaminate the sample, shall not be allowed in the cleanup area.

Inspect the train prior to and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions, e.g., broken filters, colored impinger liquid, etc. Treat the samples as follows:

3.4.4.2.1 Container No. 1. Either seal the filter holder or carefully remove the filter from the filter holder and place it in its identified container. Use a pair of cleaned tweezers to handle the filter. If it is necessary to fold the filter, do so such that the particulate cake is inside the fold. Carefully transfer to the container any particulate matter and filter fibers which adhere to the filter holder gasket, by using a dry inert bristle brush and a sharp-edged blade. Seal the container.

3.4.4.2.2 Adsorbent Module. Remove the module from the train, tightly cap both ends, label it, cover with aluminum foil, and store it on ice for transport to the laboratory.

3.4.4.2.3 Container No. 2. Quantitatively recover material deposited in the nozzle, probe transfer lines, the front half of the filter holder, and the cyclone, if used, first, by brushing while rinsing three times each with acetone, and then by rinsing the probe three times with methylene chloride. Collect all the rinses in Container No. 2.

Rinse the back half of the filter holder three times with acetone. Rinse the connecting line between the filter and the condenser three times with acetone. Soak the connecting line with three separate portions of methylene chloride for 5 minutes each. If using a separate condenser and adsorbent trap, rinse the condenser in the same manner as the connecting line. Collect all the rinses in Container No. 2 and mark the level of the liquid on the container.

3.4.4.2.4 Container No. 3. Repeat the methylene chloride-rinsing described in section 3.4.4.2.3 using toluene as the rinse solvent. Collect the rinses in Container No. 3 and mark the level of the liquid on the container.

3.4.4.2.5 Impinger Water. Measure the liquid in the first three impingers to within ± 1 ml by using a graduated cylinder or by weighing it to within ± 0.5 g by using a balance. Record the volume or weight of liquid present. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the effluent gas.

Discard the liquid after measuring and recording the volume or weight.

3.4.4.2.6 Silica Gel. Note the color of the indicating silica gel to determine if it has been completely spent and make a mention of its condition. Transfer the silica gel from the fifth impinger to its original container and seal.

3.4.5 Analysis

All glassware shall be cleaned as described in section 3A of the "Manual of Analytical Methods for the Analysis of Pesticides in Human and Environmental Samples." All samples must be extracted within 30 days of collection and analyzed within 45 days of extraction.

3.4.5.1 Sample Extraction.

3.4.5.1.1 Extraction System. Place an extractable thimble (section 3.4.2.3.4), 1 g of silica gel, and a plug of glass wool into the Soxhlet apparatus, charge the apparatus with toluene, and reflux for a minimum of
3 hours. Remove the toluene and discard it, but retain the silica gel. Remove the extraction thimble from the extraction system and place it in a glass beaker to catch the solvent rinses.

3.4.5.1.2 Container No. 1 (Filter). Transfer the contents of container number 1 directly to the glass thimble of the extraction system and extract them simultaneously with the XAD-2 resin.

3.4.5.1.3 Adsorbent Module. SUSPEND the adsorbent module directly over the extraction thimble in the beaker (see section 3.4.5.1.1). The glass frit of the module should be in the up position. Using a Teflon squeeze bottle containing toluene, flush the XAD-2 into the thimble onto the bed of cleaned silica gel. Thoroughly rinse the glass module catching the rinsings in the beaker containing the thimble. If the resin is wet, effective extraction can be accomplished by loosely packing the resin in the thimble. Add the XAD-2 glass wool plug to the thimble.

3.4.5.1.4 Container No. 2 (Acetone and Methylene Chloride Rinses). Concentrate the sample to a volume of about 1-5 ml using the rotary evaporator apparatus, at a temperature of less than 37 °C. Rinse the sample container three times with small portions of methylene chloride and add these to the concentrated solution and concentrate further to near dryness. This residue contains particulate matter removed in the rinse of the train probe and nozzle. Add the concentrate to the filter and the XAD-2 resin in the Soxhlet apparatus described in section 3.4.5.1.1.

3.4.5.1.5 Extraction. Add 100 ml of the internal standard solution (section 3.4.3.3.20) to the extraction thimble containing the contents of the adsorbent cartridge, the contents of Container No. 1, and the concentrate from section 3.4.5.1.1. Cover the contents of the extraction thimble with the cleaned glass wool plug to prevent the XAD-2 resin from floating into the solvent reservoir of the extractor. Place the thimble in the extractor, and add the toluene contained in the beaker to the solvent reservoir. Pour additional toluene to fill the reservoir approximately 2/3 full. Add Teflon boiling chips and assemble the apparatus. Adjust the heat source to cause the extractor to cycle three times per hour. Extract the sample for 16 hours. After extraction, allow the Soxhlet to cool. Transfer the toluene extract and three 10-ml rinses to the rotary evaporator. Concentrate the extract to approximately 10 ml. At this point the analyst may choose to split the sample in half. If so, split the sample, store one half for future use, and analyze the other according to the procedures in sections 3.4.5.2 and 3.4.5.3. In either case, use a nitrogen evaporative concentrator to reduce the volume of the sample being analyzed to near dryness. Dissolve the residue in 5 ml of hexane.

3.4.5.1.6 Container No. 3 (Toluene Rinses). Add 100 ml of the Internal Standard solution (section 3.4.3.3.20) to the contents of the container. Concentrate the sample to a volume of about 1-5 ml using the rotary evaporator apparatus at a temperature of less than 37 °C. Rinse the sample container three times with small portions of toluene and add these to the concentrated solution and concentrate further to near dryness. Analyze the extract separately according to the procedures in sections 3.4.5.2 and 3.4.5.3, but concentrate the solution in a rotary evaporator apparatus rather than a nitrogen evaporative concentrator.

3.4.5.2 Sample Cleanup and Fractionation.

3.4.5.2.1 Silica Gel Column. Pack one end of a glass column, 20 mm x 230 mm, with glass wool. Add in sequence, 1 g silica gel, 2 g of sodium hydroxide impregnated silica gel, 1 g silica gel, 4 g of acid-modified silica gel, and 1 g of silica gel. Wash the column with 30 ml of hexane and discard it. Add the sample extract, dissolved in 5 ml of hexane to the column with two additional 5-ml rinses. Elute the column with an additional 30 ml of hexane and retain the entire eluate. Concentrate this solution to a volume of about 1 ml using the nitrogen evaporative concentrator (section 3.4.2.8).

3.4.5.2.2 Basic Alumina Column. Shorten a 25-ml disposable Pasteur pipette to about 16 ml. Pack the lower section with glass wool and 12 g of basic alumina. Transfer the concentrated extract from the silica gel column to the top of the basic alumina column and elute the column sequentially with 120 ml of 0.5 percent methylene chloride in hexane followed by 120 ml of 30 percent methylene chloride in hexane. Discard the first 120 ml of eluate. Collect the second 120 ml of eluate and concentrate it to about 0.5 ml using the nitrogen evaporative concentrator.

3.4.5.2.3 AX-21 Carbon/Celite 545 Column. Remove the bottom 0.5 in, from the tip of a 9-ml disposable Pasteur pipette. Insert a glass fiber filter disk in the top of the pipette 2.5 cm from the constriction. Add sufficient carbon/celite mixture to form a 2 cm column. Top with a glass wool plug. In some cases, AX-21 carbon fines may wash through the glass wool plug and enter the sample. This may be prevented by adding a celite plug to the exit end of the column. Rinse the column in sequence with 2 ml of 50 percent benzene in ethyl acetate, 1 ml of 50 percent methylene chloride in cyclohexane, and 2 ml of hexane. Discard these rinses. Transfer the concentrate in 1 ml of hexane from the basic alumina column to the carbon/celite column along with 1 ml of hexane rinses. Elute the column sequentially with 2 ml of 50 percent methylene chloride in hexane and 2 ml of 50 percent benzene in ethyl acetate and discard these eluates. Invert the column and elute it in the reverse direction with 13 ml of toluene. Collect this eluate. Concentrate the eluate in a rotary evaporator at 50 °C to about 1 ml. Transfer the concentrate to a Reacti-vial using a toluene rinse and concentrate to a volume of
200 µl using a stream of N₂. Store extracts at room temperature, shielded from light, until the analysis is performed.

3.4.5.3 Analysis. Analyze the sample with a gas chromatograph coupled to a mass spectrometer (GC/MS) using the instrumental parameters in sections 3.4.5.3.1 and 3.4.5.3.2. Immediately prior to analysis, add a 20-µl aliquot of the Recovery Standard solution from Table 1 to each sample. A 2-µl aliquot of the extract is injected into the GC. Sample extracts are first analyzed using the DB-5 capillary column to determine the concentration of each isomer of PCDDs and PCDFs (tetra- through octa-). If tetra-chlorinated dibenzofurans are detected in this analysis, then analyze another aliquot of the sample in a separate run, using the DB-225 column to measure the 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin isomer. Other column systems may be used, provided that the user is able to demonstrate, using calibration and performance checks, that the column system is able to meet the specifications of Section 3.4.6.1.2.2.

3.4.5.3.1 Gas Chromatograph Operating Conditions.
3.4.5.3.1.1 Injector. Configured for capillary column, splitless, 250 °C.
3.4.5.3.1.2 Carrier Gas. Helium, 1-2 ml/min.
3.4.5.3.1.3 Oven. Initially at 150 °C. Raise by at least 40 °C/min to 190 °C and then at 3 °C/min up to 300 °C.
3.4.5.3.2 High Resolution Mass Spectrometer.
3.4.5.3.2.1 Resolution. 10000 m/e.
3.4.5.3.2.2 Ionization Mode. Electron impact.
3.4.5.3.2.3 Source Temperature 250 °C.
3.4.5.3.2.4 Monitoring Mode. Selected ion monitoring. A list of the various ions to be monitored is summarized in Table 3.4-2.

Table 3.4-2.-Elemental Compositions and Exact Masses of the Ions Monitored by High Resolution Mass Spectrometry for PCDD's and PCDF's

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptor no.</th>
<th>Accurate mass</th>
<th>Ion type</th>
<th>Elemental composition</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[Not used]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>292.9825</td>
<td>LOCK</td>
<td>C₇F₁₁</td>
<td>PFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303.9016</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₄O</td>
<td>TCDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.8987</td>
<td>35Cl</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₃₇O</td>
<td>TCDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.9419</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>1²C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₄O</td>
<td>TCDF (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317.9389</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1²C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₃₇ClO</td>
<td>TCDF (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319.8965</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₀₂</td>
<td>TCDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321.8936</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₂₃₃Cl₁₀₂</td>
<td>TCDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.8847</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₃₄O₂</td>
<td>TCDD (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.9792</td>
<td>QC</td>
<td></td>
<td>C₇F₁₃</td>
<td>PFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.9366</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>1³C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₄O₂</td>
<td>TCDD (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.9339</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1³C₁₂H₄⁺Cl₁₃₇ClO₂</td>
<td>TCDD (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>Pesticides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339.8597</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{20}$</td>
<td>PECDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341.8567</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{435}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{20}$</td>
<td>PECDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351.9000</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>PECDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353.8970</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>PECDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355.8546</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>PECDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357.8516</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>PECDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367.8949</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>PECDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369.8919</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>PECDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375.8364</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377.8208</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375.8178</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381.8639</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.8610</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389.8157</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391.8127</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{335}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392.9780</td>
<td>LOCK</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{9}\text{F}</em>{15}$</td>
<td>PDFK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401.8559</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403.8529</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445.7555</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>OCCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440.9729</td>
<td>QC</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{9}\text{F}</em>{17}$</td>
<td>PDFK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407.7818</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409.7789</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417.8253</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419.8220</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423.7766</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425.7737</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435.8169</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437.8140</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{235}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>HPCTDF (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479.7165</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{315}\text{C}<em>{13}\text{C}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>NCPDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430.9729</td>
<td>LOCK</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{9}\text{F}</em>{17}$</td>
<td>PDFK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441.7428</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{1235}\text{C}</em>{13}\text{C}_{10}$</td>
<td>OCDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443.7399</td>
<td>M + 4</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{1235}\text{C}</em>{13}\text{C}_{10}$</td>
<td>OCDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>457.7377</td>
<td>M + 2</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{1235}\text{C}</em>{13}\text{C}_{10}$</td>
<td>OCDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following nuclidic masses were used: H = 1.007825, O = 15.994915, C = 12.000000, $^{35}\text{Cl}$ = 34.968853,

$^{12}\text{C} = 12.000000, ~^{35}\text{Cl} = 35.965903, ~\text{F} = 18.9984, ~\text{S} = \text{Labeled Standard}, ~\text{QC} = \text{Ion selected for monitoring instrument stability during the GC/MS analysis.}

Table 3.4-1.-Acceptable Ranges for Ion-Abundance Ratios of PCDD's and PCDF's

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Chlorine atoms</th>
<th>Ion type</th>
<th>Theoretical ratio</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M/M + 2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M + 2/M + 2</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$^a$</td>
<td>M + 2/M + 4</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$^b$</td>
<td>M/M + 2</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M + 2/M + 2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M + 2/M + 4</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Used only for $^{13}\text{C}-\text{EtCDF}$

$^b$Used only for $^{13}\text{C}-\text{HpCDF}$

3.4.5.3.2.5 Identification Criteria. The following identification criteria shall be used for the characterization of polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and dibenzo furans.

1. The integrated ion-abundance ratio (M/M + 2 or M + 2/M + 4) shall be within 15 percent of the theoretical value. The acceptable ion-abundance ratio ranges for the identification of chlorine-containing compounds are given in Table 3.

2. The retention time for the analytes must be within 3 seconds of the corresponding $^{13}\text{C}$-labeled internal standard, surrogate or alternate standard.

3. The monitored ions, shown in Table 3.4-2 for a given analyte, shall reach their maximum within 2 seconds of each other.

4. The identification of specific isomers that do not have corresponding $^{13}\text{C}$-labeled standards is done by comparison of the relative retention time (RRT) of the analyte to the nearest internal standard retention time with reference (i.e., within 0.005 RRT units) to the comparable RRTs found in the continuing calibration.

5. The signal to noise ratio for all monitored ions must be greater than 2.5.

6. The confirmation of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF shall satisfy all of the above identification criteria.

7. For the identification of PCDFs, no signal may be found in the corresponding PCDPE channels.
3.4.5.3.2.6 Quantitation. The peak areas for the two ions monitored for each analyte are summed to yield the total response for each analyte. Each internal standard is used to quantitate the indigenous PCDDs or PCDFs in its homologous series. For example, the $^{132}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,4-TCDD is used to calculate the concentrations of all other tetrachlorinated isomers. Recoveries of the tetra- and penta-internal standards are calculated using the $^{132}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,4-TCDD. Recoveries of the hexa- through octa-internal standards are calculated using $^{132}$C$_{12}$-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD. Recoveries of the surrogate standards are calculated using the corresponding homolog from the internal standard.

3.4.6 Calibration

Same as Method 5 with the following additions.

3.4.6.1 GC/MS System.

3.4.6.1.1 Initial Calibration. Calibrate the GC/MS system using the set of five standards shown in Table 3.4-4. The relative standard deviation for the mean response factor from each of the unlabeled analytes (Table 4) and of the internal, surrogate, and alternate standards shall be less than or equal to the values in Table 3.4-5. The signal to noise ratio for the GC signal present in every selected ion current profile shall be greater than or equal to 2.5. The ion abundance ratios shall be within the control limits in Table 3.4-3.

3.4.6.1.2 Daily Performance Check.

3.4.6.1.2.1 Calibration Check. Inject one µl of solution Number 3 from Table 4. Calculate the relative response factor (RRF) for each compound and compare each RRF to the corresponding mean RRF obtained during the initial calibration. The analyzer performance is acceptable if the measured RRFs for the labeled and unlabeled compounds for the daily run are within the limits of the mean values shown in Table 3.4-5. In addition, the ion-abundance ratios shall be within the allowable control limits shown in Table 3.4-3.

3.4.6.1.2.2 Column Separation Check. Inject a solution of a mixture of PCDDs and PCDFs that documents resolution between 2,3,7,8-TCDD and other TCDD isomers. Resolution is defined as a valley between peaks that is less than 25 percent of the lower of the two peaks. Identify and record the retention time windows for each homologous series.

Table 3.4-4.-Composition of the Initial Calibration Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Concentrations (pg/µL)</th>
<th>Solution No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.4-5. Minimum Requirements for Initial and Daily Calibration Response Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Relative Response Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calibration RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDD</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDF</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Internal Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotopic Label</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Daily Calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,7,8-FeCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>OCDD</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,7,8-FeCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surrogate Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotopic Label</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Daily Calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37C14</td>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>2,3,4,7,8-FeCDF</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alternative Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotopic Label</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Daily Calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovery Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotopic Label</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Daily Calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,4-TCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C12</td>
<td>1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Unlabeled Analytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4,5,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDF</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Internal Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-OCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-2,3,7,8-TCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Surrogate Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{37}C_{4}$-2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,4,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}C_{12}$-1,2,3,4,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Perform a similar resolution check on the confirmation column to document the resolution between 2,3,7,8-TCDF and other TCFD isomers.

3.4.6.2 Lock Channels. Set mass spectrometer lock channels as specified in Table 3.4-3. Monitor the quality control check channels specified in Table 3.4-3 to verify instrument stability during the analysis.

3.4.7 Quality Control

3.4.7.1 Sampling Train Collection Efficiency Check. Add 100 μl of the surrogate standards in Table 3.4-1 to the adsorbent cartridge of each train before collecting the field samples.

3.4.7.2 Internal Standard Percent Recoveries. A group of nine carbon-labeled PCDDs and PCDFs representing the tetra- through octachlorinated homologues, is added to every sample prior to extraction. The role of the internal standards is to quantify the native PCDDs and PCDFs present in the sample as well as to determine the overall method efficiency. Recoveries of the internal standards must be between 40 to 100 percent for the tetra- through hexachlorinated compounds while the range is 25 to 130 percent for the higher hepta- and octachlorinated homologues.

3.4.7.3 Surrogate Recoveries. The five surrogate compounds in Table 3.4-4 are added to the resin the adsorbent sampling cartridge before the sample is collected. The surrogate recoveries are measured relative to the internal standards and are a measure of collection efficiency. They are not used to measure native PCDDs and PCDFs. All recoveries shall be between 70 and 130 percent. Poor recoveries for all the surrogates may be an indication of breakthrough in the sampling train. If the recovery of all standards is below 70 percent, the sampling runs must be repeated. As an alternative, the sampling runs do not have to be repeated if the final results are divided by the fraction of surrogate recovery. Poor recoveries of isolated surrogate compounds should not be grounds for rejecting an entire set of samples.

3.4.7.4 Toluene QA Rinse. Report the results of the toluene QA rinse separately from the total sample catch. Do not add it to the total sample.

3.4.8 Quality Assurance

3.4.8.1 Applicability. When the method is used to analyze samples to demonstrate compliance with a source emission regulation, an audit sample must be analyzed, subject to availability.

3.4.8.2 Audit Procedure. Analyze an audit sample with each set of compliance samples. The audit sample contains tetra through octa isomers of PCDD and PCDF. Concurrently, analyze the audit sample and a set of compliance samples in the same manner to evaluate the technique of the analyst and the standards preparation. The same analyst, analytical reagents, and analytical system shall be used both for the compliance samples and the EPA audit sample.

3.4.8.3 Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing: Source Test Audit Coordinator (MD-77B), Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, or by calling the Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) at (919) 541-7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

3.4.8.4 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit sample. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst's name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.

3.4.9 Calculations

Same as method 5, section 6 with the following additions.

3.4.9.1 Nomenclature.
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
Part 266, Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X
Page: 153

\[ A_{ai} = \text{Integrated ion current of the noise at the retention time of the analyte.} \]

\[ A_{ci} = \text{Integrated ion current of the two ions characteristic of the internal standard } i \text{ in the calibration standard.} \]

\[ A_{cij} = \text{Integrated ion current of the two ions characteristic of compound } i \text{ in the } j \text{th calibration standard.} \]

\[ A_{cij}^* = \text{Integrated ion current of the two ions characteristic of the internal standard } i \text{ in the } j \text{th calibration standard.} \]

\[ A_{csi} = \text{Integrated ion current of the two ions characteristic of surrogate compound } i \text{ in the calibration standard.} \]

\[ A_i = \text{Integrated ion current of the two ions characteristic of compound } i \text{ in the sample.} \]

\[ A_i^* = \text{Integrated ion current of the two ions characteristic of the recovery standard.} \]

\[ A_{si} = \text{Integrated ion current of the two ions characteristic of surrogate compound } i \text{ in the sample.} \]

\[ C_i = \text{Concentration of PCDD or PCDF } i \text{ in the sample, pg/M}^3. \]

\[ C_i = \text{Total concentration of PCDDs or PCDFs in the sample, pg/M}^3. \]

\[ m_i = \text{Mass of compound } i \text{ in the calibration standard injected into the analyzer, pg.} \]

\[ m_i^* = \text{Mass of labeled compound } i \text{ in the calibration standard injected into the analyzer, pg.} \]

\[ m_i^* = \text{Mass of internal standard } i \text{ added to the sample, pg.} \]

\[ m_{rs} = \text{Mass of recovery standard in the calibration standard injected into the analyzer, pg.} \]

\[ m_{si} = \text{Mass of surrogate compound } i \text{ in the calibration standard, pg.} \]

\[ RRF_i = \text{Relative response factor.} \]

\[ RRF_{rs} = \text{Recovery standard response factor.} \]

\[ RRF_s = \text{Surrogate compound response factor.} \]

3.4.9.2 Average Relative Response Factor.

\[ RRF_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{A_{cij}^*}{m_i} \frac{A_{cij}^*}{m_i^*} \]

Eq. 23-1

3.4.9.3 Concentration of the PCDDs and PCDFs.

\[ C_i = \frac{m_i^* A_i}{(A_i^* RRF_i V_{std})} \]

Eq. 23-2

3.4.9.4 Recovery Standard Response Factor.

\[ RRF_{rs} = \frac{A_i^* m_{rs}}{m_i^* A_{rs} RRF_{rs} m_i^*} \]

Eq. 23-3

3.4.9.5 Recovery of Internal Standards (R^*).

\[ R^* = \frac{A_i^* m_i A_{rs} RRF_{rs} m_i^*}{A_{rs} m_i^*} \times 100\% \]

Eq. 23-4

3.4.9.6 Surrogate Compound Response Factor.

\[ RRF_s = \frac{A_{ci}^* m_{si}}{A_{ci}^* m_i} \]

Eq. 23-5

3.4.9.7 Recovery of Surrogate Compounds (R_s).

\[ R_s = \frac{(A_{si}^* m_{si} / A_{si}^* RRF_s m_{si})}{A_{si}^* m_i^*} \times 100\% \]

Eq. 23-6
3.4.9.8 Minimum Detectable Limit (MDL).

\[ \text{MDL} = 2.5 \frac{A_{d1}}{A_{c1}} \frac{s_1}{RF_1} \]  

Eq. 23-7

3.4.9.9 Total Concentration of PCDDs and PCDFs in the Sample.

\[ C_T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \]  

Eq. 23-8

3.4.10 Bibliography


3.5 Sampling for Aldehyde and Ketone Emissions from Stationary Sources (Method 0011)

3.5.1 Scope and Application

This method is applicable to the determination of Destruction and Removal Efficiency (DRE) of formaldehyde, CAS Registry number 50-00-0, and possibly other aldehydes and ketones from stationary sources as specified in the regulations. The methodology has been applied specifically to formaldehyde; however, many laboratories have extended the application to other aldehydes and ketones. Compounds derivatized with 2,4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine can be detected as low as 6.4 X 10^-8 lb/ft (1.8 ppbv) in stack gas over a 1 hr sampling period, sampling approximately 45 cu ft.

3.5.2 Summary of Method

3.5.2.1 Gaseous and particulate pollutants are withdrawn isokinetically from an emission source and are collected in aqueous acidic 2,4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine. Formaldehyde present in the emissions reacts with the 2,4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine to form the formaldehyde dinitrophenylhydrazone derivative. The dinitrophenylhydrazone derivative is extracted, solvent-exchanged, concentrated, and then analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography.

3.5.3 Interferences

3.5.3.1 A decomposition product of 2,4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine, 2,4-dinitroaniline, can be an analytical interferent if concentrations are high. 2,4-Dinitroaniline can coelute with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone of formaldehyde under high performance liquid chromatography conditions, which may be used for the analysis. High concentrations of highly-oxygenated compounds, especially acetone, that have the same retention time or nearly the same retention time as the dinitrophenylhydrazone of formaldehyde, and that also absorb at 360 nm, will interfere with the analysis.

Formaldehyde, acetone, and 2,4-dinitroaniline contamination of the aqueous acidic 2,4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine (DNPH) reagent is frequently encountered. The reagent must be prepared within five days of use in the field and must be stored in an uncontaminated environment both before and after sampling in order to minimize blank problems. Some concentration of acetone contamination is unavoidable, because acetone is ubiquitous in laboratory and field operations. However, the acetone contamination must be minimized.

3.5.4 Apparatus and Materials
3.5.4.1 A schematic of the sampling train is shown in Figure 3.5-1. This sampling train configuration is adapted from EPA method 4 procedures. The sampling train consists of the following components: Probe Nozzle, Pitot Tube, Differential Pressure Gauge, Metering System, Barometer, and Gas Density Determination Equipment.

3.5.4.1.1 Probe Nozzle: Quartz or glass with sharp, tapered (30° angle) leading edge. The taper shall be on the outside to preserve a constant inner diameter. The nozzle shall be buttonhook or elbow design. A range of nozzle sizes suitable for isokinetic sampling should be available in increments of 0.15 cm (1/16 in), e.g., 0.32 to 1.27 cm (1/8 to 1/2 in), of larger if higher volume sampling trains are used. Each nozzle shall be calibrated according to the procedures outlined in section 3.5.8.1.

3.5.4.1.2 Probe Liner: Borosilicate glass or quartz shall be used for the probe liner. The tester should not allow the temperature in the probe to exceed 120 ± 14 °C (248 ± 25°F).

3.5.4.1.3 Pitot Tube: The Pitot tube shall be Type S, as described in section 2.1 of EPA method 2, or any other appropriate device. The pitot tube shall be attached to the probe to allow constant monitoring of the stack gas velocity. The impact (high pressure) opening plane of the pitot tube shall be even with or above the nozzle entry plane (see EPA method 2, Figure 26b) during sampling. The Type S pitot tube assembly shall have a known coefficient, determined as outlined in section 4 of EPA method 2.
3.5.4.1.4 Differential Pressure Gauge: The differential pressure gauge shall be an inclined manometer or equivalent device as described in section 2.2 of EPA method 2. One manometer shall be used for velocity-head reading and the other for orifice differential pressure readings.

3.5.4.1.5 Impingers: The sampling train requires a minimum of four impingers, connected as shown in Figure 3.5-1, with ground glass (or equivalent) vacuum-tight fittings. For the first, third, and fourth impingers, use the Greenburg-Smith design, modified by replacing the tip with a 1.3 cm inside diameter (1/2 in) glass tube extending to 1.3 cm (1/2 in) from the bottom of the flask. For the second impinger, use a Greenburg-Smith Impinger with the standard tip. Place a thermometer capable of measuring temperature to within 1 °C (2 °F) at the outlet of the fourth impinger for monitoring purposes.

3.5.4.1.6 Metering System: The necessary components are a vacuum gauge, leak-free pump, thermometers capable of measuring temperature within 3 °C (5.4 °F), dry-gas meter capable of measuring volume to within 1%, and related equipment as shown in Figure 3.5-1. At a minimum, the pump should be capable of 4 cfm free flow, and the dry gas meter should have a recording capacity of 0-999.9 cu ft with a resolution of 0.005 cu ft. Other metering systems may be used which are capable of maintaining sample volumes to within 2%. The metering system may be used in conjunction with a pitot tube to enable checks of isokinetic sampling rates.

3.5.4.1.7 Barometer: The barometer may be mercury, aneroid, or other barometer capable of measuring atmospheric pressure to within 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in Hg). In many cases, the barometric reading may be obtained from a nearby National Weather Service Station, in which case the station value (which is the absolute barometric pressure) is requested and an adjustment for elevation differences between the weather station and sampling point is applied at a rate of minus 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in Hg) per 30 m (100 ft) elevation increase (vice versa for elevation decrease).

3.5.4.1.8 Gas Density Determination Equipment: Temperature sensor and pressure gauge (as described in sections 2.1 and 2.3 of EPA method 2), and gas analyzer, if necessary (as described in EPA method 3). The temperature sensor ideally should be permanently attached to the pitot tube or sampling probe in a fixed configuration such that the tip of the sensor extends beyond the leading edge of the probe sheath and does not touch any metal. Alternatively, the sensor may be attached just prior to use in the field. Note, however, that if the temperature sensor is attached in the field, the sensor must be placed in an interference-free arrangement with respect to the Type S pitot openings (see EPA method 2, Figure 2-7). As a second alternative, if a difference of no more than 1% in the average velocity measurement is to be introduced, the temperature gauge need not be attached to the probe or pitot tube.

3.5.4.2 Sample Recovery.

3.5.4.2.1 Probe Liner: Probe nozzle and brushes: Teflon bristle brushes with stainless steel wire handles are required. The probe brush shall have extensions of stainless steel, Teflon, or inert material at least as long as the probe. The brushes shall be properly sized and shaped to brush out the probe liner, the probe nozzle, and the impingers.

3.5.4.2.2 Wash Bottles: Three wash bottles are required. Teflon or glass wash bottles are recommended; polyethylene wash bottles should not be used because organic contaminants may be extracted by exposure to organic solvents used for sample recovery.

3.5.4.2.3 Graduate Cylinder and/or Balance: A graduated cylinder or balance is required to measure condensed water to the nearest 1 ml or 1 g. Graduated cylinders shall have division not >2 ml. Laboratory balances capable of weighing to ± 0.5 g are required.

3.5.4.2.4 Amber Glass Storage Containers: One-liter wide-mouth amber flint glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps are required to store impinger water samples. The bottles must be sealed with Teflon tape.

3.5.4.2.5 Rubber Policeman and Funnel: A rubber policeman and funnel are required to aid in the transfer of material into and out of containers in the field.

3.5.4.3 Reagent Preparation.

3.5.4.3.1 Bottles/Caps: Amber 1- or 4-L bottles with Teflon-lined caps are required for storing cleaned DNPH solution. Additional 4-L bottles are required to collect waste organic solvents.

3.5.4.3.2 Large Glass Container: At least one large glass (8 to 16 L) is required for mixing the aqueous acidic DNPH solution.

3.5.4.3.3 Stir Plate/Large Stir Bars/Stir Bar Retriever: A magnetic stir plate and large stir bar are required for the mixing of aqueous acidic DNPH solution. A stir bar retriever is needed for removing the stir bar from the large container holding the DNPH solution.
3.5.4.3.4 Buchner Filter/Filter Flask/Filter Paper: A large filter flask (2-4 L) with a buchner filter, appropriate rubber stopper, filter paper, and connecting tubing are required for filtering the aqueous acidic DNPH solution prior to cleaning.

3.5.4.3.5 Separatory Funnel: At least one large separatory funnel (2 L) is required for cleaning the DNPH prior to use.

3.5.4.3.6 Beakers: Beakers (150 ml, 250 ml, and 400 ml) are useful for holding/measuring organic liquids when cleaning the aqueous acidic DNPH solution and for weighing DNPH crystals.

3.5.4.3.7 Funnel: At least one large funnel is needed for pouring the aqueous acidic DNPH into the separator funnel.

3.5.4.3.8 Graduated Cylinders: At least one large graduated cylinder (1 to 2 L) is required for measuring organic-free reagent water and acid when preparing the DNPH solution.

3.5.4.3.9 Top-Loading Balance: A one-place top loading balance is needed for weighing out the DNPH crystals used to prepare the aqueous acidic DNPH solution.

3.5.4.3.10 Spatulas: Spatulas are needed for weighing out DNPH when preparing the aqueous DNPH solution.

3.5.4.4 Crushed Ice: Quantities ranging from 10-50 lb may be necessary during a sampling run, depending upon ambient temperature. Samples which have been taken must be stored and shipped cold; sufficient ice for this purpose must be allowed.

3.5.5 Reagents

3.5.5.1 Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determinations.

3.5.5.2 Organic-free reagent water: All references to water in this method refer to organic-free reagent water, as defined in Chapter One.

3.5.5.3 Silica Gel: Silica gel shall be indicating type, 6-16 mesh. If the silica gel has been used previously, dry at 175°F (79°C) for 2 hours before using. New silica gel may be used as received. Alternatively, other types of desiccants (equivalent or better) may be used.

3.5.5.4 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH), [2,4-(O2N)2C6H3] NENH2: The quantity of water may vary from 10 to 30%.

3.5.5.5.1 The 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine reagent must be prepared in the laboratory within five days of sampling use in the field. Preparation of DNPH can also be done in the field, with consideration of appropriate procedures required for safe handling of solvent in the field. When a container of prepared DNPH reagent is opened in the field, the contents of the opened container should be used within 48 hours. All laboratory glassware must be washed with detergent and water and rinsed with water, methanol, and methylene chloride prior to use.

Note: DNPH crystals or DNPH solution should be handled with plastic gloves at all times with prompt and extensive use of running water in case of skin exposure.

3.5.5.5.2 Preparation of Aqueous Acidic DNPH Derivatizing Reagent: Each batch of DNPH reagent should be prepared and purified within five days of sampling, according to the procedures described below.

Note: Reagent bottles for storage of cleaned DNPH derivatizing solution must be rinsed with acetonitrile and dried before use. Baked glassware is not essential for preparation of DNPH reagent. The glassware must not be rinsed with acetone or an unacceptable concentration of acetone contamination will be introduced. If field preparation of DNPH is performed, caution must be exercised in avoiding acetone contamination.

3.5.5.5.2.1 Place an 8 L container under a fume hood on a magnetic stirrer. Add a large stir bar and fill the container half full of organic-free reagent water. Save the empty bottle from the organic-free reagent water. Start the stirring bar and adjust the stir rate to be as fast as possible. Using a graduated cylinder, measure 1.4 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid. Slowly pour the acid into the stirring water. Fumes may be
generated and the water may become warm. Weigh the DNPH crystals on a one-place balance (see Table 3.5-1 for approximate amounts) and add to the stirring acid solution. Fill the 2-L container to the 1-L mark with organic-free reagent water and stir overnight. If all of the DNPH crystals have dissolved overnight, add additional DNPH and stir for two more hours. Continue the process of adding DNPH with additional stirring until a saturated solution has been formed. Filter the DNPH solution using vacuum filtration. Gravity filtration may be used, but a much longer time is required. Store the filtered solution in an amber bottle at room temperature.

3.5.5.4.2.2 Within five days of proposed use, place about 1.6 L of the DNPH reagent in a 2-L separatory funnel. Add approximately 200 ml of methylene chloride and stopper the funnel. Wrap the stopper of the funnel with paper towels to absorb any leakage. Invert and vent the funnel. Then shake vigorously for 3 minutes. Initially, the funnel should be vented frequently (every 10-15 sec). After the layers have separated, discard the lower (organic) layer.

3.5.5.4.2.3 Extract the DNPH a second time with methylene chloride and finally with cyclohexane. When the cyclohexane layer has separated from the DNPH reagent, the cyclohexane layer will be the top layer in the separatory funnel. Drain the lower layer (the cleaned extract DNPH reagent solution) into an amber bottle that has been rinsed with acetonitrile and allowed to dry.

3.5.5.4.3 Quality Control: Take two aliquots of the extracted DNPH reagent. The size of the aliquots is dependent upon the exact sampling procedure used, but 100 ml is reasonably representative. To ensure that the background in the reagent is acceptable for field use, analyze one aliquot of the reagent according to the procedure of method 8315. Save the other aliquot of aqueous acidic DNPH for use as a method blank when the analysis is performed.

Table 3.5-1.-Approximate Amount of Crystalline DNPH Used To Prepare a Saturated Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of moisture in DNPH</th>
<th>Weight required per 8 L of solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 weight percent</td>
<td>31 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 weight percent</td>
<td>33 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 weight percent</td>
<td>40 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5-2.-Instrument Detection Limits and Reagent Capacity for Formaldehyde Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Detection limit, ppc²</th>
<th>Reagent capacity, ppmv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone/Propionaldehyde</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyraldehyde</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeraldehyde</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovaleraldehyde</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexaldehyde</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzaldehyde</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o- / m- / p-Tolualdehyde</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylbenzaldehyde</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: Oxygenated compounds in addition to formaldehyde are included for comparison with formaldehyde; extension of the methodology to other compounds is possible.
FOOTNOTE: Detection limits are determined in solvent. These values therefore represent the optimum capability of the methodology.

3.5.5.4.4 Shipment to the Field: Tightly cap the bottle containing extracted DNPH reagent using a Teflon-lined cap. Seal the bottle with Teflon tape. After the bottle is labeled, the bottle may be placed in a friction-top can (paint can or equivalent) containing a 1-2 inch layer of granulated charcoal and stored at ambient temperature until use.

3.5.5.4.4.1 If the DNPH reagent has passed the Quality Control criteria, the reagent may be packaged to meet necessary shipping requirements and sent to the sampling area. If the Quality Control criteria are not met, the reagent solution may be re-extracted or the solution may be re-prepared and the extraction sequence repeated.

3.5.5.4.4.2 If the DNPH reagent is not used in the field within five days of extraction, an aliquot may be taken and analyzed as described in method 0011A. If the reagent meets the Quality Control requirements, the reagent may be used. If the reagent does not meet the Quality Control requirements, the reagent must be discarded and new reagent must be prepared and tested.

3.5.5.4.5 Calculation of Acceptable Concentrations of Impurities in DNPH Reagent: The acceptable impurity concentration (AIC, μg/ml) is calculated from the expected analyte concentration in the sample gas (EAC, ppbv), the volume of air that will be sampled at standard conditions (SVOL, L), the formula weight of the analyte (FW, g/mol), and the volume of DNPH reagent that will be used in the impingers (RVOL, ml):

\[
AIC = 0.1 \times \frac{EAC \times SVOL \times FW}{22.4} \times \frac{FW + 180}{FW} \times \left(\frac{1}{RVOL} \times 1000\right)
\]

where:

- 0.1 is the acceptable contaminant concentration,
- 22.4 is a factor relating ppbv to g/L,
- 180 is a factor relating underivatized to derivatized analyte,
- 1,000 is a unit conversion factor.

3.5.5.4.6 Disposal of Excess DNPH Reagent: Excess DNPH reagent may be returned to the laboratory and recycled or treated as aqueous waste for disposal purposes. 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine is a flammable solid when dry, so water should not be evaporated from the solution of the reagent.

3.5.5.5 Field Spike Standard Preparation: To prepare a formaldehyde field spiking standard at 4.01 mg/ml, use a 500 ml syringe to transfer 0.5 ml to 37% by weight of formaldehyde (401 mg/ml) to a 50 ml volumetric flask containing approximately 50 ml of methanol. Dilute to 50 ml with methanol.

3.5.5.6 Hydrochloric Acid, BCL: Reagent grade hydrochloric acid (approximately 12N) is required for acidifying the aqueous DNPH solution.

3.5.5.7 Methylene Chloride, CH₂Cl₂: Methylene chloride (suitable for residue and pesticide analysis, GC/MS, HPLC, GC, Spectrophotometry or equivalent) is required for cleaning the aqueous acidic DNPH solution, rinsing glassware, and recovery of sample trains.

3.5.5.8 Cyclohexane, C₆H₁₂: Cyclohexane (HPLC grade) is required for cleaning the aqueous acidic DNPH solution.

Note: Do not use spectroanalyzed grades of cyclohexane if this sampling methodology is extended to aldehydes and ketones with four or more carbon atoms.

3.5.5.9 Methanol, CH₃OH: Methanol (HPLC grade or equivalent) is required for rinsing glassware.

3.5.5.10 Acetonitrile, CH₃CN: Acetonitrile (HPLC grade or equivalent) is required for rinsing glassware.

3.5.5.11 Formaldehyde, HCHO: Analytical grade or equivalent formaldehyde is required for preparation of standards. If other aldehydes or ketones are used, analytical grade or equivalent is required.

3.5.6 Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling

3.5.6.1 Because of the complexity of this method, field personnel should be trained in and experienced with the test procedures in order to obtain reliable results.
3.5.6.2 Laboratory Preparation:

3.5.6.2.1 All the components shall be maintained and calibrated according to the procedure described in APTD-0576, unless otherwise specified.

3.5.6.2.2 Weigh several 200 to 300 g portions of silica gel in airtight containers to the nearest 0.5 g. Record on each container the total weight of the silica gel plus container. As an alternative to preweighing the silica gel, it may instead be weighed directly in the impinger or sampling holder just prior to train assembly.

3.5.6.3 Preliminary Field Determinations:

3.5.6.3.1 Select the sampling site and the minimum number of sampling point according to EPA method 1 or other relevant criteria. Determine the stack pressure, temperature, and range of velocity heads using EPA method 2. A leak-check of the pitot lines according to EPA method 2, section 3.1, must be performed. Determine the stack gas moisture content using EPA Approximation method 4 or its alternatives to establish estimates of isokinetic sampling-rate settings. Determine the stack gas dry molecular weight, as described in EPA method 2, section 3.6. If integrated EPA method 3 sampling is used for molecular weight determination, the integrated bag sample shall be taken simultaneously with, and for the same total length of time as, the sample run.

3.5.6.3.2 Select a nozzle size based on the range of velocity heads so that is not necessary to change the nozzle size in order to maintain isokinetic sampling rates below 20 ft/min (1.0 cfm). During the run, do not change the nozzle. Ensure that the proper differential pressure gauge is chosen for the range of velocity heads encountered (see section 2.2. of EPA method 2).

3.5.6.3.3 Select a suitable probe liner and probe length so that all traverse points can be sampled. For large stacks, to reduce the length of the probe, consider sampling from opposite sides of the stack.

3.5.6.3.4 A minimum of 45 ft³ of sample volume is required for the determination of the Destruction and Removal Efficiency (DRE) of formaldehyde from incineration systems (45 ft³ is equivalent to one hour of sampling at 0.75 dacf). Additional sample volume shall be collected as necessitated by the capacity of the DNPH reagent and analytical detection limit constraints. To determine the minimum sample volume required, refer to sample calculations in section 10.

3.5.6.3.5 Determine the total length of sampling time needed to obtain the identified minimum volume by comparing the anticipated average sampling rate with the volume requirement. Allocate the same time to all traverse points defined by EPA method 1. To avoid timekeeping errors, the length of time sampled at each traverse point should be an integer or an integer plus 0.5 min.

3.5.6.3.6 In some circumstances (e.g., batch cycles) it may be necessary to sample for shorter times at the traverse points and to obtain smaller gas-volume samples. In these cases, careful documentation must be maintained in order to allow accurate calculation of concentrations.

3.5.6.4 Preparation of Collection Train:

3.5.6.4.1 During preparation and assembly of the sampling train, keep all openings where contamination can occur covered with Teflon film or aluminum foil until just prior to assembly or until sampling is about to begin.

3.5.6.4.2 Place 100 ml of cleaned DNPH solution in each of the first two impingers, and leave the third impinger empty. If additional capacity is necessary for high expected concentrations of formaldehyde in the stack gas, 200 ml of DNPH per impinger may be used or additional impingers may be used for sampling. Transfer approximately 200 to 300 g of preweighed silica gel from its container to the fourth impinger. Care should be taken to ensure that the silica gel is not entrained and carried out from the impinger during sampling. Place the silica gel container in a clean place or later use in the sample recovery. Alternatively, the weight of the silica gel plus impinger may be determined to the nearest 0.5 g and recorded.

3.5.6.4.3 With a glass or quartz liner, install the selected nozzle using a Viton-A O-ring with stack temperatures are ≤260 °C (500 °F) and a woven glass-fiber gasket when temperatures are higher. See APTD-0576 (Rom. 1972) for details. Other connection systems utilizing either 316 stainless steel or Teflon ferrules may be used. Mark the probe with heat-resistant tape or by some other method to denote the proper distance into the stack or duct for each sampling point.

3.5.6.4.4 Assemble the train as shown in Figure 3.5-1. During assembly, do not use any silicone grease on ground-glass joints upstream of the impingers. Use Teflon tape, if required. A very light coating of silicone grease may be used on ground-glass joints downstream of the impingers, but the silicone grease should be limited to the outer portion (see APTD-0576) of the ground-glass joints to minimize silicone grease...
contamination. If necessary, Teflon tape may be used to seal leaks. Connect all temperature sensors to an appropriate potentiometer/display unit. Check all temperature sensors at ambient temperatures.

3.5.6.4.5 Place crushed ice all around the impingers.

3.5.6.4.6 Turn on and set the probe heating system at the desired operating temperature. Allow time for the temperature to stabilize.

3.5.6.5 Leak-Check Procedures:

3.5.6.5.1 Pre-test Leak Check.

3.5.6.5.1.1 After the sampling train has been assembled, turn on and set the probe heating system at the desired operating temperature. Allow time for the temperature to stabilize. If a Viton-A O-ring or other leak-free connection is used in assembling the probe nozzle to the probe liner, leak check the train at the sampling site by plugging the nozzle and pulling a 381 mm Hg (15 in Hg) vacuum.

Note: A lower vacuum may be used, provided that the lower vacuum is not exceeded during the test.

3.5.6.5.1.2 If an asbestos string is used, do not connect the probe to the train during the leak check. Instead, leak-check the train by first attaching a carbon-filled leak check impinger to the inlet and then plugging the Inlet and pulling a 381 mm Hg (15 in Hg) vacuum. (A lower vacuum may be used if this lower vacuum is not exceeded during the test.) Next connect the probe to the train and leak-check at about 25 mm Hg (1 in Hg) vacuum. Alternatively, leak-check the probe with the rest of the sampling train in one step at 381 mm Hg (15 in Hg) vacuum. Leakage rates in excess of (a) 4% of the average sampling rate or (b) $0.0057 \text{m}^3/\text{min (0.02 cfm)},$ are unacceptable.

3.5.6.5.1.3 The following leak check instructions for the sampling train described in ADPT-0576 and APTD-0581 may be helpful. Start the pump with the fine-adjust valve fully open and coarse-adjust valve completely closed. Partially open the coarse-adjust valve and slowly close the fine-adjust valve until the desired vacuum is reached. Do not reverse direction of the fine-adjust valve, as liquid will back up into the train. If the desired vacuum is exceeded, either perform the leak check at this higher vacuum or and the leak check, as shown below, and start over.

3.5.6.5.1.4 When the leak check is completed, first slowly remove the plug from the Inlet to the probe. When the vacuum drops to 127 mm (5 in) Hg or less, immediately close the coarse-adjust valve. Switch off the pumping system and reopen the fine-adjust valve. Do not reopen the fine-adjust valve until the coarse-adjust valve has been closed to prevent the liquid in the impingers from being forced backward in the sampling line and silica gel from being entrained backward into the third impinger.

3.5.6.5.2 Leak Checks During Sampling Run:

3.5.6.5.2.1 If, during the sampling run, a component change (i.e., impinger) becomes necessary, a leak check shall be conducted immediately after the interruption of sampling and before the change is made. The leak check shall be done according to the procedure described in section 3.5.6.5.1, except that is shall be done at a vacuum greater than or equal to the maximum value recorded up to that point in the test. If the leakage rate is found to be no greater than $0.00057 \text{m}^3/\text{min (0.02 cfm) or 4}\%$ of the average sampling rate (whichever is less), the results are acceptable. If a higher leakage rate is obtained, the tester must void the sampling run.

Note: Any correction of the sample volume by calculation reduces the integrity of the pollutant concentration data generated and must be avoided.

3.5.6.5.2.2 Immediately after a component change and before sampling is reinitiated, a leak check similar to a pre-test leak check must also be conducted.

3.5.6.5.3 Post-test Leak Check:

3.5.6.5.3.1 A leak check is mandatory at the conclusion of each sampling run. The leak check shall be done with the same procedures as the pre-test leak check, except that the post-test leak check shall be conducted at a vacuum greater than or equal to the maximum value reached during the sampling run. If the leakage rate is found to be no greater than $0.00057 \text{m}^3/\text{min (0.02 cfm) or 4}\%$ of the average sampling rate (whichever is less), the results are acceptable. If, however, a higher leakage rate is obtained, the tester shall record the leakage rate and void the sampling run.

3.5.6.6 Sampling Train Operation:
3.5.6.6.1 During the sampling run, maintain an isokinetic sampling rate to within 10% of true isokinetic, below 20 L/min (1.0 cfm). Maintain a temperature around the probe of 120 °C (248° ± 25°F).

3.5.6.6.2 For each run, record the data on a data sheet such as the one shown in Figure 3.5-2. Be sure to record the initial dry-gas meter reading. Record the dry-gas meter readings at the beginning and end of each sampling time increment, when changes in flow rates are made, before and after each leak check, and when sampling is halted. Take other readings required by Figure 2 at least once at each sample point during each time increment and additional readings when significant adjustments (20% variation in velocity head readings) necessitate additional adjustments in flow rate. Level and zero the manometer. Because the manometer level and zero may drift due to vibrations and temperature changes, make periodic checks during the traverse.

3.5.6.6.3 Clean the stack access ports prior to the test run to eliminate the change of sampling deposited material. To begin sampling, remove the nozzle cap, verify that the filter and probe heating systems are at the specified temperature, and verify that the pitot tube and probe are properly positioned. Position the nozzle at the first traverse point, with the tip pointing directly into the gas stream. Immediately start the pump and adjust the flow to isokinetic conditions. Nomographs, which aid in the rapid adjustment of the isokinetic sampling rate without excessive computations, are available. These nomographs are designed for use when the Type S pitot tube coefficient is 0.84 ± 0.02 and the stack gas equivalent density (dry molecular weight) is equal to 29 ± 4. APTD-0576 details the procedure for using the nomographs. If the stack gas molecular weight and the pitot tube coefficient are outside the above ranges, do not use the nomographs unless appropriate steps are taken to compensate for the deviations.
### Figure 3.5-2 Field Data Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traverse Point Number</th>
<th>Sampling Time (h) Min.</th>
<th>Vacuum mm Hg (in. Hg)</th>
<th>Stack Temperature (°C °F)</th>
<th>Velocity Head (ft/min) (in H₂O)</th>
<th>Pressure Differential Across Orifice Meter mm (H₂O) (in H₂O)</th>
<th>Gas Sample Volume m³ (ft³)</th>
<th>Gas Sample Temp. at Dry Gas Meter °C (°F)</th>
<th>Filter Holder Temperature °C (°F)</th>
<th>Temperature of Gas Leasing °C (°F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Avg. Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5.6.6.4 When the stack is under significant negative pressure (equivalent to the height of the
impinger stem), take care to close the coarse-adjust valve before inserting the probe into the stack in order
to prevent liquid from backing up through the train. If necessary, the pump may be turned on with the coarse-
adjust valve closed.

3.5.6.6.5 When the probe is in position, block off the openings around the probe and stack access port
to prevent unrepresentative dilution of the gas stream.

3.5.6.6.6 Traverse the stack cross section, as required by EPA Method 1, being careful not to bump the
probe nozzle into the stack walls when sampling near the walls or when removing or inserting the probe through
the access port, in order to minimize the chance of extracting deposited material.

3.5.6.6.7 During the test run, make periodic adjustments to keep the temperature around the probe at
the proper levels. Add more ice and, if necessary, salt, to maintain a temperature of >20 °C (68 °F) at the
silica gel outlet. Also, periodically check the level and zero of the manometer.

3.5.6.6.8 A single train shall be used for the entire sampling run, except in cases where simultaneous
sampling is required in two or more separate ducts or at two or more different locations within the same duct,
or in cases where equipment failure necessitates a change of trains. An additional train or additional trains
may also be used for sampling when the capacity of a single train is exceeded.

3.5.6.6.9 When two or more trains are used, separate analyses of components from each train shall be
performed. If multiple trains have been used because the capacity of a single train would be exceeded, first
impingers from each train may be combined, and second impingers from each train may be combined.

3.5.6.6.10 At the end of the sampling run, turn off the coarse-adjust valve, remove the probe and
nozzle from the stack, turn off the pump, record the final dry gas meter reading, and conduct a post-test leak
check. Also, leak check the pilot lines as described in EPA Method 2. The lines must pass this leak check in
order to validate the velocity-head data.

3.5.6.6.11 Calculate percent isokineticity (see method 2) to determine whether the run was valid or
another test should be made.

3.5.7 Sample Recovery

3.5.7.1 Preparation.

3.5.7.1.1 Proper cleanup procedure begins as soon as the probe is removed from the stack at the end of
the sampling period. Allow the probe to cool. When the probe can be handled safely, wipe off all external
particulate matter near the tip of the probe nozzle and place a cap over the tip to prevent losing or gaining
particulate matter. Do not cap the probe tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling because a vacuum will
be created, drawing liquid from the impingers back through the sampling train.

3.5.7.1.2 Before moving the sampling train to the cleanup site, remove the probe from the sampling
train and cap the open outlet, being careful not to lose any condensate that might be present. Remove the
umbilical cord from the last impinger and cap the impinger. If a flexible line is used, let any condensed water
or liquid drain into the impingers. Cap off any open impinger inlets and outlets. Ground glass stoppers, Teflon
caps or caps of other inert materials may be used to seal all openings.

3.5.7.1.3 Transfer the probe and impinger assembly to an area that is clean and protected from wind so
that the chances of contaminating or losing the sample are minimized.

3.5.7.1.4 Inspect the train before and during disassembly, and note any abnormal conditions.

3.5.7.1.5 Save a portion of all washing solution (methylene chloride, water) used for cleanup as a
blank. Transfer 200 ml of each solution directly from the wash bottle being used and place each in a separate,
prelabeled sample container.

3.5.7.2 Sample Containers.

3.5.7.2.1 Container 1: Probe and Impinger Catches. Using a graduated cylinder, measure to the nearest
ml, and record the volume of the solution in the first three impingers. Alternatively, the solution may be
weighed to the nearest 0.5 g. Include any condensate in the probe in this determination. Transfer the impinger
solution from the graduated cylinder into the amber flint glass bottle. Taking care that dust on the outside of
the probe or other exterior surfaces does not get into the sample, clean all surfaces to which the sample is
exposed (including the probe nozzle, probe fitting, probe liner, first impinger, and impinger connector) with

...
methylene chloride. Use less than 500 ml for the entire wash (250 ml would be better, if possible). Add the washing to the sample container.

3.5.7.2.1.1 Carefully remove the probe nozzle and rinse the inside surface with methylene chloride from a wash bottle. Brush with a Teflon bristle brush, and rinse until the rinse shows no visible particles or yellow color, after which make a final rinse of the inside surface. Brush and rinse the inside parts of the Swagelok fitting with methylene chloride in a similar way.

3.5.7.2.1.2 Rinse the probe liner with methylene chloride. While squirting the methylene chloride into the upper end of the probe, tilt and rotate the probe so that all inside surfaces will be wetted with methylene chloride. Let the methylene chloride drain from the lower end into the sample container. The tester may use a funnel (glass or polyethylene) to aid in transferring the liquid washes to the container. Follow the rinse with a Teflon brush. Hold the probe in an inclined position, and squirt methylene chloride into the upper end as the probe brush is being pushed with a twisting action through the probe. Hold the sample container underneath the lower end of the probe, and catch any methylene chloride, water, and particulate matter that is brushed from the probe. Run the brush through the probe three times or more. With stainless steel or other metal probes, run the brush through in the above prescribed manner at least six times since there may be small crevices in which particulate matter can be entrapped. Rinse the brush with methylene chloride or water, and quantitatively collect these washing in the sample container. After the brushing, make a final rinse of the probe as described above.

Note: Two people should clean the probe in order to minimize sample losses. Between sampling runs, brushes must be kept clean and free from contamination.

3.5.7.2.1.3 Rinse the inside surface of each of the first three impingers (and connecting tubing) three separate times. Use a small portion of methylene chloride for each rinse, and brush each surface to which the sample is exposed with a Teflon bristle brush to ensure recovery of fine particulate matter. Water will be required for the recovery of the impingers in addition to the specified quantity of methylene chloride. There will be at least two phases in the impingers. This two-phase mixture does not pour well, and a significant amount of the impinger catch will be left on the walls. Use of water as a rinse makes the recovery quantitative. Make a final rinse of each surface and of the brush, using both methylene chloride and water.

3.5.7.2.1.4 After all methylene chloride and water washing and particulate matter have been collected in the sample container, tighten the lid so the solvent, water, and DNPH reagent will not leak out when the container is shipped to the laboratory. Mark the height of the fluid level to determine whether leakage occurs during transport. Seal the container with Teflon tape. Label the container clearly to identify its contents.

3.5.7.2.1.5 If the first two impingers are to be analyzed separately to check for breakthrough, separate the contents and rinses of the two impingers into individual containers. Care must be taken to avoid physical carryover from the first impinger to the second. The formaldehyde hydrazone is a solid which floats and froths on top of the impinger solution. Any physical carryover of collected moisture into the second impinger will invalidate a breakthrough assessment.

3.5.7.2.2 Container 2: Sample Blank. Prepare a blank by using an amber flint glass container and adding a volume of DNPH reagent and methylene chloride equal to the total volume in Container 1. Process the blank in the same manner as Container 1.

3.5.7.2.3 Container 3: Silica Gel. Note the color of the indicating silica gel to determine whether it has been completely spent and make a notation of its condition. The impinger containing the silica gel may be used as a sample transport container with both ends sealed with tightly fitting caps or plugs. Ground-glass stoppers or Teflon caps may be used. The silica gel impinger should then be labeled, covered with aluminum foil, and packaged on ice for transport to the laboratory. If the silica gel is removed from the impinger, the tester may use a funnel to pour the silica gel and a rubber policeman to remove the silica gel from the impinger. It is not necessary to remove the small amount of dust particles that may adhere to the impinger wall and are difficult to remove. Since the gain in weight is to be used for moisture calculations, do not use water or other liquids to transfer the silica gel. If a balance is available in the field, the spent silica gel (or silica gel plus impinger) may be weighed to the nearest 0.5 g.

3.5.7.2.4 Sample containers should be placed in a cooler, cooled by (although not in contact with) ice. Sample containers must be placed vertically and, since they are glass, protected from breakage during shipment. Samples should be cooled during shipment so they will be received cold at the laboratory.

3.5.8 Calibration

3.5.8.1 Probe Nozzle: Probe nozzles shall be calibrated before their initial use in the field. Using a micrometer, measure the inside diameter of the nozzle to the nearest 0.025 mm (0.001 in). Make measurements at three separate places across the diameter and obtain the average of the measurements. The difference between
the high and low numbers shall not exceed 0.1 mm (0.004 in). When the nozzles become nicked or corroded, they shall be replaced and calibrated before use. Each nozzle must be permanently and uniquely identified.

3.5.8.2 Pitot Tube: The Type S pitot tube assembly shall be calibrated according to the procedure outlined in Section 4 of EPA Method 2 or assigned a nominal coefficient of 0.84 if it is not visibly nicked or corroded and if it meets design and intercomponent spacing specifications.

3.5.8.3 Metering System.

3.5.8.3.1 Before its initial use in the field, the metering system shall be calibrated according to the procedure outlined in APTO-0576. Instead of physically adjusting the dry-gas meter dial readings to correspond to the wet-test meter readings, calibration factors may be used to correct the gas meter dial readings mathematically to the proper values. Before calibrating the metering system, it is suggested that a leak check be conducted. For metering systems having diaphragm pumps, the normal leak check procedure will not detect leakages with the pump. For these cases, the following leak check procedure will apply: make a ten-minute calibration run at 0.00047 m³/min (0.02 cfs). At the end of the run, take the difference of the measured wettest and dry-gas meter volumes and divide the difference by 10 to get the leak rate. The leak rate should not exceed 0.00057 m³/min (0.02 cfs).

3.5.8.3.2 After each field use, check the calibration of the metering system by performing three calibration runs at a single intermediate orifice setting (based on the previous field test). Set the vacuum at the maximum value reached during the test series. To adjust the vacuum, insert a valve between the wet-test meter and the inlet of the metering system. Calculate the average value of the calibration factor. If the calibration has changed by more than 5%, recalibrate the meter over the full range of orifice settings, as outlined in APTO-0576.

3.5.8.3.3 Leak check of metering system: The portion of the sampling train from the pump to the orifice meter (see Figure 1) should be leak checked prior to initial use and after each shipment. Leakage after the pump will result in less volume being recorded than is actually sampled. Use the following procedure: Close the main valve on the meter box. Insert a one-hole rubber stopper with rubber tubing attached into the orifice exhaust pipe. Disconnect and vent the low side of the orifice manometer. Close off the low side orifice tap. Pressurize the system to 13-18 cm (5-7 in) water column by blowing into the rubber tubing. Pinch off the tubing and observe the manometer for 1 min. A loss of pressure on the manometer indicates a leak in the meter box. Leaks must be corrected.

Note: If the dry-gas-meter coefficient values obtained before and after a test series differ by >5%, either the test series must be voided or calculations for test series must be performed using whichever meter coefficient value (i.e., before or after) gives the lower value of total sample volume.

3.5.8.4 Probe Heater: The probe heating system must be calibrated before its initial use in the field according to the procedure outlined in APTO-0576. Probes constructed according to APTO-0581 need not be calibrated if the calibration curves in APTO-0576 are used.

3.5.8.5 Temperature gauges: Each thermocouple must be permanently and uniquely marked on the casting. All mercury-in-glass reference thermometers must conform to ASTM E-1 63C or 63F specifications. Thermocouples should be calibrated in the laboratory with and without the use of extension leads. If extension leads are used in the field, the thermocouple readings at the ambient air temperatures, with and without the extension lead, must be noted and recorded. Correction is necessary if the use of an extension lead produces a change >1.5%.

3.5.8.5.1 Impinger and dry-gas meter thermocouples: For the thermocouples used to measure the temperature of the gas leaving the impinger train, three-point calibration at ice water, room air, and boiling water temperatures is necessary. Accept the thermocouples only if the readings at all three temperatures agree to ± 2°C (3.6°F) with those of the absolute value of the reference thermometer.

3.5.8.5.2 Probe and stack thermocouple: For the thermocouples used to indicate the probe and stack temperatures, a three-point calibration at ice water, boiling water, and hot oil bath temperatures must be performed. Use of a point at room air temperature is recommended. The thermometer and thermocouple must agree to within 1.5% at each of the calibration points. A calibration curve (equation) may be constructed (calculated) and the data extrapolated to cover the entire temperature range suggested by the manufacturer.

3.5.8.6 Barometer: Adjust the barometer initially and before each test series to agree to within ± 2.5 hPa (0.1 in Hg) of the mercury barometer or the correct barometric pressure value reported by a nearby National Weather Service Station (same altitude above sea level).

3.5.8.7 Triple-beam balance: Calibrate the triple-beam balance before each test series, using Class S standard weights. The weights must be within ± 0.5% of the standards, or the balance must be adjusted to meet these limits.
3.5.9 Calculations

Carry out calculations, retaining at least one extra decimal figure beyond that of the acquired data. Round off figures after final calculations.

3.5.9.1 Calculation of Total Formaldehyde: To determine the total formaldehyde in mg, use the following equation:

\[
\text{Total mg formaldehyde} = C_d \times V \times X \times DF
\]

where:
- \( C_d \) = measured concentration of DNPH-formaldehyde derivative, \( \mu g/mL \).
- \( V \) = organic extract volume ml.
- \( DF \) = dilution factor.

3.5.9.2 Formaldehyde concentration in stack gas.

Determine the formaldehyde concentration in the stack gas using the following equation:

\[
C_r = \frac{K \times \text{total formaldehyde, mg} \times V_m(\text{std})}{V_m(\text{std})}
\]

where:
- \( K \) = 35.31 ft³/m³ if \( V_m(\text{std}) \) is expressed in English units
  = 1.00 m³/m³ if \( V_m(\text{std}) \) is expressed in metric units.
- \( V_m(\text{std}) \) = volume of gas sample a measured by dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions, dm³ (dscf).

3.5.9.3 Average Dry Gas Meter Temperature and Average Orifice Pressure Drop are obtained from the data sheet.

3.5.9.4 Dry Gas Volume: Calculate \( V \times m(\text{std}) \) and adjust for leakage, if necessary, using the equation in section 6.3 of EPA method 5.

3.5.9.5 Volume of Water Vapor and Moisture Content: Calculate the volume of water vapor and moisture content from equations 5-2 and 5-3 of EPA method 5.

3.5.10 Determination of Volume to be Sampled

To determine the minimum sample volume to be collected, use the following sequence of equations.

3.5.10.1 From prior analysis of the waste feed, the concentration of formaldehyde (FORM) introduced into the combustion system can be calculated. The degree of destruction and removal efficiency that is required is used to determine the amount of FORM allowed to be present in the effluent. This amount may be expressed as:

\[
\text{Max FORM Mass} = \left( \frac{WF \times \text{FORM conc} \times (100 - \% \text{DRE})}{100} \right)
\]

where:
- \( WF \) = mass flow rate of waste feed per h, g/h (lb/h).
- \( \text{FORM conc} \) = concentration of FORM (wt %) introduced into the combustion process.
- \( \% \text{DRE} \) = percent Destruction and Removal Efficiency required.
- \( \text{Max FORM} \) = mass flow rate (g/h [lb/h]) of FORM emitted from the combustion sources.

3.5.10.2 The average discharge concentration of the FORM in the effluent gas is determined by comparing the \( \text{Max FORM} \) with the volumetric flow rate being exhausted from the source. Volumetric flow rate data are available as a result of preliminary EPA method 1-4 determinations:

\[
\text{Max FORM conc} = \left( \frac{\text{Max FORM Mass}}{V_{\text{eff}}(\text{std})} \right)
\]

where:
- \( V_{\text{eff}}(\text{std}) \) = effective volumetric flow rate.
3.5.10.3 In making this calculation, it is recommended that a safety margin of at least ten be included.

\[ \text{LDL}_{\text{FORM}} \times 10 / \text{FORM conc} \leq V_{\text{tbc}} \]

where:

\text{LDL}_{\text{FORM}} = \text{detectable amount of FORM in entire sampling train.}

\text{V}_{\text{tbc}} = \text{minimum dry standard volume to be collected at dry-gas meter.}

3.5.10.4 The following analytical detection limits and DNPH Reagent Capacity (based on a total volume of 200 ml in two impingers) must also be considered in determining a volume to be sampled.

3.5.11 Quality Control

3.5.11.1 Sampling: See EPA Manual 600/4-77-02b for Method 5 quality control.

3.5.11.2 Analysis: The quality assurance program required for this method includes the analysis of the field and method blanks, procedure validations, and analysis of field spikes. The assessment of combustion data and positive identification and quantitation of formaldehyde are dependent on the integrity of the samples received and the precision and accuracy of the analytical methodology. Quality assurance procedures for this method are designed to monitor the performance of the analytical methodology and to provide the required information to take corrective action if problems are observed in laboratory operations or in field sampling activities.

3.5.11.2.1 Field Blanks: Field blanks must be submitted with the samples collected at each sampling site. The field blanks include the sample bottles containing aliquots of sample recovery solvents, methylene chloride and water, and unused DNPH reagent. At a minimum, one complete sampling train will be assembled in the field staging area, taken to the sampling area, and leak-checked at the beginning and end of the testing (or for the same total number of times as the actual sampling train). The probe of the blank train must be heated during the sample test. The train will be recovered as if it were an actual test sample. No gaseous sample will be passed through the blank sampling train.

3.5.11.2.2 Method Blanks: A method blank must be prepared for each set of analytical operations, to evaluate contamination and artifacts that can be derived from glassware, reagents, and sample handling in the laboratory.

3.5.11.2.3 Field Spike: A field spike is performed by introducing 200 µL of the Field Spike Standard into an impinger containing 200 ml of DNPH solution. Standard impinger recovery procedures are followed and the spike is used as a check on field handling and recovery procedures. An aliquot of the field spike standard is retained in the laboratory for derivatization and comparative analysis.

3.5.12 Method Performance

3.5.12.1 Method performance evaluation: The expected method performance parameters for precision, accuracy, and detection limits are provided in Table 3.5-3.

Addition of a Filter to the Formaldehyde Sampling Train

As a check on the survival of particulate material through the impinger system, a filter can be added to the impinger train either after the second impinger or after the third impinger. Since the impingers are in an ice bath, there is no reason to heat the filter at this point.

Any suitable medium (e.g., paper, organic membrane) may be used for the filter if the material conforms to the following specifications:

1. the filter has at least 95% collection efficiency (<5% penetration) for 3 µm dioctyl phthalate smoke particles. The filter efficiency test shall be conducted in accordance with ASTM standard method D2986-71. Test data from the supplier's quality control program are sufficient for this purpose.

2. the filter has a low aldehyde blank value (<0.015 mg formaldehyde/cm² of filter area). Before the test series, determine the average formaldehyde blank value of at least three filters (from the lot to be used for sampling) using the applicable analytical procedures.
### Table 3.5-3: Expected Method Performance for Formaldehyde

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Detection limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix: Dual trains</td>
<td>± 15% RPD</td>
<td>± 20%</td>
<td>-1.5 X 10^{-7} lb/ft³ (1.8 ppbv)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: 1Relative percent difference limit for dual trains.

FOOTNOTE: 2Limit for field spike recoveries.

FOOTNOTE: 3The lower reporting limit having less than 1% probability of false positive detection.

Recover the exposed filter into a separate clean container and return the container over ice to the laboratory for analysis. If the filter is being analyzed for formaldehyde, the filter may be recovered into a container or DNPH reagent for shipment back to the laboratory. If the filter is being examined for the presence of particulate material, the filter may be recovered into a clean dry container and returned to the laboratory.

### 3.6 Analysis for Aldehydes and Ketones by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Method 0011A)

#### 3.6.1 Scope and Application

3.6.1.1 Method 0011A covers the determination of free formaldehyde in the aqueous samples and leachates and derived aldehydes/ketones collected by method 0011.

#### Compound name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound name</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>50-00-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>75-07-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: Chemical Abstract Services Registry Number

3.6.1.2 Method 0011A is a high performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) method optimized for the determination of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in aqueous environmental matrices and leachates of solid samples and stack samples collected by method 0011. When this method is used to analyze unfamiliar sample matrices, compound identification should be supported by at least one additional qualitative technique. A gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS) may be used for the qualitative confirmation of results from the target analytes, using the extract produced by this method.

3.6.1.3 The method detection limits (MDL) are listed in Tables 3.6-1 and 3.6-2. The MDL for a specific sample may differ from that listed, depending upon the nature of interferences in the sample matrix and the amount of sample used in the procedure.

3.6.1.4 The extraction procedure for solid samples is similar to that specified in method 1311 (1). Thus, a single sample may be extracted to measure the analytes included in the scope of other appropriate methods. The analyst is allowed the flexibility to select chromatographic conditions appropriate for the simultaneous measurement of contaminations of these analytes.
Table 3.6-1.-High Performance Liquid Chromatography Conditions and Method Detection Limits Using Solid Sorbent Extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Retention time (minutes)</th>
<th>MDL (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPLC conditions: Reverse phase C18 column, 4.6 x 250 mm; isocratic elution using methanol/water (75:25, v/v); flow rates 1.0 mL/min.; detector 360 nm.

FOOTNOTE: *After correction for laboratory blank.

Table 3.6-2.-High Performance Liquid Chromatography Conditions and Method Detection Limits Using Methylene Chloride Extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Retention time (minutes)</th>
<th>MDL (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>171*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPLC conditions: Reverse phase C18 column, 4.6 x 250 mm; isocratic elution using methanol/water (75:25, v/v); flow rates 1.0 mL/min.; detector 360 nm.

FOOTNOTE: *These values include reagent blank concentrations of approximately 13 µg/L formaldehyde and 130 µg/L acetaldehyde.

3.6.1.5 This method is restricted to use by, or under the supervision of analysts experienced in the use of chromatography and in the interpretation of chromatograms. Each analyst must demonstrate the ability to generate acceptable results with this method.

3.6.1.6 The toxicity or carcinogenicity of each reagent used in this method has not been precisely defined; however, each chemical compound should be treated as a potential health hazard. From this viewpoint, exposure to these chemicals must be reduced to the lowest possible level by whatever means available. The laboratory is responsible for maintaining a current awareness file of OSHA regulations regarding the safe handling of the chemicals specified in this method. A reference file of material safety data sheets should also be made available to all personnel involved in the chemical analysis. Additional references to laboratory safety are available.

3.6.1.7 Formaldehyde has been tentatively classified as a known or suspected, human or mammalian carcinogen.

3.6.2 Summary of Method

3.6.2.1 Environmental Liquids and Solid Leachates.

3.6.2.1.1 For wastes comprised of solids or for aqueous wastes containing significant amounts of solid material, the aqueous phase, if any, is separated from the solid phase and stored for later analysis. If necessary, the particle size of the solids in the waste is reduced. The solid phase is extracted with an amount of extraction fluid equal to 20 times the weight of the solid phase of the waste. A special extractor vessel is used when testing for volatiles. Following extraction, the aqueous extract is separated from the solid phase by filtration employing 0.6 to 0.8 µm glass fiber filters.
3.6.2.1.2 If compatible (i.e., multiple phases will not form on combination), the initial aqueous phase of the waste is added to the aqueous extract, and these liquids are analyzed together. If incompatible, the liquids are analyzed separately and the results are mathematically combined to yield a volume weighted average concentration.

3.6.2.1.3 A measured volume of aqueous sample or an appropriate amount of solids leachate is buffered to pH 5 and derivatized with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH), using either the solid sorbent or the methylene derivatization/extraction option. If the solid sorbent option is used, the derivative is extracted using solid sorbent cartridges, followed by elution with ethanol. If the methylene chloride option is used, the derivative is extracted with methylene chloride. The methylene chloride extracts are concentrated using the Kuderna-Danish (K-D) procedure and solvent exchanged into methanol prior to HPLC analysis. Liquid chromatographic conditions are described which permit the separation and measurement of formaldehyde in the extract by absorbance detection at 360 nm.

3.6.2.2 Stack Gas Samples Collected by Method 0011.

3.6.2.2.1 The entire sample returned to the laboratory is extracted with methylene chloride and the methylene chloride extract is brought up to a known volume. An aliquot of the methylene chloride extract is solvent exchanged and concentrated or diluted as necessary.

3.6.2.2.2 Liquid chromatographic conditions are described that permit the separation and measurement of formaldehyde in the extract by absorbance detection at 360 nm.

3.6.3 Interferences

3.6.3.1 Method interferences may be caused by contaminants in solvents, reagents, glassware, and other sample processing hardware that lead to discrete artifacts and/or elevated baselines in the chromatograms. All of these materials must be routinely demonstrated to be free from interferences under the conditions of the analysis by analyzing laboratory reagent blanks.

3.6.3.1.1 Glassware must be scrupulously cleaned. Clean all glassware as soon as possible after use by rinsing with the last solvent used. This should be followed by detergent washing with hot water, and rinses with tap water and distilled water. It should then be drained, dried, and heated in a laboratory oven at 130°C for several hours before use. Solvent rinses with methanol may be substituted for the oven heating. After drying and cooling, glassware should be stored in a clean environment to prevent any accumulation of dust or other contaminants.

3.6.3.1.2 The use of high purity reagents and solvents helps to minimize interference problems. Purification of solvents by distillation in all-glass systems may be required.

3.6.3.2 Analysis for formaldehyde is especially complicated by its ubiquitous occurrence in the environment.

3.6.3.3 Matrix interferences may be caused by contaminants that are coextracted from the sample. The extent of matrix interferences will vary considerably from source to source, depending upon the nature and diversity of the matrix being sampled. No interferences have been observed in the matrices studied as a result of using solid sorbent extraction as opposed to liquid extraction. If interferences occur in subsequent samples, some additional cleanup may be necessary.

3.6.3.4 The extent of interferences that may be encountered using liquid chromatographic techniques has not been fully assessed. Although the HPLC conditions described allow for a resolution of the specific compounds covered by this method, other matrix components may interfere.

3.6.4 Apparatus and Materials

3.6.4.1 Reaction vessel—250 ml Florence flask.

3.6.4.2 Separatory funnel—205 ml, with Teflon stopcock.

3.6.4.3 Kuderna-Danish (K-D) apparatus.

3.6.4.3.1 Concentrator tube—10 ml graduated (Kontes K-570050-1025 or equivalent). A ground glass stopper is used to prevent evaporation of extracts.

3.6.4.3.2 Evaporation flask—500 ml (Kontes K-570001-500 or equivalent). Attach to concentrator tube with springs, clamps, or equivalent.
3.6.4.3 Snyder column—Three ball macro (Kontes K-503000-0121 or equivalent).
3.6.4.4 Snyder column—Two ball macro (Kontes K-569001-0219 or equivalent).
3.6.4.5 Springs-1/2 inch (Kontes K-662750 or equivalent).
3.6.4.6 Vials—10, 25 ml, glass with Teflon lined screw caps or crimp tops.
3.6.4.7 Boiling chips—Solvent extracted with methylene chloride, approximately 10/40 mesh (silicon carbide or equivalent).
3.6.4.8 High performance liquid chromatograph (modular).
3.6.4.9 Pumping system—Isocratic, with constant flow control capable of 1.00 ml/min.
3.6.4.10 High pressure injection valve with 20 µL loop.
3.6.4.11 Column—250 mm X 4.6 mm ID, 5 µm particle size, C18 (or equivalent).
3.6.4.12 Sample reservoir—60 ml capacity (Supelco or equivalent).
3.6.4.13 Pipet—Capable of accurately delivering 0.10 ml solution (Pipetman or equivalent).
3.6.4.14 Water bath—Heated, with concentric ring cover, capable of temperature control ((±) 2 °C). The bath should be used under a hood.
3.6.4.15 Volumetric Flasks—250 or 500 ml.

3.6.5 Reagents
3.6.5.1 Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.
3.6.5.2 Organic-free water—All references to water in this method refer to organic-free reagent water, as defined in chapter I SW-846.
3.6.5.3 Methylene chloride, CH₂Cl₂-HPLC grade or equivalent.
3.6.5.4 Methanol, CH₃OH-HPLC grade or equivalent.
3.6.5.5 Ethanol (absolute), CH₃CH₂OH-HPLC grade or equivalent.
3.6.5.6 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) (70% (W/W)), [2,4-(C₆H₅)₂C₆H₃]NH₂.H₂, in organic-free reagent water.
3.6.5.7 Formalin (37.6 percent (W/W)), formaldehyde in organic-free reagent water.
3.6.5.8 Acetic acid (glacial), CH₃CO₂H.
3.6.5.9 Sodium hydroxide solutions NaOH, 1.0 N and 5 N.
3.6.5.10 Sodium chloride, NaCl.
3.6.5.11 Sodium sulfite solution, \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3 \), 0.1 M.
3.6.5.12 Hydrochloric Acid, HCl, 0.1 N.
3.6.5.13 Extraction fluid—Dilute 64.3 ml of 1.0 N \( \text{NaOH} \) and 5.7 ml glacial acetic acid to 900 ml with organic-free reagent water. Dilute to 1 liter with organic-free reagent water. The pH should be 4.93 ± 0.02.
3.6.5.14 Stock standard solutions.
3.6.5.14.1 Stock formaldehyde (approximately 1.00 mg/ml)—Prepare by diluting 265 µl formalin to 100 ml with organic-free reagent water.
3.6.5.14.1.1 Standardization of formaldehyde stock solution—Transfer a 25 ml aliquot of a 0.1 M \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3 \) solution to a beaker and record the pH. Add a 25.0 ml aliquot of the formaldehyde stock solution (section 3.6.5.14.1) and record the pH. Titrate this mixture back to the original pH using 0.1 N HCl. The formaldehyde concentration is calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Concentration (mg/ml)} = \frac{30.03 \times (N \text{HCl}) \times (\text{ml HCl})}{25.0}
\]

where:

\( N \text{HCl} \) = Normality of HCl solution used.
\( \text{ml HCl} \) = ml of standardized HCl solution used.
30.03 = MW of formaldehyde.

3.6.5.14.2 Stock formaldehyde and acetaldehyde—Prepare by adding 265 µl formalin and 0.1 g acetaldehyde to 90 ml of water and dilute to 100 ml. The concentration of acetaldehyde in this solution is 1.00 mg/ml. Calculate the concentration of formaldehyde in this solution using the results of the assay performed in section 3.6.5.14.1.1.
3.6.5.14.3 Stock standard solutions must be replaced after six months, or sooner, if comparison with check standards indicates a problem.
3.6.5.15 Reaction Solutions.
3.6.5.15.1 DMF (1.00 µg/L)—Dissolve 142.9 mg of 70% (w/w) reagent in 100 ml absolute ethanol. Slight heating or sonication may be necessary to effect dissolution.
3.6.5.15.2 Acetate buffer (5 N)—Prepare by neutralizing glacial acetic acid to pH 5 with 5 N NaOH solution. Dilute to standard volume with water.
3.6.5.15.3 Sodium chloride solution (saturated)—Prepare by mixing of the reagent grade solid with water.

3.6.6 Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling
3.6.6.1 See the introductory material to this Chapter, Organic Analytes, section 4.1 of SW-846.
3.6.6.2 Environmental liquid and leachate samples must be refrigerated at 4 °C, and must be derivatized within 5 days of sample collection and analyzed within 3 days of derivatization.
3.6.6.3 Stack gas samples collected by Method 0011 must be refrigerated at 4 °C. It is recommended that samples be extracted within 30 days of collection and that extracts be analyzed within 30 days of extraction.

3.6.7 Procedure
3.6.7.1 Extraction of Solid Samples.
3.6.7.1.1 All solid samples should be homogeneous. When the sample is not dry, determine the dry weight of the sample, using a representative aliquot.
3.6.7.1.1 Determination of dry weight—In certain cases, sample results are desired based on a dry weight basis. When such data is desired, or required, a portion of sample for dry weight determination should be weighed out at the same time as the portion used for analytical determination.

Warning: The drying oven should be contained in a hood or vented. Significant laboratory contamination may result from drying a heavily contaminated hazardous waste sample.

3.6.7.1.2 Immediately after weighing the sample for extraction, weigh 5-10 g of the sample into a tared crucible. Determine the % dry weight of the sample by drying overnight at 105 °C. Allow to cool in a desiccator before weighing:

\[
g \text{ of dry sample} \times 100
\]

\[
g \text{ of sample}
\]

3.6.7.1.2 Measure 25 g of solid into a 500 ml bottle with a Teflon lined screw cap or crimp top, and add 500 ml of extraction fluid (section 3.6.5.13). Extract the solid by rotating the bottle at approximately 30 rpm for 18 hours. Filter the extract through glass fiber paper and store in sealed bottles at 4 °C. Each ml of extract represents 0.050 g solid.

3.6.7.2 Cleanup and Separation.

3.6.7.2.1 Cleanup procedures may not be necessary for a relatively clean sample matrix. The cleanup procedures recommended in this method have been used for the analysis of various sample types. If particular circumstances demand the use of an alternative cleanup procedure, the analyst must determine the elution profile and demonstrate that the recovery of formaldehyde is no less than 85% of recoveries specified in Table 3.6.3. Recovery may be lower for samples which form emulsions.

3.6.7.2.2 If the sample is not clean, or the complexity is unknown, the entire sample should be centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 minutes. Decant the supernatant liquid from the centrifuge bottle, and filter through glass fiber filter paper into a container which can be tightly sealed.

3.6.7.3 Derivatization.

3.6.7.3.1 For aqueous samples, measure a 50 to 100 ml aliquot of the sample. Quantitatively transfer the sample aliquot to the reaction vessel (section 3.6.4.1).

3.6.7.3.2 For solid samples, 1 to 10 ml of leachate (section 3.6.7.1) will usually be required. The amount used for a particular sample must be determined through preliminary experiments.

Table 3.6.3—Single Operator Accuracy and Precision Using Solid Sorbent Extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix type</th>
<th>Average percent recovery</th>
<th>Standard deviation percent</th>
<th>Spike range (µg/L)</th>
<th>No. of analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>Reagent water</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>15-1430</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final effluent</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>46.8-1430</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>457-1430</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formaldehyde sludge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For all reactions, the total volume of the aqueous layer should be adjusted to 100 ml with water.

3.6.7.3.3 Derivatization and extraction of the derivative can be accomplished using the solid sorbent (section 3.6.7.3.4) or ethylene chloride option (section 3.6.7.3.5).

3.6.7.3.4 Solid Sorbent Option.
3.6.7.3.4.1 Add 4 ml of acetate buffer and adjust the pH to 5.0 ± 0.1 with glacial acetic acid or 5 N NaOH. Add 6 ml of DNPH reagent, seal the container, and place on a wrist-action shaker for 10 minutes.

3.6.7.3.4.2 Assemble the vacuum manifold and connect to a water aspirator or vacuum pump. Assemble solid sorbent cartridges containing a minimum of 1.5 g of C18 sorbent, using connectors supplied by the manufacturer, and attach the sorbent train to the vacuum manifold. Condition each cartridge by passing 10 ml dilute acetate buffer (10 ml 5 N acetate buffer dissolved in 250 ml water) through the sorbent cartridge train.

3.6.7.3.4.3 Remove the reaction vessel from the shaker and add 10 ml saturated NaCl solution to the vessel.

3.6.7.3.4.4 Add the reaction solution to the sorbent train and apply a vacuum so that the solution is drawn through the cartridges at a rate of 3 to 5 ml/min. Release the vacuum after the solution has passed through the sorbent.

3.6.7.3.4.5 Elute each cartridge train with approximately 9 ml of absolute ethanol, directly into a 10 ml volumetric flask. Dilute the solution to volume with absolute ethanol, mixed thoroughly, and place in a tightly sealed vial until analyzed.

3.6.7.3.5 Methylene Chloride Option.

3.6.7.3.5.1 Add 5 ml of acetate buffer and adjust the pH to 5.0 ± 0.5 with glacial acetic acid or 5 N NaOH. Add 10 ml of DNPH reagent, seal the container, and place on a wrist-action shaker for 1 hour.

3.6.7.3.5.2 Extract the solution with three 20 ml portions of methylene chloride, using a 250 ml separatory funnel, and combine the methylene chloride layers. If an emulsion forms upon extraction, remove the entire emulsion and centrifuge at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes. Separate the layers and proceed with the next extraction.

3.6.7.3.5.3 Assemble a Kuderna-Danish (K-D) concentrator by attaching a 10 ml concentrator tube to a 500 ml evaporative flask. Wash the K-D apparatus with 25 ml of extraction solvent to complete the quantitative transfer.

3.6.7.3.5.4 Add one to two clean boiling chips to the evaporative flask and attach a three ball Snyder column. Preset the Snyder column by adding about 1 ml methylene chloride to the top. Place the K-D apparatus on a hot water bath (80-90 °C) so that the concentrator tube is partially immersed in the hot water and the entire lower rounded surface of the flask is bathed with hot vapor. Adjust the vertical position of the apparatus and the water temperature, as required, to complete the concentration in 10-15 min. At the proper rate of distillation the balls of the column will actively chatter, but the chambers will not flood with condensed solvent. When the apparent volume of liquid reaches 10 ml, remove the K-D apparatus and allow it to drain and cool for at least 10 min.

3.6.7.3.5.5 Prior to liquid chromatographic analysis, the solvent must be exchanged to methanol. The analyst must ensure quantitative transfer of the extract concentrate. The exchange is performed as follows:

3.6.7.3.5.5.1 Following K-D concentration of the methylene chloride extract to <10 ml using the macro Snyder column, allow the apparatus to cool and drain for at least 10 minutes.

3.6.7.3.5.5.2 Momentarily remove the Snyder column, add 5 ml of the methanol, a new glass bed, or boiling chip, and attach the micro Snyder column. Concentrate the extract using 1 ml of methanol to preset the Snyder column. Place the K-D apparatus on the water bath so that the concentrator tube is partially immersed in the hot water. Adjust the vertical position of the apparatus and the water temperature, as required, to complete concentration. At the proper rate of distillation the balls of the column will actively chatter, but the chambers will not flood. When the apparent volume of liquid reaches <5 ml, remove the K-D apparatus and allow it to drain and cool for at least 15 minutes.

3.6.7.3.5.5.3 Remove the Snyder column and rinse the flask and its lower joint with 1-2 ml of methanol and add to concentrator tube. A 5-ml syringe is recommended for this operation. Adjust the extract volume to 10 ml. Stopper the concentrator tube and store refrigerated at 4 °C if further processing will not be performed immediately. If the extract will be stored longer than two days, it should be transferred to a vial with a Teflon-lined screw cap or crimp top. Proceed with liquid chromatographic analysis if further cleanup is not required.

3.6.7.4 Extraction of Stack Gas Samples Collected by Method 0011.
3.6.7.4.1 Measure the aqueous volume of the sample prior to extraction (for moisture determination in case the volume was not measured in the field). Pour the sample into a separatory funnel and drain the methylene chloride into a volumetric flask.

3.6.7.4.2 Extract the aqueous solution with two or three aliquots of methylene chloride. Add the methylene chloride extracts to the volumetric flask.

3.6.7.4.3 Fill the volumetric flask to the line with methylene chloride. Mix well and remove an aliquot.

3.6.7.4.4 If high levels of formaldehyde are present, the extract can be diluted with mobile phase, otherwise the extract must be solvent exchanged as described in section 3.6.7.5.3. If low levels of formaldehyde are present, the sample should be concentrated during the solvent exchange procedure.

3.6.7.5 Chromatographic Conditions.

Column	C18, 250 mm X 4.6 mm ID, 5 μm particle size
Mobile Phase	methanol/water, 75:25 (v/v), isocratic
Flow Rate	1.0 ml/min
UV Detector	360 nm
Injection Volume 20 μl

3.6.7.6 Calibration.

3.6.7.6.1 Establish liquid chromatographic operating parameters to produce a retention time equivalent to that indicated in Table 3.6-1 for the solid sorbent options, or in Table 3.6-2 for methylene chloride option. Suggested chromatographic conditions are provided in section 3.6.7.5. Prepare derivatized calibration standards according to the procedure in section 3.6.7.6.1.1. Calibrate the chromatographic system using the external standard technique (section 3.6.7.6.1.2).

3.6.7.6.1.1 Preparation of calibration standards.

3.6.7.6.1.1.1 Prepare calibration standard solutions of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in water from the stock standard (section 3.6.6.14.2). Prepare these solutions at the following concentrations (in μg/ml) by serial dilution of the stock standard solution: 50, 20, 10. Prepare additional calibration standard solutions at the following concentrations, by dilution of the appropriate 50, 20, or 10 μg/ml standard: 5, 0.5, 2, 0.2, 1, 0.1.

3.6.7.6.1.1.2 Process each calibration standard solution through the derivatization option used for sample processing (section 3.6.7.3.4 or 3.6.7.3.5).

3.6.7.6.1.2 External standard calibration procedure.

3.6.7.6.1.2.1 Analyze each derivatized calibration standard using the chromatographic conditions listed in Tables 3.6-1 and 3.6-2, and tabulate peak area against concentration injected. The results may be used to prepare calibration curves for formaldehyde and acetaldehyde.

3.6.7.6.1.2.2 The working calibration curve must be verified on each working day by the measurement of one or more calibration standards. If the response for any analyte varies from the previously established response by more than 10%, the test must be repeated using a fresh calibration standard after it is verified that the analytical system is in control. Alternatively, a new calibration curve may be prepared for that compound. If an autosampler is available, it is convenient to prepare a calibration curve daily by analyzing standards along with test samples.

3.6.7.7 Analysis.

3.6.7.7.1 Analyze samples by HPLC, using conditions established in section 3.6.7.6.1. Tables 3.6-1 and 3.6-2 list the retention times and MDLs that were obtained under these conditions. Other HPLC columns, chromatographic conditions, or detectors may be used if the requirements for section 3.6.8.1 are met, or if the data are within the limits described in Tables 3.6-1 and 3.6-2.

3.6.7.7.2 The width of the retention time window used to make identifications should be based upon measurements of actual retention time variations of standards over the course of a day. Three times the standard deviation of a retention time for a compound can be used to calculate a suggested window size; however, the experience of the analyst should weigh heavily in the interpretation of the chromatograms.
3.6.7.7.3 If the peak area exceeds the linear range of the calibration curve, a smaller sample volume should be used. Alternatively, the final solution may be diluted with ethanol and reanalyzed.

3.6.7.7.4 If the peak area measurement is prevented by the presence of observed interferences, further cleanup is required. However, none of the 3600 method series have been evaluated for this procedure.

3.6.7.8 Calculations.

3.6.7.8.1 Calculate each response factor as follows (mean value based on 5 points):

\[
RF = \frac{\text{concentration of standard}}{\text{area of the signal}}
\]

\[
\text{mean} = \frac{1}{5} \sum RF_i
\]

3.6.7.8.2 Calculate the concentration of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde as follows:

\[
\mu g/ml = (RF) (\text{area of signal}) (\text{concentration factor})
\]

where:

\[
\text{concentration factor} = \frac{\text{Final volume of Extract}}{\text{Initial Extract volume}}
\]

Note: For solid samples, a dilution factor must be included in the equation to account for the weight of the sample used.

3.6.7.8.3 Calculate the total weight of formaldehyde in the stack gas sample as follows:

\[
\text{total } \mu g/ml = (RF) (\text{area of signal}) (\text{concentration factor})
\]

where:

\[
\text{concentration factor} = \frac{\text{Final Volume of Extract}}{\text{Initial Extract Volume}}
\]

3.6.8 Quality Control

3.6.8.1 Refer to Chapter One of SW-846 for guidance on quality control procedures.

3.6.9 Method Performance

3.6.9.1 The MDL concentrations listed in Table 3.6-1 were obtained using organic-free water and solid sorbent extraction. Similar results were achieved using a final effluent and sludge leachate. The MDL concentrations listed in Table 3.6-2 were obtained using organic-free water and methylene chloride extraction. Similar results were achieved using representative matrices.

3.6.9.2 This method has been tested for linearity of recovery from spiked organic-free water and has been demonstrated to be applicable over the range from 2 X MDL to 200 X MDL.

3.6.9.3 In a single laboratory evaluation using several spiked matrices, the average recoveries presented in Tables 3.6-3 and 3.6-4 were obtained using solid sorbent and methylene chloride extraction, respectively. The standard deviations of the percent recovery are also included in Tables 3.6-3 and 3.6-4.

3.6.9.4 A representative chromatogram is presented in Figure 3.6-1.
### References


### Table 3.6-4. - Single Operator Accuracy and Precision Using Methylene Chloride Extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix type</th>
<th>Average percent recovery (x)</th>
<th>Standard deviation percent (p)</th>
<th>Spike range (µg/L)</th>
<th>No. of analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>Reagent Water</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>50-1000</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liquide</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>Reagent Water</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>50-1000</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liquide (2 types)</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solids</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.10-1.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOOTNOTE:** aSpike range in units of mg/g.

**FOOTNOTE:** x = Average recovery expected for this method.

**FOOTNOTE:** p = Average standard deviation expected for this method.
FIGURE 3.6-1
REPRESENTATIVE CHROMATOGRAM OF A 50 µg/L SOLUTION OF FORMALDEHYDE

FOR-D = Formaldehyde derivative
ACET-D = Acetaldehyde derivative
Worksheet 5.0-2 Maximum Ambient Air Concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Stack 1 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Stack 2 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Stack 3 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Summed Concentration from all Stacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Distance (km)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ER = Annual average emission rate
DC = Hourly dispersion coefficient (from Worksheet 1)
C = Estimated maximum hourly ambient air concentration
### Worksheet 5.0-2 Maximum Hourly Ambient Air Concentration

**Pollutant:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Distance (km)</th>
<th>Stack 1 ( \text{ER} \times \text{DC} = C )</th>
<th>Stack 2 ( \text{ER} \times \text{DC} = C )</th>
<th>Stack 3 ( \text{ER} \times \text{DC} = C )</th>
<th>Summed Concentration from all Stacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ER**=Annual Average Emission Rate  
**DC**=Hourly Dispersion Coefficient (from Worksheet 5.9-1)  
**C**=Estimated Maximum Hourly Ambient Air Concentration
SECTION 4.0 PROCEDURE FOR ESTIMATING THE TOXICITY EQUIVALENCY OF CHLORINATED DIBENZO-P-DIOXIN AND DIBENZOFURAN CONGENERS

PCDDs and PCDFs must be determined using the method given in section 3.4 of this document. In this method, individual congeners or homologues are measured and then summed to yield a total PCDD/PCDF value. No toxicity factors are specified in the method to compute risks from such emissions.

FOOTNOTE: "The term "congener" refers to any one particular member of the same chemical family; e.g., there are 75 congeners of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins. The term "homologue" refers to a group of structurally related chemicals that have the same degree of chlorination. For example, there are eight homologues of CDIs, monochlorinated through octachlorinated. Dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans that are chlorinated at the 2,3,7, and 8 positions are denoted as "2378" congeners, except when 2,3,7,8-TCDD is uniquely referred to; e.g., 1,2,3,7,8-PCDD and 2,3,4,7,8-PCDF are both referred to as "2378-PCDDs/PCDFs."

For the purpose of estimating risks posed by emissions from boilers and industrial furnaces, however, specific congeners and homologues must be measured using the specified method and then multiplied by the assigned toxicity equivalence factors (TEFs), using procedures described in "Interim Procedures for Estimating Risks Associated with Exposures to Mixtures of Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins and Dibenzo-furans (CDIs and CDFs) and 1998 Update," EPA/625/3-89/016, March 1989. The resulting 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalent value is used in the subsequent risk calculations and modeling efforts as discussed in the RIF final rule.

The procedure for calculating the 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalent is as follows:

1. Using method 23, determine the concentrations of 2,7,3,8-congeners of various PCDDs and PCDFs in the sample.
2. Multiply the congener concentrations in the sample by the TEF listed in Table 4.0-1 to express the congener concentrations in terms of 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalent. Note that congeners not chlorinated at 2,3,7, and 8 positions have a zero toxicity factor in this table.
3. Add the products obtained in step 2, to obtain the total 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalent in the sample.

Sample calculations are provided in EPA document No. EPA/625/3-89/016, March 1989, which can be obtained from the EPA, ORD Publications Office, Cincinnati, Ohio (Phone no. 513-569-7562).

Table 4.0-1. 2,3,7,8-TCDD Toxicity Equivalence Factors (TEFs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1-TEFs, 89</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mono-, Di-, and Tri-CDs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other TCDDs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-PCDD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other PCDDs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-HxCD</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other HxCDDs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-HpCD</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other HpCDDs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDD</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono-, Di-, and Tri-CDFs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,1,3,7,8-TCDF</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other TCDFs: 0
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF: 0.05
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF: 0.5
Other PeCDFs: 0
2378-HxCDFs: 0.1
Other HxCDFs: 0
2378-HpCDFs: 0.01
Other HpCDFs: 0
OCDr: 0.001

Reference: Adapted from NATO/CCMS, 1988a.


SECTION 5.0 HAZARDOUS WASTE COMBUSTION AIR QUALITY SCREENING PROCEDURE

The HWCAQSP is a combined calculation/reference table approach for conservatively estimating short-term and annual average facility impacts for stack emissions. The procedure is based on extensive short-term modeling of 11 generic source types and on a set of adjustment factors for estimating annual average concentrations from short-term concentrations. Facility impacts may be determined based on the selected worst-case stack or on multiple stacks, in which the impacts from each stack are estimated separately and then added to produce the total facility impact.

This procedure is most useful for facilities with multiple stacks, large source-to-property boundary distances, and complex terrain between 1 and 5 km from the facility. To ensure a sufficient degree of conservatism, the HWCAQSP may not be used if any of the five screening procedure limitations listed below are true:

- The facility is located in a narrow valley less than 1 km wide;
- The facility has a stack taller than 20 m and is located such that the terrain rises to the stack height within 1 km of the facility;
- The facility has a stack taller than 20 m and is located within 5 km of the shoreline of a large body of water;
- The facility property line is within 200 m of the stack and the physical stack height is less than 10 m; or
- On-site receptors are of concern, and stack height is less than 10 m.

If any of these criteria are met or the Director determines that this procedure is not appropriate, then detailed site-specific modeling or modeling using the "Screening Procedures for Estimating the Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources," EPA 450/4-88-010, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, August 1988, is required. Detailed site-specific dispersion modeling must conform to the EPA "Guidance on Air Quality Models (Revised)", EPA 450/2-78-027R, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, July 1986. This document provides guidance on both the proper selection and regulatory application of air quality models.

Introduction

The Hazardous Waste Combustion Air Quality Screening Procedure (HWCAQSP) (also referred to hereafter as "the screening procedure" or "the procedure") provides a quick, easy method for estimating maximum (hourly) and annual average ambient air impacts associated with the combustion of hazardous waste. The methodology is conservative in nature and estimates dispersion coefficients based on facility-specific information.
FOOTNOTE: The term dispersion coefficient refers to the change in ambient air concentration (\(\mu g/m^3\)) resulting from a source with an emission rate of 1 g/sec.

The screening procedure can be used to determine emissions limits at sites where the nearest meteorological (STAR) station is not representative of the meteorology at the site. If the screen shows that emissions from the site are adequately protective, then the need to collect site-specific meteorological data can be eliminated.

The screening procedure is generally most helpful for facilities meeting one or more of the following conditions:

* Multiple stacks with substantially different release specifications (e.g., stack heights differ by >50 percent, exit temperatures differ by >50 °K, or the exit flow rates differ by more than a factor of 2),
* Terrain located between 1 km and 5 km from the site increases in elevation by more than the physical height of the shortest stack (i.e., the facility is located in complex terrain), or
* Significant distance between the facility's stacks and the site boundary (guidance on determining whether a distance is "significant" is provided in Step 6(2) of the procedure).

Steps 1 through 9 of the screening procedure present a simplified method for determining emissions based on the use of the "worst-case" stack. If the simplified method shows that desired feed rates result in emissions that exceed allowable limits for one or more pollutants, a refined analysis to examine the emissions from each stack can be conducted. This multiple-stack method is presented in Step 10.

The steps involved in screening methodology are as follows:

**Step 1. Define Source Characteristics**

**Step 2. Determine the Applicability of the Screening Procedure**

**Step 3. Select the Worst-Case Stack**

**Step 4. Verify Good Engineering Practice (GEP) Criteria**

**Step 5. Determine the Effective Stack Height and Terrain-Adjusted Effective Stack Height**

**Step 6. Classify the Site as Urban or Rural**

**Step 7. Determine Maximum Dispersion Coefficients**

**Step 8. Estimate Maximum Ambient Air Concentrations**

**Step 9. Determine Compliance With Regulatory Limits**

**Step 10. Multiple Stack Method**

**Step 1: Define Source Characteristics**

Provide the following source data:

**FOOTNOTE:** Worksheet space is provided for three stacks. If the facility has additional stacks, copy the form and revise stack identification numbers for 4, 5, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack Data:</th>
<th>Stack No. 1</th>
<th>Stack No. 2</th>
<th>Stack No. 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical stack height [m]</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust temperature [°K]</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate [m³/sec]</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nearby Building Dimensions**

Consider all buildings within five building heights or five maximum projected widths of the stack(s). For the building with the greatest height, fill in the spaces below.

Building Height [m]-----------------------------
Maximum projected building width [m]-----------------------------
Nearby Terrain Data

Determine maximum terrain rise for the following three distance ranges from the facility (not required if the highest stack is less than 10 m in height):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from Facility to Nearest Shoreline (km)</th>
<th>Terrain Rise (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-0.5 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-2.5 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distance from facility to nearest shoreline (km)------------------------
Valley width (km)-----------------------------------------------

Step 2: Determine the Applicability of the Screening Procedure

Fill in the following data:

Yes  No

Is the facility in a valley < km in width?

Is the terrain rise within 1 km of the facility greater than the physical stack height of the tallest stack? (Only applies to stacks ≥20 meters in height)

Is the distance to the nearest shoreline <5 km? (Only applies to facilities with stacks ≥20 meters in height)

For the building listed in Step 1, is the closest property boundary <5 times the building height or <5 times the maximum projected building width? (Only applies to facilities with a stack height <2.5 times the building height)

If the answer is "no" to all the preceding questions, then the EMCAQSP is acceptable. If the answer to any question is "yes", the procedure is not acceptable.

Step 3: Select the Worst-Case Stack

If the facility has several stacks, a worst-case stack must be chosen to conservatively represent release conditions at the facility. Follow the steps below to identify the worst-case stack.

Apply the following equation to each stack:

\[ K = \frac{HVT}{X} \]

where:

\( K \) = an arbitrary parameter accounting for the relative influence of the stack height and plume rise.

\( H \) = Physical stack height (m)

\( V \) = Flow rate (m³/sec)

\( T \) = Exhaust temperature (*K)

Complete the following table to compute the "K" value for each stack:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>Stack height (m)</th>
<th>Flow rate (m³/sec)</th>
<th>Exit temp (*K)</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Select the stack with the lowest "K" value. This is the worst-case stack that will be used for Steps 4 through 9.

Worst-Case Stack is identified as Stack No. ____________

Step 4: Verify Good Engineering Practice (GEP) Criteria

Verify that the selected worst-case stack meets Good Engineering Practice (GEP) criteria. The stack height to be used in the subsequent steps of this procedure must not be greater than the maximum GEP. Maximum and minimum GEP stack heights are defined as follows:

GEP (minimum) = \( H + (1.5 \times L) \)

GEP (maximum) = greater of 65 m or \( H + (1.5 \times L) \)

where:

\( H \) = height of the building selected in Step 1 measured from ground level elevation at the base of the stack

\( L \) = the lesser dimension of the height or projected width of the building selected in Step 1

Record the following data for the worst-case stack:

Stack height (m) =

\( H(m) = \) ____________

\( L(m) = \) ____________

Then compute the following:

GEP (minimum) (m) =

GEP (maximum) (m) =

* If the physical height of the worst-case stack exceeds the maximum GEP, then use the maximum GEP stack height for the subsequent steps of this analysis:

* If the physical height of the worst-case stack is less than the minimum GEP, then use generic source number 11 as the selected source for further analysis and proceed directly to Step 6;

* If the physical height of the worst-case stack is between the minimum and maximum GEP, then use the actual physical stack height for the subsequent steps of this analysis.

Step 5: Determine the Effective Stack Height and the Terrain-Adjusted Effective Stack Height (TAESH)

The effective stack height is an important factor in dispersion modeling. The effective stack height is the physical height of the stack above plume rise. As specified in Step 4, the stack height used to estimate the effective stack height must not exceed GEP requirements. Plume rise is a function of the stack exit gas temperature and flow rate.

In this analysis, the effective stack height is used to select the generic source that represents the dispersion characteristics of the facility. For facilities located in flat terrain and for all facilities with worst-case stacks less than or equal to 10 meters in height, generic source numbers are selected strictly on the basis of effective stack height. In all other cases, the effective stack height is further adjusted to take into account the terrain rise near the facility. The "terrain-adjusted effective stack height" (TAESH) is then used to select the generic source number that represents the dispersion characteristics of the facility. Follow the steps below to identify the effective stack height, the TAESH (where applicable), and the corresponding generic source number.

(A) Go to Table 5.0-1 and find the plume rise value corresponding to the stack temperature and exit flow rate for the worst-case stack determined in Step 1.

Plume rise = ____________ (m)

(B) Add the plume rise to the GEP stack height of the worst-case stack determined in Steps 3 and 4.

GEP stack height (m) =

Plume rise (m) =

Effective stack height (m) = ____________
(C) Go to the first column of Table 5.0-2 and identify the range of effective stack heights that includes the effective stack height estimated in Step 5(B). Record the generic source number that corresponds to this range.

Generic source number = ____________

(D) If the source is located in flat terrain, or if the generic source number identified in Step 5(C) above is 1 or 11 (regardless of terrain classification), use the generic source number determined in Step 5(C) and proceed directly to Step 6. Otherwise, continue to Step 5(E).

The terrain is considered flat and terrain adjustment factors are not used if the maximum terrain rise within 5 km of the facility (see Step 1) is less than 10 percent of the physical stack height of the worst-case stack.

(E) For those situations where the conditions in Step 5(D) do not apply, the effective stack height must be adjusted for terrain. The TASEH for each distance range is computed by subtracting the terrain rise within the distance range from the effective stack height.

FOOTNOTE: Refer to Step 1 for terrain adjustment data. Note that the distance from the source to the outer radius of each range is used. For example, for the range >0.5-2.5 km, the maximum terrain rise in the range 0.5-2.5 km is used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhaust Temperature (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Flow rate (m³/s) | <0.5 | 0.5-0.9 | 1.0-1.9 | 2.0-2.9 | 3.0-3.9 | 4.0-4.9 | 5.0-7.9 | 7.5-9.9 | 10.0-12.4 | 12.5-14.9 | 15.0-19.9 | 20.0-24.9 | 25.0-29.9 | 30.0-34.9 | 35.0-39.9 | 40.0-49.9 | 50.0-59.9 | 60.0-69.9 | 70.0-79.9 | 80.0-89.9 | 90.0-99.9 | 100.0-119.9 | 120.0-139.9 | 140.0-159.9 | 160.0-179.9 | 180.0-199.9 | >199.9 |
|------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| 325-349  | 350-399  | 400-449  | 450-499  | 500-599  | 600-699  | 700-799  | 800-999  | 1000-1499  | >1499  |
Table 5.0-2: Selection of Generic Source Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective stack height (m)</th>
<th>Generic source No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0-14.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0-19.9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0-24.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0-30.9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.0-41.9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.0-52.9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.0-64.9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.0-122.9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downwash</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.0-3: Classification of Land Use Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Urban or rural designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>Heavy Industrial</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>Light/Moderate Industrial</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Common Residential (Normal Easements)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Compact Residential (Single Family)</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Compact Residential (Multi-Family)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Estate Residential (Multi-Acre Plots)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Metropolitan Natural</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>Undeveloped (Grasses/Weeds)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Undeveloped (Heavily Wooded)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Water Surfaces</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance range (km)</th>
<th>Effective stack-height (m) [see step 5(B)]</th>
<th>Maximum terrain-rise (m) [see step 1]</th>
<th>TAESH (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0-0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.5-2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.5-5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the terrain rise for any of the distance ranges is greater than the effective stack height, set the TAESH equal to zero and use generic source number 1 for that distance range.

Record the generic source numbers from Table 5.0-2 based on each of the TAESH values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance range (km)</th>
<th>Generic source No. (after terrain adjustment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0-0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.5-2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.5-5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 6: Classify the Site as Urban or Rural

(A) Classify the land use near the facility as either urban or rural by determining the percentage of urban land use types (as defined in Table 3) for further guidance see the footnoted references) that fall within 3 km of the facility.

FOOTNOTE: The delineation of urban and rural areas can be difficult for the residential-type areas listed in Table 5.0-3. The degree of resolution in Table 5.0-3 for residential areas often cannot be identified without conducting site area inspections. This process can require extensive analysis, which, for many applications, can be greatly streamlined without sacrificing confidence in selecting the appropriate urban or rural classification. The fundamental simplifying assumption is based on the premise that many applications will have clear-cut urban/rural designations, i.e., most will be in rural settings that can be definitively characterized through a review of aerial photographs, zoning maps, or U.S. Geological Survey topographical maps.

Method Used to Estimate Percent Urban Land Use:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Urban Land Use:</th>
<th>Visual</th>
<th>Planimeter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Percentages</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the urban land use percentage is less than or equal to 10 percent based on a visual estimate, or 50 percent based on a planimeter, the local land use is considered rural. Otherwise, the local land use is considered urban.

Classification (check applicable space).

(B) Based on the TAESH and the urban/rural classification of surrounding land use, use the following table to determine the threshold distance between any stack and the nearest facility boundary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
<th>Terrain adjusted effective stack height range (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9.9</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Record the following information:

Threshold distance from the table (m):

Minimum distance from any stack to property boundary (m):

If the minimum distance between any stack and the nearest facility boundary is greater than the threshold distance, the surrounding buffer distance is considered significant and the facility is likely to benefit from use of the HWCASQSP relative to the Tier I and II limits (see discussion of benefits from using HWCASQSP in Introduction section).

Step 7: Determine Maximum Dispersion Coefficients

(A) Determine maximum average hourly dispersion coefficients. Based on the results of Step 6(A), select either Table 5.0-4 (urban) or Table 5.0-5 (rural) to determine the maximum average hourly dispersion coefficient.\(^6\) For flat terrain (defined in Step 5(D)) and for all sites with generic source numbers 1 or 11, use Step 7(A) (1). For rolling or complex terrain (excluding generic source numbers 1 and 11), use Step 7(A) (2).

\(^6\)FOOTNOTE: For the distance range 0 to 20 kilometers, generic source number 1 is used to conservatively represent the maximum dispersion coefficient.

(1) Search down the appropriate generic source number column (based on Step 5(C)), beginning at the minimum fenceline distance listed in Step 6(B).\(^7\) Record the maximum average hourly dispersion coefficient encountered.

\(^7\)FOOTNOTE: Exclude all distances that are closer to the facility than the property boundary. For example, if the actual distance to the nearest property boundary is 265 meters, begin at the 300 meter distance in Tables 5.0-4 and 5.0-5.

Maximum Average Hourly Dispersion

Coefficient = \(\mu m^2/g/sec\)

(2) For each of the three distance-based generic source numbers listed in Step 5(E), search down the appropriate generic source number column, beginning at the minimum fenceline distance listed in Step 6(B). Note that different columns may be used for each of the three distance ranges if there is a need for terrain adjustment. Record the maximum dispersion coefficient for each generic source number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance range (km)</th>
<th>Generic source No. [from Step 5(E)]</th>
<th>Maximum dispersion coefficient ((\mu g/m^2/m/sec))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0-0.5</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.5-2.5</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.5-5.0</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5.0-20.0</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991  
Part 266, Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X  
Page: 191

Table 5.0-4.-ISST Predicated Maximum Concentrations (µg/M³) for Hazardous Waste Combustors Using Urban Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (NM)</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>#6</td>
<td>#7</td>
<td>#8</td>
<td>#9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;10M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(35M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(45M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(55M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(65M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(70M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(75M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(80M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(85M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(90M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: *Based on a 1 Gram/Second Emission Rate.
Table 5.0-5.-IScr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (NM)</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
<th>Gener-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>#6</td>
<td>#7</td>
<td>#8</td>
<td>#9</td>
<td>#10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;10M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(35M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(45M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(55M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(65M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(70M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(75M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(80M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(85M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(90M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: *Based on a 1 Gram/Second Emission Rate

(B) Determine annual/hourly ratio for rural analysis. The maximum average annual dispersion coefficient is approximated by multiplying the maximum hourly dispersion coefficient (identified in Step 7(A)) by the appropriate ratio selection from Table 5.0-6. The generic source number(s) [from Steps 5(C) or 5(E)], urban/rural designation [from Step 5], and the terrain type are used to select the appropriate scaling factor. Use the noncomplex terrain designation for all sources located in flat terrain, for all sources where the physical stack height of the worst-case stack is less than or equal to 10 m, for all sources where the worst-case stack is less than the minimum GSP, and for those sources where all of the TASEH values in Step 5(E) are greater than zero. Use the complex terrain designation in all other situations.
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(C) Determine maximum average annual dispersion coefficient. The maximum average annual dispersion coefficient is determined by multiplying the maximum hourly dispersion coefficient (Step 7(A)) by its corresponding annual/hourly ratio (Step 7(B)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain</th>
<th>Distance from stack (m)</th>
<th>Generic source No.</th>
<th>Maximum hourly dispersion coefficient $\left(\text{mg/m}^2\text{/g}^3\text{/sec}\right)$</th>
<th>Annual hourly dispersion ratio</th>
<th>Maximum annual dispersion coefficient $\left(\text{mg/m}^2\text{/g}^3\text{/sec}\right)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0-20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.5-2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling or</td>
<td>&gt;2.5-5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>&gt;5.0-20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: 1 Maximum hourly dispersion coefficient times annual/hourly ratio.

Step 8: Estimate Maximum Ambient Air Concentrations—see procedures prescribed in subpart E of 40 CFR part 266.

Step 9: Determine Compliance with Regulatory Limits—see procedures prescribed in subpart H of 40 CFR part 266.

Step 10: Multiple Stack Method (Optional)

This option is a special case procedure that may be helpful when (1) the facility exceeded the regulatory limits for one or more pollutants, as detailed in Step 9, and (2) the facility has multiple stacks with substantially different emission rates and effective release heights. Only those pollutants that fail the Step 9 screening limits need to be addressed in this exercise.

This procedure assesses the environmental impacts from each stack and then sums the results to estimate total impacts. This option is conceptually the same as the basic approach (Steps 1 through 9) and does not involve complex calculations. However, it is more time-consuming and is recommended only if the basic approach fails to meet the risk criteria. The procedure is outlined below.

(A) Compute effective stack heights for each stack.

FOOTNOTE: 8 Follow the procedure outlined in Step 4 of the basic screening procedure to determine the GEP for each stack. If a stack's physical height exceeds the maximum GEP, use the maximum GEP values. If a stack's physical height is less than the minimum GEP, use generic source number 11 in the subsequent steps of this analysis. Follow the procedure in Steps 5(A) and 5(B) to determine the effective height of each stack.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>GEP stack height (m)</th>
<th>Flow rate (m$^2$/sec)</th>
<th>Exit temp ($^\circ$K)</th>
<th>Plume rise (m)</th>
<th>Effective stack height (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add an additional page if more than three stacks are involved. Circle the maximum and minimum effective stack heights.

(B) Determine if this multiple-stack screening procedure will likely produce less conservative results than the procedure in Steps 1 through 9. To do this, compute the ratio of maximum-to-minimum effective stack height:

---

---
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Maximum Effective Stack Height

Minimum Effective Stack Height

If the above ratio is greater than 1.25, proceed with the remaining steps. Otherwise, this option is less likely to significantly reduce the degree of conservatism in the screening method.

(C) Determine if terrain adjustment is needed and select generic source numbers. Select the shortest stack height and maximum terrain rise out to 5 km from Step 1 and determine if the facility is in flat terrain.

Shortest stack height (m) = 

Maximum terrain rise in meters out to 5 km =

Terrain Rise (m) × 100 = 

Shortest Stack Height (m)

If the value above is greater than 10 percent, the terrain is considered nonflat; proceed to Step 10(D). If the ratio is less than or equal to 10 percent, the terrain is considered flat. Identify the generic source numbers based on effective stack heights computed in Step 10(A). Refer to Table 5.0-2 provided earlier to identify generic source numbers. Record the generic source numbers identified and proceed to Step 10(F).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Generic Source Numbers

--------

--------

--------

(D) Compute the TAESH and select generic source numbers (four sources located in nonflat terrain).

1. Compute the TAESH for all remaining stacks using the following equation:

\[
\text{HE} - \text{TR} = \text{TAESH}
\]

where:

\[
\text{HE} = \text{effective stack height (m)}
\]

\[
\text{TR} = \text{maximum terrain rise for each distance range (m)}
\]

\[
\text{TAESH} = \text{terrain-adjusted effective stack height (m)}
\]
Use the Table Below To Calculate the TAESH for Each Stack

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>0-0.5 km</th>
<th>&gt;0.5-2.5 km</th>
<th>&gt;2.5-5.0 km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HE - TR</td>
<td>TAESH</td>
<td>HE - TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOOTNOTE:** Refer to Step 1 for terrain adjustment data. Note that the distance from the source to the outer radii of each range is used. For example, for the range >0.5-2.5 km, the maximum terrain rise in the range 0.0-2.5 km is used.

For those stacks where the terrain rise within a distance range is greater than the effective stack height (i.e., HE-TR is less than zero), the TAESH for that distance range is set equal to zero, and generic source number 1 should be used for that distance range for all subsequent distance ranges. Additionally, for all stacks with a physical stack height of less than or equal to 10 meters, use generic source number 1 for all distance ranges.

**FOOTNOTE:** This applies to all stacks less than or equal to 10 meters regardless of the terrain classification.

2. For the remaining stacks, refer to Table 5.0-2 and, for each distance range, identify the generic source number that includes the TAESH. Use the values obtained from Steps 10(D)(1) and 10(D)(2) to complete the following summary worksheet:

**GENERIC SOURCE NUMBER AFTER TERRAIN ADJUSTED (IF NECESSARY)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>0-0.5 km</th>
<th>&gt;0.5-2.5 km</th>
<th>&gt;2.5-5.0 km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(E) Identify maximum average hourly dispersion coefficients. Based on the land use classification of the site (e.g., urban or rural), use either Table 5.0-4 or Table 5.0-5 to determine the appropriate dispersion coefficient for each distance range for each stack. Begin at the minimum fence line distance indicated in Step 7(B) and record on Worksheet 5.0-1 the dispersion coefficient for each stack/distance range. For stacks located in facilities in flat terrain, the generic source numbers were computed in Step 10(C). For stacks located in facilities in rolling and complex terrain, the generic source numbers were computed in Step 10(D). For flat terrain applications and for stacks with a physical height of less than or equal to 10 meters, only one generic source number is used per stack for all distance ranges. For other situations up to three generic source numbers may be needed per stack (i.e., a unique generic source number per distance range). In Tables 5.0-4 and 5.0-5, the dispersion coefficients for distances of 6 km to 20 km are the same for all generic source numbers in order to conservatively represent terrain beyond 5 km (past the limits of the terrain analysis).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Stack 1</th>
<th>Stack 2</th>
<th>Stack 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This procedure places all stacks at the same point, but allows for consideration of different effective stack heights. The distance to the closest boundary (extracted from Step 1) should be the closest distance to any stack.
(F) Estimate maximum hourly ambient air concentrations. In this step, pollutant-specific emission rates are multiplied by appropriate dispersion coefficients to estimate ambient air concentrations. For each stack, emissions are multiplied by the dispersion coefficient selected in Step 10(E) and summed across all stacks to estimate ambient air concentrations at various distances from the facility. From these summed concentrations, the maximum hourly ambient air concentration is selected. First, select the maximum emission rate of the pollutant. Record these data in the spaces provided below.

FOOTNOTE: 11 Recall that it is recommended that this analysis be performed for only one or two pollutants. The pollutants chosen for this analysis should be those that show the most significant exceedances of the risk threshold.

FOOTNOTE: 12 Refer to Step 8 of the basic screening procedure. At this point in the screening procedure, annual emissions are used to represent hourly average emission rates. These values will be adjusted by the annual/hourly ratio to estimate annual average concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Annual Emission Rates (g/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete a separate copy of Worksheet 5.0-2 for each pollutant and select the highest hourly concentration from the summation column at the far right of the worksheet. Record the maximum hourly air concentration for each pollutant analyzed (add additional lines if needed):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Maximum hourly air concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Worksheet 5.0-2 Maximum Hourly Ambient Air Concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Distance (km)</th>
<th>Stack 1 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Stack 2 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Stack 3 ER x DC = C</th>
<th>Summed Concentration from all Stacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ER = Annual Average Emission Rate
DC = Hourly Dispersion Coefficient (from Worksheet 5.0-1)
C = Estimated Maximum Hourly Ambient Air Concentration
G) Determine the complex/noncomplex designation for each stack. For each stack, subtract the maximum terrain rise within 5 km of the site from the physical stack height and designate the stack as either complex or noncomplex. If the stack height minus the maximum terrain rise (within 5 km) is greater than zero or if the stack is less than 10 meters in physical height, then assign the stack a noncomplex designation. If the stack height minus the maximum terrain rise (within 5 km) is less than or equal to zero, then assign the stack a complex designation.

Perform the following computation for each stack and record the information in the spaces provided. Check in the spaces provided whether the stack designation is complex or noncomplex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>Stack height (m)</th>
<th>Maximum terrain rise (m)</th>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Noncomplex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H) Identify annual/hourly ratios. Extract the annual/hourly ratios for each stack by referring to Table 5.0-6. Generic source numbers (from Steps 10(C) or 10(D)), urban/rural designation (from Step 6), and complex or noncomplex terrain designations (from Step 10(G)) are used to select the appropriate scaling factor needed to convert hourly maximum concentrations to estimates of annual average concentrations.

Complete the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic source No. steps 10 (C or D)</th>
<th>Annual/hourly ratio (from table 5.0-6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stack No.</td>
<td>Distance ranges (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>=====</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>=====</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>=====</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I) Select the highest annual/hourly ratio among all of the stacks, and then estimate the maximum annual average ambient air concentrations for each pollutant by completing the following table, where:

**FOOTNOTE:** If any stack (excluding generic stack number 1 and 11) in Step 10(D) shows a negative terrain adjusted stack height, use the complex terrain annual/hourly ratios.

**FOOTNOTE:** As an option, the user can identify the stack with the highest ratio for each distance range (rather than the absolute highest). In this case, extra sheets would be needed to show estimated annual average concentrations from each stack by multiplying emission rate times maximum hourly dispersion coefficient times maximum annual/hourly ratio for applicable distance range. Then sum across all stacks for each downwind distance.

\[
\begin{align*}
C &= \text{Maximum total hourly ambient air concentration (} \mu g/m^3) \text{ for pollutant } \text{"} \text{from Step 10(F)}, \\
C_a &= \text{Maximum annual average air concentration for pollutant } \text{"} \text{ (} \mu g/m^3), \\
R &= \text{Annual/hourly ratio.}
\end{align*}
\]
Source-Receptor Relationship

CRSTER treats up to 19 point sources, no area sources.

All point sources are assumed collocated.

User input stack height is used for each source.

User input topographic elevation is used for each receptor, but must be below top of stack or program will terminate execution.

Receptors are assumed at ground level.

Plume Behavior


Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.

For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above the source.

Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.

Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Separate set of wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used.

Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used in CRSTER with no adjustments made for variations in surface smoothness or averaging times.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for surface roughness.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggisimple terrain models and the highest concentration used. (For the simple terrain eles, terrain may have to be "chopped-off" at stack height, since these models are frequently limited to receptors no greater n stack height.)

If a violation of any NAAQS or the controlling increment is indicated by using the Valley Screening Technique, a second-
third-level screening technique may be used. A site-specific data base of at least one full year of meteorological data is terred for use with either the second- or third-level screening technique. If more data are available, they should be used.

If a violation of any NAAQS or the controlling increment is indicated by using the Valley Screening Technique, a second-
third-level screening technique may be used. A site-specific data base of at least one full year of meteorological data is terred for use with either the second- or third-level screening technique. If more data are available, they should be used.

Eorological data used in the analysis should be reviewed for both spatial and temporal representativeness.

Placement of receptors requires very careful attention when modeling in complex terrain. Often the highest concentrations are predicted to occur under very stable conditions, when the plume is near, or impinges on, the terrain. The se under such conditions may be quite narrow in the vertical, so that a change in a receptor to a location where the terrain is little as 25 meters or so higher or lower may make a substantial change in the predicted concentration. Receptors within it a kilometer of the source may be even more sensitive to location. Thus, a very dense array of receptors may be required in s cases. In order to avoid excessively large computer runs due to such a large array of receptors, it is often desirable to l the area twice. The first model run would use a moderate number of receptors carefully located over the area of interest.
Table 6.0-1: Classification of Land Use Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Urban or rural designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>Heavy Industrial</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>Light/Moderate Industrial</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Common Residential (Normal Easements)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Compact Residential (Single Family)</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Compact Residential (Multi-Family)</td>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Estate Residential (Multi-Acre Plots)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Metropolitan Natural</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>Undeveloped (Grasses/Weeds)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Undeveloped (Heavily Wooded)</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Water Surfaces</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOOTNOTE:** ¹EPA, Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised), EPA-450/2-78-027, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, July, 1986.


### 6.2 Simplified Land Use Process

The land use approach considers four primary land use types: industrial (I), commercial (C), residential (R), and agricultural (A). Within these primary classes, subclasses are identified, as shown in Table 6.0-1. The goal is to estimate the percentage of the area within a 3-km radius that is urban type and the percentage that is rural type. Industrial and commercial areas are classified as urban; agricultural areas are classified as rural.

The delineation of urban and rural areas, however, can be more difficult for the residential type areas shown in Table 6.0-1. The degree of resolution shown in Table 6.0-1 for residential areas often cannot be identified without conducting site area inspections and/or referring to zoning maps. This process can require extensive analysis, which, for many applications, can be greatly streamlined without sacrificing confidence in selecting the appropriate urban or rural classification.

The fundamental simplifying assumption is based on the premise that many applications will have clear-cut urban/rural designations, i.e., most will be in rural settings that can be definitively characterized through a brief review of topographical maps. The color coding on USGS topographical maps provides the most effective means of simplifying the typing scheme. The suggested typing designations for the color codes found on topographical maps are as follows:

- **Green**: Wooded areas (rural).
- **White**: Areas generally will be treated as rural. This code applies to areas that are unwooded and do not have densely packed structures which would require the pink code (house omission tint). Parks, industrial areas, and unforested rural land will appear as white on the topographical maps. Of these categories, only the industrial areas could potentially be classified as urban based on EPA 1986 or Auer 1978. Industrial areas can be easily identified in most cases by the characteristics shown in Figure 6.0-1. For this simplified procedure, white areas that have an industrial classification will be treated as urban areas.
### Figure 6.0-1

#### Supplementary Publication Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Single track" /></td>
<td>Line weight .005&quot;, Tie weight .003&quot;, length .04&quot;, spaced .20&quot; center to center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Single track abandoned" /></td>
<td>Same as existing track with space .02&quot;, dash .18&quot;. Label.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Single track under construction" /></td>
<td>Same as existing track with space .02&quot;, dash .38&quot;. Label UNDER CONSTRUCTION.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Multiple main line track" /></td>
<td>Overall width .017&quot;. Line weight .003&quot;, Tie length .003&quot;, spaced .20&quot; center to center. If more than two tracks label, with double cross tie at point of change. Double cross tie .017&quot; overall width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Multiple track abandoned" /></td>
<td>Same as existing track with space .02&quot;, dash .18&quot;. Label ABANDONED.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Multiple track under construction" /></td>
<td>Same as existing track with space .02&quot;, dash .38&quot;. Label UNDER CONSTRUCTION.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Juxtaposition" /></td>
<td>Alternate ties, spaced .20&quot; center to center. Minimum space between tracks .011&quot;. Line weight for single tracks .005&quot;, multiple tracks .003&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Railroad in street" /></td>
<td>Ties spaced .20&quot; center to center. Label if narrow page. Tie weight .003&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yards" /></td>
<td>Line weight .003&quot;. Space between tracks .011&quot;. Ties spaced .20&quot; center to center, maximum length to touch 8 tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sidings" /></td>
<td>Line weight .003&quot;. Scribe to scale with minimum space between tracks .011&quot;. Ties spaced .20&quot; center to center, length .04&quot; for single track.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Large buildings" /></td>
<td>Outline weight .003&quot;. When width exceeds .06&quot;, hach at 45° angle to building in NE direction. Lines .002&quot; spaced .02&quot; center to center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sewage disposal or filtration plant" /></td>
<td>Line weight .003&quot;. See symbol 700 for blue hatching. Label.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Tanks: oil, gas, water, etc." /></td>
<td>Circle .03&quot; minimum, .10&quot; maximum. Label as to content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Tanks: oil, gas, water, etc." /></td>
<td>Exceeding 10&quot; diameter. Outline weight .003&quot;. Hach SW-NE with .002&quot; lines spaced .02&quot; center to center. Label as to content.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 7.0 STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY FOR BEVILL RESIDUE DETERMINATIONS

This section describes the statistical comparison of waste-derived residue to normal residue for use in determining eligibility for the Bevill exemption under 40 CFR 266.112.

7.1 Comparison of Waste-derived Residue with Normal Residue

To meet the special criteria under § 266.112(b)(1), waste-derived residue must not contain appendix VIII. (Note that "normal" residue refers to residue generated by a facility when operating without burning hazardous waste.) The 95th percent confidence interval about the mean of the normal residue concentrations must be used in the comparison of waste-derived residue with normal residue; the confidence interval is determined as described in section 7.2 below. The concentration of a toxic constituent in the waste-derived residue is not considered to be significantly higher than in the normal residue if the concentration in the waste-derived residue does not exceed the upper 95th percent confidence interval about the mean that was established for the normal residue. Concentrations of toxic constituents in waste-derived residue are determined based on analysis of samples taken over a compositional period of not more than 24 hours.

7.2. Calculation of the 95th Percent Confidence Interval About the Mean for Toxic Constituent.s in Normal Residue

The 95th percent confidence interval about the mean is calculated for a set of values using a "t" distribution. In use of the "t" distribution, it is assumed that the values are normally distributed; the "t" distribution is applicable for use with small sample sets (i.e. approximately 10-30 samples). The 95th percent confidence interval about the mean is determined using the following equation:

95th percent confidence interval = X ± t * (s/√n)

where X = mean of the normal residue concentrations,

\[ X = \frac{\sum X_i}{n} \]

* = the level of significance = 0.05,

s = standard deviation of the normal residue concentrations,

\[ s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}} \]

and

n = sample size.

The values of the "t" distribution at the * 2 level of significance and n - 1 degrees of freedom are given in table 7.0-

For example, a normal residue test results in 10 samples with the following analysis results for toxic compound A:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Concentration of compound A (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mean and standard deviation of these measurements, calculated using equations above, are 11.5 and 2.9 respectively. Assuming that the values are normally distributed, the upper 95th percent confidence interval value about the mean is given by:

Table 7.0-1. - t Distribution Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees of freedom (n-1)</th>
<th>Percentage point of t distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= / 2 = 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95th percent confidence interval value = 11.5 + 2.262 X (2.9/10) = 13.6 ppm.

Thus, if the concentration of compound A in the waste-derived residue is below 13.6 ppm, then the waste-derived residue is eligible for the Bevill exemption for toxic compound A.

7.3 Normal Distribution Assumption

As noted in section 7.2 above, this statistical approach (use of the 95th percent confidence interval about the mean) for calculation of the concentration in normal residue is based on the assumption that the concentration data are distributed normally. The Agency is aware that concentration data of this type may not be distributed normally, particularly when concentrations are near the detection limits. There are a number of procedures that can be used to test the distribution of a data set. For example, the Shapiro-Wilk test, examination of a histogram or plot of the data on normal probability paper, and examination of the coefficient of skewness are methods that may be applicable, depending on the nature of the data (Reference 1 and 2).

If the concentration data are not adequately represented by a normal distribution, the data may be transformed to attain a near normal distribution. The Agency has found that concentration data, especially when near detection levels, are often exhibit a lognormal distribution. The assumption of a lognormal distribution has been used in various programs at EPA, such as in the Office of Solid Waste Land Disposal Restrictions program for determination of BDAT treatment standards. The transformed data may be tested for normality using the procedures identified above. If the transformed data are better represented by a normal
distribution than the untransformed data, the transformed data should be used in determining the 95th percent confidence interval using the procedures in section 7.2 above.

In all cases where the applicant for the Bevill exemption wishes to use other than an assumption of normally distributed data, or believes that use of an alternate statistical approach is appropriate to the specific data set, the applicant must provide supporting rationale and demonstrate to the Director or permitting authority that the data treatment is based upon sound statistical practice.

7.4 Nondetect Values

The Agency is developing guidance regarding the treatment of nondetect values (data where the concentration of the constituent being measured is below the lowest concentration for which the analytical method is valid) in carrying out the statistical determinations described above. Until the guidance information is available, facilities may present their own approach to the handling of nondetect data points, but must provide supporting rationale in the operating record for consideration by the Director or permitting authority.

7.5 References


SECTION 8.0 PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING DEFAULT VALUES FOR AIR POLLUTION CONTROL SYSTEM REMOVAL EFFICIENCIES

During interim status, owners or operators of boilers and industrial furnaces burning hazardous waste must submit documentation to EPA that certifies that emissions of HCl, Cl₂, metals, and particulate matter (PM) are not likely to exceed allowable emission rates. See certification of precompliance under 40 CFR 266.103(b). This documentation also establishes interim status feed rate and operating limits for the facility. For the initial certification, estimates of emissions and system removal efficiencies (SREs) can be made to establish the operating limits. Subsequently, owners or operators must use emissions testing to demonstrate that emissions do not exceed allowable levels, and to establish operating limits. See 40 CFR 266.103(c).

However, initial estimates of emissions for certification of precompliance can be based on estimated or established SREs.

The SRE combines the effect of partitioning of the chlorine, metals, or PM and the air pollution control system removal efficiency (APCS RE) for these pollutants. The SRE is defined as:

\[
\text{SRE} = \frac{\text{species input-species emitted}}{\text{species input}}
\]

The SRE can be calculated from the partitioning factor (PF) and APCS RE by the following formula:

\[
\text{SRE} = 1 - \left(\frac{\text{PF}}{100}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{\text{APCS RE}}{100}\right)
\]

where:

PF = percentage of the pollutant partitioned to the combustion gas

Guidelines for the use of engineering judgement to estimate APCS SREs or PFs are described in section 9.4.

8.1 APCS RE Default Values for Metals

EPA's default assumptions for APCS RE for metals are shown in Table 8.1-1. The default values in the table are conservative estimates of the removal efficiencies for metals in BIFs, depending on the volatility of the metal and the type of APCS.

The volatility of a metal depends on the temperature, the thermal input, the chlorine content of the waste, and the identity and concentration of the metal. Metals that do not vaporize at combustion zone temperatures are classified as "nonvolatile." Such metals typically enter the APCS in the form of large particles that are removed relatively easily. Metals that vaporize in the combustion zone and condense before entering the APCS are classified as "volatile." Such metals typically enter the APCS in the form of very fine, submicron particles that are rather inefficiently removed in many APCSs. Metals that vaporize in the combustion zone and do not condense before entering the APCS are classified as "very volatile." Such metals enter the APCS in the form of a vapor that is very inefficiently removed in many APCSs.
Typically, BIFs have combustion zone temperatures high enough to vaporize any hazardous metal at concentrations sufficient to exceed risk-based emission limits. For this reason, the default assumption is that there are no nonvolatile metals. Tables 8.1-2 and 8.1-3 are used to determine whether metals are classified as "volatile" or "very volatile" depending on the temperature entering the APCS, the thermal input, and whether the waste is chlorinated or nonchlorinated.

Table 8.1-1.-Air Pollution Control Systems (APCS) and Their Conservatively Estimated Efficiencies for Controlling Toxic Metals (%)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APCS</th>
<th>Nonvolatile</th>
<th>Volatile</th>
<th>Very Volatile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS-20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS-60</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP-1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP-2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP-4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESP</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD/FF</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS/FF</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWS</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WS = Wet Scrubber including: Sieve Tray Tower, Packed Tower, Bubble Cap Tower
VS-20 = Venturi Scrubber, ca. 20-30 in W.G. Aq
VS.60 = Venturi Scrubber, ca. >60 in W.G. Aq
ESP-1 = Electrostatic Precipitator; 1 stage
ESP-2 = Electrostatic Precipitator; 2 stage
ESP-4 = Electrostatic Precipitator; 4 stage
IWS = Ionizing Wet Scrubber
DS = Dry Scrubber
FF = Fabric Filter (Baghouse)
SD = Spray Dryer (Wet/Dry Scrubber)
WESP = Wet Electrostatic Precipitator
Table 8.1-2.-Temperature (F) Entering APCS Above Which Metals Are Classified as Very Volatile in Combustion of Nonchlorinated Wastes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Thermal Input (MMBtu/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: Interpolation of thermal input is not allowed. If a BIF fires between two ranges, the APCS temperature under the higher thermal input must be used.

Example: For a BIF firing 10-100 MMBtu/hr, Mercury is considered very volatile at APCS temperatures above 260 F and volatile at APCS temperatures of 260 F and below.

Table 8.1-3.-Temperature (F) Entering APCS Above Which Metals Are Classified as Very Volatile in Combustion of Chlorinated Wastes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Thermal Input (MMBtu/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTE: Interpolation of thermal input is not allowed. If a BIF fires between two ranges, the APCS temperature under the higher thermal input must be used.

Example: For a BIF firing 10-100 MMBtu/hr, Mercury is considered very volatile at APCS temperatures above 260 F and volatile at APCS temperatures of 260 F and below.
A waste is considered chlorinated if chlorine is present in concentrations greater than 0.1 percent by weight. In the EPA guidance document "Guidance for Metals and Hydrogen Chloride Controls for Hazardous Waste Incinerators, Volume IV of the Hazardous Waste Incineration Guidance Series," (1) one percent is used for the chlorinated/nonchlorinated cutoff. However, best engineering judgement, based on examination of pilot-scale data reported by Carroll et al. (2) on the effects of waste chlorine content on metals emissions, suggests that the 1 percent cutoff may not be sufficiently conservative.

Tables 8.1-2 and 8.1-3 were compiled based on equilibrium calculations. Metals are classified as very volatile at all temperatures above the temperature at which the vapor pressure of the metal is greater than 10 percent of the vapor pressure that results in emissions exceeding the most conservative risk-based emissions limits.

### 8.2 APCS RE Default Values for HCl and Cl₂

Default assumptions for APCS RE for HCl in BIFs are shown in Table 8.2-1. This table is identical to the column for other BIFs except that cement kilns have a minimum HCl removal efficiency of 83 percent. Because of the alkaline nature of the raw materials in cement kilns, most of the chlorine is converted to chloride salts. Thus, the minimum APCS RE for HCl for cement kilns is independent of the APCS train.

Removal efficiency of Cl₂ for most types of APCS is generally minimal. Therefore, the default assumption for APCS RE for Cl₂ for all APCSs is 0 percent. This is applicable to all BIFs, including cement kilns.

### 8.3 APCS RE Default Values for Ash

Default assumptions for APCS RE for PM are also shown in Table 8.1-4. These figures are conservative estimates of PM removal efficiencies for different types of APCSs. They are identical to the figures in the Nonvolatile APCS RE column for hazardous metals presented in Table 8.1-1 because the same collection mechanisms and collection efficiencies that apply to nonvolatile metals also apply to PM.

Table 8.2-1. Air Pollution Control Systems (APCS) and Their conservatively Estimated Efficiencies for Removing Hydrogen Chloride (HCl) and Particulate Matter (PM) (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APCS</th>
<th>Cement kilns</th>
<th>Other BIFs</th>
<th>PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS-20</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS-60</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP-1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP-2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP-4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESP</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD/FF</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS/FF</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS/IWS</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWS</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WS = Wet Scrubber including: Sieve Tray Tower, Packed Tower, Bubble Cap Tower

PS = Proprietary Wet Scrubber Design (A number of proprietary wet scrubbers have come on the market in recent years that are highly efficient on both particulates and corrosive gases. Two such units are offered by Calvert Environmental Equipment Co. and by Hydro-Sonic Systems, Inc.).

VS-20 = Venturi Scrubber, ca. 20-30 in W.C. Apr
VS-60 = Venturi Scrubber, ca. >60 in W.C. Apr
ESP-1 = Electrostatic Precipitator; 1 stage
ESP-2 = Electrostatic Precipitator; 2 stage
ESP-4 = Electrostatic Precipitator; 4 stage
IWS = Ionizing Wet Scrubber
9.4 References


SECTION 9.0 PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING DEFAULT VALUES FOR PARTITIONING OF METALS, ASH, AND TOTAL CHLORIDE/CHLORINE

Pollutant partitioning factor estimates can come from two sources: default assumptions or engineering judgement. EPA's default assumptions are discussed below for metals, HCl, Cl₂, and PM. The default assumptions are used to conservatively predict the partitioning factor for several types of BIFs. Engineering judgement-based partitioning factor estimates are discussed in section 9.4.

9.1 Partitioning Default Value for Metals

To be conservative, the Agency is assuming that 100 percent of each metal in each feed stream is partitioned to the combustion gas. Owners/operators may use this default value or a supportable, site-specific value developed following the general guidelines provided in section 9.4.

9.2 Special Procedures for Chlorine, HCl, and Cl₂

The Agency has established the special procedures presented below for chlorine because the emission limits are based on the pollutants HCl and Cl₂ formed from chlorine fed to the combustor. Therefore, the owner/operator must estimate the controlled emission rate of both HCl and Cl₂ and show that they do not exceed allowable levels.

1. The default partitioning value for the fraction of chlorine in the total feed streams that is partitioned to combustion gas is 100 percent. Owners/operators may use this default value or a supportable, site-specific value developed following the general guidelines provided in section 9.4.

2. To determine the partitioning of chlorine in the combustion gas to HCl versus Cl₂, either use the default values below or use supportable site-specific values developed following the general guidelines provided in section 9.4.

* For BIFs excluding halogen acid furnaces (HAFs), with a total feed stream chlorine/hydrogen ratio >0.95, the default partitioning factor is 20 percent Cl₂, 80 percent HCl.

* For HAFs and for BIFs with a total feed stream chlorine/hydrogen ratio >0.95, the default partitioning factor is 100 percent Cl₂.

3. To determine the uncontrolled (i.e., prior to acid gas APCS) emission rate of HCl and Cl₂, multiply the feed rate of chlorine times the partitioning factor for each pollutant. Then, for HCl, convert the chlorine emission rate to HCl by multiplying it by the ratio of the molecular weight of HCl to the molecular weight of Cl (i.e., 36.5/35.5). No conversion is needed for Cl₂.

9.3 Special Procedures for Ash

This section: (1) Explains why ash feed rate limits are not applicable to cement and light-weight aggregate kilns; (2) presents the default partitioning values for ash; and (3) explains how to convert the 0.08 gr/dscf, corrected to 7% O₂, PM emission limit to a PM emission rate.

Waiver for Cement and Light-Weight Aggregate Kilns. For cement kilns and light-weight aggregate kilns, raw material feed streams contain the vast majority of the ash input, and a significant amount of the ash in the feed stream is entrained into the kiln exhaust gas. For these devices, the ash content of the hazardous waste stream is expected to have a negligible effect on total ash emissions. For this reason, there is no ash feed rate compliance limit for cement kilns or light-weight aggregate kilns. Nonetheless, cement kilns and light-weight aggregate kilns are required to initially certify that PM emissions are not likely to exceed the PM limit, and subsequently, certify through compliance testing that the PM limit is not exceeded.

Default Partitioning Value for Ash. The default assumption for partitioning of ash depends on the feed stream firing system. There are two methods by which materials may be fired into BIFs: Suspension-firing and bed-firing.
The suspension category includes atomized and lanced pumpable liquids and suspension-fired pulverized solids. The default partitioning assumption for materials fired by these systems is that 100 percent of the ash partitions to the combustion gas.

The bed-fired category consists principally of stoker boilers and raw materials (and in some cases containerized hazardous waste) fed into cement and light-weight aggregate kilns. The default partitioning assumption for materials fired on a bed is that 5 percent of the ash partitions to the combustion gas.

Converting the PM Concentration-Based Standard to a PM Mass Emission Rate. The emission limit for BIFs is 0.08 gr/dscf, corrected to 7A O2, unless a more stringent standard applies [e.g., a New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) or a State standard implemented under the State Implementation Plan (SIP)]. To convert the 0.08 gr/dscf standard to a PM mass emission rate:

1. Determine the flue gas O2 concentration (percent by volume, dry) and flue gas flow rate (dry standard cubic feet per minute); and

2. Calculate the allowable PM mass emission rate by multiplying the concentration-based PM emission standard times the flue gas flow rate times a dilution correction factor equal to \( \frac{(21-O_2 \text{ concentration from step 1})}{(21-7)} \).

9.4 Use of Engineering Judgement To Estimate Partitioning and APCS RE Values

Engineering judgement may be used in place of EPA's conservative default assumptions to estimate partitioning and APCS RE values provided that the engineering judgement is defensible and properly documented. To properly document engineering judgement, the owner/operator must keep a written record of all assumptions and calculations necessary to justify the APCS RE used. The owner/operator must provide this record to the Director upon request and must be prepared to defend the assumptions and calculations used.

If the engineering judgement is based on emissions testing, the testing will often document the emission rate of a pollutant relative to the feed rate of that pollutant rather than the partitioning factor or APCS RE.

Examples of situations where the use of engineering judgement may be supportable to estimate a partitioning factor, APCS RE, or SRE include:

* Using emissions testing data from the facility to support an SRE, even though the testing may not meet full QA/QC procedures (e.g., triplicate test runs). The closer the test results conform with full QA/QC procedures and the closer the operating conditions during the test conform with the established operating conditions for the facility, the more supportable the engineering judgement will be.

* Applying emissions testing data documenting an SRE for one metal, including nonhazardous surrogate metals to another less volatile metal.

* Applying emissions testing data documenting an SRE from one facility to a similar facility.

* Using APCS vendor guarantees of removal efficiency.

9.5 Restrictions on Use of Test Data

The measurement of an SRE or an APCS RE may be limited by the detection limits of the measurement technique. If the emission of a pollutant is undetectable, then the calculation of SRE or APCS RE should be based on the lower limit of detectability. An SRE or APCS RE of 100 percent is not acceptable.

Further, mass balance data of facility inputs, emissions, and products/residues may not be used to support a partitioning factor, given the inherent uncertainties of such procedures. Partitioning factors other than the default values may be support based on engineering judgement, considering, for example, process chemistry. Emissions test data may be used to support an engineering judgement-based SRE, which includes both partitioning and APCS RE.

9.5 References


SECTION 10.0 ALTERNATIVE METHODOLOGY FOR IMPLEMENTING METALS CONTROLS

10.1 Applicability

This method for controlling metals emissions applies to cement kilns and other industrial furnaces operating under interim status that recycle emission control residue back into the furnace.
10.2 Introduction

Under this method, cement kilns and other industrial furnaces that recycle emission control residue back into the furnace must comply with a kiln dust concentration limit (i.e., a collected particulate matter (PM) limit) for each metal, as well as limits on the maximum feedrates of each of the metals in: (1) pumpable hazardous waste, and (2) all hazardous waste. The following subsections describe how this method for controlling metals emissions is to be implemented:

* Subsection 10.3 discusses the basis of the method and the assumptions upon which it is founded;
* Subsection 10.4 provides an overview of the implementation of the method;
* Subsection 10.5 is a step-by-step procedure for implementation of the method;
* Subsection 10.6 describes the compliance procedures for this method; and
* Appendix A describes the statistical calculations and tests to be used in the method.

10.3 Basis

The viability of this method depends on three fundamental assumptions:

(1) Variations in the ratio of the metal concentration in the emitted particulate to the metal concentration in the collected kiln dust (referred to as the enrichment factor or EF) for any given metal at any given facility will fall within a normal distribution that can be experimentally determined.

(2) The metal concentrations in the collected kiln dust can be accurately and representatively measured (using procedures specified in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods" (SW-846), incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 266.1).

(3) The facility will remain in compliance with the applicable particulate matter (PM) emission standard.

Given these assumptions, metal emissions can be related to the measured concentrations in the collected kiln dust by the following equation:

\[
\text{ME} \left( \frac{\text{lb Emitted Metal}}{\text{hr}} \right) = \frac{\text{PME} \left( \frac{\text{lb PM}}{\text{hr}} \right) \cdot \text{DMC} \left( \frac{\text{lb Dust Metal}}{\text{lb Dust}} \right) \cdot \text{EF} \left( \frac{\text{lb Emitted Metal/lb PM}}{\text{lb Dust Metal/lb Dust}} \right)}{\text{hr}}
\]  

Where:

- ME is the metal emitted;
- PME is the particulate matter emitted;
- DMC is the metal concentration in the collected kiln dust; and
- EF is the enrichment factor, which is the ratio of the metal concentration in the emitted particulate matter to the metal concentration in the collected kiln dust.

This equation can be rearranged to calculate a maximum allowable dust metal concentration limit (DMCL) by assuming worst-case conditions that: metal emissions are at the Tier III (or Tier II) limit (see 40 CFR 266.106), and that particulate emissions are at the particulate matter limit (PML):

\[
\text{DMCL} \left( \frac{\text{lb Dust Metal}}{\text{lb Dust}} \right) = \frac{\text{Tier III Limit} \left( \frac{\text{lb Emitted Metal}}{\text{hr}} \right)}{\text{PML} \left( \frac{\text{lb PM}}{\text{hr}} \right) \cdot \text{EF} \left( \frac{\text{lb Emitted Metal/lb PM}}{\text{lb Dust Metal/lb Dust}} \right)}
\]  

The enrichment factor used in the above equation must be determined experimentally from a minimum of 10 tests in which metal concentrations are measured in kiln dust and stack samples taken simultaneously. This approach provides a range of enrichment factors that can be inserted into a statistical distribution (t-distribution) to determine EF_{95\%} and EF_{99\%}. EF_{95\%} is the value at which there is a 95% confidence level that the enrichment factor is below this value at any given time. Similarly, EF_{99\%} is the value at which there is a 99% confidence level that the enrichment factor is below this value at any given time. EF_{95\%} is used to calculate the "violation" dust metal concentration limit (DMCL_v).


If the kiln dust metal concentration is just above this "violation" limit, and the PM emissions are at the PM emissions limit, there is a 5% chance that the metal emissions are above the Tier III limit. In such a case, the facility would be in violation of the metal standard.

To provide a margin of safety, a second, more conservative kiln dust metal concentration limit is also used. This "conservative" dust metal concentration limit (DMCLc) is calculated using a "safe" enrichment factor (SEF). If EF99% is greater than two times the value of EF95%, the "safe" enrichment factor can be calculated using Equation 4a:

\[ \text{SEF} > 2 \times \text{EF}_95\% \quad (4a) \]

If EF99% is not greater than two times the value of EF95%, the "safe" enrichment factor can be calculated using Equation 4b:

\[ \text{SEF} > \text{EF}_95\% \quad (4b) \]

In cases where the enrichment factor cannot be determined because the kiln dust metal concentration is nondetectable, the "safe" enrichment factor is as follows:

\[ \text{SEF} = 100 \quad (4c) \]

For all cases, the "conservative" dust metal concentration limit is calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{DMCL}_c = 10 \times \text{DMCL}_c \quad (5) \]

If the kiln dust metal concentration at a facility is just above the "conservative" limit based on that "safe" enrichment factor provided in Equation 4a, and the PM emissions are at the PM emissions limit, there is a 5% chance that the metal emissions are above one-half the Tier III limit. If the kiln dust metal concentration at the facility is just above the "conservative" limit based on the "safe" enrichment factor provided in Equation 4b, and the PM emissions are at the PM emissions limit, there is a 1% chance that the metal emissions are above the Tier III limit. In either case, the facility would be unacceptable close to a violation. If this situation occurs more than 5% of the time, the facility would be required to rerun the series of 10 tests to determine the enrichment factor. To avoid this expense, the facility would be advised to reduce its metals feedrates or to take other appropriate measures to maintain its kiln dust metal concentrations in compliance with the "conservative" dust metal concentration limits.

In cases where the enrichment factor cannot be determined because the kiln dust metal concentration is nondetectable, and thus no EF95% exists, the "violation" dust metal concentration limit is set at ten times the "conservative" limit:

\[ \text{DMCL}_v \quad (6) \]

10.4 Overview

The flowchart for implementing the method is shown in Figure 10.4-1. The general procedure is as follows:

* Follow the certification of precompliance procedures described in subsection 10.6 (to comply with 40 CFR 266.103(b)).

* For each metal of concern, perform a series of tests to establish the relationship (enrichment factor) between the concentration of emitted metal and the metal concentration in the collected kiln dust.

* Use the demonstrated enrichment factor, in combination with the Tier III (or Tier II) metal emission limit and the most stringent applicable particulate emission limit, to calculate the "violation" and "conservative" dust metal concentration limits. Include this information with the certification of compliance under 40 CFR 266.103(c).
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* Perform daily and/or weekly monitoring of the cement kiln dust metal concentration to ensure (with appropriate QA/QC) that the metal concentration does not exceed either limit. If the cement kiln dust metal concentration exceeds the "conservative" limit more than 5% of the time (i.e., more than three failures in last 60 tests), the series of tests to determine the enrichment factor must be repeated. If the cement kiln dust metal concentration exceeds the "violation" limit, a violation has occurred.

* Perform quarterly tests to verify that the enrichment factor has not increased significantly. If the enrichment factor has increased, the series of tests to determine the enrichment factor must be repeated.

10.5 Implementation Procedures

A step-by-step description for implementing the method is provided below:

(1) Prepare initial limits and test plans.

* Determine the Tier III metal emission limit. The Tier II metal emission limit may also be used (see 40 CFR 266.106).

* Determine the applicable PM emission standard. This standard is the most stringent particulate emission standard that applies to the facility. A facility may elect to restrict itself to an even more stringent self-imposed PM emission standard, particularly if the facility finds that it is easier to control particulate emissions than to reduce the kiln dust concentration of a certain metal (i.e., lead).

* Determine which metals need to be monitored (i.e., all hazardous metals for which Tier III emission limits are lower than PM emission limits-assuming PM is pure metal).

* Follow the compliance procedures described in Subsection 10.6.

* Follow the guidelines described in SW-846 for preparing test plans and waste analysis plans for the following tests:

  - Compliance tests to determine limits on metal feedrates in pumpable hazardous wastes and in all hazardous wastes (as well as to determine other compliance parameters);
  - Initial tests to determine enrichment factors;
  - Quarterly tests to verify enrichment factors;
  - Analysis of hazardous waste feedstreams; and
  - Daily and/or weekly monitoring of kiln dust for continuing compliance.

(2) Conduct tests to determine the enrichment factor.

* These tests must be conducted within a 14-day period. No more than two tests may be conducted in any single day. If the tests are not completed within a 14-day period, they must be repeated.

* Simultaneous stack samples and kiln dust samples must be taken.

  - Stack sampling must be conducted with the multiple metals train according to procedures provided in section 10.3 of this Methods Manual.
  - Kiln dust sampling must be conducted as follows:
    - Follow the sampling and analytical procedures described in SW-846 and the waste analysis plan as they pertain to the condition and accessibility of the dust.
    - Samples should be representative of the last ESP or Fabric Filter in the APCS series.

  * The feedrates of hazardous metals in all pumpable hazardous waste streams and in all hazardous waste streams must be monitored during these tests. It is recommended (but not required) that the feedrates of hazardous metals in all feedstreams also be monitored.

  * At least ten single (noncomposited) runs are required during the tests.

  The facility must follow a normal schedule of kiln dust recharging for all of the tests.
Three of the first five tests must be compliance tests in conformance with 40 CFR 266.103(c); i.e., they must be used to determine maximum allowable feedrates of metals in pumpable hazardous wastes, and in all hazardous wastes, as well as to determine other compliance limits (see 40 CFR 266.103(c)(1)).

The remainder of the tests need not be conducted under full compliance test conditions; however, the facility must operate at its compliance test production rate, and it must burn hazardous waste during these tests such that the feedrate of each metal for pumpable and total hazardous wastes is at least 25% of the feedrate during compliance testing. If these criteria, and those discussed below, are not met for any parameter during a test, then either the test is not valid for determining enrichment factors under this method, or the compliance limits for that parameter must be established based on these test conditions rather than on the compliance test conditions.

* Verify that compliance emission limits are not exceeded.

* Metal emissions must not exceed Tier III (or Tier II) limits.

* PM emissions must not exceed the most stringent of applicable PM standards (or an optional self-imposed particulate standard).

* The facility must generate normal, marketable product using normal raw materials and fuels under normal operating conditions (for parameters other than those specified under this method) when these tests are conducted.

* Chromium must be treated as a special case:

   The enrichment factor for total chromium is calculated in the same way as the enrichment factor for other metals (i.e., the enrichment factor is the ratio of the concentration of total chromium in the emitted particulate matter to the concentration of total chromium in the collected kiln dust).

   The enrichment factor for hexavalent chromium (if measured) is defined as the ratio of the concentration of hexavalent chromium in the emitted particulate matter to the concentration of total chromium in the collected kiln dust.

   (3) Use the enrichment factors measured in Step 2 to determine \( EF_{95\%} \), \( EF_{99\%} \), and \( SEF \).

* Calculate \( EF_{95\%} \) and \( EF_{99\%} \) according to the t-distribution as described in Appendix A

* Calculate \( SEF \) by

   Equation 4a if \( EF_{95\%} \) is determinable and if \( EF_{99\%} \) is greater than two times \( EF_{95\%} \).

   Equation 4b if \( EF_{95\%} \) is determinable and if \( EF_{99\%} \) is not greater than two times \( EF_{95\%} \).

   Equation 4c if \( EF_{95\%} \) is not determinable.

The facility may choose to set an even more conservative \( SEF \) to give itself a larger margin of safety between the point where corrective action is necessary and the point where a violation occurs.

(4) Prepare certification of compliance.

* Calculate the "conservative" dust metal concentration limit (DMCL\(_c\)) using Equation 5.

Chromium is treated as a special case. The "conservative" kiln dust chromium concentration limit is set for total chromium, not for hexavalent chromium. The limit for total chromium must be calculated using the Tier III (or Tier II) metal limit for hexavalent chromium.

If the stack samples described in Step 2 were analyzed for hexavalent chromium, the \( SEF \) based on the hexavalent chromium enrichment factors (as defined in Step 2) must be used in this calculation.

If the stack samples were not analyzed for hexavalent chromium, then the \( SEF \) based on the total chromium enrichment factor must be used in this calculation.

* Calculate the "violation" dust metal concentration limit (DMCL\(_v\)) using Equation 3 if \( EF_{95\%} \) is determinable, or using Equation 6 if \( EF_{95\%} \) is not determinable.

Chromium is treated as a special case. The "violation" kiln dust chromium concentration limit is set for total chromium, not for hexavalent chromium. The limit for total chromium must be calculated using the Tier III (or Tier II) metal limit for hexavalent chromium.
If the stack samples taken in Step 2 were analyzed for hexavalent chromium, the EF$_{95\%}$ based on the hexavalent chromium enrichment factor (as defined in Step 2) should be used in this calculation.

If the stack samples were not analyzed for hexavalent chromium, the EF$_{95\%}$ based on the total chromium enrichment factor must be used in this calculation.

* Submit certification of compliance.

* Steps 2-4 must be repeated for recertification, which is required once every 3 years (see § 266.103(d)).

(5) Monitor metal concentrations in kiln dust for continuing compliance, and maintain compliance with all compliance limits for the duration of interim status.

* Metals to be monitored during compliance testing are classified as either "critical" or "noncritical" metals.

* All metals must initially be classified as "critical" metals and be monitored on a daily basis.

* A "critical" metal may be reclassified as a "noncritical" metal if its concentration in the kiln dust remains below 10% of its "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit for 30 consecutive daily samples. "Noncritical" metals must be monitored on a weekly basis.

* A "noncritical" metal must be reclassified as a "critical" metal if its concentration in the kiln dust is above 10% of its "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit for any single daily or weekly sample.

* Noncompliance with the sampling and analysis schedule prescribed by this method is a violation of the metals controls under § 266.103.

* Follow the sampling, compositing, and analytical procedures described in this method and in SW-846 as they pertain to the condition and accessibility of the kiln dust.

* Follow the same procedures and sample at the same locations as were used for kiln dust samples collected to determine the enrichment factors (as discussed in Step 2).

* Samples must be collected at least once every 8 hours, and a daily composite must be prepared according to SW-846 procedures.

* At least one composite sample is required. This sample is referred to as the "required" sample.

* For QA/QC purposes, a facility may elect to collect two or more additional samples. These samples are referred to as the "spare" samples. These additional samples must be collected over the same time period and according to the same procedures as those used for the "required" sample.

* Samples for "critical" metals must be daily composites.

* Samples for "noncritical" metals must be weekly composites. These samples can be composites of the original 8-hour samples, or they can be composites of daily composite samples.

* Analyze the "required" sample to determine the concentration of each metal.

* This analysis must be completed within 48 hours of the close of the sampling period. Failure to meet this schedule is a violation of the metals standards of § 266.103.

* If the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit is exceeded for any metal, refer to Step 8.

* If the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit is not exceeded, continue with the daily or weekly monitoring (Step 5) for the duration of interim status.

* Conduct quarterly enrichment factor verification tests, as described in Step 6.

(6) Conduct quarterly enrichment factor verification tests.

* After certification of compliance with the metals standards, a facility must conduct quarterly enrichment factor verification tests every three months for the duration of interim status. The first quarterly test must be completed within three months of certification (or recertification). Each subsequent quarterly test must be completed within three months of the preceding quarterly test. Failure to meet this schedule is a violation.

* Simultaneous stack samples and kiln dust samples must be collected.
* Follow the same procedures and sample at the same locations as were used for kiln dust samples and stack samples collected to determine the enrichment factors (as discussed in Step 2).

* At least three single (noncomposited) runs are required. These tests need not be conducted under the operating conditions of the initial compliance test; however, the facility must operate under the following conditions:
  - It must operate at compliance test production rate.
  - It must burn hazardous waste during the test, and for the 2-day period immediately preceding the test, such that the feedrate of each metal for pumpable and total hazardous wastes consist of at least 25% of the operating limits established during the compliance test.
  - It must remain in compliance with all compliance parameters (see § 266.103(c)(1)).
  - It must follow a normal schedule of kiln dust recharging.
  - It must generate normal marketable product from normal raw materials during the tests.

(7) Conduct a statistical test to determine if the enrichment factors measured in the quarterly verification tests have increased significantly from the enrichment factors determined in the tests conducted in Step 2. The enrichment factors have increased significantly if all three of the following criteria are met:

* By applying the t-test described in appendix A, it is determined that the enrichment factors measured in the quarterly tests are not taken from the same population as the enrichment factors measured in the Step 2 tests;

* The EF_{95%} calculated for the combined data sets (i.e., the quarterly test data and the original Step 2 test data) according to the t-distribution (described in appendix A) is more than 10% higher than the EF_{95%} based on the enrichment factors previously measured in Step 2; and

* The highest measured kiln dust metal concentration recorded in the previous quarter is more than 10% of the "violation" kiln dust concentration limit that would be calculated from the combined EF_{95%}.

If the enrichment factors have increased significantly, the tests to determine the enrichment factors must be repeated (refer to Step 11). If the enrichment factors have not increased significantly, continue to use the kiln dust metal concentration limits based on the enrichment factors previously measured in Step 2, and continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring described in Step 5.

(8) If the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit was exceeded for any metal in any single analysis of the "required" kiln dust sample, the "spare" samples corresponding to the same period may be analyzed to determine if the exceedance was due to a sampling or analysis error.

* If no "spare" samples were taken, refer to Step 9.

* If the average of all the samples for a given day (or week, as applicable) (including the "required" sample and the "spare" samples) does not exceed the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit, no corrective measures are necessary; continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring as described in Step 5.

* If the average of all the samples for a given day (or week, as applicable) exceeds the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit, but the average of the "spare" samples is below the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit, apply the Q-test, described in appendix A, to determine whether the "required" sample concentration can be judged as an outlier.

  - If the "required" sample concentration is judged an outlier, no corrective measures are necessary; continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring described in Step 5.

  - If the "required" sample concentration is not judged an outlier, refer to Step 9.

(9) Determine if the "violation" kiln dust metal concentration has been exceeded based on either the average of all the samples collected during the 24-hour period in question, or if discarding an outlier can be statistically justified by the Q-test described in appendix A, on the average of the remaining samples.

* If the "violation" kiln dust metal concentration limit has been exceeded, a violation of the metals controls under § 266.103(c) has occurred. Notify the Director that a violation has occurred. Hazardous waste may be burned for testing purposes for up to 720 operating hours to support a revised certification of compliance. Note that the Director may grant an extension of the hours of hazardous waste burning under § 266.103(c)(7) if additional burning time is needed to support a revised certification for reasons beyond the control of the owner or operator. Until a revised certification of compliance is submitted to the Director, the feedrate of the metals in violation in total and pumpable hazardous waste feeds is limited to 50% of the previous compliance test limits.
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* If the "violation" kiln dust metal concentration has not been exceeded:
  - If the exceedance occurred in a daily composite sample, refer to Step 10.
  - If the exceedance occurred in a weekly composite sample, refer to Step 11.

(10) Determine if the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit has been exceeded more than three times in the last 60 days.

  * If not, log this exceedance and continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring (Step 5).
  * If so, the tests to determine the enrichment factors must be repeated (refer to Step 11).

  * If the enrichment factors are determined separately for each metal; For example,
    * Three exceedances for each of the ten hazardous metals are allowed within any 60-day period.
    * Four exceedances of any single metal in any 60-day period is not allowed.

  * This determination should be made daily, beginning on the first day of daily monitoring. For example, if four exceedances of any single metal occur in the first four days of daily monitoring, do not wait until the end of the 60-day period; refer immediately to Step 11.

  (11) The tests to determine the enrichment factor must be repeated if: (1) More than three exceedances of the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit occur within any 60 consecutive daily samples; (2) an excursion of the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit occurs in any weekly sample; or (3) a quarterly test indicates that the enrichment factors have increased significantly.

    * The facility must notify the Director if these tests must be repeated.

    * The facility has up to 720 hazardous-waste-burning hours to redetermine the enrichment factors for the metal or metals in question and to recertify (beginning with a return to Step 2). During this period, the facility must reduce the feed rate of the metal in violation by 50%. If the facility has not completed the recertification process within this period, it must stop burning or obtain an extension. Hazardous waste burning may resume only when the recertification process (ending with Step 4) has been completed.

      * Meanwhile, the facility must continue with daily kiln dust metals monitoring (Step 5) and must remain in compliance with the "violation" kiln dust metal concentration limits (Step 9).

10.6 Precompliance Procedures

Cement kilns and other industrial furnaces that recycle emission control residue back into the furnace must comply with the same certification schedules and procedures (with few exceptions described below) that apply to other boilers and industrial furnaces. These schedules and procedures, as set forth in § 266.103, require no later than the effective date of the rule, each facility submit a certification which establishes precompliance limits for a number of compliance parameters (see § 266.103(b)(3)), and that each facility immediately begin to operate under these limits.

These precompliance limits must ensure that interim status emissions limits for hazardous metals, particulate matter, HCl, and Cl₂ are not likely to be exceeded. Determination of the values of the precompliance limits must be made based on either (1) conservative default assumptions provided in this Methods Manual, or (2) engineering judgement.

The flowchart for implementing the precompliance procedures is shown in Figure 10.6-1. The step-by-step precompliance implementation procedure is described below. The precompliance implementation procedures and numbering scheme are similar to those used for the compliance procedures described in Subsection 10.5.

(1) Prepare initial limits and test plans.

  * Determine the Tier III metal emission limit. The Tier II metal emission limit may also be used (see 40 CFR 266.106).

  * Determine the applicable PM emission standard. This standard is the most stringent particulate emission standard that applies to the facility. A facility may elect to restrict itself to an even more stringent self-imposed PM emission standard, particularly if the facility finds that it is easier to control particulate emissions than to reduce the kiln dust concentration of a certain metal (i.e., lead).

  * Determine which metals need to be monitored (i.e., all hazardous metals for which Tier III emission limits are lower than PM emission limits, assuming PM is pure metal).
Follow the procedures described in SW-846 for preparing waste analysis plans for the following tasks:

- Analysis of hazardous waste feedstreams.
- Daily and/or weekly monitoring of kiln dust concentrations for continuing compliance.

(2) Determine the "safe" enrichment factor for precompliance. In this context, the "safe" enrichment factor is a conservatively high estimate of the enrichment factor (the ratio of the emitted metal concentration to the metal concentration in the collected kiln dust). The "safe" enrichment factor must be calculated from either conservative default values, or engineering judgement.
Figure 10.6-1
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* Conservative default values for the "safe" enrichment factor are as follows:
  - SEF = 10 for all hazardous metals except mercury. SEF = 10 for antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, silver, and thallium.
  - SEF = 100 for mercury.

* Engineering judgement may be used in place of conservative default assumptions provided that the engineering judgement is defensible and properly documented. The facility must keep a written record of all assumptions and calculations necessary to justify the SEF. The facility must provide this record to EPA upon request and must be prepared to defend these assumptions and calculations.

Examples of situations where the use of engineering judgement is appropriate include:
- Use of data from precompliance tests;
- Use of data from previous compliance tests; and
- Use of data from similar facilities.

[3] This step does not apply to precompliance procedures.


* Submit certification of precompliance. This certification must include precompliance limits for all compliance parameters that apply to other boilers and industrial furnaces (i.e., those that do not recycle emission control residue back into the furnace) as listed in § 266.103(b)(3), except that it is not necessary to set precompliance limits on maximum feedrate of each hazardous metal in all combined feedstreams.

* Furnaces that recycle collected PM back into the furnace (and that elect to comply with this method (see § 266.103(c)(3)(11)) are subject to a special precompliance parameter, however. They must establish precompliance limits on the maximum concentration of each hazardous metal in collected kiln dust. (which must be set according to the procedures described above).

[5] Monitor metal concentration in kiln dust for continuing compliance, and maintain compliance with all precompliance limits until certification of compliance has been submitted.

* Metals to be monitored during precompliance testing are classified as either "critical" or "noncritical" metals.

  All metals must initially be classified as "critical" metals and be monitored on a daily basis.

  A "critical" metal may be reclassified as a "noncritical" metal if its concentration in the kiln dust remains below 10% of its "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit for 30 consecutive daily samples. "Noncritical" metals must be monitored on a weekly basis, at a minimum.

  A "noncritical" metal must be reclassified as a "critical" metal if its concentration in the kiln dust is above 10% of its "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit for any single daily or weekly sample.

  * It is a violation if the facility fails to analyze the kiln dust for any "critical" metal on any single day or for any "noncritical" metal during any single week, when hazardous waste is burned.

  * Follow the sampling, compositing, and analytical procedures described in this method and in SW-846 as they pertain to the condition and accessibility of the kiln dust.

  * Samples must be collected at least once every 8 hours, and a daily composite prepared according to SW-846 procedures.

  * Samples for "critical" metals must be daily composites.
Samples for "noncritical" metals must be weekly composites, at a minimum. These samples can be composites of the original 8-hour samples, or they can be composites of daily composite samples.

* Analyze the "required" sample to determine the concentration of each metal.

This analysis must be completed within 48 hours of the close of the sampling period. Failure to meet this schedule is a violation.

* If the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit is exceeded for any metal, refer to Step 8.

* If the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit is not exceeded, continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring (Step 5) for the duration of interim status.

(6) This step does not apply to precompliance procedures.

(7) This step does not apply to precompliance procedures.

(8) If the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit was exceeded for any metal in any single analysis of the "required" kiln dust sample, the "spare" samples corresponding to the same period may be analyzed to determine if the exceedance is due to a sampling or analysis error.

* If no "spare" samples were taken, refer to Step 9.

* If the average of all the samples for a given day (or week, as applicable) (including the "required" sample and the "spare" samples) does not exceed the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit, no corrective measures are necessary; continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring described in Step 5.

* If the average of all the samples for a given day (or week, as applicable) exceeds the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit, but the average of the "spare" samples is below the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit, apply the Q-test, described in appendix A, to determine whether the "required" sample concentration can be judged as an outlier.

- If the "required" sample concentration is judged an outlier, no corrective measures are necessary; continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring described in Step 5.

- If the "required" sample concentration is not judged an outlier, refer to Step 10.

(9) This step does not apply to precompliance procedures.

(10) Determine if the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit has been exceeded more than three times in the last 60 days.

* If not, log this exceedance and continue with the daily and/or weekly monitoring (Step 5).

* If so, the tests to determine the enrichment factors must be repeated (refer to Step 11).

* This determination is made separately for each metal; for example

- Three exceedances for each of the ten hazardous metals are allowed within any 60-day period.

- Four exceedances of any single metal in any 60-day period is not allowed.

* This determination should be made daily, beginning on the first day of daily monitoring. For example, if four exceedances of any single metal occur in the first four days of daily monitoring, do not wait until the end of the 60-day period; refer immediately to Step 11.

(11) A revised certification of precompliance must be submitted to the Director (or certification of compliance must be submitted) if: (1) More than three exceedances of the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit occur within any 60 consecutive daily samples; or (2) an exceedance of the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit occurs in any weekly sample.

* The facility must notify the Director if a revised certification of precompliance must be submitted.

* The facility has up to 720 waste-burning hours to submit a certification of compliance or a revised certification of precompliance. During this period, the feed rate of the metal in violation must be reduced by 50%. In the case of a revised certification of precompliance, engineering judgement must be used to ensure that the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration will not be exceeded. Examples of how this goal might be accomplished include:
Changing equipment or operating procedures to reduce the kiln dust metal concentration;
- Changing equipment or operating procedures, or using more detailed engineering judgment, to decrease the estimated SEF and thus increase the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit;
- Increasing the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit by imposing a stricter PM emissions standard; or
- Increasing the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit by performing a more detailed risk assessment to increase the metal emission limits.

* Meanwhile, the facility must continue with daily kiln dust metal monitoring (Step 5).

Appendix A to Appendix IX to Part 266-Statistics

A.1 Determination of Enrichment Factor

After at least 10 initial emissions tests are performed, an enrichment factor for each metal must be determined. At the 95% confidence level, the enrichment factor, EF_{95%}, is based on the test results and is statistically determined so there is only a 5% chance that the enrichment factor at any given time will be larger than EF_{95%}. Similarly, at the 99% confidence level, the enrichment factor, EF_{99%}, is statistically determined so there is only a 1% chance that the enrichment factor at any given time will be larger than EF_{99%}.

For a large number of samples (n > 30), EF_{95%} is based on a normal distribution, and is equal to:

\[ EF_{95\%} = EF + Z_{0.05} \sigma \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (1)

where:

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} EF_i}{n} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (2)

\[ \sigma = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (EF_i - \bar{EF})^2}{n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (3)

For a 95% confidence level, \( Z_{0.05} \) is equal to 1.645.

For a small number of samples (n < 30), EF_{95%} is based on the \( t \) - distribution and is equal to:

\[ EF_{95\%} = EF + t_{0.05} S \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (4)

where the standard deviation, \( S \), is defined as:

\[ S = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (EF_i - \bar{EF})^2}{n-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (5)

\( t_{0.05} \) is a function of the number of samples and the confidence level that is desired. It increases in value as the sample size decreases and the confidence level increases. The 95% confidence level is used in this method to calculate the "violation" kiln dust metal concentration limit; and the 99% confidence level is sometimes used to calculate the "conservative" kiln dust metal concentration limit. Values of \( t_{0.05} \) are shown in Table A-I for various degrees of freedom (degrees of freedom = sample size - 1) at the 95% and 99% confidence levels. As the sample size approaches infinity, the normal distribution is approached.

A.2 Comparison of Enrichment Factor Groups

To determine if the enrichment factors measured in the quarterly tests are significantly different from the enrichment factors determined in the initial Step 2 tests, the \( t \)-test is used. In this test, the value \( t_{\text{meas}} \):

\[ t_{\text{meas}} = \frac{EF_1 - EF_2}{\sigma_t \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (6)
Table A-1. *T*-Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n_1 ) or ( n_1 + n_2 - 2 )</th>
<th>( t_{.95} )</th>
<th>( t_{.99} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>31.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=</td>
<td>1.645</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\sigma_t = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad (7)
\]

is compared to \( t_{crit} \) at the desired confidence level. The 95\% confidence level is used in this method. Values of \( t_{crit} \) are shown in Table A-1 for various degrees of freedom (degrees of freedom \( n_1 + n_2 - 2 \)) at the 95\% and 99\% confidence levels. If \( t_{meas} \) is greater than \( t_{crit} \) it can be concluded with 95\% confidence that the two groups are not from the same population.

### A.3 Rejection of Data

If the concentration of any hazardous metal in the "required" kiln dust sample exceeds the kiln dust metal concentration limit, the "spare" samples are analyzed. If the average of the combined "required" and "spare" values is still above the limit, a statistical test is used to decide if the upper value can be rejected.

The "Q-test" is used to determine if a data point can be rejected. The difference between the questionable result and its neighbor is divided by the spread of the entire data set. The resulting ratio, \( q_{meas} \), is then compared with rejection values that are critical for a particular degree of confidence, where \( q_{meas} \) is:

\[
q_{meas} = \frac{DMC_{highest} - DMC_{next}}{DMC_{highest} - DMC_{lowest}} \quad (8)
\]
The 90% confidence level for data rejection is used in this method. Table A-2 provides the values of Q_{crit} at the 90% confidence level. If Q_{meas} is larger than Q_{crit}, the data point can be discarded. Only one data point from a sample group can be rejected using this method.

Table A-2: Critical Values for Use in the Q-Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Q_{crit}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[56 FR 32692, July 17, 1991]  
Appendix X to Part 266-Guideline On Air Quality Models (Revised)  
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Preface

Industry and control agencies have long expressed a need for consistency in the application of air quality models for regulatory purposes. In the 1977 Clean Air Act, Congress mandated such consistency and encouraged the standardization of model applications. The Guideline on Air Quality Models was first published in April 1978 to satisfy these requirements by specifying models and providing guidance for their use. This guideline provides a common basis for estimating the air quality concentrations used in assessing control strategies and developing emission limits.

The continuing development of new air quality models in response to regulatory requirements and the expanded requirements for models to cover even more complex problems have emphasized the need for periodic review and update of guidance on these techniques. Four primary on-going activities provide direct input to revisions of this modeling guideline. The first is a series of annual EPA workshops conducted for the purpose of ensuring consistency and providing clarification in the application of models. The second activity, directed toward the improvement of modeling procedures, is the cooperative agreement that EPA has with the scientific community represented by the American Meteorological Society. This agreement provides scientific assessment of procedures and proposed techniques and sponsors workshops on key technical issues. The third activity is the solicitation and review of new models from the technical and user community. In the March 27, 1980 Federal Register, a procedure was outlined for the submittal to EPA of privately developed models. After extensive evaluation and scientific review, these models, as well as those made available by EPA, are considered for recognition in this guideline. The fourth activity is the extensive on-going research efforts by EPA and others in air quality and meteorological modeling.

Based primarily on these four activities, this document embodies revisions to the "Guideline on Air Quality Models." Although the text has been revised from the 1978 guide, the present content and topics are similar. As necessary, new sections and topics are included. A new format has also been adopted in an attempt to lessen the time required to incorporate changes. The looseleaf notebook format allows future changes to be made on a page-by-page basis. Changes will not be scheduled, but announcements of proposed changes will be made in the Federal Register as needed. EPA believes that revisions to this guideline should be timely and responsive to user needs and should involve public participation to the greatest possible extent. Information on the current status of modeling guidance can always be obtained from EPA's Regional Offices.

This revised guideline was promulgated in September 1986 (51 FR 32176-32179) and, with further revisions known as supplement A, in January 1988 (53 FR 392-396).  
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This guideline recommends air quality modeling techniques that should be applied to State Implementation Plan (SIP)\(^1\) revisions for existing sources and to new source reviews,\(^2\) including prevention of significant deterioration (PSD).\(^3\) It is intended for use by EPA Regional Offices in judging the adequacy of modeling analyses performed by EPA, State and local agencies and by industry. The guidance is appropriate for use by other Federal agencies and by State agencies with air quality and land management responsibilities. It serves to identify, for all interested parties, those techniques and data bases EPA considers acceptable. The guide is not intended to be a compendium of modeling techniques. Rather, it should serve as a basis by which air quality managers, supported by sound scientific judgment, have a common measure of acceptable technical analysis.

Due to limitations in the spatial and temporal coverage of air quality measurements, monitoring data normally are not sufficient as the sole basis for demonstrating the adequacy of emission limits for existing sources. Also, the impacts of new sources that do not yet exist can only be determined through modeling. Thus, models, while uniquely filling one program need, have become a primary analytical tool in most air quality assessments. Air quality measurements though can be used in a complementary manner to dispersion models, with due regard for the strengths and weaknesses of both analysis techniques. Measurements are particularly useful in assessing the accuracy of model estimates. The use of air quality measurements alone however is not preferable, as detailed in a later section of this document, when models are found to be unacceptable and monitoring data with sufficient spatial and temporal coverage are available.

It would be advantageous to categorize the various regulatory programs and to apply a designated model to each proposed source needing analysis under a given program. However, the diversity of the nation's topography and climate, and variations in source configurations and operating characteristics dictate against a strict modeling "cookbook." There is no one model capable of properly addressing all conceivable situations even within a broad category such as point sources. Meteorological phenomena
associated with threats to air quality standards are rarely amenable to a single mathematical treatment; thus, case-by-case analysis and judgment are frequently required. As modeling efforts become more complex, it is increasingly important that they be directed by highly competent individuals with a broad range of experience and knowledge in air quality meteorology. Further, they should be coordinated closely with specialists in emissions characteristics, air monitoring and data processing. The judgment of experienced meteorologists and analysts is essential.

The model that most accurately estimates concentrations in the area of interest is always sought. However, it is clear from the needs expressed by the States and EPA Regional Offices, by many industries and trade associations, and also by the deliberations of Congress, that consistency in the selection and application of models and data bases should also be sought, even in case-by-case analyses. Consistency ensures that air quality control agencies and the general public have a common basis for estimating pollutant concentrations, assessing control strategies and specifying emission limits. Such consistency is not, however, promoted at the expense of model and data base accuracy. This guide provides a consistent basis for selection of the most accurate models and data bases for use in air quality assessments.

Recommendations are made in this guide concerning air quality models, data bases, requirements for concentration estimates, the use of measured data in lieu of model estimates, and model evaluation procedures. Models are identified for some specific applications. The guidance provided here should be followed in all air quality analyses relative to State Implementation Plans and in analyses required by EPA, State and local agency air programs. The EPA may approve the use of another technique that can be demonstrated to be more appropriate than those recommended in this guide. This is discussed in greater length in section 3.0. In all cases, the model applied to a given situation should be the one that provides the most accurate representation of atmospheric transport, dispersion, and chemical transformations in the area of interest. However, to ensure consistency, deviations from this guide should be carefully documented and fully supported.

From time to time situations arise requiring clarification of the intent of the guidance on a specific topic. Periodic workshops are held with the EPA Regional Meteorologists to ensure consistency in modeling guidance and to promote the use of more accurate air quality models and data bases. The workshops serve to provide further explanations of guideline requirements to the Regional Offices and workshop reports are issued with this clarifying information. In addition, findings from on-going research programs, new model submittals, or results from model evaluations and applications are continuously evaluated. Based on this information changes in the guidance may be indicated.

All changes to this guideline must follow rulemaking requirements since the guideline has been incorporated by reference in the PSD regulations. Changes will be proposed and noticed in the Federal Register. Ample opportunity for public comment will be provided for each proposed change and public hearings scheduled if requested. Published, final changes will be made available through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

A wide range of topics on modeling and data bases are discussed in the remainder of this guideline. Where specific recommendations are made, the recommendations are typed in a single-spaced format. Chapter 2 gives an overview of models and their appropriate use. Chapter 3 provides specific guidance on the use of "preferred" air quality models and on the selection of alternative techniques. Chapters 4 through 7 provide recommendations on modeling techniques for application to simple-terrain stationary source problems, complex terrain problems, and mobile source problems. Specific modeling requirements for selected regulatory issues are also addressed. Chapter 8 discusses issues common to many modeling analyses, including acceptable model components. Chapter 9 makes recommendations for data inputs to models including source, meteorological and background air quality data. Chapter 10 covers the uncertainty in model estimates and how that information can be useful to the regulatory decision-maker. The last chapter summarizes how estimates and measurements of air quality are used in assessing source impact and in evaluating control strategies.

Appendix A contains summaries of refined air quality models that are "preferred" for specific applications; both EPA models and models developed by others are included. Appendix B contains summaries of other refined models that may be considered with a case-specific justification. Appendix C contains a checklist of requirements for an air quality analysis.

2.0 Overview of Model Use

Before attempting to implement the guidance contained in this document, the reader should be aware of certain general information concerning air quality models and their use. Such information is provided in this section.

2.1 Suitability of Models

The extent to which a specific air quality model is suitable for the evaluation of source impact depends upon several factors. These include: (1) The meteorological and topographic complexities of the area; (2) the level of detail and accuracy needed for the analysis; (3) the technical competence of those undertaking such simulation modeling; (4) the resources available; and (5) the detail and accuracy of the data base, i.e., emissions inventory, meteorological data, and air quality data. Appropriate data should be available before any attempt is made to apply a model. A model that requires detailed, precise input data should not be used when such data are unavailable. However, assuming the data are adequate, the greater the detail with which a model considers the spatial and temporal variations in emissions and meteorological conditions, the greater the ability to evaluate the source impact and to distinguish the effects of various control strategies.
Air quality models have been applied with the most accuracy or the least degree of uncertainty to simulations of long term averages in areas with relatively simple topography. Areas subject to major topographic influences experience meteorological complexities that are extremely difficult to simulate. Although models are available for such circumstances, they are frequently site specific and resource intensive. In the absence of a model capable of simulating such complexities, only a preliminary approximation may be feasible until such time as better models and data bases become available.

Models are highly specialized tools. Competent and experienced personnel are an essential prerequisite to the successful application of simulation models. The need for specialists is critical when the more sophisticated models are used or the area being investigated has complicated meteorological or topographic features. A model applied improperly, or with inappropriately chosen data, can lead to serious misjudgments regarding the source impact or the effectiveness of a control strategy.

The resource demands generated by use of air quality models vary widely depending on the specific application. The resources required depend on the nature of the model and its complexity, the detail of the data base, the difficulty of the application, and the amount and level of expertise required. The costs of manpower and computational facilities may also be important factors in the selection and use of a model for a specific analysis. However, it should be recognized that under some sets of physical circumstances and accuracy requirements, no present model may be appropriate. Thus, consideration of these factors should not lead to selection of an inappropriate model.

2.2 Classes of Models

The air quality modeling procedures discussed in this guide can be categorized into four generic classes: Gaussian, numerical, statistical or empirical, and physical. Within these classes, especially Gaussian and numerical models, a large number of individual "computational algorithms" may exist, each with its own specific applications. While each of the algorithms may have the same generic basis, e.g., Gaussian, it is accepted practice to refer to them individually as models. For example, the CRSTER model and the RAM model are commonly referred to as individual models. In fact, they are both variations of a basic Gaussian model. In many cases the only real difference between models within the different classes is the degree of detail considered in the input or output data.

Gaussian models are the most widely used techniques for estimating the impact of nonreactive pollutants. Numerical models may be more appropriate than Gaussian models for area source urban applications that involve reactive pollutants, but they require much more extensive input data bases and resources and therefore are not as widely applied. Statistical or empirical techniques are frequently employed in situations where incomplete scientific understanding of the physical and chemical processes or lack of the required data bases make the use of a Gaussian or numerical model impractical. Various specific models in these three generic types are discussed in this guideline.

Physical modeling, the fourth generic type, involves the use of wind tunnel or other fluid modeling facilities. This class of modeling is a complex process requiring a high level of technical expertise, as well as access to the necessary facilities. Nevertheless, physical modeling may be useful for complex flow situations, such as building, terrain or stack downwash conditions, plume impact on elevated terrain, diffusion in an urban environment, or diffusion in complex terrain. It is particularly applicable to such situations for a source or group of sources in a geographic area limited to a few square kilometers. If physical modeling is available and its applicability demonstrated, it may be the best technique. A discussion of physical modeling is beyond the scope of this guide. The EPA publication "Guideline for Fluid Modeling of Atmospheric Diffusion," (4) provides information on fluid modeling applications and the limitations of that method.

2.3 Levels of Sophistication of Models

In addition to the various classes of models, there are two levels of sophistication. The first level consists of general, relatively simple estimation techniques that provide conservative estimates of the air quality impact of a specific source, or source category. These are screening techniques or screening models. The purpose of such techniques is to eliminate the need of further more detailed modeling for those sources that clearly will not cause or contribute to ambient concentrations in excess of either the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) (5) or the allowable prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) concentration increments. (3) If a screening technique indicates that the concentration contributed by the source exceeds the PSD increment or the increment remaining to just meet the NAAQS, then the second level of more sophisticated models should be applied.

The second level consists of those analytical techniques that provide more detailed treatment of physical and chemical atmospheric processes, require more detailed and precise input data, and provide more specialized concentration estimates. As a result they provide a more refined and, at least theoretically, a more accurate estimate of source impact and the effectiveness of control strategies. These are referred to as refined models.

The use of screening techniques followed by a more refined analysis is always desirable, however there are situations where the screening techniques are practically and technically the only viable option for estimating source impact. In such cases, an attempt should be made to acquire or improve the necessary data bases and to develop appropriate analytical techniques.

3.0 Recommended Air Quality Models
This section recommends refined modeling techniques that are preferred for use in regulatory air quality programs. The status of models developed by EPA, as well as those submitted to EPA for review and possible inclusion in this guidance, is discussed. The section also addresses the selection of models for individual cases and provides recommendations for situations where the preferred models are not applicable. Two additional sources of modeling guidance, the Model Clearinghouse (6) and periodic Regional Meteorologists' workshops, are also briefly discussed here.

In all regulatory analyses, especially if other than preferred models are selected for use, early discussions among Regional Office staff, State and local control agencies, industry representatives, and where appropriate, the Federal Land Manager, are invaluable and are encouraged. Agreement on the data base to be used, modeling techniques to be applied and the overall technical approach, prior to the actual analyses, helps avoid misunderstandings concerning the final results and may reduce the later need for additional analyses. The use of an air quality checklist, such as presented in Appendix C, and the preparation of a written protocol help to keep misunderstandings at a minimum.

It should not be construed that the preferred models identified here are to be permanently used to the exclusion of all others or that they are the only models available for relating emissions to air quality. The models that most accurately estimate concentrations in the area of interest are always sought. However, designation of specific models is needed to promote consistency in model selection and application.

The solicitation of new or different models from the technical community (7) and the program whereby these models are evaluated, established a means by which new models are identified, reviewed and made available in the guideline. There is a pressing need for the development of models for a wide range of regulatory applications. Refined models that more realistically simulate the physical and chemical process in the atmosphere and that more reliably estimate pollutant concentrations are required. Thus, the solicitation of models is considered to be continuous.

3.1 Preferred Modeling Techniques

3.1.1 Discussion

EPA has developed approximately 10 models suitable for regulatory application. More than 20 additional models were submitted by private developers for possible inclusion in the guideline. These refined models have all been organized into eight categories of use: Rural, urban industrial complex, reactive pollutants, mobile sources, complex terrain, visibility, and long range transport. They are undergoing an intensive evaluation by category. The evaluation exercises (8,9,10) include statistical measures of model performance in comparison with measured air quality data as suggested by the American Meteorological Society (11) and, where possible, peer scientific reviews. (12,13,14)

When a single model is found to perform better than others in a given category, it is recommended for application in that category as a preferred model and listed in appendix A. If no one model is found to clearly perform better through the evaluation exercise, then the preferred model listed in appendix A is selected on the basis of other factors such as past use, public familiarity, cost or resource requirements, and availability. No further evaluation of a preferred model is required if the source follows EPA recommendations specified for the model in this guideline. The models not specifically recommended for use in a particular category are summarized in appendix B. These models should be compared with measured air quality data when they are used for regulatory applications consistent with recommendations in section 3.2.

The solicitation of new refined models which are based on sounder scientific principles and which more reliably estimate pollutant concentrations is considered by EPA to be continuous. Models that are submitted in accordance with the provisions outlined in the Federal Register notice of March 1980 (45 FR 20157) (7) will be evaluated as submitted.

These requirements are:

1. The model must be computerized and functioning in a common Fortran language suitable for use on a variety of computer systems.
2. The model must be documented in a user's guide which identifies the mathematics of the model, data requirements and program operating characteristics at a level of detail comparable to that available for currently recommended models, e.g., the Simple Source (CRSTIB) Model.
3. The model must be accompanied by a complete test data set including input parameters and output results. The test data must be included in the user's guide as well as provided in computer-readable form.
4. The model must be useful to typical users, e.g., State air pollution control agencies, for specific air quality control problems. Such users should be able to operate the computer program(s) from available documentation.
5. The model documentation must include a comparison with air quality data or with other well-established analytical techniques.
6. The developer must be willing to make the model available to users at reasonable cost or make it available for public access through the National Technical Information Service; the model cannot be proprietary.
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The evaluation process will include a determination of technical merit, in accordance with the above six items including the practicality of the model for use in ongoing regulatory programs. Each model will also be subjected to a performance evaluation for an appropriate data base and to a peer scientific review. Models for wide use (not just an isolated case) found to perform better, based on an evaluation for the same data bases used to evaluate models in appendix A, will be proposed for inclusion as preferred models in future guideline revisions.

3.1.2 Recommendations

Appendix A identifies refined models that are preferred for use in regulatory applications. If a model is required for a particular application, the user should select a model from that appendix. These models may be used without a formal demonstration of applicability as long as they are used as indicated in each model summary of appendix A. Further recommendations for the application of these models to specific source problems are found in subsequent sections of this guideline.

If changes are made to a preferred model without affecting the concentration estimates, the preferred status of the model is unchanged. Examples of modifications that do not affect concentrations are those made to enable use of a different computer or those that affect only the format or averaging time of the model results. However, when any changes are made, the Regional Administrator should request a test case example to demonstrate that the concentration estimates are not affected.

A preferred model should be operated with the options listed in appendix A as "Recommendations for Regulatory Use." If other options are exercised, the model is no longer "preferred." Any other modification to a preferred model that would result in a change in the concentration estimates likewise alters its status as a preferred model. Use of the model must then be justified on a case-by-case basis.

3.2 Use of Alternative Models

3.2.1 Discussion

Selection of the best techniques for each individual air quality analysis is always encouraged, but the selection should be done in a consistent manner. A simple listing of models in this guide cannot alone achieve that consistency nor can it necessarily provide the best model for all possible situations. An EPA document, "Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models," (15, 16) has been prepared to assist in developing a consistent approach when justifying the use of other than the preferred modeling techniques recommended in this guide. These procedures provide a general framework for objective decision-making on the acceptability of an Alternative model for a given regulatory application. The document contains procedures for conducting both the technical evaluation of the model and the field test or performance evaluation. An example problem that focuses on the design and execution of the protocol for conducting a field performance evaluation is also included in that document.

This section discusses the use of alternate modeling techniques and defines three situations when alternative models may be used.

3.2.2 Recommendations

Determination of acceptability of a model is a Regional Office responsibility. Where the Regional Administrator or reviewing authority finds that an alternative model is more appropriate than a preferred model, that model may be used subject to the recommendations below. This finding will normally result from a determination that (1) a preferred air quality model is not appropriate for the particular application; or (2) a more appropriate model or analytical procedure is available and is applicable.

An alternative model should be evaluated from both a theoretical and a performance perspective before it is selected for use. There are three separate conditions under which such a model will normally be approved for use: (1) If a demonstration can be made that the model produces concentration estimates equivalent to the estimates obtained using a preferred model; (2) If a statistical performance evaluation has been conducted using measured air quality data and the results of that evaluation indicate the alternative model performs better for the application than a comparable model in appendix A; and (3) If there is no preferred model for the specific application but a refined model is needed to satisfy regulatory requirements. Any one of these three separate conditions may warrant use of an alternative model. Some alternative models known to be available to the public that are applicable for selected situations are contained in appendix B. However, inclusion there does not infer any unique status relative to other alternative models that are being or will be developed for the future.

Equivalency is established by demonstrating that the maximum or highest, second highest concentrations are within two percent of the estimates obtained from the preferred model. The option to show equivalency is intended as a simple demonstration of acceptability for an alternative model that is so nearly identical (or contains options that can make it identical) to a preferred model that it can be treated for practical purposes as the preferred model. Two percent was selected as the basis for equivalency since it is a rough approximation of the purposes that PSD Class I increments are of the NAAQS for \( \text{SO}_2 \), i.e., the difference in concentrations that is judged to be significant. However, this demonstration is not intended to preclude the use of models that are not equivalent. They may be used when one of the two other conditions identified below are satisfied.
The procedures and techniques for determining the acceptability of a model for an individual case based on superior performance is contained in the document entitled "Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models," [15] and should be followed, as appropriate. Preparation and implementation of an evaluation protocol which is acceptable to both control agencies and regulated industry is an important element in such an evaluation.

When no appendix A model is applicable to the modeling problem, an alternative refined model may be used provided that:

1. The model can be demonstrated to be applicable to the problem on a theoretical basis, and
2. the data bases which are necessary to perform the analysis are available and adequate, and
3a. performance evaluations of the model in similar circumstances have shown that the model is not biased toward underestimates (example of such circumstances include long range transport and shoreline fumigation), or
3b. consultation with the EPA Regional Office, a second model is selected as a baseline or reference point for performance and the interim procedures [15] are then used to demonstrate that the proposed model performs better than the reference model (an example of such circumstances includes complex terrain).

3.3 Availability of Supplementary Modeling Guidance

The Regional Administrator has the authority to select models that are appropriate for use in a given situation. However, there is a need for assistance and guidance in the selection process so that fairness and consistency in modeling decisions is fostered among the various Regional Offices and the States. To satisfy that need, EPA established the Model Clearinghouse and also holds periodic workshops with headquarters, Regional Office and State modeling representatives.

3.3.1 The Model Clearinghouse

3.3.1.1 Discussion.

The Model Clearinghouse is the single EPA focal point for review of air quality simulation models proposed for use in specific regulatory applications. Details concerning the Clearinghouse and its operation are found in the document, "Model Clearinghouse: Operational Plan." [6] Three primary functions of the Clearinghouse are:

1. Review of decisions proposed by EPA Regional Offices on the use of modeling techniques and data bases.
2. Periodic visits to Regional Offices to gather information pertinent to regulatory model usage.
3. Preparation of an annual report summarizing activities of the Clearinghouse including specific determinations made during the course of the year.

3.3.1.2 Recommendations.

The Regional Administrator may request assistance from the Model Clearinghouse after an initial evaluation and decision has been reached concerning the application of a model, analytical technique or data base in a particular regulatory action. The Clearinghouse may also consider and evaluate the use of modeling techniques submitted in support of any regulatory action. Additional responsibilities are: (1) Review proposed action for consistency with agency policy; (2) determine technical adequacy; and (3) make recommendations concerning the technique or data base.

3.3.2 Regional Meteorologists Workshops

3.3.2.1 Discussion.

EPA conducts an annual in-house workshop for the purpose of mutual discussion and problem resolution among Regional Office modeling specialists, EPA research modeling experts, EPA Headquarters modeling and regulatory staff and representatives from State modeling programs. A summary of the issues resolved at previous workshops was issued in 1981 as "Regional Workshops on Air Quality Modeling: A Summary Report." [17] That report clarified procedures not specifically defined in the 1978 guideline and was issued to ensure the consistent interpretation of model requirements from Region to Region. Similar workshops for the purpose of clarifying guideline procedures or providing detailed instructions for the use of those procedures are anticipated in the future.

3.3.2.2 Recommendations.

The Regional Office should always be consulted for information and guidance concerning modeling methods and interpretations of modeling guidance, and to ensure that the air quality model user has available the latest most up-to-date policy and procedures.

4.0 SIMPLE-TERRAIN STATIONARY-SOURCE MODELS
4.1 Discussion

Simple terrain, as used here, is considered to be an area where terrain features are all lower in elevation than the top of the stack of the source(s) in question. The models recommended in this section are generally used in the air quality impact analysis of stationary sources for most criteria pollutants. The averaging time of the concentration estimates produced by these models ranges from 1 hour to an annual average.

Model evaluation exercises have been conducted to determine the "best, most appropriate point source model" for use in simple terrain. (8, 12) However, no one model has been found to be clearly superior. Thus, based on past use, public familiarity, and availability CRSTER remains the recommended model for rural, simple terrain, single point source applications. Similar determinations were made for the other refined models that are identified in the following sections.

4.2 Recommendations.

4.2.1 Screening Techniques

The EPA document "Guidelines for Air Quality Maintenance Planning and Analysis, Volume 10R: Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Impact of New Stationary Sources" 18 contains screening procedures that should be used if the source is in simple terrain. A computerized version of the Volume 10R screening technique for use in simple terrain (urban and rural) is available in UNAMAP" 19 as PTFLU-2.

All screening procedures should be adjusted to the site and problem at hand. Close attention should be paid to whether the area should be classified urban or rural in accordance with Section 8.2.8. The climatology of the area should be studied to help define the worst-case meteorological conditions. Agreement should be reached between the model user and the reviewing authority on the choice of the screening model for each analysis, and on the input data as well as the ultimate use of the results.

4.2.2 Refined Analytical Techniques

Table 4-1 lists preferred models for selected applications. These preferred models should be used for the sources, land use categories and averaging times indicated in the table. A brief description of each of these models is found in appendix A. Also listed in that appendix are the model input requirements, the standard options that should be selected when running the program and output options.

When modeling for compliance with short term NAAQS and PSD increments is of primary concern, the short term models listed in Table 4-1 may also be used to provide long term concentration estimates. When modeling for sources for which long term standards alone are applicable (e.g., lead), then the long term models should be used.

The conversion from long term to short term concentration averages by any transformation technique is not acceptable in regulatory applications.

Table 4-1.- Preferred Models for Selected Applications in Simple Terrain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short Term (1-24 hours):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Source</td>
<td>CRSTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>RAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>MPTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Source</td>
<td>RAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>RAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>TSCST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated Sources^2</td>
<td>BLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buoyant Industrial Line Sources</td>
<td>Rural/Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term (monthly, seasonal or annual):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Source</td>
<td>CRSTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>RAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>MPTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Source</td>
<td>RAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>CRDM 2.0 or RAM^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>TSCST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated Sources^2</td>
<td>BLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buoyant Industrial Line Sources</td>
<td>Rural/Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.0 Model Use in Complex Terrain

5.1 Discussion

For the purpose of this guideline, complex terrain is defined as terrain exceeding the height of the stack being modeled. Complex terrain dispersion models are normally applied to stationary sources of pollutants such as SO_x and particulates.

Although the need for refined complex terrain dispersion models has been acknowledged for several years, adequate refined models have not been developed. The lack of detailed, descriptive data bases and basic knowledge concerning the behavior of atmospheric variables in the vicinity of complex terrain presents a considerable obstacle to the solution of the problem and the development of refined models.

A workshop (20) of invited complex terrain experts was held by the American Meteorological Society as a part of the AMS-EPA Cooperative Agreement in May of 1983. Several major complex terrain problems were identified at this workshop; among them were: (1) Valley stagnation, (2) valley fumigation, (3) downwash on the leeside of terrain obstacles; and (4) the identification of conditions under which plume impaction can occur.

A first step toward the solution of two of these problems has been taken in the multi-year EPA Complex Terrain Model Development project. (21,22,23,24) One product of this project is expected to be a model suitable for regulatory application to plume impaction problems in complex terrain. In addition, insight into the leeside effects problem is also anticipated. Completion of the project is not expected before late 1987. Preliminary results have identified at least two concepts that have important implications for the regulatory application of models in complex terrain and will require further detailed study and evaluation. First, plume impaction resulting in high concentrations was observed to occur during the field study as well as in supporting fluid modeling studies. (21) Further, the occurrence of impaction was linked to a "critical streamlining" that separates flow around an obstacle from flow over an obstacle. Second, high concentrations were also observed to occur in the lee of the obstacle and were of sufficient magnitude to indicate that this phenomenon should be considered, if appropriate, in the determination of source impacts. (22)

To date most projects have been designed to identify plume behavior in complex terrain and to define the meteorological variables influencing that behavior. Until such time as it is possible to develop and evaluate a model based on the quantification of the meteorological and plume parameters identified in these studies, existing algorithms adapted to site-specific complex terrain situations are all that are available. The methods discussed in this section should be considered screening, or "refined" screening, techniques and not refined dispersion models.

5.2 Recommendations

The following recommendations apply primarily to the situations where the impaction of plumes on terrain at elevations equal to or greater than the plume centerline during stable atmospheric conditions are determined to be the problem. The evaluation of other concentrations should be considered after consultation with the Regional Office. However, limited guidance on calculation of concentrations between stack height and plume centerline is provided.

Models developed for specific uses in complex terrain will be considered on a case-by-case basis after a suitable demonstration of their technical merits and an evaluation using measured on-site data following the procedures in "Interim Procedures for the Evaluation of Air Quality Models." (15) Since the location of plume centerline is an important concern in complex terrain as dispersion rates, it should be noted that the dispersion models combined with a wind field analysis model should be superior to an assumption of straight-line plume travel. Such hybrid modeling techniques are also acceptable, after the appropriate demonstration and evaluation.

5.2.1 Screening Techniques

In the absence of an approved case-specific, refined, complex terrain model, four screening techniques are currently available to aid in the evaluation of concentrations due to plume impaction during stable conditions: the Valley Screening Technique as outlined in the Valley Model's User's Guide, (19, 25) COMPLEX I, (19) SHORTZ/LONGZ, (26) and the Rough Terrain Dispersion Model (RTDM) (91) in its prescribed mode described below. These methods should be used only to calculate concentrations at receptors whose elevations are greater than or equal to plume height. Receptors below stack height should be
modeled using a preferred simple terrain model (see chapter 4). Receptors between stack height and plume height should be modeled with both complex terrain and simple terrain models and the highest concentration used. (For the simple terrain models, terrain may have to be "chopped-off" at stack height, since these models are frequently limited to receptors no greater than stack height.)

If a violation of any NAAQS or the controlling increment is indicated by using the Valley Screening Technique, a second- or third-level screening technique may be used. A site-specific database of at least one full year of meteorological data is preferred for use with either the second- or third-level screening technique. If more data are available, they should be used. Meteorological data used in the analysis should be reviewed for both spatial and temporal representativeness.

Placement of receptors requires very careful attention when modeling in complex terrain. Often the highest concentrations are predicted to occur under very stable conditions, when the plume is near, or impinges on, the terrain. The plume under such conditions may be quite narrow in the vertical, so that a change in a receptor to a location where the terrain is as little as 25 meters or so higher or lower may make a substantial change in the predicted concentration. Receptors within about a kilometer of the source may be even more sensitive to location. Thus, a very dense array of receptors may be required in some cases. In order to avoid excessively large computer runs due to such a large array of receptors, it is often desirable to model the area twice. The first model run would use a moderate number of receptors carefully located over the area of interest. The second model run would use a more dense array of receptors in areas showing potential for high concentrations, as indicated by the results of the first model run.

5.2.1.1 Initial Screening Technique.

The initial screen to determine 24-hour averages is the Valley Screening Technique. This technique uses the Valley Model with the following worst-case assumptions for rural areas: (1) P-G stability "F"; (2) wind speed of 2.5 m/s; and (3) 6 hours of occurrence. For urban areas the stability should be changed to "F-G stability E."

When using the Valley Screening Technique to obtain 24-hour average concentrations the following apply: (1) Multiple sources should be treated individually and the concentrations for each wind direction summed; (2) only one wind direction should be used (see User's Guide, (25) page 2-15) even if individual runs are made for each source; (3) for buoyant sources, the BID option may be used, and the option to use the 2.6 stable plume rise factor should be selected; (4) if plume impaction is likely on any elevated terrain closer to the source than the distance from the source to the final plume rise, then the transitional (or gradual) plume rise option for stable conditions should be selected.

The standard polar receptor grid found in the Valley Model User's Guide may not be sufficiently dense for all analyses if only one geographical scale factor is used. The user should choose an additional set of receptors at appropriate downwind distances whose elevations are equal to plume height minus 10 meters. Alternatively, the user may exercise the "VALLEY equivalent" option in COMPLEX I and note the comments above on the placement of receptors in complex terrain models.

5.2.1.2 Second-Level Screening Technique (Rural).

If the area is rural, the suggested second-level screening technique is COMPLEX I for all averaging times. COMPLEX I is a modification of the MPTER model that incorporates the plume impaction algorithms of the Valley Model. It is a multiple-source screening technique that accepts hourly meteorological data as input. The output is the same as the normal MPTER output. When using COMPLEX I the following options should be selected: (1) Set terrain adjustment IOPT(1) = 1; (2) Set buoyancy induced dispersion IOPT (4) = 1; (3) set IOPT (25) = 1; (4) set the terrain adjustment values to 0.5, 0.5, 0.5 0.5, 0.0, 0.0, respectively for 6 stability classes); and (5) set Z MIN = 10.

Gradual plume rise should be used to estimate concentrations at nearby elevated receptors, if plume impaction is likely on any elevated terrain closer to the source than the distance from the source to the final plume rise (see section 8.2.5).

5.2.1.3 Second-Level Screening Technique (Urban).

If the source is located in an urbanized (section 8.2.6) complex terrain valley, then the suggested second-level screening technique is SHORTZ for short term averages or LONGZ for long term averages. (SHORTZ and LONGZ may be used as screening techniques in these complex terrain applications without demonstration and evaluation. Application of these models in other than urbanized valley situations will require the same evaluation and demonstration procedures as are required for all appendix B models.)

Both SHORTZ and LONGZ have a number of options. When using these models as screening techniques for urbanized valley applications, the options listed in Table 5-1 should be selected.

5.2.1.4 Third Level Screening Technique (Rural).

If a violation of any NAAQS or the controlling increment is indicated by using the second-level screening technique, a third-level screening technique may be used for rural applications. RTDM with the options specified in Table 5-2 may be used as a screening technique in rural complex terrain situations without demonstration and evaluation.
The RTDM screening technique can provide a more refined concentration estimate if on-site wind speed and direction characteristic of plume dilution and transport are used as input to the model. In complex terrain, these winds can seldom be estimated accurately from the standard surface (10m level) measurements. Therefore, in order to increase confidence in model estimates, EPA recommends that wind data input to RTDM should be based on fixed measurements at stack top height. For stacks greater than 100m, the measurement height may be limited to 100m in height relative to stack base. However, for very tall stacks see guidance in section 9.3.3.2. This recommendation is broadened to include wind data representative of plume transport height where such data are derived from measurements taken with remote sensing devices such as SODAR. The data from both fixed and remote measurements should meet quality assurance and recovery rate requirements. The user should also be aware that RTDM in the screening mode accepts the input of measured wind speeds at only one height. The default values for the wind speed profile exponents shown in Table 5-2 are used in the model to determine the wind speed at other heights. RTDM uses wind speed at stack top to calculate the plume rise and the critical dividing streamline height, and the wind speed at plume transport level to calculate dilution. RTDM treats wind direction as constant with height.

FOOTNOTE: The RTDM model is available as part of Change 3 to UNAMAP Version 6.

RTDM makes use of the "critical dividing streamline" concept and thus treats plume interactions with terrain quite differently from other models such as SORRTZ and COMPLEX. The plume height relative to the critical dividing streamline determines whether the plume impacts the terrain, or is lifted up and over the terrain. The receptor spacing used to identify maximum impact concentrations is quite critical depending on the location of the plume in the vertical. It is suggested that an analysis of the expected plume height relative to the height of the critical dividing streamline be performed for differing meteorological conditions in order to help develop an appropriate array of receptors. Then it is advisable to model the area twice according to the suggestions in section 5.2.1.

5.2.1.5 Restrictions.

For screening analyses using the Valley Screening Technique, Complex I or RTDM, a sector greater than 22 1/2° should not be allowed. Full ground reflection should always be used in the VALLEY Screening Technique and COMPLEX.

5.2.2 Refined Analytical Techniques

When the results of the screening analysis demonstrate a possible violation of NAAQS or the controlling PSD increments, a more refined analysis may need to be conducted. Since there are no refined techniques currently recommended for complex terrain applications, any refined model used should be applied in accordance with section 3.2. In particular, use of the "Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models" [18] and a second model to serve as a baseline or reference point for the comparison should be used in a demonstration of applicability. New approaches to improve the ability of models to realistically simulate atmospheric physics, for example hybrid models which incorporate an accurate wind field analysis, will ultimately provide more appropriate tools for analyses.

In the absence of an appropriate refined model, screening results may need to be used to determine air quality impact and/or emission limits.

Table 5-1.-Preferred Options for the SORRTZ/LONGZ Computer Codes When Used in a Screening Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Switch 9</td>
<td>If using NWS data, set = 0. If using site-specific data, check with the Regional Office. Set = 1 (urban option). Use default values (0.5 entrainment coefficient). Always default to usable. Set = 0 (50 m rectilinear expansion distance). Do not use. (Applicable only in flat terrain).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Switch 17</td>
<td>Select 0.9. Use Cramer curves (default). If site-specific turbulence data are available, see the Regional Office for advice. Select default values given in table 2-2 of User’s Instructions. If site-specific data are available, see the Regional Office for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS, VS, FRQ (Sorrtz)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(particle size, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUS, VS, FRQ (LONGZ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(particle size, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPIFA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGEP (dispersion parameters)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGAPU (dispersion parameters)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (wind profile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5-2.-Preferred Options for the RTDM Computer Code When Used in a Screening Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR001-003</td>
<td>SCALE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scale factors assuming horizontal distance is in kilometers, vertical distance is in feet, and wind speed is in meters per second. See section 5.2.1.4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR004</td>
<td>EWIN1</td>
<td>Wind Measurement Height</td>
<td>Wind Measurement Height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EWIN2</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>Not used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDILUT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dilution wind speed scaled to plume height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZA</td>
<td>0 (default)</td>
<td>Anemometer-terrain height above stack base.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR005</td>
<td>EXPON</td>
<td>.09, .11, .12, .14, .2, .3 (default)</td>
<td>Wind profile exponents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR006</td>
<td>ICOEF</td>
<td>3 (default)</td>
<td>Briggs Rural/ASME (1979) dispersion parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR009</td>
<td>IPPP</td>
<td>0 (default)</td>
<td>Partial plume penetration, not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR010</td>
<td>IBUOY</td>
<td>1 (default)</td>
<td>Buoyancy-enhanced dispersion is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALPHA</td>
<td>3.162 (default)</td>
<td>Buoyancy-enhanced dispersion coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR011</td>
<td>IDMIX</td>
<td>1 (default)</td>
<td>Unlimited mixing height for stable conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR012</td>
<td>ITRANS</td>
<td>1 (default)</td>
<td>Transitional plume rise is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR013</td>
<td>TERCOR</td>
<td>6'0.5 (default)</td>
<td>Plume path correction factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR014</td>
<td>RVPTG</td>
<td>0.02, 0.035 (default)</td>
<td>Vertical potential temperature gradient values for stabilities E and F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR015</td>
<td>ITIPD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stack-tip downwash is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR020</td>
<td>ISHEAR</td>
<td>0 (default)</td>
<td>Wind shear, not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR022</td>
<td>IREFL</td>
<td>1 (default)</td>
<td>Partial surface reflection is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR023</td>
<td>IHERIS</td>
<td>2 (default)</td>
<td>Sector averaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SECTOR</td>
<td>6'22.5 (default)</td>
<td>Using 22.5° sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR016 to</td>
<td>IV, IL,</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hourly values of turbulence, vertical potential temperature gradient, wind speed profile exponents, and stack emissions are not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021; and</td>
<td>INVPTG,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024.</td>
<td>IEFS;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IEMIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.0 Models for Ozone, Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Dioxide

6.1 Discussion.
Models discussed in this section are applicable to pollutants often associated with mobile sources, e.g., ozone (O₃), carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). Where stationary sources of CO and NO₂ are of concern, the reader is referred to sections 4 and 5.

A control agency whose jurisdiction contains areas with significant ozone problems and who has sufficient resources and data to use a photochemical dispersion model is encouraged to do so. Experience with and evaluations of the Urban Airshed Model show it to be an acceptable, refined approach. Better data bases are becoming available that support the more sophisticated analytical procedures. However, empirical models (e.g., EMA) fill the gap between more sophisticated photochemical dispersion model 5 and proportional (rollback) modeling techniques and may be the only applicable procedure if the data bases available are insufficient for refined dispersion modeling.

Carbon monoxide is generally considered to be a problem only in specific areas with high numbers of vehicles or slow moving traffic. For that reason, frequently only "hot spots" or project level analyses are needed in SIP revisions.

Nitrogen oxides are reactive and also an important contribution to the photochemical ozone problem. They are usually of most concern in areas of high ozone concentrations. Unless suitable photochemical dispersion models are used, assumptions regarding the conversion of NO to NO₂ are required when modeling. Site-specific conversion factors may be developed. If site-specific conversion factors are not available or photochemical models are not used, NO₂ modeling should be considered only a screening procedure.

6.2 Recommendations

6.2.1 Models for Ozone.

The Urban Airshed Model (27) is recommended for photochemical or reactive pollutant modeling applications involving entire urban areas. To ensure proper execution of this numerical model, users must satisfy the extensive input data requirements for the model as listed in appendix A and the users guide. Users are also referred to the "Guideline for Applying the Airshed Model to Urban Areas" (28) for further information on data base requirements, kinds of tasks involved in the model application, and the overall level of resources required.

The empirical model, City-specific EMA (29,30,31,32,33) is an acceptable approach for urban ozone applications.

Appendix B contains some additional models that may be applied on a case-by-case basis for photochemical or reactive pollutant modeling. Other photochemical models, including multi-layered trajectory models, that are available may be used if shown to be appropriate. Most photochemical dispersion models require emission data on individual hydrocarbon species and may require three dimensional meteorological information on an hourly basis. Reasonably sophisticated computer facilities are also often required. Because the input data are not universally available and studies to collect such data are very resource intensive, there are only limited evaluations of those models.

Proportional (rollback/forward) modeling is no longer an acceptable procedure for evaluating ozone control strategies.

6.2.2 Models for Carbon Monoxide.

Carbon monoxide modeling for the development of SIP-required control strategies should follow the guidance provided in the "Carbon Monoxide Hot Spot Guidelines" (34) or in Volume 9 of the "Guidelines for Air Quality Maintenance Planning and Analysis." (35) These volumes provide screening techniques for locating and quantifying worst case carbon monoxide concentrations, and for establishing background values; they also provide methods for assessing carbon monoxide concentrations at multiple locations across the urban area. If results from screening techniques or measured carbon monoxide levels in an urban area are clearly well below the standards and expected to remain below the standard, or it can be demonstrated that the Federal Motor Vehicle Control Program will provide the needed CO reductions, then urban area-wide strategies may be evaluated using a modified rollback or proportional model approach.

Project analysis of mobile source emissions of carbon monoxide should first include an analysis using the screening techniques referenced above. If concentrations using these techniques exceed the NAAQS, then refined techniques are needed to determine compliance with the standards. CALINE3 (see appendix A) is the preferred model for use when refined analyses are required. For free flow sources, the latest version of mobile source emission factors are required for input to CALINE3, and for interrupted flow sources (i.e., signalized intersections), procedures to calculate modal emission factors as contained in Worksheet 2 of the "Guidelines for Air Quality Maintenance Planning and Analysis, Volume 9" (35) are recommended.

Situations that require the use of refined techniques on an urban-wide basis should be considered on a case-by-case basis. If a suitable model is available and the data and technical competence required for its use are available, then such a model should be considered.

Where point sources of CO are of concern, they should be modeled using the screening and preferred techniques of sections 4 or 5.

6.2.3 Models for Nitrogen Dioxide (Annual Average).
A three-tiered screening approach is recommended to obtain annual average estimates of NO\textsubscript{2} from point sources:

a. Initial screen: Use an appropriate Gaussian model from Appendix A to estimate the maximum annual average concentration and assume a total conversion of NO to NO\textsubscript{2}. If the concentration exceeds the NAAQS for NO\textsubscript{2}, proceed to the 2nd level screen.

b. 2nd level screen: Apply the Ozone Limiting Method (36) to the annual NO\textsubscript{2} estimate obtained in (a) above using a representative average annual ozone concentration. If the result is still greater than the NAAQS, the more refined Ozone Limiting Method in the 3rd level screen should be applied.

c. 3rd level screen: Apply the Ozone Limiting Method separately for each hour of the year or multi-year period. Use representative hourly NO\textsubscript{2} background and ozone levels in the calculations.

In urban areas, a proportional model may be used as a preliminary assessment to evaluate control strategies for multiple sources (mobile and area) of NO\textsubscript{2}; concentrations resulting from major point sources should be estimated separately as discussed above, then added to the impact of area sources. An acceptable screening technique for urban complexes is to assume that all NO\textsubscript{X} is emitted in the form of NO\textsubscript{2} and to use a model from Appendix A for nonreactive pollutants to estimate NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. A more accurate estimate can be obtained by (1) calculating the annual average concentrations of NO\textsubscript{X} with an urban model, and (2) converting these estimates to NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations based on a spatially averaged NO\textsubscript{2}/NO \textsubscript{X} annual ratio determined from an existing air quality monitoring network.

In situations where there are sufficient hydrocarbons available to significantly enhance the rate of NO to NO\textsubscript{2} conversion, the assumptions implicit in the Ozone Limiting Procedure may not be appropriate. More refined techniques should be considered on a case-by-case basis and agreement with the reviewing authority should be obtained. Such techniques should consider individual quantities of NO and NO\textsubscript{X} emissions, atmospheric transport and dispersion, and atmospheric transformation of NO to NO\textsubscript{2}. Where it is available site-specific data on the conversion of NO to NO\textsubscript{2} may be used. Photochemical dispersion models, if used for other pollutants in the area, may also be applied to the NO\textsubscript{X} problem.

7.0 Other Model Requirements

7.1 Discussion

This section covers those cases where specific techniques have been developed for special regulatory programs. Most of the programs have, or will have when fully developed, separate guidance documents that cover the program and a discussion of the tools that are needed. The following paragraphs reference those guidance documents, when they are available. No attempt has been made to provide a comprehensive discussion of each topic since the reference documents were designed to do that. This section will undergo periodic revision as new programs are added and new techniques are developed.

Other Federal agencies have also developed specific modeling approaches for their own regulatory or other requirements. An example of this is the three-volume manual issued by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, "Air Quality Considerations in Residential Planning." (37) Although such regulatory requirements and manuals may have come about because of EPA rules or standards, the implementation of such regulations and the use of the modeling techniques is under the jurisdiction of the agency issuing the manual or directive.

The need to estimate impacts at distances greater than 50 km (the nominal distance to which EPA considers most Gaussian models applicable) is an important one especially when considering the effects from secondary pollutants. Unfortunately, models submitted to EPA have not as yet undergone sufficient field evaluation to be recommended for general use. Existing data bases from field studies at mesoscale and long range transport distances are limited in detail. This limitation is a result of the expense to perform the field studies required to verify and improve mesoscale and long range transport models. Particularly important and sparse are meteorological data adequate for generating three dimensional wind fields. Application of models to complicated terrain compounds the difficulty.

A current EPA agreement with Argonne National Laboratory, scheduled for completion in FY 1986, will result in the development of evaluation procedures for long range transport models. Models submitted to EPA will be tested with currently available data bases using these procedures. Similar research in this area is also being performed by others in EPA and other organizations. For the time being, however, long range and mesoscale transport models must be evaluated for regulatory use on a case-by-case basis.

7.2 Recommendations

7.2.1 Fugitive Dust/Fugitive Emissions.

Fugitive dust usually refers to the dust put into the atmosphere by the wind blowing over plowed fields, dirt roads or desert or sandy areas with little or no vegetation. Reentrained dust is that which is put into the air by reason of vehicles driving over dirt roads (or dirty roads) and dusty areas. Such sources can be characterized as line, area or volume sources. Emission rates may be based on site-specific data or values from the general literature.
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Fugitive emissions are usually defined as emissions that come from an industrial source complex. They include the emissions resulting from the industrial process that are not captured and vented through a stack but may be released from various locations within the complex. Where such fugitive emissions can be properly specified, the ISC model, with consideration of gravitational settling and dry deposition, is the recommended model. In some unique cases a model developed specifically for the situation may be needed.

Due to the difficult nature of characterizing and modeling fugitive dust and fugitive emissions, it is recommended that the proposed procedure be cleared by the appropriate Regional Office for each specific situation before the modeling exercise is begun.

7.2.2 Particulate Matter.

Currently a proposed NAAQS for particulate matter includes provisions both for particles in the size range less than 10 micrometers (PM10) and for Total Suspended Particulates (TSP). State Implementation Plans will be developed by States to attain and maintain this new standard when the standard is promulgated.

Screening techniques like those identified in section 4 are also applicable to PM10 and to large particles (TSP). It is recommended that subjectively determined values for "half-life" or pollutant decay not be used as a surrogate for particle removal. Conservative assumptions which do not allow removal or transformation are suggested for screening. Proportional models (rollback/forward) may not be applied for screening analysis, unless such techniques are used in conjunction with receptor modeling.

Refined models such as those in section 4 are recommended for both PM10 and TSP. However, where possible, particle size, gas-to-particle formation and their effect on ambient concentrations may be considered. For urban-wide refined analyses CELM or REM should be used. CASTER and MPTER are recommended for point sources of small particles. For source-specific analyses of complicated sources, the ISC model is preferred. No model recommended for general use at this time accounts for secondary particulate formation or other transformations in a manner suitable for SIP control strategy demonstrations. Where possible, the use of receptor models (38, 39) in conjunction with dispersion models is encouraged to more precisely characterize the emissions inventory and to validate source specific impacts calculated by the dispersion model.

For those cases where no recommended technique is available or applicable, modeling approaches should be approved by the appropriate Regional Office on a case-by-case basis. At this time analyses involving model calculations for distances beyond 50 km should also be justified on a case-by-case basis (see section 7.2.5).

7.2.3 Lead.

The air quality analyses required for lead implementation plans are given in §§ 51.83, 51.84 and 51.85 of 40 CFR part 51. Sections 51.83 and 51.85 require the use of a modified rollback model as a minimum to demonstrate attainment of the lead air quality standard but the use of a dispersion model is the preferred approach. Section 51.83 requires the analysis of an entire urban area if the measured lead concentration in the urbanized area exceeds a quarterly (three month) average of 4.0 μg/m². Section 51.84 requires the use of a dispersion model to demonstrate attainment of the lead air quality standard around specified lead point sources. For other areas reporting a violation of the lead standard, § 51.85 requires an analysis of the area in the vicinity of the monitor reporting the violation. The NAAQS for lead is a quarterly (three month) average, thus requiring the use of modeling techniques that can provide long-term concentration estimates.

The SIP should contain an air quality analysis to determine the maximum quarterly lead concentration resulting from major lead point sources, such as smelters, gasoline additive plants, etc. For these applications the ISC model is preferred, since the model can account for deposition of particles and the impact of fugitive emissions. If the source is located in complicated terrain or is subject to unusual climatic conditions, a case-specific review by the appropriate Regional Office may be required.

In modeling the effect of traditional line sources (such as a specific roadway or highway) on lead air quality, dispersion models applied for other pollutants can be used. Dispersion models such as CALINE3 and APRAC-3 have been widely used for modeling carbon monoxide emissions from highways. However, where deposition is of concern, the line source treatment in ISC may be used. Also, where there is a point source in the middle of a substantial road network, the lead concentrations that result from the road network should be treated as background (see section 9.2); the point source and any nearby major roadways should be modeled separately using the ISC model.

To model an entire major urban area or to model areas without significant sources of lead emissions, as a minimum a proportional (rollback) model may be used for air quality analysis. The rollback philosophy assumes that measured pollutant concentrations are proportional to emissions. However, urban or other dispersion models are encouraged in these circumstances where the use of such models is feasible.

For further information concerning the use of models in the development of lead implementation plans, the documents "Supplementary Guidelines for Lead Implementation Plans," (40) and "Updated Information on Approval and Promulgation of Lead Implementation Plans," (41) should be consulted.
7.2.4 Visibility.

The visibility regulations as promulgated in December 1980 require consideration of the effect of new sources on the visibility values of Federal Class I areas. The state of scientific knowledge concerning identifying, monitoring, modeling, and controlling visibility impairment is contained in an EPA report "Protecting Visibility: An EPA Report to Congress." (42) At the present time, "although information derived from modeling and monitoring can, in some cases, aid the States in development and implementation of the visibility program," the States are not currently required to establish monitoring networks or perform modeling analyses. However, a monitoring strategy is required. As additional knowledge is gained, guidance on "plume blight" and regional scale models will be provided, as appropriate.

FOOTNOTE: 1 45 FR 80084.

FOOTNOTE: 2 40 CFR 51.300-307

References 43, 44, and 45 may also be useful when visibility evaluations are needed. Appendix B contains two models developed for application to visibility problems.

7.2.5 Good Engineering Practice Stack Height.

The use of stack height credit in excess of Good Engineering Practice (GEP) stack height is prohibited in the development of emission limitations by 40 CFR 51.12 and 40 CFR 51.16. The definition of GEP stack height is contained in 40 CFR 51.1. Methods and procedures for making the appropriate stack height calculations, determining stack height credits and an example of applying those techniques are found in references 46, 47, 48, and 49.

If stacks for new or existing major sources are found to be less than the height defined by EPA's refined formula for determining GEP height, then air quality impacts associated with cavity or wake effects due to the nearby building structures should be determined. Detailed downwash screening procedures (17) for both the cavity and wake regions should be followed. If more refined concentration estimates are required, the Industrial Source Complex (ISC) model contains algorithms for building wake calculations and should be used. Fluid modeling can provide a great deal of additional information for evaluating and describing the cavity and wake effects.

FOOTNOTE: 1 The EPA refined formula height is defined as H+1.5L (refer to reference 46).

7.2.6 Long Range Transport (beyond 50 km).

Section 165(e) of the Clean Air Act requires that suspected significant impacts on PSD Class I areas be determined. However, the useful distance to which most Gaussian models are considered accurate for setting emission limits is 50 km. Since in many cases Class I areas may be threatened at distances greater than 50 km from new sources, some procedure is needed to (1) determine if a significant impact will occur, and (2) identify the model to be used in setting an emission limit if the Class I increments are threatened (models for this purpose should be approved for use on a case-by-case basis as required in section 3.2). This procedure and the models selected for use should be determined in consultation with the EPA Regional Office and the appropriate Federal Land Manager (FLM). While the ultimate decision on whether a Class I area is adversely affected is the responsibility of the permitting authority, the FLM has an affirmative responsibility to protect air quality related values that may be affected.

LRT models for use beyond 50 km and for other than PSD purposes also should be selected on a case-by-case basis. Normally, use of these models will require an acceptable demonstration of applicability and an evaluation of model performance if possible (See section 3.2).

7.2.7 Modeling Guidance for Other Governmental Programs

When using the models recommended or discussed in this guideline in support of programmatic requirements not specifically covered by EPA regulations, the model user should consult the appropriate Federal or State agency to ensure the proper application and use of that model. For modeling associated with PSD permit applications that involve a Class I area, the appropriate Federal Land Manager should be consulted on all modeling questions.

The Offshore and Coastal Dispersion (OCD) model (92) was developed by the Minerals Management Service and is recommended for estimating air quality impact from offshore sources on onshore flat terrain areas. The OCD model is not recommended for use in air quality impact assessments for onshore sources.

8.0 General Modeling Considerations

8.1 Discussion

This section contains recommendations concerning a number of different issues not explicitly covered in other sections of this guide. The topics covered here are not specific to any one program or modeling area but are common to nearly all modeling analyses.
8.2 Recommendations

8.2.1 Design Concentrations

8.2.1.1 Design Concentrations for \(\text{SO}_2\), Particulate Matter, Lead, and \(\text{NO}_2\).

An air quality analysis is required to determine if the source will (1) cause a violation of the NAAQS, or (2) cause or contribute to air quality deterioration greater than the specified allowable PSD increment. For the former, background concentration (see section 9.2) should be added to the estimated impact of the source to determine the design concentration. For the latter, the design concentration includes impact from all increment consuming sources.

If the air quality analysis are conducted using the period of meteorological input data recommended in section 9.3.1.2 (e.g., 5 years of NWS data or one year of site-specific data), then the design concentration based on the highest, second-highest short term concentration or long term average, whichever is controlling, should be used to determine emission limitations to assess compliance with the NAAQS and to determine PSD increments.

When sufficient and representative data exist for less than a 5-year period from a nearby NWS site, or when on-site data have been collected for less than a full continuous year, or when it has been determined that the on-site data may not be temporally representative, then the highest concentration estimate should be considered the design value. This is because the length of the data record may be too short to assure that the conditions producing worst-case estimates have been adequately sampled. The highest value is then a surrogate for the concentration that is not to be exceeded more than once per year (the wording of the deterministic standards). Also, the highest concentration should be used whenever selected worst-case conditions are input to a screening technique. This specifically applies to the use of techniques such as outlined in "Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Impact of New Stationary Sources." (18)

If the controlling concentration is an annual average value and multiple years of data (on-site or NWS) are used, then the design value is the highest of the annual averages calculated for the individual years. If the controlling concentration is a quarterly average and multiple years are used, then the highest individual quarterly average should be considered the design value.

As long a period of record as possible should be used in making estimates to determine design values and PSD increments. If more than one year of site-specific data is available, it should be used.

8.2.1.2 Design Concentrations for Criteria Pollutants with Expected Exceedance Standards.

Specific instructions for the determination of design concentrations for criteria pollutants with expected exceedance standards are contained in special guidance documents for the preparation of State Implementation Plans for those pollutants. For all SIP revisions the user should check with the Regional Office to obtain the most recent guidance documents and policy memoranda concerning the pollutant in question.

8.2.2 Critical Receptor Sites

Receptor sites for refined modeling should be utilized in sufficient detail to estimate the highest concentrations and possible violations of a NAAQS or a PSD increment. In designing a receptor network, emphasis should be placed on receptor resolution and location, not total number of receptors. The selection of receptor sites should be a case-by-case determination taking into consideration the topography, the climatology, monitor sites, and the results of the initial screening procedure. For large sources (those equivalent to a 500 MW power plant) and where violations of the NAAQS or PSD increment are likely, 360 receptors for a polar coordinate grid system and 400 receptors for a rectangular grid system, where the distance from the source to the farthest receptor is 10 km, are usually adequate to identify areas of high concentration. Additional receptors may be needed in the high concentration location if greater resolution is indicated by terrain or source factors.

8.2.3 Dispersion Coefficients

Gaussian models used in most applications should employ dispersion coefficients consistent with those contained in the preferred models in appendix A. Factors such as averaging time, urban/rural surroundings, and type of source (point vs. line) may dictate the selection of specific coefficients. Generally, coefficients used in appendix A models are identical to, or at least based on, Pasquill-Gifford coefficients (50) in rural areas and McElroy-Pooler (51) coefficients in urban areas.

Research is continuing toward the development of methods to determine dispersion coefficients directly from measured or observed variables. (52, 53) No method to date has proved to be widely applicable. Thus, direct measurement, as well as other dispersion coefficients related to distance and stability, may be used in Gaussian modeling only if a demonstration can be made that such parameters are more applicable and accurate for the given situation than are algorithms contained in the preferred models.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), as identified by Pasquill, (54) is included in the preferred models and should be used where buoyant sources, e.g., those involving fuel combustion, are involved.
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
Part 256, Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X
Page: 246

2.4 Stability Categories

The Pasquill approach to classifying stability is generally required in all preferred models (appendix A). The PASUILL
method, as modified by Turner, (55) was developed for use with commonly observed meteorological data from the National
Weather Service and is based on cloud cover, insolation and wind speed.

Procedures to determine Pasquill stability categories from other than NWS data are found in section 9.3. Any other
method to determine Pasquill stability categories must be justified on a case-by-case basis.

For a given model application where stability categories are the basis for selecting dispersion coefficients, both c_y
and c_z should be determined from the same stability category. "Split sigmas" in that instance are not recommended.

Sector averaging, which eliminates the c_y term, is generally acceptable only to determine long term averages, such as
seasonal or annual, and when the meteorological input data are statistically summarized as in the STAR summaries. Sector
averaging is, however, commonly acceptable in complex terrain screening methods.

1.5 Plume Rise

The plume rise methods of Briggs (56, 57) are incorporated in the preferred models and are recommended for use in all
siting applications. No provisions in these models are made for fumigation or multistack plume rise enhancement or the
ruling of such special plumes as flares; these problems should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Since there is insufficient information to identify and quantify dispersion during the transitional plume rise period,
ideal plume rise is not generally recommended for use. There are two exceptions where the use of gradual plume rise is
appropriate: (1) in complex terrain screening procedures to determine close-in impact; (2) when calculating the effects of
sloping wakes. The building wake algorithm in the ISC model incorporates gradual plume rise calculations. If the building wake
calculations are used to affect the plume for any hour, gradual plume rise is also used in downwind dispersion calculations to the
stance of final plume rise, after which final plume rise is used.

Stack tip downwash generally occurs with poorly constructed stacks and when the ratio of the stack exit velocity to wind
speed is small. An algorithm developed by Briggs (Banna, et al.) (57) is the recommended technique for this situation and is
used in the point source preferred models.

Where aerodynamic downwash occurs due to the adverse influence of nearby structures, the algorithms included in the ISC
model (58) should be used.

6 Chemical Transformation

The chemical transformation of SO_2 emitted from point sources or single industrial plants in rural areas is generally
used to be relatively unimportant to the estimation of maximum concentrations when travel time is limited to a few hours.
However, in urban areas, where synergistic effects among pollutants are of considerable consequence, chemical transformation
may be of concern. In urban area applications, a half-life of 4 hours (55) may be applied to the analysis of SO_2
emissions. Calculations of transformation coefficients from site-specific studies can be used to define a "half-life" to be used
for a Gaussian model with any travel time, or in any application, if appropriate documentation is provided. Such conversion
tables for pollutant half-life should not be used with screening analyses.

Complete conversion of NO to NO_2 should be assumed for all travel time when simple screening techniques are used to
evaluate point source emissions of nitrogen oxides. If a Gaussian model is used, and data are available on reasonable variations in
individual ozone concentrations, the Ozone Limiting Method (38) is recommended. In refined analyses, case-by-case conversion rates
should be justified for individuals.

Use of models incorporating complex chemical mechanisms should be considered only on a case-by-case basis with proper
constraint of applicability. These are generally regional models not designed for the evaluation of individual sources but
designed primarily for region-wide evaluations. Visibility models also incorporate chemical transformation mechanisms which are an
integral part of the visibility model itself and should be used in visibility assessments.

7 Gravitational Settling and Deposition

An "infinite half-life" should be used for estimates of total suspended particulate concentrations when Gaussian models
using only exponential decay terms for treating settling and deposition are used.

Gravitational settling and deposition may be directly included in a model if either is a significant factor. At least
preferred model (ISC) contains settling and deposition algorithms and is recommended for use when particulate matter sources
be quantified and settling and deposition are problems.

8 Urban/Rural Classification
The selection of either rural or urban dispersion coefficients in a specific application should follow one of the procedures suggested by Irwin (59) and briefly described below. These include a land use classification procedure or a population based procedure to determine whether the character of an area is primarily urban or rural.

Land Use Procedure: (1) Classify the land use within the total area, A, circumscribed by a 3 km radius circle about the source using the meteorological land use typing scheme proposed by Auer (60); (2) if land use types II, II, C1, R2, and R3 account for 50 percent or more of A, use urban dispersion coefficients; otherwise, use appropriate rural dispersion coefficients.

Population Density Procedure: (1) Compute the average population density, p, per square kilometer with A, as defined above; (2) if p is greater than 750 people/km², use urban dispersion coefficients; otherwise use appropriate rural dispersion coefficients.

Of the two methods the land use procedure is considered more definitive. Population density should be used with caution and should not be applied to highly industrialized areas where the future population density may be low and thus a rural classification would be indicated, but the area is sufficiently built-up so that the urban land use criteria would be satisfied. In this case, the classification should already be "urban" and urban dispersion parameters should be used.

Sources located in an area defined as urban should be modeled using urban dispersion parameters. Sources located in areas defined as rural should be modeled using the rural dispersion parameters. For analyses of whole urban complexes, the entire area should be modeled as an urban region if most of the sources are located in areas classified as urban.

8.2.9 Fumigation

Fumigation occurs when a plume (or multiple plumes) is emitted into a stable layer of air and that layer is subsequently mixed to the ground either through convective transfer of heat from the surface or because of advection to less stable surroundings. Fumigation may cause excessively high concentrations but is usually rather short-lived at a given receptor. There are no recommended refined techniques to model this phenomenon. There are, however, screening procedures (see "Guidelines for Air Quality Maintenance Planning and Analysis Volume 10B: Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Impact of New Stationary Sources") (18) that may be used to approximate the concentrations. Considerable care should be exercised in the use of the results obtained from the screening techniques.

Fumigation is also an important phenomenon on and near the shoreline of bodies of water. This can affect both individual plumes and area-wide emissions. Although models have been developed to address this problem, the evaluations so far do not permit the recommendation of any specific technique.

The Regional Office should be contacted to determine the appropriate model for applications where fumigation is of concern.

8.2.10 Stagnation

Although both short and long term periods of very light winds are important in the identification of worst-case conditions, the models identified in this guideline cannot adequately simulate such conditions. If stagnation conditions are determined to be important to the analysis, then techniques specific to the situation and location must be developed. Such techniques might include empirical models or box models. Assistance from the appropriate Regional Office should be obtained prior to embarking on the development of such a procedure.

8.2.11 Calibration of Models

Calibration of long term multi-source models has been a widely used procedure even though the limitations imposed by statistical theory on the reliability of the calibration process for long term estimates are well known. (61) In some cases, where a more accurate model is not available, calibration may be the best alternative for improving the accuracy of the estimated concentrations needed for control strategy evaluations.

Calibration of short term models is not common practice and is subject to much greater error and misunderstanding. There have been attempts by some to compare short term estimates and measurements on an event-by-event basis and then to calibrate a model with results of that comparison. This approach is severely limited by uncertainties in both source and meteorological data and therefore it is difficult to precisely estimate the concentration at an exact location for a specific increment of time. Such uncertainties make calibration of short term models of questionable benefit. Therefore, short term model calibration is unacceptable.

9.0 Model Input Data

Data bases and related procedures for estimating input parameters are an integral part of the modeling procedure. The most appropriate data available should always be selected for use in modeling analyses. Concentrations can vary widely depending on the source data or meteorological data used. Input data are a major source of inconsistencies in any modeling analysis. This section attempts to minimize the uncertainty associated with data base selection and use by identifying requirements for data
sed in modeling. A checklist of input data requirements for modeling analyses is included as appendix C. More specific data requirements and the format required for the individual models are described in detail in the users' guide for each model.

1. Source Data

1.1 Discussion

Sources of pollutants can be classified as point, line and area/volume sources. Point sources are defined in terms of size and may vary between regulatory programs. The line sources most frequently considered are roadways and streets along which there are well-defined movements of motor vehicles, but they may be lines of roof vents or stacks such as in aluminum smelters. Area and volume sources are often collections of a multitude of minor sources with individually small emissions that are impractical to consider as separate point or line sources. Large area sources are typically treated as a grid network of source areas, with pollutant emissions distributed uniformly within each grid square.

Emission factors are compiled in an EPA publication commonly known as AP-42 (62), an indication of the quality and count of data on which many of the factors are based is also provided. Other information concerning emissions is available in EPA publications relating to specific source categories. The Regional Office should be consulted to determine appropriate source definitions and for guidance concerning the determination of emissions from and techniques for modeling the various source types.

1.2 Recommendations

For point source applications the load or operating condition that causes maximum ground-level concentrations should be established. As a minimum, the source should be modeled using the design capacity (100 percent load). If a source operates at greater than design capacity for periods that could result in violations of the standards or PSD increments, this load should be modeled. Where the source operates at substantially less than design capacity, and the changes in the stack parameters associated with the operating conditions could lead to higher ground level concentrations, loads such as 50 percent and 75 percent of capacity should also be modeled. A range of operating conditions should be considered in screening analyses; the load using the highest concentration, in addition to the design load, should be included in refined modeling. The following example recommends that for evaluating plant impact, a conversion to metric is required.

FOOTNOTE: Malfunctions which may result in excess emissions are not considered to be a normal operating condition. They generally should not be considered in determining allowable emissions. However, if the excess emissions are the result of poor maintenance, careless operation, or other preventable conditions, it may be necessary to consider them in determining source impact.

a. Plant layout. The connection scheme between boilers and stacks, and the distance and direction between stacks, should be considered. Length, width, height, location and orientation relative to stacks) for plant structures which house boilers, control equipment, and surrounding buildings within a distance of approximately five stack heights.

b. Stack parameters. For all stacks, the stack height and inside diameter (meters), and the temperature (K) and volume flow rate (actual cubic meters per second) or exit gas velocity (meters per second) for operation at 100 percent, 75 percent and percent load.

c. Boiler size. For all boilers, the associated megawatts, 10^6 Btu/hr, and pounds of steam per hour, and the design or actual fuel consumption rate for 100 percent load for coal (tons/hour), oil (barrels/hour), and natural gas (thousand cubic feet/hour).

d. Boiler parameters. For all boilers, the percent excess air used, the boiler type (e.g., wet bottom, cyclone, etc.), and the type of firing (e.g., pulverized coal, front firing, etc.).

e. Operating conditions. For all boilers, the type, amount and pollutant contents of fuel, the total hours of boiler operation and the boiler capacity factor during the year, and the percent load for peak conditions.

f. Pollution control equipment parameters. For each boiler served and each pollutant affected, the type of emission control equipment, the year of its installation, its design efficiency and mass emission rate, the date of the last test and the test efficiency, the number of hours of operation during the latest year, and the best engineering estimate of its projected efficiency if used in conjunction with coal combustion; data for any anticipated modifications or additions.

g. Data for new boilers or stacks. For all new boilers and stacks under construction and for all planned modifications, existing boilers or stacks, the scheduled date of completion, and the data or best estimates available for items (a) through (g) above following completion of construction or modification.

In stationary point source applications for compliance with short term ambient standards, SIP control strategies should be calculated using the emission input shown on Table 9-1. When using a refined model, sources should be modeled sequentially with se loads for every hour of the year. To evaluate SIP's for compliance with quarterly and annual standards, emission input
data shown on table 9-1 should again be used. Emissions from area sources should generally be based on annual average conditions. The source input information in each model user's guide should be carefully consulted and the checklist in appendix C should also be consulted for possible emission data that could be helpful.

Line source modeling of streets and highways requires data on the width of the roadway and the median strip, the types and amounts of pollutant emissions, the number of lanes, and the height of emissions. The location of the ends of the straight roadway segments should be specified by appropriate grid coordinates. Detailed information and data requirements for modeling mobile sources of pollution are provided in the user's manuals for each of the models applicable to mobile sources.

The impact of growth on emissions should be considered in all modeling analyses covering existing sources. Increases in emissions due to planned expansion or planned fuel switches should be identified. Increases in emissions at individual sources that may be associated with a general industrial/commercial/residential expansion in multi-source urban areas should also be treated. For new sources the impact of growth on emissions should generally be considered for the period prior to the start-up date for the source. Such changes in emissions should be treated increased area source emissions, changes in existing point source emissions which were not subject to preconstruction review, and emissions due to sources with permits to construct that have not yet started operation.

Table 9-1. Model Emission Input Data for Point Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission limit (#/MMBtu)^2</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Operating level (MMBtu/hr)^2</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Operating factor (e.g. hr/yr, hr/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Stationary Point Source(s) Subject to SIP Emission Limit(s) Evaluation for Compliance with Ambient Standards (including Areawide Demonstrations)

- **Averaging time, Annual & quarterly:**
  - Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.
  - Actual or design capacity (whichever is greater), or federally enforceable permit condition.
  - Actual operating factor averaged over most recent 2 years.

- **Short term:**
  - Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.
  - Actual or design capacity (whichever is greater), or federally enforceable permit condition.
  - Continuous operation, i.e., all hours of each time period under consideration (for all hours of the meteorological data base).

Nearby Background Source(s): Same input requirements as for stationary point source(s) above.

Other Background Source(s): If modeled (see section 9.2.3), input data requirements are defined below.

- **Averaging time, Annual & quarterly:**
  - Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.
  - Annual level when actually operating, averaged over the.
  - Actual operating factor averaged over most recent 2 years.
9.2 Background Concentrations

9.2.1 Discussion

Background concentrations are an essential part of the total air quality concentration to be considered in determining source impacts. Background air quality includes pollutant concentrations due to: (1) Natural sources; (2) nearby sources other than the one(s) currently under consideration; and (3) unidentified sources.

Typically, air quality data should be used to establish background concentrations in the vicinity of the source(s) under consideration. The monitoring network used for background determinations should conform to the same quality assurance and other requirements as those networks established for PSD purposes. (63) An appropriate data validation procedure should be applied to the data prior to use.

If the source is not isolated, it may be necessary to use a multi-source model to establish the impact of nearby sources. Background concentrations should be determined for each critical (concentration) averaging time.

9.2.2 Recommendations (Isolated Single Source)

Two options are available to determine background near isolated sources.

Option One: Use air quality data collected in the vicinity of the source to determine the background concentration for the averaging times of concern. Determine the mean background concentration at each monitor by excluding values when the source in question is impacting the monitor. For shorter averaging periods, the meteorological conditions accompanying the concentrations of concern should be identified. Concentrations for meteorological conditions of concern, at monitors not impacted by the source in question, should be averaged for each separate averaging time to determine the average background value. Monitoring sites inside a 90° sector downwind of the source may be used to determine the area of impact. One hour concentrations may be added and averaged to determine longer averaging periods.

Option Two: If there are no monitors located in the vicinity of the source, a "regional site" may be used to determine background. A "regional site" is one that is located away from the area of interest but is impacted by similar natural and distant man-made sources.

FOOTNOTE: 1 The model input data requirements shown on this table apply to stationary source control strategies for STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLANS. For purposes of emissions trading, new source review, or prevention of significant deterioration, other model input criteria may apply. Refer to the policy and guidance for these programs to establish the input data.

FOOTNOTE: 2 Terminology applicable to fuel burning sources; analogous terminology, e.g., #/throughput may be used for other type of sources.

FOOTNOTE: 3 Unless it is determined that this period is not representative.

FOOTNOTE: 4 Operating levels such as 50 percent and 75 percent of capacity should also be modeled to determine the load causing the highest concentration.

FOOTNOTE: 5 If operation does not occur for all hours of the time period of consideration (e.g., 3 or 24 hours) and the source operation is constrained by a federally enforceable permit condition, an appropriate adjustment to the modeled emission rate may be made (e.g., if operation is only 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. each day, only these hours will be modeled with emissions from the source. Modeled emissions should not be averaged across nonoperating time periods.)

9.2 Background Concentrations

9.2.1 Discussion

Background concentrations are an essential part of the total air quality concentration to be considered in determining source impacts. Background air quality includes pollutant concentrations due to: (1) Natural sources; (2) nearby sources other than the one(s) currently under consideration; and (3) unidentified sources.

Typically, air quality data should be used to establish background concentrations in the vicinity of the source(s) under consideration. The monitoring network used for background determinations should conform to the same quality assurance and other requirements as those networks established for PSD purposes. (63) An appropriate data validation procedure should be applied to the data prior to use.

If the source is not isolated, it may be necessary to use a multi-source model to establish the impact of nearby sources. Background concentrations should be determined for each critical (concentration) averaging time.

9.2.2 Recommendations (Isolated Single Source)

Two options are available to determine background near isolated sources.

Option One: Use air quality data collected in the vicinity of the source to determine the background concentration for the averaging times of concern. Determine the mean background concentration at each monitor by excluding values when the source in question is impacting the monitor. For shorter averaging periods, the meteorological conditions accompanying the concentrations of concern should be identified. Concentrations for meteorological conditions of concern, at monitors not impacted by the source in question, should be averaged for each separate averaging time to determine the average background value. Monitoring sites inside a 90° sector downwind of the source may be used to determine the area of impact. One hour concentrations may be added and averaged to determine longer averaging periods.

Option Two: If there are no monitors located in the vicinity of the source, a "regional site" may be used to determine background. A "regional site" is one that is located away from the area of interest but is impacted by similar natural and distant man-made sources.
9.2.3 Recommendations (Multi-Source Areas)

In multi-source areas two components of background should be determined.

Nearby Sources: All sources expected to cause a significant concentration gradient in the vicinity of the source or sources under consideration for emission limit(s) should be explicitly modeled. For evaluation for compliance with the short term and annual ambient standards, the nearby sources should be modeled using the emission input data shown in Table 9-1. The number of such sources is expected to be small except in unusual situations. The nearby source inventory should be determined in consultation with the local air pollution control agency. It is envisioned that the nearby sources and the sources under consideration will be evaluated together using an appropriate appendix A model.

The impact of the nearby sources should be examined at locations where interactions between the plume of the point source under consideration and those of nearby sources (plus natural background) can occur. Significant locations include: (1) The area of maximum impact of the point source; (2) the area of maximum impact of nearby sources; and (3) the area where all sources combine to cause maximum impact. These locations may be identified through trial and error analyses.

Other Sources: That portion of the background attributable to all other sources (e.g., natural sources, minor sources and distant major sources) should be determined either by the procedures found in section 9.2.2 or by application of a model using Table 9-1.

9.3 Meteorological Input Data

The meteorological data used as input to a dispersion model should be selected on the basis of spatial and climatological (temporal) representativeness as well as the ability of the individual parameters selected to characterize the transport and dispersion conditions in the area of concern. The representativeness of the data is dependent on: (1) The proximity of the meteorological monitoring site to the area under consideration; (2) the complexity of the terrain; (3) the exposure of the meteorological monitoring site; and (4) the period of time during which data are collected. The spatial representativeness of the data can be adversely affected by large distances between the source and receptors of interest and the complex topographic characteristics of the area. Temporal representativeness is a function of the year-to-year variations in weather conditions.

Model input data are normally obtained either from the National Weather Service or as part of an on-site measurement program. Local universities, FAA, military stations, industry and pollution control agencies may also be sources of such data. Some recommendations for the use of each type of data are included in this section.

9.3.1 Length of Record of Meteorological Data

9.3.1.1 Discussion.

The model user should acquire enough meteorological data to ensure that worst-case meteorological conditions are adequately represented in the model results. The trend toward statistically based standards suggests a need for all meteorological conditions to be adequately represented in the data set selected for model input. The number of years of record needed to obtain a stable distribution of conditions depends on the variable being measured and has been estimated by Landsberg and Jacos (64) for various parameters. Although that study indicates in excess of 10 years may be required to achieve stability in the frequency distributions of some meteorological variables, such long periods are not reasonable for model input data. This is due in part to the fact that hourly data in model input format are frequently not available for such periods and that hourly calculations of concentration for long periods are prohibitively expensive. A recent study (65) compared various periods from a 17-year data set to determine the minimum number of years of data needed to approximate the concentrations modeled with a 17-year period of meteorological data from one station. This study indicated that the variability of model estimates due to the meteorological data input was adequately reduced if a 5-year period of record of meteorological input was used.

9.3.1.2 Recommendations.

Five years of representative meteorological data should be used when estimating concentrations with an air quality model. Consecutive years from the most recent, readily available 5-year period are preferred. The meteorological data may be data collected either onsite or at the nearest National Weather Service (NWS) station. If the source is large, e.g., a 500 MW power plant, the use of 5 years of NWS meteorological data or at least 1 year of site-specific data is required.

If one year or more, up to five years, of site-specific data is available, these data are preferred for use in air quality analyses. Such data should have been subjected to quality assurance procedures as described in section 9.3.3.2.

For permitted sources whose emission limitations are based on a specific year of meteorological data that year should be added to any longer period being used (e.g., 5 years of NWS data) when modeling the facility a later time.

9.3.2 National Weather Service Data

9.3.2.1 Discussion.
The National Weather Service (NWS) meteorological data are routinely available and familiar to most model users. Although the NWS does not provide direct measurements of all the needed dispersion model input variables, methods have been developed and successfully used to translate the basic NWS data to the needed model input. Direct measurements of model input parameters have been made for limited model studies and those methods and techniques are becoming more widely applied; however, most model applications still rely heavily on the NWS data.

There are two standard formats of the NWS data for use in air quality models. The short term models use the standard hourly weather observations available from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). These observations are then "preprocessed" before they can be used in the models. "STAR" summaries are available from NCDC for long term model use. These are joint frequency distributions of wind speed, direction and F-g stability category. They are used as direct input to models such as the long term version of ISC. (58)

9.3.2.2 Recommendations.

The preferred short term models listed in appendix A all accept as input the NWS meteorological data preprocessed into model compatible form. Long-term (monthly seasonal or annual) preferred models use NWS "STAR" summaries. Summarized concentration estimates from the short term models may also be used to develop long-term averages; however, concentration estimates based on the two separate input data sets may not necessarily agree.

Although most NWS measurements are made at a standard height of 10 meters, the actual anemometer height should be used as input to the preferred model.

National Weather Service wind directions are reported to the nearest 10 degrees. A specific set of randomly generated numbers has been developed for use with the preferred EPA models and should be used to ensure a lack of bias in wind direction assignments within the models.

Data from universities, FAA, military stations, industry and pollution control agencies may be used if such data are equivalent in accuracy and detail to the NWS data.

9.3.3 Site-Specific Data

9.3.3.1 Discussion.

Spatial or geographical representativeness is best achieved by collection of all of the needed model input data at the actual site of the source(s). Site-specific measured data are therefore preferred as model input, provided appropriate instrumentation and quality assurance procedures are followed and that the data collected are representative (free from undue local or "micro" influences) and compatible with the input requirements of the model to be used. However, direct measurements of all the needed model input parameters may not be possible. This section discusses suggestions for the collection and use of on-site data. Since the methods outlined in this section are still being tested, comparison of the model parameters derived using these site-specific data should be compared at least on a spot-check basis, with parameters derived from more conventional observations.

9.3.3.2 Recommendations.

Site-specific Data Collection

Guidance provided in the "Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)" (63) should be used for the establishment of special monitoring networks for PSD and other air quality modeling analyses. That guidance includes requirements and specifications for both pollutant and meteorological monitoring. Additional information is available in the EPA quality assurance handbooks and site selection guidance documents published on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis (see the Air Programs Report and Guidelines Index EPA-450/2-82-016). Volume IV of the series of reports "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems" (66) contains such information for meteorological measurements. As a minimum, site-specific measurements of ambient air temperature, transport wind speed and direction, and the parameters to determine Pasquill-Gifford stability categories should be available in meteorological data sets to be used in modeling. Care should be taken to ensure that monitors are located to represent the area of concern and that they are not influenced by very localized effects. Site-specific data for model applications should cover as long a period of measurement as is possible to ensure adequate representation of "worst-case" meteorology. The Regional Office will determine the appropriateness of the measurement locations.

All site-specific data should be reduced to hourly averages. Table 9-2 lists the wind related parameters and the averaging time requirements.

Temperature Measurements

Temperature measurements should be made at standard shelter height in accordance with the guidance referenced above.

Wind Measurements
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
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In addition to surface wind measurements, the transport wind direction should be measured at an elevation as close as possible to the plume height. To approximate this, if a source has a stack below 100 m, select the stack top height as the transport wind measurement height. For sources with stacks extending above 100 m, a 100 m tower is suggested unless the stack top is significantly above 100 meters (200 m or more). In cases with stacks 200 m or above, the Regional Office should determine the appropriate measurement height on a case-by-case basis. Remote sensing may be a feasible alternative. The dilution wind speed used in determining plume rise and also used in the Gaussian dispersion equation is, by convention, defined as the wind speed at stack top.

For routine tower measurements and surface measurements the wind speed should be measured using an anemometer and the wind direction measured using a horizontal vane. Specifications for wind measuring instruments and monitoring systems are contained in the "Ambient Air Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)" (63) and in the quality assurance handbook on meteorological measurements (66). Irwin (67) provides additional guidance for processing wind data.

### Stability Categories

The Pasquill-Gifford (P-G) stability categories, as originally defined, couple near-surface measurements of wind speed with subjectively determined insolation assessments based on hourly cloud cover and ceiling observations. The wind speed measurements are made at or near 10 m. The insolation rate is typically assessed using the cloud cover and ceiling height criteria outlined by Turner (50). Often the cloud cover data are not available in site-specific data sets. In the absence of such observations, it is recommended that the P-G stability category be estimated using Table 9-3. This table requires $\sigma_w$, the standard deviation of the vertical wind direction fluctuations. If the surface roughness of the area surrounding the source is different from the 15 cm roughness length upon which the table is based, an adjustment may be made as indicated in the second footnote of Table 9-3. $\sigma_E$ is computed from direct measurements of the elevation angle of the vertical wind directions.

If measurements of elevation angle are not available, $\sigma_E$ may be determined using the transform:

$$\sigma_E = \frac{\sigma_W}{u}$$

where:

- $\sigma_E$ = the standard deviation of the vertical wind direction fluctuations over a one-hour period.
- $\sigma_W$ = the standard deviation of the vertical wind speed fluctuations over a one-hour period.
- $u$ = the average horizontal wind speed for a one-hour period.

Since both $\sigma_w$ and $u$ are in meters per second, $\sigma_E$ is in radians. To use $\sigma_E$ in Table 9-3, $\sigma_E$ must be converted to degrees. It is recommended that a vertically mounted propeller anemometer be used to measure the vertical wind speed fluctuations. The instrument should meet the specifications given in the Ambient Monitoring Guidelines referenced above. Compute $\sigma_w$ directly each hour using at least 360 values based on a recommended readout interval of up to 10 seconds. If $\sigma_w$ is computed using the output of the anemometer by other than direct application of the formula for a variance, the method should be demonstrated to be equivalent to direct computation. Both the vertical wind speed fluctuations and the horizontal wind speed should be measured at the same level. Moreover, these measurements should be made at a height of 10 m for use in estimating the P-G stability category. Where trees or land use preclude measurements as low as 10 m, measurements should be made at a height above the obstructions.

If on-site measurements of either $\sigma_w$ or $\sigma_E$ are not available, stability categories may be determined using the horizontal wind direction fluctuation, $\sigma_A$, as outlined by Irwin (68). Irwin includes the Mitchell and Timbre (69) method that uses categories of $\sigma_A$ (70) listed in Table 9-3, as an initial estimate of the P-G stability category. This relationship is considered adequate for daytime use. During the nighttime (one hour prior to sunset to one hour after sunrise), the adjustments given in Table 9-4 should be applied to these categories. As with $\sigma_E$ an hourly average $\sigma_A$ may be adjusted for surface roughness by multiplying the table values of $\sigma_A$ by a factor based on the average surface roughness length determined within 1 to 3 km of the source. The need for such adjustments should be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Wind direction meander may, at times, lead to an erroneous determination of P-G stability category based on $\sigma_A$. To minimize wind direction meander contributions, $\sigma_A$ may be determined for each of four 15-minute periods in an hour. However, 360 samples are needed during each 15-minute period. To obtain the $\sigma_A$ for stability determinations in these situations, take the square root of one-quarter of the sum of the squares of the four 15-minute $\sigma_A$'s, as illustrated in the footnote to Table 9-2. While this approach is acceptable for determining stability, $\sigma_A$'s calculated in this manner are not likely to be suitable for input to models under development that are designed to accept on-site hourly $\sigma_A$'s based on 60-minute periods.

There has not been a widespread use of $\sigma_A$ and $\sigma_E$ to determine P-G categories. As mentioned in the footnotes to Table 9-1, the techniques outlined have not been extensively tested. The criteria listed in Table 9-3, are for $\sigma_w$ and $\sigma_E$ values at 10 m. For best results, the $\sigma_w$ and $\sigma_E$ values should be for heights near the surface as close to 10 m as practicable. Obstacles and large roughness elements may preclude measurements as low as 10 m. If circumstances preclude measurements below 30 m, the Regional Meteorologist should be consulted to determine the appropriate measurements to be taken on a case-by-case basis. The criteria listed in Tables 9-3 and 9-4 result from studies conducted in relatively flat terrain in rather ideal circumstances. For routine applications, where conditions are often less than ideal, it is recommended that a temporary program be initiated at each site to spot-check the stability class estimates. Irwin's method using $\sigma_w$ or $\sigma_A$ should be compared with P-G stability class...
estimates using on-site wind speed and subjective assessments of the insolation based on ceiling height and cloud cover. The Regional Meteorologist should be consulted when using the spot-check results to refine and adjust the preliminary criteria outlined in Tables 9-3 and 9-4.

In summary, when on-site data sets are being used, Pasquill-Gifford stability categories should be determined from one of the following schemes listed in the order of preference:

1. Turner’s 1964 method (54) using site-specific data which include cloud cover, ceiling height and surface (10 m) wind speeds.

2. \( \sigma_E \) from site-specific measurements and Table 9-3 (\( \sigma_E \) may be determined from elevation angle measurements or may be estimated from measurements of \( \sigma_w \) according to the transform: \( \sigma_E = \sigma_w/u \) (see page 9-17)).

3. \( \sigma_A \) from site-specific measurements and Tables 9-3 and 9-4.

4. Turner’s 1964 method using site-specific wind speed with cloud cover and ceiling height from a nearby NWS site.

### Table 9-2: Averaging Times for Site-Specific Wind and Turbulence Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Averaging time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface wind speed (for use in stability</td>
<td>1-hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determinations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport direction</td>
<td>1-hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution wind speed</td>
<td>1-hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence measurements (( \sigma_E ) and ( \sigma_A )) for use in stability determinations.</td>
<td>1-hr²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOOTNOTE:** To minimize meander effects in \( \sigma \) when wind conditions are light and/or variable, determine the hourly average \( \sigma \)'s from four 15-minute \( \sigma \)'s according to the following formula:

\[
\sigma_{1-hr} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{151}^2 + \sigma_{152}^2 + \sigma_{153}^2 + \sigma_{154}^2}{4}}
\]

### Table 9-3: Wind Fluctuation Criteria For Estimating Pasquill Stability Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pasquill stability category</th>
<th>Standard deviation of the horizontal wind direction fluctuations(^{14}) (( \sigma_E ) in degrees)</th>
<th>Standard deviation of the vertical wind direction fluctuations(^{14}) (( \sigma_A ) in degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>( \sigma_E &lt; 22.5^\circ )</td>
<td>( \sigma_A &lt; 11.5^\circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>( 17.5^\circ &lt; \sigma_E &lt; 22.5^\circ )</td>
<td>( 100^\circ &lt; \sigma_A &lt; 115^\circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>( 12.5^\circ &lt; \sigma_E &lt; 17.5^\circ )</td>
<td>( 7.8^\circ &lt; \sigma_A &lt; 10^\circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>( 7.5^\circ &lt; \sigma_E &lt; 12.5^\circ )</td>
<td>( 5^\circ &lt; \sigma_A &lt; 7.5^\circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>( 2.5^\circ &lt; \sigma_E &lt; 7.5^\circ )</td>
<td>( 2.5^\circ &lt; \sigma_A &lt; 5^\circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>( \sigma_E &lt; 2.5^\circ )</td>
<td>( \sigma_A &lt; 2.5^\circ )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from: Irwin, J., 1980 (68).

**FOOTNOTE:** These criteria are appropriate for steady-state conditions, a measurement height of 10 m, for level terrain, and an aerodynamic surface roughness length of 15 cm. Care should be taken that the wind sensor is responsive enough for use in measuring wind direction fluctuations. (63)

**FOOTNOTE:** A surface roughness factor of \( z_0/15 \text{ cm}^{1/2} \), where \( z_0 \) is the average surface roughness in centimeters within a radius of 1-3 km of the source, may be applied to the table values. It should be noted that this factor, while theoretically sound, has not been subjected to rigorous testing and may not improve the estimates in all circumstances.

A table of \( z_0 \) values that may be used as a guide to estimating surface roughness is given in Smedman-Hogstrom and Hogstrom. (71)
Table 9-4.—Nighttime P-G Stability Categories Based on oA from Table 9-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If the oA Stability Category is</th>
<th>And the Wind Speed at 10 m is m/s</th>
<th>Then the Pasquill Stability Category is</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>&lt;2.9</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.9 to 3.6</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥3.6</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;2.4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4 to 3.0</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥3.0</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>&lt;2.4</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2.4</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>wind speed not considered</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>wind speed not considered</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>wind speed not considered</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Irwin, J. 1980 68.

FOOTNOTE: 1 Nighttime is considered to be from 1 hour prior to sunset to 1 hour after sunrise.

FOOTNOTE: 2 The original Mitchell and Timbre (69) table had no wind speed restrictions; however, the original Pasquill criteria suggest that for wind speeds greater than 5 m/s, neutral conditions should be used.

FOOTNOTE: 3 The original Mitchell and Timbre (69) table had no wind speed restrictions; however, the original Pasquill criteria suggest that for wind speeds greater than or equal to 5 m/s, the D category would be appropriate, and for wind speeds between 3 m/s and 5 m/s, the E category should be used.

9.3.4 Treatment of Calms

9.3.4.1 Discussion.

Treatment of calm or light and variable wind poses a special problem in model applications since Gaussian models assume that concentration is inversely proportional to wind speed. Furthermore, concentrations become unrealistically large when wind speeds less than 1 m/s are input to the model. A procedure has been developed for use with NWS data to prevent the occurrence of overly conservative concentration estimates during periods of calm. This procedure acknowledges that a Gaussian plume model does not apply during calm conditions and that our knowledge of plume behavior and wind patterns during these conditions does not at present, permit the development of a better technique. Therefore, the procedure disregards hours which are identified as calm. The hour is treated as missing and a convention for handling missing hours is recommended.

Preprocessed meteorological data input to most appendix A EPA models substitute a 1.00 m/s wind speed and the previous direction for the calm hour. The new treatment of calms in those models attempts to identify the original calm cases by checking for a 1.00 m/s wind speed coincident with a wind direction equal to the previous hour's wind direction. Such cases are then treated in a prescribed manner when estimating short-term concentrations.

9.3.4.2 Recommendations.

Hourly concentrations calculated with Gaussian models using calms should not be considered valid; the wind and concentration estimates for these hours should be disregarded and considered to be missing. Critical concentrations for 3, 8, and 24-hour averages should be calculated by dividing the sum of the hourly concentration for the period by the number of valid or nonmissing hours. If the total number of valid hours is less than 18 for 24-hour averages, less than 6 for 8-hour averages or
less than 3 for 3-hour averages, the total concentration should be divided by 18 for the 24-hour average, 6 for the 8-hour average and 3 for the 3-hour average. For annual averages, the sum of all valid hourly concentrations is divided by the number of non-calm hours during the year. A post-processor computer program, CALMPro (72) has been prepared following these instructions and has been hardwired in the following models: RAM, ISC, MPTER and CRUSHER.

The recommendations above apply to the use of calms for short term averages and do not apply to the determination of long term averages using "STAR" data summaries. Calms should continue to be included in the preparation of "STAR" summaries. A treatment for calms and very light winds is built into the software that produces the "STAR" summaries.

Stagnant conditions, including extended periods of calms, often produce high concentrations over wide areas for relatively long averaging periods. The standard short term Gaussian models are often not applicable to such situations. When stagnation conditions are of concern, other modeling techniques should be considered on a case-by-case basis. (See also Section 6.2.10)

When used in Gaussian models, measured on-site wind speeds of less than 1 m/s but higher than the response thresholds of the instrument should be input as 1 m/s; the corresponding wind direction should also be input. Observations below the response threshold of the instrument are also set to 1 m/s but the wind direction from the previous hour is used. If the wind speed or direction cannot be determined, that hour should be treated as missing and short term averages should then be calculated as above.

10.0 Accuracy and Uncertainty of Models

10.1 Discussion

Increasing reliance has been placed on concentration estimates from models as the primary basis for regulatory decisions concerning source permits and emission control requirements. In many situations, such as review of a proposed source, no practical alternative exists. Therefore, there is an obvious need to know how accurate models really are and how any uncertainty in the estimates affects regulatory decisions. EPA recognizes the need for incorporating such information and has sponsored workshops (11, 74) on model accuracy, the possible ways to quantify accuracy, and on considerations in the incorporation of model accuracy and uncertainty in the regulatory process. The Second (EPA) Conference on Air Quality Modeling, August 1982, (75) was devoted to that subject.

10.1.1 Overview of Model Uncertainty

Dispersion models generally attempt to estimate concentrations at specific sites that actually represent an ensemble average of numerous repetitions of the same event. The event is characterized by measured or "known" conditions that are input to the models, e.g., wind speed, mixed layer height, surface heat flux, emission characteristics, etc. However, in addition to the known conditions, there are unmeasured or unknown variations in the conditions of this event, e.g., unresolved details of the atmospheric flow such as the turbulent velocity field. These unknown conditions, may vary among repetitions of the event. As a result, deviations in observed concentrations from their ensemble average, and from the concentrations estimated by the model, are likely to occur even though the known conditions are fixed. Even with a perfect model that predicts the correct ensemble average, there are likely to be deviations from the observed concentrations in individual repetitions of the event, due to variations in the unknown conditions. The statistics of these concentration residuals are termed "inherent" uncertainty. Available evidence suggests that this source of uncertainty alone may be responsible for a typical range of variation in concentrations of as much as ± 50 percent. (76)

Moreover, there is "reducible" uncertainty (77) associated with the model and its input conditions; neither models nor data bases are perfect. Reducible uncertainties are caused by: (1) Uncertainties in the input values of the known condition-emission characteristics and meteorological data; (2) errors in the measured concentrations which are used to compute the concentration residuals; and (3) inadequate model physics and formulation. The "reducible" uncertainties can be minimized through better (more accurate and more representative) measurements and better model physics.

To use the terminology correctly, reference to model accuracy should be limited to that portion of reducible uncertainty which deals with the physics and the formulation of the model. The accuracy of the model is normally determined by an evaluation procedure which involves the comparison of model concentration estimates with measured air quality data. (78) The statement of accuracy is based on statistical tests or performance measures such as bias, noise, correlation, etc. (11) However, information that allows a distinction between contributions of the various elements of inherent and reducible uncertainty is only now becoming to emerge. As a result most discussions of the accuracy of models make no quantitative distinction between (1) limitations of the model versus (2) limitations of the data base and of knowledge concerning atmospheric variability. The reader should be aware that statements on model accuracy and uncertainty may imply the need for improvements in model performance that even the 'perfect' model could not satisfy.

10.1.2 Studies of Model Accuracy

A number of studies (79, 80) have been conducted to examine model accuracy, particularly with respect to the reliability of short-term concentrations required for ambient standard and increment evaluations. The results of these studies are not surprising. Basically, they confirm what leading atmospheric scientists have said for some time: (1) Models are more reliable
for estimating longer time-averaged concentrations than for estimating short-term concentrations at specific locations; and (2) the models are reasonably reliable in estimating the magnitude of highest concentrations occurring sometime, somewhere within an area. For example, errors in highest estimated concentrations of ± 10 to 20 percent are found to be typical (81) i.e., certainly well within the often-quoted factor-of-two accuracy that has long been recognized for these models. However, estimates of concentrations that occur at a specific time and site, are poorly correlated with actually observed concentrations and are much less reliable.

As noted above, poor correlations between paired concentrations at fixed stations may be due to "reducible" uncertainties in knowledge of the precise plume location and to unquantified inherent uncertainties. For example, Pasquill (82) estimates that, apart from data input errors, maximum ground-level concentrations at a given hour for a point source in flat terrain could be in error by 50 percent due to these uncertainties. Uncertainty of five to 10 degrees in the measured wind direction, which transports the plume, can result in concentration errors of 20 to 70 percent for a particular time and location, depending on stability and station location. Such uncertainties do not indicate that an estimated concentration does not occur, only that the precise time and locations are in doubt.

10.1.3 Use of Uncertainty in Decision-Making

The accuracy of model estimates varies with the model used, the type of application, and site-specific characteristics. Thus, it is desirable to quantify the accuracy or uncertainty associated with concentration estimates used in decision-making. Communications between modelers and decision-makers must be fostered and further developed. Communications concerning concentration estimates currently exist in most cases, but the communications dealing with the accuracy of models and its meaning to the decision-maker are limited by the lack of a technical basis for quantifying and directly including uncertainty in decisions. Procedures for quantifying and interpreting uncertainty in the practical application of such concepts are only beginning to evolve; much study is still required. (74, 75, 77)

In all applications of models an effort is encouraged to identify the reliability of the model estimates for that particular area and to determine the magnitude and sources of error associated with the use of the model. The analyst is responsible for recognizing and quantifying limitations in the accuracy, precision and sensitivity of the procedure. Information that might be useful to the decision-maker in recognizing the seriousness of potential air quality violations includes such model accuracy estimates as accuracy of peak predictions, bias, noise, correlation, frequency distribution, spatial extent of high concentrations, etc. Both space/time pairing of estimates and measurements and unpaired comparisons are recommended.

Emphasis should be on the highest concentrations and the averaging times of the standards or increments of concern. Where possible, confidence intervals about the statistical values should be provided. However, while such information can be provided by the modeler to the decision-maker, it is unclear how this information should be used to make an air pollution control decision. Given a range of possible outcomes, it is easiest and tends to ensure consistency if the decision-maker confines his judgment to use of the "best estimate" provided by the modeler (i.e., the design concentration estimated by a model recommended in this guideline or an alternate model of known accuracy). This is an indication of the practical limitations imposed by current abilities of the technical community.

To improve the basis for decision-making, EPA has developed and is continuing to study procedures for determining the accuracy of models, quantifying the uncertainty, and expressing confidence levels in decisions that are made concerning emissions controls. (83, 84) However, work in this area involves "breaking new ground" with slow and sporadic progress likely. As a result, it may be necessary to continue using the "best estimate" until sufficient technical progress has been made to meaningfully implement such concepts dealing with uncertainty.

10.1.4 Evaluation of Models

A number of actions are being taken to ensure that the best model is used correctly for each regulatory application and that a model is not arbitrarily imposed. First, this guideline clearly recommends that the most appropriate model be used in each case. Preferred models, based on a number of factors, are identified for many uses. General guidance on using alternatives to the preferred models is also provided. Second, all the models in eight categories (i.e., rural, urban, industrial complex, reactive pollutants mobile source, complex terrain, visibility and long-range transport) that are candidates for inclusion in this guideline are being subjected to a systematic performance evaluation and a peer scientific review. (85) The same data bases are being used to evaluate all models within each of eight categories. Statistical performance measures, including measures of difference (or residuals) such as bias, variance of difference and gross variability of the difference, and measures of correlation such as time, space, and time and space combined as recommended by the AMS Woods Hole Workshop (11) are being followed. The results of the scientific review are being incorporated in this guideline and will be the basis for future revision. (12, 13) Third, more specific information has been provided for justifying the site-specific use of alternative models in the document "Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models." (15) This document provides a method, following recommendations of the Woods Hole Workshop, that allows a judgment to be made as to what models are most appropriate for a specific application. For the present, performance and the theoretical evaluation of models are being used as an indirect means to quantify one element of uncertainty in air pollution regulatory decisions.

In addition to performance evaluation of models, sensitivity analyses are encouraged since they can provide additional information on the effect of inaccuracies in the data bases and on the uncertainty in model estimates. Sensitivity analyses can aid in determining the effect of inaccuracies of variations or uncertainties in the data bases on the range of likely concentrations. Such information may be used to determine source impact and to evaluate control strategies. Where possible,
information from such sensitivity analyses should be made available to the decisionmaker with an appropriate interpretation of the effect on the critical concentrations.

10.2 Recommendations

No specific guidance on the consideration of model uncertainty in decisionmaking is being given at this time. There is incomplete technical information on measures of model uncertainty that are most relevant to the decisionmaker. It is not clear how a decisionmaker could use such information, particularly given limitations of the Clean Air Act. As procedures for considering uncertainty develop and become implementable, this guidance will be changed and expanded. For the present, continued use of the "best estimate" is acceptable and is consistent with CAA requirements.

11.0 Regulatory Application of Models

11.1 Discussion

Procedures with respect to the review and analysis of air quality modeling and data analyses in support of SIP revisions, PSD permitting or other regulatory requirements need a certain amount of standardization to ensure consistency in the depth and comprehensiveness of both the review and the analysis itself. This section recommends procedures that permit some degree of standardization while at the same time allowing the flexibility needed to assure the technically best analysis for each regulatory application.

Dispersion model estimates, especially with the support of measured air quality data, are the preferred basis for air quality demonstrations. Nevertheless, there are instances where the performance of recommended dispersion modeling techniques, by comparison with observed air quality data, may be shown to be less than acceptable. Also, there may be no recommended modeling procedure suitable for the situation. In these instances, emission limitations may be established solely on the basis of observed air quality data. The same care should be given to the analysis of the air quality data as would be applied to a modeling analysis.

The current NAAQS for SO₂, TSP, and CO are all stated in terms of a concentration not to be exceeded more than once a year. There is only an annual standard for NO₂. The ozone standard was revised in 1979 and that standard permits the exceedance of a concentration on an average of not more than once a year, averaged over a 3-year period. (5, 88) This represents a change from a deterministic to a more statistical form of the standard and permits some consideration to be given to unusual circumstances. The NAAQS are subjected to extensive review and possible revision every 5 years.

This section discusses general requirements for concentration estimates and identifies the relationship to emission limits. The following recommendations apply to: (1) Revisions of State Implementation Plans; (2) the review of new sources and the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD); and (3) analyses of the emissions trade ("bubbles").

11.2 Recommendations

11.2.1 Analysis Requirements.

Every effort should be made by the Regional Office to meet with all parties involved in either a SIP revision or a PSD permit application prior to the start of any work on such a project. During this meeting, a protocol should be established between the preparing and reviewing parties to define the procedures to be followed, the data to be collected, the model to be used, and the analysis of the source and concentration data. An example of requirements for such an effort is contained in the Air Quality Analysis Checklist included here as appendix C. This checklist suggests the level of detail required to assess the air quality resulting from the proposed action. Special cases may require additional data collection or analysis and this should be determined and agreed upon at this preapplication meeting. The protocol should be written and agreed upon by the parties concerned, although a formal legal document is not intended. Changes in such a protocol are often required as the data collection and analysis progresses. However, the protocol establishes a common understanding of the requirements.

An air quality analysis should begin with a screening model to determine the potential of the proposed source or control strategy to violate the PSD increment or the NAAQS. It is recommended that the screening techniques found in "Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Impact of New Stationary Sources" (18) be used for point source analyses. Screening procedures for area source analysis are discussed in "Applying Atmospheric Simulation Models to Air Quality Maintenance Areas." (87)

If the concentration estimates from screening techniques indicate that the PSD increment or NAAQS may be approached or exceeded, then a more refined modeling analysis is appropriate and the model user should select a model according to recommendations in sections 4, 5, 6 or 7. In some instances, no refined technique may be specified in this guide for the situation. The model user is then encouraged to submit a model developed specifically for the case at hand. If that is not possible, a screening technique may supply the needed results.

Regional Offices should require permit applicants to incorporate the pollutant contributions of all sources into their analysis. Where necessary this may include emissions associated with growth in the area of impact of the new or modified source's impact. PSD air quality assessments should consider the amount of the allowable air quality increment that has already been granted to any other sources. The most recent source applicant should be allowed the prerogative to remodel the existing or
permitted sources in addition to the one currently under consideration. This would permit the use of newly acquired data or improved modeling techniques if such have become available since the last source was permitted. When remodeling, the worst case used in the previous modeling analysis should be one set of conditions modeled in the new analysis. All sources should be modeled for each set of meteorological conditions selected and for all receptor sites used in the previous applications as well as new sites specific to the new source.

11.2.2 Use of Measured Data in Lieu of Model Estimates.

Modeling is the preferred method for determining emission limitations for both new and existing sources. When a preferred model is available, model results alone (including background) are sufficient. Monitoring will normally not be accepted as the sole basis for emission limitation determination in flat terrain areas. In some instances when the modeling technique available is only a screening technique, the addition of air quality data to the analysis may lend credence to model results.

There are circumstances where there is no applicable model, and measured data may need to be used. Examples of such situations are: (1) complex terrain locations; (2) land/water interface areas; and (3) urban locations with a large fraction of particulate emissions from nontraditional sources. However, only in the case of an existing source should monitoring data alone be a basis for emission limits. In addition, the following items should be considered prior to the acceptance of the measured data:

a. Does a monitoring network exist for the pollutants and averaging times of concern?

b. Has the monitoring network been designed to locate points of maximum concentration?

c. Do the monitoring network and the data reduction and storage procedures meet EPA monitoring and quality assurance requirements?

d. Do the data set and the analysis allow impact of the most important individual sources to be identified if more than one source or emission point is involved?

e. Is at least one full year of valid ambient data available; and

f. Can it be demonstrated through the comparison of monitored data with model results that available models are not applicable?

The number of monitors required is a function of the problem being considered. The source configuration, terrain configuration, and meteorological variations all have an impact on number and placement of monitors. Decisions can only be made on a case-by-case basis. The Interim Procedure for Evaluating Air Quality Models (15) should be used in establishing criteria for demonstrating that a model is not applicable.

Sources should obtain approval from the Regional Office or reviewing authority for the monitoring network prior to the start of monitoring. A monitoring protocol agreed to by all concerned parties is highly desirable. The design of the network, the number, type, and location of the monitors, the sampling period, averaging time as well as the need for meteorological monitoring or the use of mobile sampling or plume tracking techniques, should all be specified in the protocol and agreed upon prior to start-up of the network.

11.2.3 Emission Limits

11.2.3.1 Design Concentrations.

Emission limits should be based on concentration estimates for the averaging time that results in the most stringent control requirements. The concentration used in specifying emission limits is called the design value or design concentration and is a sum of the concentration contributed by the source and the background concentration.

To determine the averaging time for the design value, the most restrictive National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) should be identified for calculating, for each averaging time, the ratio of the applicable NAAQS minus background (B) to the predicted concentration (P) (i.e., (S-B)/P). The averaging time with the lowest ratio identifies the most restrictive standard. If the annual average is the most restrictive, the highest estimated annual average concentration from one or a number of years of data is the design value. When short term standards are most restrictive, it may be necessary to consider a broader range of concentrations than the highest value. For example, for pollutants such as SO₂, the highest, second-highest concentration is the design value. For pollutants with statistically based NAAQS, the design value is found by determining the value that is not expected to be exceeded more than once per year over the period specified in the standard.

When the highest, second-highest concentration is used in assessing potential violations of a short term NAAQS, criteria that are identified in "Guideline for Interpretation of Air Quality Standards" (88) should be followed. This guideline specifies that a violation of a short term standard occurs at a site when the standard is exceeded a second time. Thus, emission limits...
that protect standards for averaging times of 24 hours or less are appropriately based on the highest, second-highest estimated concentration plus a background concentration which can reasonably be assumed to occur with the concentration.

11.2.3.2 Air Quality Standards.

For new or modified sources to be located in areas where the SO2, TSP, lead, NO2, or CO NAAQS are being attained, the determination of whether or not the source will cause or contribute to an air quality violation should be based on (1) the highest estimated annual average concentration determined from annual averages of individual years or (2) the highest, second-highest estimated concentration for averaging times of 24-hours or less. For lead, the highest estimated concentration based on an individual calendar quarter averaging period should be used. Background concentrations should be added to the estimated impact of the source. The most restrictive standard should be used in all cases to assess the threat of an air quality violation.

11.2.3.3 PSD Air Quality Increments and Impacts.  

The allowable PSD increments for criteria pollutants are established by regulation and cited in 40 CFR 51.24. These maximum allowable increases in pollutant concentrations may be exceeded once per year at each site, except for the annual increment that may not be exceeded. The highest, second-highest increase in estimated concentrations for the short term averages as determined by a model should be less than or equal to the permitted increment. The modeled annual averages should not exceed the increment.

Screening techniques defined in sections 4 and 5 can sometimes be used to estimate short term incremental concentrations for the first new source that triggers the baseline in a given area. However, when multiple increment-consuming sources are involved in the calculation, the use of a refined model with at least one year of on-site or five years of off-site NWS data is normally required. In such cases, sequential modeling must demonstrate that the allowable increments are not exceeded temporally and spatially, i.e., for all receptors for each time period throughout the year(s) (time period means the appropriate PSD averaging time, e.g., 3-hour, 24-hour, etc.).

The PSD regulations require an estimation of the SO2 and TSP impact on any Class I area. Normally, Gaussian models should not be applied at distances greater than can be accommodated by the steady state assumptions inherent in such models. The maximum distance for refined Gaussian model application for regulatory purposes is generally considered to be 50 km. Beyond the 50 km range, screening techniques may be used to determine if more refined modeling is needed. If refined models are needed, long range transport models should be considered in accordance with section 7.2.6. As previously noted in sections 3 and 7, the need to involve the Federal Land Manager in decisions on potential air quality impacts, particularly in relation to PSD Class I areas, cannot be overemphasized.

11.2.3.4 Emissions Trading Policy (Bubbles).  

EPA's Emissions Trading Policy, commonly referred to as the "bubble policy," was proposed in the Federal Register on April 7, 1982. (89) Until a final policy is promulgated, principles contained in the proposal should be used to evaluate trading activities which become ripe for decision. Certain technical clarifications of the policy, including procedures for modeling bubbles, were provided to the Regional Offices in February, 1983. (90)

Emission increases and decreases within the bubble should result in ambient air quality equivalence. Two levels of analysis are defined for establishing this equivalence. In a Level I analysis the source configuration and setting must meet certain limitations (defined in the policy and clarification to the policy) that ensure ambient equivalence; no modeling is required. In a Level II analysis a modeling demonstration of ambient equivalence is required but only the sources involved in the emissions trade are modeled. The resulting ambient estimates of net increases/decreases are compared to a set of significance levels to determine if the bubble can be approved. A Level II analysis requires the use of a refined model and one year of representative meteorological data. Sequential modeling must demonstrate that the significance levels are not temporally and spatially, i.e., for all receptors for each time period throughout the year (time period means the appropriate NAAQS averaging time, e.g., 3-hour, 24-hour, etc.)

For those bubbles that cannot meet the Level I or Level II requirements, the Emissions Trading Policy allows for a Level III analysis. A Level III analysis, from a modeling standpoint, is equivalent to the requirements for a standard SIP revision where all sources (and background) are considered and the estimates are compared to the NAAQS as in section 11.2.1.2.

The Emissions Trading Policy allows States to adopt generic regulations for processing bubbles. The modeling procedures recommended in this guideline apply to such generic regulations. However, an added requirement is that the modeling procedures contained in any generic regulation must be replicable such that there is no doubt as to how each individual bubble will be modeled. In general this means that the models, the data bases and the procedures for applying the model must be defined in the regulation. The consequences of the replicability requirement are that bubbles for sources located in complex terrain and certain industrial sources where judgments must be made on source characterization cannot be handled generically.
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Air Quality-Ambient pollutant concentrations and their temporal and spatial distribution.

Algorithm-A specific mathematical calculation procedure. A model may contain several algorithms.

Background-Ambient pollutant concentrations due to (1) natural sources, (2) nearby sources other than the one(s) currently under consideration; and (3) unidentified sources.

Calibrate-An objective adjustment using measured air quality data (e.g., an adjustment based on least-squares linear regression).

Calm-For purposes of air quality modeling, calm is used to define the situation when the wind is indeterminate with regard to speed or direction.

Complex Terrain-Terrain exceeding the height of the stack being modeled.

Computer Code-A set of statements that comprise a computer program.

Evaluate-To appraise the performance and accuracy of a model based on a comparison of concentration estimates with observed air quality data.

Fluid Modeling-Modeling conducted in a wind tunnel or water channel to quantitatively evaluate the influence of buildings and/or terrain on pollutant concentrations.

Fugitive Dust-Dust discharged to the atmosphere in an unconfined flow stream such as that from unpaved roads, storage piles and heavy construction operations.

Model-A quantitative or mathematical representation or simulation which attempts to describe the characteristics or relationships of physical events.

Preferred Model-A refined model that is recommended for a specific type of regulatory application.

Receptor-A location at which ambient air quality is measured or estimated.

Receptor Models- Procedures that examine an ambient monitor sample of particulate matter and the conditions of its collection to infer the types or relative mix of sources impacting on it during collection.

Refined Model-An analytical technique that provides a detailed treatment of physical and chemical atmospheric processes and requires detailed and precise input data. Specialized estimates are calculated that are useful for evaluating source impact relative to air quality standards and allowable increments. The estimates are more accurate than those obtained from conservative screening techniques.

Rollback-A simple model that assumes that if emissions from each source affecting a given receptor are decreased by the same percentage, ambient air quality concentrations decrease proportionately.

Screening Technique-A relatively simple analysis technique to determine if a given source is likely to pose a threat to air quality. Concentration estimates from screening techniques are conservative.

Simple Terrain-An area where terrain features are all lower in elevation than the top of the stack of the source.
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A.0 Introduction

This appendix summarizes key features of refined air quality models preferred for specific regulatory applications. For each model, information is provided on availability, approximate cost in 1986", regulatory use, data input, output format and options, simulation of atmospheric physics, and accuracy. These models may be used without a formal demonstration of applicability provided they satisfy the recommendations for regulatory use; not all options in the models are necessarily recommended for regulatory use. The models are listed by name in alphabetical order.

FOOTNOTE: *All models except the Urban Airshed Model are available on UNAMAP (Version 6) from NTIS at a price consistent with the previous version of UNAMAP.

Each of these models has been subjected to a performance evaluation using comparisons with observed air quality data. A summary of such comparisons for all models contained in this appendix is included in "A Survey of Statistical Measures of Model Performance and Accuracy for Several Air Quality Models," EPA-450/4-83-001. Where possible, several of the models contained herein have been subjected to evaluation exercises, including (1) statistical performance tests recommended by the American Meteorological Society and (2) peer scientific reviews. The models in this appendix have been selected on the basis of the results of the model evaluations, experience with previous use, familiarity of the model to various air quality programs, and the costs and resource requirements for use.

A.1 Buoyant Line and Point Source Dispersion Model (BLP)

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

BLP is a Gaussian plume dispersion model designed to handle unique modeling problems associated with aluminum reduction plants, and other industrial sources where plume rise and downwash effects from stationary line sources are important.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

The BLP model is appropriate for the following applications:

Aluminum reduction plants which contain buoyant, elevated line sources;
Rural areas;
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers;
Simple terrain; and
One hour to one year averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

Rural (IRU = 1) mixing height option;
Default (no selection) for plume rise wind shear (LSHEAR), transitional point source plume rise (LTRANS), vertical potential temperature gradient (DTHTA), vertical wind speed power law profile exponents (PEXP), maximum variation in number of stability classes per hour (IDELS), pollutant decay (DECFAC), the constant in Briggs' stable plume rise equation (CONST2),
constant in Briggs' neutral plume rise equation (CONSTI), convergence criterion for the line source calculations (CRIT), and maximum iterations allowed for line source calculations (MAXIT); and Terrain option (TERAN) set equal to 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.

For other applications, BLP can be used if it can be demonstrated to give the same estimates as a recommended model for the same application, and will subsequently be executed in that mode.

BLP can be used on a case-by-case basis with specific options not available in a recommended model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that the model is more appropriate for a specific application.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: Point sources require stack location, elevation of stack base, physical stack height, stack inside diameter, stack gas exit velocity, stack gas exit temperature, and pollutant emission rate. Line sources require coordinates of the end points of the line, release height, emission rate, average line source width, average building width, average spacing between buildings, and average line source buoyancy parameter.

Meteorological data: Hourly surface weather data from punched cards or from the preprocessor program RAMMET which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height.

Receptor data: Locations and elevations of receptors, or location and size of receptor grid or request automatically generated receptor grid.

c. Output

Printed output (from a separate post-processor program) includes:

- Total concentration or, optionally, source contribution analysis; monthly and annual frequency distributions for 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations; tables of 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations at each receptor; table of the annual (or length of run) average concentrations at each receptor;
- Five highest 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations at each receptor; and
- Fifty highest 1-, 3-, and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field.

d. Type of Model

BLP is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

BLP may be used to model primary pollutants. This model does not treat settling and deposition.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

BLP treats up to 50 point sources, 10 parallel line sources, and 100 receptors arbitrarily located.

User-input typographic elevation is applied for each stack and each receptor.

g. Plume Behavior

BLP uses plume rise formulas of Schulman and Scire (1980).

Vertical potential temperature gradients of .02 Kelvin per meter for E stability and .035 Kelvin per meter are used for stable plume rise calculations. An option for user input values is included.

Transitional rise is used for line sources.

Option to suppress the use of transitional plume rise for point sources is included.

The building downwash algorithm of Schulman and Scire (1980) is used.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.
Wind speeds profile exponents of .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, and .30 are used for stability classes A through F, respectively. An option for user-defined values and an option to suppress the use of the wind speed profile feature are included.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

J. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no adjustment made for variations in surface roughness or averaging time.

Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no adjustment made for variations in surface roughness.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 16 times the mixing height; uniform mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Perfect reflection at the ground is assumed.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using linear decay. Decay rate is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


A.2 CALINE3

Reference


Availability

The CALINE3 model computer tape is available from NTIS as PB80-220833. The model is also available from the California Department of Transportation (manual free of charge and approximately $50 for the computer tape). Requests should be directed to Mr. Marlin Beckwith, Chief, Office of Computer Systems, California Department of Transportation, 1120 N. Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

Abstract

CALINE3 can be used to estimate the concentrations of nonreactive pollutants from highway traffic. This steady-state Gaussian model can be applied to determine air pollution concentrations at receptor locations downwind of "at-grade," "fill," "bridge," and "cut section" highways located in relatively uncomplicated terrain. The model is applicable for any wind direction, highway orientation, and receptor location. The model has adjustments for averaging time and surface roughness, and can handle up to 20 links and 20 receptors. It also contains an algorithm for deposition and settling velocity so that particulate concentrations can be predicted.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
CALINE-J is appropriate for the following applications:
Highway (line) sources;
Urban or rural areas;
Simple terrain;
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
One hour to 24 hours averaging times.

b. Input Requirements
Source data: Up to 20 highway links classed as "at-grade," "fill" "bridge," or "depressed"; coordinates of link end points; traffic volume; emission factor; source height; and mixing zone width.
Meteorological data: Wind speed, wind angle (measured in degrees clockwise from the Y axis), stability class, mixing height, ambient (background to the highway) concentration of pollutant.
Receptor data: coordinates and height above ground for each receptor.

c. Output
Printed output includes:
Concentration at each receptor for the specified meteorological condition.
d. Type of Model
CALINE-J is a Gaussian plume model.
e. Pollutant Types
CALINE-J may be used to model primary pollutants.
f. Source-Receptor Relationship
Up to 20 highway links are treated.
CALINE-J applies user input location and emission rate for each link.
User-input receptor locations are applied.
g. Plume Behavior
Plume rise is not treated.
h. Horizontal Winds
User-input hourly wind speed and direction are applied.
Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.
i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.
j. Horizontal Dispersion
Six stability classes are used.
Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with adjustment for roughness length and averaging time.
Initial traffic-induced dispersion is handled implicitly by plume size parameters.
k. Vertical Dispersion
Six stability classes are used.

Empirical dispersion coefficients from Benson (1979) are used including an adjustment for roughness length.

Initial traffic-induced dispersion is handled implicitly by plume size parameters.

Adjustment for averaging time is included.

1. Chemical Transformation

   Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

   Optional deposition calculations are included.

n. Evaluation Studies


A.3 Climatological Operation Model (CDM 2.0)

References


Availability

   This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

   CDM is a climatological steady-state Gaussian plume model for determining long-term (seasonal or annual) arithmetic average pollutant concentrations at any ground-level receptor in an urban area.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

   CDM is appropriate for the following applications:

   Point and area sources;

   Urban areas;

   Flat terrain;

   Transport distances less than 50 kilometers;

   Long term averages over one month to one year or longer.

   The following option should be selected for regulatory applications:

   Set the regulatory "default option" (NDEF = 1) which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), and the appropriate wind profile exponents.

   Enter "0" for pollutant half-life for all pollutants except for SO₂ in an urban setting. This entry results in no decay (infinite half-life) being calculated. For SO₂ in an urban setting, the pollutant half-life (in hours) should be set to 4.0.

b. Input Requirements
Source data: Location, average emissions rates and heights of emissions for point and area sources. Point source data requirements also include stack gas temperature, stack gas exit velocity, and stack inside diameter for plume rise calculations for point sources.

Meteorological data: Stability wind rose (STAR deck day/night version), average mixing height and wind speed in each stability category, and average air temperature.

Receptor data: Cartesian coordinates of each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Average concentrations for the period of the stability wind rose data (arithmetic mean only) at each receptor, and
- Optional point and area concentration rose for each receptor.

d. Type of Model

CDM is a climatological Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

CDM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

CDM applies user-specified locations for all point sources and receptors.

- Area sources are input as multiples of a user-defined unit area source grid size.
- User specified release heights are applied for individual point sources and the area source grid.
- Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.
- The user may select a single height at or above ground level that applies to all receptors.
- No terrain differences between source and receptor are treated.

h. Plume Behavior

CDM uses Briggs (1969, 1971, 1975) plume rise equations. Optionally a plume rise-wind speed product may be input for each point source.

- Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is preferred for regulatory use. The Bjorklund and Bowers (1982) equation is also included.
- No plume rise is calculated for area sources.
- Does not treat fumigation or building downwash.

i. Horizontal Winds

Wind data are input as a stability wind rose (joint frequency distribution of 16 wind directions, 6 wind classes, and 5 stability classes).

Wind speed profile exponents for the urban case (EPA, 1980) are used, assuming the anemometer height is at 10.0 meters.

j. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

k. Horizontal Dispersion

Pollutants are assumed evenly distributed across a 22.5 or 10.0 degree sector.
There are seven vertical dispersion parameter schemes, but the following is recommended for regulatory applications:
Briggs-urban (Gilford, 1976).

Mixing height has no effect until dispersion coefficient equals 0.8 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included as an option.

Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

1. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

2. Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

References:


A.4 Gaussian-Plume Multiple Source Air Quality Algorithms (RAM)

References:


Reference:


Availability:

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products National Technical Information Service : U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161 Phone (703) 487-4650

Abstract:

RAM is a steady-state Gaussian plume model for estimating concentrations of relatively stable pollutants, for averaging times from an hour to a day, from point and area sources in a rural or urban setting. Level terrain is assumed. Calculations are performed for each hour.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

RAM is appropriate for the following applications:

Point and area sources;

Urban areas;

Flat terrain;
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
One hour to one year averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

Set the regulatory "default option" to automatically select stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), a treatment for calms, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: Point sources require location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter and stack gas temperature. Area sources require location, size, emission rate, and height of emissions.

Meteorological data: Hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required.

Receptor data: Coordinates of each receptor. Options for automatic placement of receptors near expected concentration maxima, and a gridded receptor array are included.

c. Output

Printed output optionally includes:
One to 24-hour and annual average concentrations at each receptor,
Limited individual source contribution list, and
Highest through fifth highest concentrations at each receptor for period, with the highest and high, second-high values flagged.

d. Type of Model

RAM is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

RAM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

RAM applies user-specified locations for all point sources and receptors.
Area sources are input as multiples of a user-defined unit area source grid size.
User specified stack heights are applied for individual point sources.
Up to 3 effective release heights may be specified for the area sources. Area source release heights are assumed to be appropriate for a 5 meter per second wind and to be inversely proportional to wind speed.
Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.
All receptors are assumed to be at the same height at or above ground level.
No terrain differences between source and receptor are accounted for.

Plume behavior

Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.
A user supplied fraction of the area source height is treated as the physical height. The remainder is assumed to be plume rise for a 5 meter per second wind speed, and to be inversely proportional to wind speed.

Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.
h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady state) wind is assumed for an hour.
Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.
Separate wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for urban cases are used.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Clifford, 1976) are used.
Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.
Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Clifford, 1976) are used.
Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.
Six stability classes are used.
Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical sizing is assumed beyond that point.
Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

Ellis, H., P. Lou, and G. Dalzell, 1980. Comparison Study of Measured and Predicted Concentrations with the RAM Model at Two Power Plants Along Lake Erie, Second Joint Conference on Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology, New Orleans, LA.


Kennedy, K. H., R. D. Siegel, and M. P. Steinberg, 1981. Case-Specific Evaluation of the RAM Atmospheric Dispersion Model in an Urban Area, 74th Annual Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New Orleans, LA.


A.5 Industrial Source Complex Model (ISC)

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161. Phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

The ISC model is a steady-state Gaussian plume model which can be used to assess pollutant concentrations from a wide variety of sources associated with an industrial source complex. This model can account for the following: settling and dry deposition of particulates; downwash; area, line and volume sources; plume rise as a function of downwind distance; separation of point sources; and limited terrain adjustment. It operates in both long-term and short-term modes.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

ISC is appropriate for the following applications:

Industrial source complexes;

Rural or urban areas;

Flat or rolling terrain;

Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and

One hour to annual averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

For short term modeling, set the regulatory “default option” (ISW(28) = 1), which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy induced dispersion (BID), the vertical potential temperature gradient, a treatment for plumes, the appropriate wind profile exponents, the appropriate value for pollutant half-life, and a revised building wake effects algorithm; set rural option (ISW(20) = 0) or urban option (ISW(20) = 3); and set the concentration option (ISW(1) = 1).

For long term modeling, set the regulatory “default option” (ISW(22) = 0), which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), the vertical potential temperature gradient, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life, and a revised building wake effects algorithm; set rural option (ISW(9) = 3) or urban option (ISW(9) = 4); and set the concentration option (ISW(1) = 1).

b. Input Requirements

Source data: Location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, and stack gas temperature. Optional inputs include source elevation, building dimensions, particle size distribution with corresponding settling velocities, and surface reflection coefficients.
Meteorological data: ISCST requires hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET, which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. For ISCST, input includes stability wind rose (STAR deck), average afternoon mixing height, average morning mixing height, and average air temperature.

Receptor data: coordinates and optional ground elevation for each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output options include:

- Program control parameters, source data and receptor data;
- Tables of hourly meteorological data for each specified day;
- "N"-day average concentration or total deposition calculated at each receptor for any desired combinations of sources;
- Concentration or deposition values calculated for any desired combinations of sources at all receptors for any specified day or time period within the day;
- Tables of highest and second-highest concentration or deposition values calculated at each receptor for each specified time period during an "N"-day period for any desired combinations of sources; and tables of the maximum 50 concentration or deposition values;
- Calculated for any desired combinations of sources for each specified time period.

d. Type of Model

ISC is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

ISC may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

ISC applies user-specified locations for point, line, area and volume sources, and user-specified receptor locations or receptor rings.

User input topographic elevation for each receptor is used. Elevations above stack top are reduced to the stack top elevation, i.e., "terrain chopping".

User input height above ground level may be used when necessary to simulate impact at elevated or "flag pole" receptors, e.g., on buildings.

Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.

g. Plume Behavior


Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.

Revised building wake effects algorithm is used. For stacks higher than building height plus one-half the lesser of the building height or building width, the building wake algorithm of Huber and Snyder (1975) is used. For lower stacks, the building wake algorithm of Schulman and Scire (Schulman and Hanna, 1986) is used, but stack tip downwash and BID are not used.

For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above source.

Fumigation is not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for each hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.
Separate wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used. An optional treatment for calm winds is included for short term modeling.

A. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

B. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments for surface roughness or averaging time.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

C. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments for surface roughness.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

D. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Time constant is input by the user.

E. Physical Removal

Settling and dry deposition of particulates are treated.

F. Evaluation Studies


Scire, J. S., and L. L. Schulman, 1981. Evaluation of the BLP and ISC Models with SF6 Tracer Data and SO2 Measurements at Aluminum Reduction Plants. Air Pollution Control Association Specialty Conference on Dispersion Modeling for Complex Sources, St. Louis, MO.


G. Multiple Point Gaussian Dispersion Algorithm with Terrain Adjustment (MPTER)

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, Phone (703) 487-4150.

Abstract

MPTER is a Multiple Point Source Algorithm. This algorithm is useful for estimating air quality concentrations of relatively non-reactive pollutants. Hourly estimates are made using the Gaussian steady state model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

MPTER is appropriate for the following applications:

Point sources;
Rural or urban areas;
Flat or rolling terrain (no terrain above stack height);
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
One hour to one year averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

Set the regulatory "default option" (IOPT(25) = 1) to automatically select stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), a treatment for calms, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas temperature, and optional ground level elevation.

Meteorological data: hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required.

Receptor data: coordinates and optional ground elevation for each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

One to 24-hour and annual average concentrations at each receptor;
Highest through fifth highest concentrations at each receptor for period, with the highest and high, second-high values flagged; and

Limited source contribution table.

d. Type of Model

MPTER is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

MPTER may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

MPTER applies user-specified locations of point sources and receptors.

User input stack height and source characteristics for each source are used.

User input topographic elevation for each receptor is used.
Plume Behavior


Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.

For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above the source.

Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.

Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Separate wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used.

Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical speed is assumed equal to zero.

Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness or averaging times.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976), is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976), is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

Evaluation Studies

No specific studies for MPTER because regulatory editions of CRSTER and MPTER are equivalent. Studies for CRSTER are relevant to MPTER as well (See page A-32).

Single Source (CRSTER) Model

Reference
Abstract

CRSTER is a steady state, Gaussian dispersion model designed to calculate concentrations from point sources at a single location in either a rural or urban setting. Highest and high-second high concentrations are calculated at each receptor for 1-hour, 3-hour, 24-hour, and annual averaging time.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

CRSTER is appropriate for the following applications:

- Single point sources;
- Rural or urban areas;
- Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
- Flat or rolling terrain (no terrain above stack height).

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

- Set the regulatory "default option" which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), a treatment for calms, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: Emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, and stack gas temperature.

Meteorological data: Hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required.

Receptor data: require distance of each of the five receptor rings.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1, 3, and 24-hours, plus a user-selected averaging time which may be 2, 4, 6, 8, or 12 hours;
- Annual arithmetic average at each receptor;
- For each day, the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field; and
- Option for source contributions to concentrations at selected receptors.

d. Type of Model

CRSTER is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

CRSTER may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.
Source-Receptor Relationship

CRSTER treats up to 19 point sources, no area sources.
All point sources are assumed collocated.
User input stack height is used for each source.
User input topographic elevation is used for each receptor, but must be below top of stack or program will terminate execution.
Receptors are assumed at ground level.

Plume Behavior

Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.
For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above the source.
Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.

Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.
Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.
Separate set of wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used.

Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used in CRSTER with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness or averaging times.
Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.
Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.
Six stability classes are used.

Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for surface roughness.
Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggsimple terrain models and the highest concentration used. (For the simple terrain models, terrain may have to be "chopped-off" at stack height, since these models are frequently limited to receptors no greater than stack height.)

If a violation of any NAAQS or the controlling increment is indicated by using the Valley Screening Technique, a second- or third-level screening technique may be used. A site-specific data base of at least one full year of meteorological data is preferred for use with either the second- or third-level screening technique. If more data are available, they should be used. Meteorological data used in the analysis should be reviewed for both spatial and temporal representativeness.

Placement of receptors requires very careful attention when modeling in complex terrain. Often the highest concentrations are predicted to occur under very stable conditions, when the plume is near, or impinges on, the terrain. The plume under such conditions may be quite narrow in the vertical, so that a change in a receptor to a location where the terrain is as little as 25 meters or so higher or lower may make a substantial change in the predicted concentration. Receptors within about a kilometer of the source may be even more sensitive to location. Thus, a very dense array of receptors may be required in some cases. In order to avoid excessively large computer runs due to such a large array of receptors, it is often desirable to model the area twice. The first model run would use a moderate number of receptors carefully located over the area of interest.
The second model run would use a more dense array of receptors in areas showing potential for high concentrations, as indicated by the results of the first model run.

5.2.1.1 Initial Screening Technique.

The initial screen to determine 24-hour averages is the Valley Screening Technique. This technique uses the Valley Model with the following worst-case assumptions for rural areas: (1) P-G stability "F"; (2) wind speed of 2.5 m/s; and (3) 6 hours of occurrence. For urban areas, the stability should be changed to "P-G stability E."

When using the Valley Screening Technique to obtain 24-hour average concentrations the following apply: (1) Multiple sources should be treated individually and the concentrations for each wind direction summed; (2) only one wind direction should be used (see User’s Guide, (25) page 2-15) even if individual runs are made for each source; (3) for buoyant sources, the BID option may be used, and the option to use the 2.6 stable plume rise factor should be selected; (4) if plume impaction is likely on any elevated terrain closer to the source than the distance from the source to the final plume rise, then the transitional (or gradual) plume rise option for stable conditions should be selected.

The standard polar receptor grid found in the Valley Model User’s Guide may not be sufficiently dense for all analyses if only one geographical scale factor is used. The user should choose an additional set of receptors at appropriate downwind distances whose elevations are equal to plume height minus 10 meters. Alternatively, the user may exercise the "VALLEY equivalent" option in COMPLEX I and note the comments above on the placement of receptors in complex terrain models.

5.2.1.2 Second-Level Screening Technique (Rural).

If the area is rural, the suggested second-level screening technique is COMPLEX I for all averaging times. COMPLEX I is a modification of the MINTER model that incorporates the plume impaction algorithm of the Valley Model. It is a multiple-source screening technique that accepts hourly meteorological data as input. The output is the same as the normal MINTER output. When using COMPLEX I the following options should be selected: (1) Set terrain adjustment IOPT(1) = 1; (2) set buoyancy induced dispersion IOPT(4) = 1; (3) set IOPT(25) = 1; (4) set the terrain adjustment values to 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.0, 0.0, (respectively for 6 stability classes); and (5) set Z MIN = 10.

Gradual plume rise should be used to estimate concentrations at nearby elevated receptors, if plume impaction is likely on any elevated terrain closer to the source than the distance from the source to the final plume rise (see section 8.2.5).

5.2.1.3 Second-Level Screening Technique (Urban).

If the source is located in an urbanized (section 8.2.8) complex terrain valley, then the suggested second-level screening technique is SHORTZ for short term averages or LONGZ for long term averages. (SHORTZ and LONGZ may be used as screening techniques in these complex terrain applications without demonstration and evaluation. Application of these models in other than urbanized valley situations will require the same evaluation and demonstration procedures as are required for all Appendix B models.)

Both SHORTZ and LONGZ have a number of options. When using these models as screening techniques for urbanized valley applications, the options listed in table 5-1 should be selected.

5.2.1.4 Third Level Screening Technique (Rural).

If a violation of any NAAQS or the controlling increment is indicated by using the second-level screening technique, a third-level screening technique may be used for rural applications. RTDM with the options specified in Table 5-2 may be used as a screening technique in rural complex terrain situations without demonstration and evaluation.

The RTDM screening technique can provide a more refined concentration estimate if on-site wind speed and direction characteristic of plume dilution and transport are used as input to the model. In complex terrain, these winds can seldom be estimated accurately from the standard surface (10m level) measurements. Therefore, in order to increase confidence in model estimates, EPA recommends that wind data input to RTDM should be based on fixed measurements at stack top height. For stacks greater than 100m, the measurement height may be limited to 100m in height relative to stack base. However, for very tall stacks see guidance in section 9.3.3.2. This recommendation is broadened to include wind data representative of plume transport height where such data are derived from measurements taken with remote sensing devices such as SODAR. The data from both fixed and remote measurements should meet quality assurance and recovery rate requirements. The user should also be aware that RTDM in the screening mode accepts the input of measured wind speeds at only one height. The default values for the wind speed profile exponents shown in Table 5-2 are used in the model to determine the wind speed at other heights. RTDM uses wind speed at stack top to calculate the plume rise and the critical dividing streamline height, and the wind speed at plume transport level to calculate dilution. RTDM treats wind direction as constant with height.

FOOTNOTE: The RTDM model is available as part of Change 3 to UNAMAP Version 6.

RTDM makes use of the "critical dividing streamline" concept and thus treats plume interactions with terrain quite differently from other models such as SHORTZ and COMPLEX I. The plume height relative to the critical dividing streamline
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determines whether the plume impacts the terrain, or is lifted up and over the terrain. The receptor spacing to identify maximum impact concentrations is quite critical depending on the location of the plume in the vertical. It is suggested that an analysis of the expected plume height relative to the height of the critical dividing streamline be performed for differing meteorological conditions in order to help develop an appropriate array of receptors. Then it is advisable to model the area twice according to the suggestions in section 5.2.1.

5.2.1.5 Restrictions.

For screening analyses using the Valley Screening Technique, Complex I or RTDM, a sector greater than 22 1/2° should not be allowed. Full ground reflection should always be used in the VALLEY Screening Technique and COMPLEX I.

5.2.2 Refined Analytical Techniques

When the results of the screening analysis demonstrate a possible violation of NAAQS or the controlling PSD increments, a more refined analysis may need to be conducted. Since there are no refined techniques currently recommended for complex terrain applications, any refined model used should be applied in accordance with section 5.2. In particular, use of the "Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models" (15) and a second model to serve as a baseline or reference point for the comparison should be used in a demonstration of applicability. New approaches to improve the ability of models to realistically simulate atmospheric physics, for example hybrid models which incorporate an accurate wind field analysis, will ultimately provide more appropriate tools for analyses.

In the absence of an appropriate refined model, screening results may need to be used to determine air quality impact and/or emission limits.

Table 5-1.-Preferred Options for the SHORTZ/LONGZ Computer Codes When Used in a Screening Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Switch 9</td>
<td>If using NWS data, set = 0. If using site-specific data, check with the Regional Office. Set = 1 (urban option). Use default values (0.6 entrainment coefficient). Always default to stable. Set = 0 (50 m rectilinear expansion distance). Do not use. (Applicable only in flat terrain). Select 0.9. Use Cramer curves (default). If site-specific turbulence data are available, see the Regional Office for advice. Select default values given in table 2-2 of User's Instructions. If site-specific data are available, see the Regional Office for advice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Switch 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMMA 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMMA 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS, VS, FRQ (SHORTZ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(particle size, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS, VS, FRQ (LONGZ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(particle size, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPBA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGEPU (dispersion parameters)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGAPU (dispersion parameters)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (wind profile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-2.-Preferred Options for the RTDM Computer Code when Used in a Screening Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRO01-003</td>
<td>SCALE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scale factors assuming horizontal distance is in kilometers, vertical distance is in feet, and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Models discussed in this section are applicable to pollutants often associated with mobile sources, e.g., ozone (O₃), carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). Where stationary sources of CO and NO₂ are of concern, the reader is referred to sections 4 and 5.

A control agency whose jurisdiction contains areas with significant ozone problems and who has sufficient resources and data to use a photochemical dispersion model is encouraged to do so. Experience with and evaluations of the Urban Altered Model (UAM) is discussed in the following paragraphs. Empirical Atmospheric Deposition Parameters: A Survey. Atmospheric Environment, 13:571-585.


Air Quality-Ambient pollutant concentrations and their temporal and spatial distribution.

Algorithm-A specific mathematical calculation procedure. A model may contain several algorithms.

Background-Ambient pollutant concentrations due to (1) natural sources, (2) nearby sources other than the one(s) currently under consideration; and (3) unidentified sources.

Calibrate-An objective adjustment using measured air quality data (e.g., an adjustment based on least-squares linear regression).

Calm-For purposes of air quality modeling, calm is used to define the situation when the wind is indeterminate with regard to speed or direction.

Complex Terrain-Terrain exceeding the height of the stack being modeled.

Computer Code-A set of statements that comprise a computer program.

Evaluate-To appraise the performance and accuracy of a model based on a comparison of concentration estimates with observed air quality data.

Fluid Modeling-Modeling conducted in a wind tunnel or water channel to quantitatively evaluate the influence of buildings and/or terrain on pollutant concentrations.

Fugitive Dust-Dust discharged to the atmosphere in an unconfined flow stream such as that from unpaved roads, storage piles and heavy construction operations.

Model-A quantitative or mathematical representation or simulation which attempts to describe the characteristics or relationships of physical events.

Preferred Model-A refined model that is recommended for a specific type of regulatory application.

Receptor-A location at which ambient air quality is measured or estimated.

Receptor Models-Procedures that examine an ambient monitor sample of particulate matter and the conditions of its collection to infer the types or relative mix of sources impacting on it during collection.

Refined Model-An analytical technique that provides a detailed treatment of physical and chemical atmospheric processes and requires detailed and precise input data. Specialized estimates are calculated that are useful for evaluating source impact relative to air quality standards and allowable increments. The estimates are more accurate than those obtained from conservative screening techniques.

Rollback-A simple model that assumes that if emissions from each source affecting a given receptor are decreased by the same percentage, ambient air quality concentrations decrease proportionately.

Screening Technique-A relatively simple analysis technique to determine if a given source is likely to pose a threat to air quality. Concentration estimates from screening techniques are conservative.
Simple Terrain—An area where terrain features are all lower in elevation than the top of the stack of the source.
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A.0 Introduction

This appendix summarizes key features of refined air quality models preferred for specific regulatory applications. For each model, information is provided on availability, approximate cost in 1986, regulatory use, data input, output format and options, simulation of atmospheric physics, and accuracy. These models may be used without a formal demonstration of applicability provided they satisfy the recommendations for regulatory use; not all options in the models are necessarily recommended for regulatory use. The models are listed by name in alphabetical order.

FOOTNOTE: All models except the Urban Airshed Model are available on UNAMAP (Version 6) from NTIS at a price consistent with the previous version of UNAMAP.

Each of these models has been subjected to a performance evaluation using comparisons with observed air quality data. A summary of such comparisons for all models contained in this appendix is included in "A Survey of Statistical Measures of Model Performance and Accuracy for Several Air Quality Models," EPA-450/4-83-001. Where possible, several of the models contained herein have been subjected to evaluation exercises, including (1) statistical performance tests recommended by the American Meteorological Society and (2) peer scientific reviews. The models in this appendix have been selected on the basis of the results of the model evaluations, experience with previous use, familiarity of the model to various air quality programs, and the costs and resource requirements for use.

A.1 Buoyant Line and Point Source Dispersion Model (BLP)

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

BLP is a Gaussian plume dispersion model designed to handle unique modeling problems associated with aluminum reduction plants, and other industrial sources where plume rise and downwash effects from stationary line sources are important.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
The BLP model is appropriate for the following applications:

- Aluminum reduction plants which contain buoyant, elevated line sources;
- Rural areas;
- Transport distances less than 50 kilometers;
- Simple terrain; and
- One hour to one year averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

- Rural (I RU = 1) mixing height option;
- Default (no selection) for plume rise wind shear (LSHEAR), transitional point source plume rise (ITRANS), vertical potential temperature gradient (DTTHA), vertical wind speed power law profile exponents (PEXP), maximum variation in number of stability classes per hour (IDELS), pollutant decay (DECFAC), the constant in Briggs' stable plume rise equation (CONST2), constant in Briggs' neutral plume rise equation (CONST3), con-vergence criterion for the line source calculations (CRIT), and maximum iterations allowed for line source calculations (MAXIT); and
- Terrain option (TERRAN) set equal to C., C., C., C., C., C.

For other applications, BLP can be used if it can be demonstrated to give the same estimates as a recommended model for the same application, and will subsequently be executed in that mode.

BLP can be used on a case-by-case basis with specific options not available in a recommended model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that the model is more appropriate for a specific application.

b. Input Requirements

- Source data: Point sources require stack location, elevation of stack base, physical stack height, stack inside diameter, stack gas exit velocity, stack gas exit temperature, and pollutant emission rate. Line sources require coordinates of the end points of the line, release height, emission rate, average line source width, average building width, average spacing between buildings, and average line source buoyancy parameter.

- Meteorological data: Hourly surface weather data from punched cards or from the preprocessor program RAMMRT which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height.

- Receptor data: Locations and elevations of receptors, or location and size of receptor grid or request automatically generated receptor grid.

c. Output

Printed output (from a separate post-processor program) includes:

- Total concentration or, optionally, source contribution analysis; monthly and annual frequency distributions for 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations; tables of 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations at each receptor; table of the annual (or length of run) average concentrations at each receptor;
- Five highest 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations at each receptor; and
- Fifty highest 1-, 3-, and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field.

d. Type of Model

BLP is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

BLP may be used to model primary pollutants. This model does not treat settling and deposition.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

BLP treats up to 50 point sources, 10 parallel line sources, and 100 receptors arbitrarily located.

User-input typographic elevation is applied for each stack and each receptor.
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g. Plume Behavior

BLP uses plume rise formulas of Schulman and Scire (1980).

Vertical potential temperature gradients of .02 Kelvin per meter for E stability and .035 Kelvin per meter are used for stable plume rise calculations. An option for user input values is included.

Transitional rise is used for line sources.

Option to suppress the use of transitional plume rise for point sources is included.

The building downwash algorithm of Schulman and Scire (1980) is used.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Wind speeds profile exponents of .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, and .30 are used for stability classes A through F, respectively. An option for user-defined values and an option to suppress the use of the wind speed profile feature are included.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no adjustment made for variations in surface roughness or averaging time.

Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no adjustment made for variations in surface roughness.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 16 times mixing height; uniform mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Perfect reflection at the ground is assumed.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using linear decay. Decay rate is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


A.2 Caline3

Reference

Availability

The CALINE3 model computer tape is available from NTIS as PB80-220841. The model is also available from the California Department of Transportation (manual free of charge and approximately $50 for the computer tape). Requests should be directed to: Mr. Marlin Beckwith, Chief, Office of Computer Systems, California Department of Transportation, 1120 N. Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

Abstract

CALINE3 can be used to estimate the concentrations of nonreactive pollutants from highway traffic. This steady-state Gaussian model can be applied to determine air pollution concentrations at receptor locations downwind of "at-grade," "fill," "bridge," and "cut section" highways located in relatively uncomplicated terrain. The model is applicable for any wind direction, highway orientation, and receptor location. The model has adjustments for averaging time and surface roughness, and can handle up to 20 links and 20 receptors. It also contains an algorithm for deposition and settling velocity so that particulate concentrations can be predicted.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

CALINE-3 is appropriate for the following applications:

- Highway (line) sources;
- Urban or rural areas;
- Simple terrain;
- Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
- One hour to 24 hours averaging times.

b. Input Requirements

- Source data: Up to 20 highway links classified as "at-grade," "fill," "bridge," or "depressed"; coordinates of link endpoints; traffic volume; emission factor; source height; and mixing zone width.
- Meteorological data: Wind speed, wind angle (measured in degrees clockwise from the Y axis), stability class, mixing height, ambient (background to the highway) concentration of pollutant.
- Receptor data: coordinates and height above ground for each receptor.

c. Output

- Printed output includes:
  - Concentration at each receptor for the specified meteorological condition.

d. Type of Model

- CALINE-3 is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

- CALINE-3 may be used to model primary pollutants.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

- Up to 20 highway links are treated.
- CALINE-3 applies user input location and emission rate for each link.
- User-input receptor locations are applied.
Plume rise is not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

User-input hourly wind speed and direction are applied.

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Six stability classes are used.

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with adjustment for roughness length and averaging time.

Initial traffic-induced dispersion is handled implicitly by plume size parameters.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Six stability classes are used.

Empirical dispersion coefficients from Benson (1979) are used including an adjustment for roughness length.

Initial traffic-induced dispersion is handled implicitly by plume size parameters.

Adjustment for averaging time is included.

l. Chemical Transformation

Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

Optional deposition calculations are included.

n. Evaluation Studies


A.J Climatological Operation Model (CDM 2.0)

References


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

CDM is a climatological steady-state Gaussian plume model for determining long-term (seasonal or annual) arithmetic average pollutant concentrations at any ground-level receptor in an urban area.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
CDM is appropriate for the following applications:

Point and area sources;
Urban areas;
Flat terrain;
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers;
Long term averages over one month to one year or longer.

The following option should be selected for regulatory applications:

Set the regulatory "default option" (NDEF = 1) which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), and the appropriate wind profile exponents.

Enter "ON" for pollutant half-life for all pollutants except for SO₂ in an urban setting. This entry results in no decay (infinite half-life) being calculated. For SO₂ in an urban setting, the pollutant half-life (in hours) should be set to 4.0.

5. Input Requirements

Source data: Location, average emissions rates and heights of emissions for point and area sources. Point source data requirements also include stack gas temperature, stack gas exit velocity, and stack inside diameter for plume rise calculations for point sources.

Meteorological data: Stability wind rose (STAR deck day/night version), average mixing height and wind speed in each stability category, and average air temperature.

Receptor data: cartesian coordinates of each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Average concentrations for the period of the stability wind rose data (arithmetic mean only) at each receptor, and

Optional point and area concentration rose for each receptor.

d. Type of Model

CDM is a climatological Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

CDM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

CDM applies user-specified locations for all point sources and receptors.

Area sources are input as multiples of a user-defined unit area source grid size.

User specified release heights are applied for individual point sources and the area source grid.

Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.

The user may select a single height at or above ground level that applies to all receptors.

No terrain differences between source and receptor are treated.

g. Plume Behavior

CDM uses Briggs (1969, 1971, 1975) plume rise equations. Optionally a plume rise-wind speed product may be input for each point source.
Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is preferred for regulatory use. The Bjorklund and Bowers (1982) equation is also included.

No plume rise is calculated for area sources.

Does not treat fumigation or building downwash.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind data are input as a stability wind rose (joint frequency distribution of 16 wind directions, 6 wind classes, and stability classes).

Wind speed profile exponents for the urban case (EPA, 1980) are used, assuming the anemometer height is at 10.0 meters.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Pollutants are assumed evenly distributed across a 22.5 or 10.0 degree sector.

k. Vertical Dispersion

There are seven vertical dispersion parameter schemes, but the following is recommended for regulatory applications: Briggs-urban (Gifford, 1976).

Mixing height has no effect until dispersion coefficient equals 0.8 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing assumed beyond that point.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included as an option.

Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


A.4 Gaussian-Plume Multiple Source Air Quality Algorithm (RAM)

References:


Reference:
Abstract:

RAM is a steady-state Gaussian plume model for estimating concentrations of relatively stable pollutants, for averaging times from an hour to a day, from point and area sources in a rural or urban setting. Level terrain is assumed. Calculations are performed for each hour.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

RAM is appropriate for the following applications:

Point and area sources;

Urban areas;

Flat terrain;

Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and

One hour to one year averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

Set the regulatory "default option" to automatically select stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), a treatment for calms, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: Point sources require location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter and stack gas temperature. Area sources require location, size, emission rate, and height of emissions.

 Meteorological data: Hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required.

Receptor data: Coordinates of each receptor. Options for automatic placement of receptors near expected concentration maxima, and a gridded receptor array are included.

c. Output

Printed output optionally includes:

One to 24-hour and annual average concentrations at each receptor,

Limited individual source contribution list, and

Highest through fifth highest concentrations at each receptor for period, with the highest and high, second-high values flagged.

d. Type of Model

RAM is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

RAM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

RAM applies user-specified locations for all point sources and receptors.
Area sources are input as multiples of a user-defined unit area source grid size.
User specified stack heights are applied for individual point sources.
Up to 3 effective release heights may be specified for the area sources. Area source release heights are assumed to be appropriate for a 5 meter per second wind and to be inversely proportional to wind speed.
Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.
All receptors are assumed to be at the same height at or above ground level.
No terrain differences between source and receptor are accounted for.

**g. Plume behavior**

Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.
A user supplied fraction of the area source height is treated as the physical height. The remainder is assumed to be plume rise for a 5 meter per second wind speed, and to be inversely proportional to wind speed.
Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.

**h. Horizontal Winds**

Constant, uniform (steady state) wind is assumed for an hour.
Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.
Separate wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for urban cases are used.

**i. Vertical Wind Speed**

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

**j. Horizontal Dispersion**

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.
Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.
Six stability classes are used.

**k. Vertical Dispersion**

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.
Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.
Six stability classes are used.
Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical sizing is assumed beyond that point.
Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

**l. Chemical Transformation**

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

**m. Physical Removal**

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

**n. Evaluation Studies**
Ellis, H., P. Lou, and G. Dalzell, 1980. Comparison Study of Measured and Predicted Concentrations with the RAM Model at Two Power Plants Along Lake Erie, Second Joint Conference on Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology, New Orleans, LA.


Kennedy, K. H., R. D. Siegel, and M. P. Steinberg, 1981. Case-Specific Evaluation of the RAM Atmospheric Dispersion Model in an Urban Area, 74th Annual Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New Orleans, LA.


Morgenstern, P. M., J. Geraghty, and A. McKnight, 1979. A Comparative Study of the RAM (Urban) and RAMR (Rural) Models for Short-term SO2 Concentrations in Metropolitan Indianapolis, 72nd Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Cincinnati, OH.


A.5 Industrial Source Complex Model (ISC)

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, Phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

The ISC model is a steady-state Gaussian plume model which can be used to assess pollutant concentrations from a wide variety of sources associated with an industrial source complex. This model can account for the following: settling and dry deposition of particulates; downwash; area, line and volume sources; plume rise as a function of downwind distance; separation of point sources; and limited terrain adjustment. It operates in both long-term and short-term modes.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

ISC is appropriate for the following applications:

- Industrial source complexes;
- Rural or urban areas;
- Flat or rolling terrain;
- Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
One hour to annual averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

For short term modeling, set the regulatory "default option" (ISW(28) = 1), which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy induced dispersion (BID), the vertical potential temperature gradient, a treatment for calm, the appropriate wind profile exponents, the appropriate value for pollutant half-life, and a revised building wake effects algorithm; set rural option (ISW(20) = 0) or urban option (ISW(20) = 1); and set the concentration option (ISW(1) = 1).

For long term modeling, set the regulatory "default option" (ISW(22) = 0), which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), the vertical potential temperature gradient, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life, and a revised building wake effects algorithm; set rural option (ISW(9) = 3) or urban option (ISW(9) = 4); and set the concentration option (ISW(1) = 1).

b. Input Requirements

Source data: Location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, and stack gas temperature. Optional inputs include source elevation, building dimensions, particle size distribution with corresponding settling velocities, and surface reflection coefficients.

Meteorological data: ISCST requires hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET, which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. For ISCLT, input includes stability wind rose (STAR deck), average afternoon mixing height, average morning mixing height, and average air temperature.

Receptor data: coordinates and optional ground elevation for each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output options include:

Program control parameters, source data and receptor data;
Tables of hourly meteorological data for each specified day;
"N"-day average concentration or total deposition calculated at each receptor for any desired combinations of sources;
Concentration or deposition values calculated for any desired combinations of sources at all receptors for any specific day or time period within the day;
Tables of highest and second-highest concentration or deposition values calculated at each receptor for each specified time period during an "N"-day period for any desired combinations of sources; and tables of the maximum 50 concentration or deposition values;
Calculated for any desired combinations of sources for each specified time period.

d. Type of Model

ISC is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

ISC may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

ISC applies user-specified locations for point, line, area and volume sources, and user-specified receptor locations or receptor rings.

User input topographic elevation for each receptor is used. Elevations above stack top are reduced to the stack top elevation, i.e., "terrain chopping".

User input height above ground level may be used when necessary to simulate impact at elevated or "flag pole" receptors, e.g., on buildings.

Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.

g. Plume Behavior

Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.

Revised building wake effects algorithm is used. For stacks higher than building height plus one-half the lesser of the building height or building width, the building wake algorithm of Bubar and Snyder (1976) is used. For lower stacks, the building wake algorithm of Schulman and Scire (Schulman and Banna, 1986) is used, but stack tip downwash and PDE are not used.

For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above source.

Fumigation is not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for each hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Separate wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used.

An optional treatment for calm winds is included for short term modeling.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments for surface roughness or averaging time.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments for surface roughness.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Time constant is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Settling and dry deposition of particulates are treated.

n. Evaluation Studies
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A.6 Multiple Point Gaussian Dispersion Algorithms with Terrain Adjustment (MPTER)

Reference


Availability
This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161. Phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract
MPTER is a Multiple Point Source Algorithm. This algorithm is useful for estimating air quality concentrations of relatively non-reactive pollutants. Hourly estimates are made using the Gaussian steady state model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

MPTER is appropriate for the following applications:

Point sources;
Rural or urban areas;
Flat or rolling terrain (no terrain above stack height);
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
One hour to one year averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

Set the regulatory "default option" (IOPT(25) = 1) to automatically select stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), a treatment for calms, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas temperature, and optional ground level elevation.

Meteorological data: hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RANMET which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required.

Receptor data: coordinates and optional ground elevation for each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

One to 24-hour and annual average concentrations at each receptor;
Highest through fifth highest concentrations at each receptor for period, with the highest and high, second-high values flagged; and

Limited source contribution table.

d. Type of Model

MPTR is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

MPTR may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

MPTR applies user-specified locations of point sources and receptors.

User input stack height and source characteristics for each source are used.

User input topographic elevation for each receptor is used.

g. Plume Behavior


Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.

For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above the source.

Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Separate wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness or averaging times.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976), is included.

Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976), is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.
Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

1. Chemical Transformation

   Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

2. Physical Removal

   Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

3. Evaluation Studies

   No specific studies for MPTER because regulatory editions of CRSTER and MPTER are equivalent. Studies for CRSTER are relevant to MPTER as well (See page A-32).

A.7 Single Source (CRSTER) Model

Reference


Abstract

CRSTER is a steady state, Gaussian dispersion model designed to calculate concentrations from point sources at a single location in either a rural or urban setting. Highest and high-second high concentrations are calculated at each receptor for 1-hour, 3-hour, 24-hour, and annual averaging time.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

   CRSTER is appropriate for the following applications:

   Single point sources;
   Rural or urban areas;
   Transport distances less than 11 kilometers; and
   Flat or rolling terrain (no terrain above stack height).

   The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

   Set the regulatory "default option" which automatically selects stack tip downward, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), a treatment for ca:ts, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life.

b. Input Requirements

   Source data: Emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, and stack gas temperature.

   Meteorological data: Hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required.

   Receptor data: Require distance of each of the five receptor rings.

c. Output
Printed output includes:

- Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1, 1.5, and 24-hours, plus a user-selected averaging time which may be 2, 4, 6, 8, or 12 hours;
- Annual arithmetic average at each receptor;
- For each day, the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field; and
- Option for source contributions to concentrations at selected receptors.

d. Type of Model

CRSTER is a Gaussian plume model.
e. Pollutant Types

CRSTER may be used to model primary pollutants. Settlement and deposition are not treated.
f. Source-Receptor Relationship

CRSTER treats up to 19 point sources, no area sources.

All point sources are assumed collocated.

User input stack height is used for each source.

User input topographic elevation is used for each receptor, but must be below top of stack or program will terminate execution.

Receptors are assumed at ground level.

g. Plume Behavior


Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.

For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above the source.

Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Separate set of wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used in CRSTER with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness or averaging times.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion
Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for surface roughness.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggimile s (Gifford, 1975) are used.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

1. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

2. Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

3. Evaluation Studies


A.8 Urban Airshed Model (UAM)

References


Availability


Abstract
UAM is an urban scale, three dimensional, grid type, numerical simulation model. The model incorporates a condensed photochemical kinetics mechanism for urban atmospheres. The UAM is designed for computing ozone (O₃) concentrations under short-term, episodic conditions lasting one or two days resulting from emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) and volatile organic compounds (VOC). The model treats urban VOC emissions as their carbon-bond surrogates.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

UAM is appropriate for the following applications: Single urban areas having significant attainment problems in the absence of interurban emission transport; and one hour averaging times.

UAM has many options but no specific recommendations can be made at this time on all options. The reviewing agency should be consulted on selection of options to be used in regulatory applications. At the present time, the following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

- Omit SO₂ and AEROSOLS from the SPECIES packet for the CHEMPAR file;
- Set ROADWAY flag to FALSE in the SIMULATION packet for the SIM-CONTROL file; and
- Set surface layer height to zero in the REGION packet for the AIRQUALITY, BOUNDARY, DIFFERENT, METSCALARS, PTSOURCE, REGIONTOP, TEMPERATUR, TERRAIN, TOPCONC, and WIND files.

b. Input Requirements

- Source data: Gridded, hourly emissions of PAR, OLE, ETH, ARC, CARB, NO, and NO₂ for low-level sources. CO is optional. For major elevated point sources, hourly emissions, stack height, stack diameter, exit velocity, and exit temperature.

- Meteorological data: Hourly, gridded, divergence free, u and v wind components for each vertical level; hourly gridded mixing heights; hourly gridded surface temperatures; hourly exposure class; hourly vertical potential temperature gradient above and below the mixing height; hourly surface atmospheric pressure; hourly water mixing ratio; and gridded surface roughness lengths.

- Air quality data: Concentration of O₃, NO, NO₂, PAR, OLE, ETH, ARC, CARB, PAN, and CO at the beginning of the simulation for each grid cell; and hourly concentrations of each pollutant at each level along the inflow boundaries and top boundary of the modeling region.

Other data requirements are: Hourly mixed layer average, NO₂ photolysis rates; and ozone surface uptake resistance along with associated gridded vegetation (scaling) factors.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Gridded instantaneous concentration fields at user-specified time intervals for user-specified pollutants and grid levels; Gridded time average concentration fields for user-specified time intervals, pollutants, and grid levels.

d. Type of Model

UAM is a three dimensional, numerical, photochemical grid model.

e. Pollutant Types

UAM may be used to model ozone (O₃) formation from oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Low-level area and point source emissions are specified within each surface grid cell.

Up to 500 major point sources are allowed.

Hourly average concentrations of each pollutant are calculated for all grid cells at each vertical level.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise is calculated for major point sources using relationships recommended by Briggs (1971).

h. Horizontal Winds
See Input Requirements.

1. Vertical Wind Speed
   Calculated at each vertical grid cell interface from the mass continuity relationship using the input gridded horizontal wind field.

2. Horizontal Dispersion
   Horizontal eddy diffusivity is set to a user specified constant value (nominally 50 m²/s).

3. Vertical Dispersion
   Vertical eddy diffusivities for unstable and neutral conditions calculated using relationships of Lamb et al. (1977); for stable conditions, the relationship of Businger and Arya (1974) is employed. Stability class, friction velocity, and Monin-Obukhov length determined using procedure of Liu et al. (1976).

4. Chemical Transformation
   UAM employs a simplified version of the Carbon-Bond II Mechanism (CBM-II) developed by Whitten, Killus, and Hogo (1980) employing various steady-state approximations. CBM-II is further simplified during nighttime hours to improve computational efficiency. CBM-II utilizes five carbon-bond species (PAR-single bonded carbon atom; CLE-terminal double bonded carbon atoms; ETH-ethylene; ARO-alkylated aromatic rings; and CARB-alddehydes, ketones, and surrogate carbonyls) which serve as surrogates for the large variety of emitted organic compounds in the urban atmosphere.

5. Physical Removal
   Dry deposition of ozone and other pollutant species are calculated. Vegetation (scaling) factors are applied to the reference surface uptake resistance of each species depending on land use type.

6. Evaluation Studies
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A.9 Offshore and Coastal Dispersion Model (OCD)

Reference


Availability

The above user's guide is available for $40.95 from NTIS. The computer tape is available from NTIS as number PB85-246106 at a cost of $800.

Technical Contact

Minerals Management Service, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Mail Stop 644, Reston, VA 22091, ATTN: Mitchell Baer.

Abstract

OCD is a straight-line Gaussian model developed to determine the impact of offshore emissions from point sources on the air quality of coastal regions. OCD incorporates overwater plume transport and dispersion as well as changes that occur as the plume crosses the shoreline. Hourly meteorological data are needed from both offshore and onshore locations. These include water surface temperature and overwater air temperature and relative humidity.

Some of the key features include platform building downwash, partial plume penetration into elevated inversions, direct use of turbulence intensities for plume dispersion, interaction with the overland internal boundary layer, and continuous shoreline fumigation.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

OCD has been recommended for use by the Minerals Management Service for emissions located on the Outer Continental Shelf (Federal Register 50, 12246, 26 March 1985). OCD is applicable for overwater sources where onshore receptors are below the lowest source height. Where onshore receptors are above the lowest source height, offshore plume transport and dispersion may be modeled on a case-by-case basis in consultation with the EPA Regional Office.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: Point source location, pollutant emission rate, building height, stack height, stack gas temperature, stack inside diameter, stack gas exit velocity, stack angle from vertical, elevation of stack base above water surface and gridded specification of the land/water surfaces. As an option, emission rate, stack gas exit velocity and temperature can be varied hourly.

Meteorological data (overwater): Wind direction, wind speed, mixing height, relative humidity, air temperature, water surface temperature, vertical wind direction shear (optional), vertical temperature gradient (optional), turbulence intensities (optional). For all meteorological input variables, hourly data are preferred to climatological values.

Meteorological data (overland): Wind direction, wind speed, temperature, stability class, mixing height.

Receptor data: Location, height above local ground-level, ground-level elevation above the water surface.

c. Output

All input options, specification of sources, receptores and land/water map including locations of sources and receptors.

Summary tables of five highest concentrations at each receptor for each averaging period, and average concentration for entire run period for each receptor.

Optional case study printout with hourly plume and receptor characteristics.
Concentration files written to disk or tape can be used by ANALYSIS postprocessor to produce the highest concentrations for each receptor, the cumulative frequency distributions for each receptor, the tabulation of all concentrations exceeding a given threshold, and the manipulation of hourly concentration files.

d. Type of Model

OCD is a Gaussian plume model constructed on the framework of the MPTER model.

e. Pollutant Types

OCD may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 250 point sources and 180 receptors may be used.

Receptors and sources are allowed at any location.

The coastal configuration is determined by a grid of up to 3600 rectangles. Each element of the grid is designated as either land or water to identify the coastline.

g. Plume Behavior

As in MPTER, the basic plume rise algorithms are based on Briggs' recommendations.

Momentum rise includes consideration of the stack angle from the vertical.

The effect of drilling platforms, ships, or any overwater obstructions near the source are used to decrease plume rise following the approach of the BLP model.

Partial plume penetration of elevated inversions is included using the suggestions of Briggs (1975) and Weil and Brower (1984).

If overwater conditions are stable and overland conditions unstable, the Deardorff-Willis (1982) fumigation model is used to simulate the entrainment of the plume in the rising thermal internal boundary layer. The fumigation calculations are used only if the concentrations are lower than those resulting from the change to overland dispersion coefficients at the water/land interface.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform wind is assumed for each hour.

Overwater wind speed can be estimated from overland wind speed using relationship of Hsu (1981).

Wind speed profiles are estimated using similarity theory (Businger 1973). Surface layer fluxes for these formulas are calculated from bulk aerodynamic methods.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Lateral turbulence intensity is recommended as a direct estimate of horizontal dispersion. If lateral turbulence intensity is not available, it is estimated from boundary layer theory. For wind speeds less than 10 m/s, lateral turbulence intensity is assumed inversely proportional to wind speed.

Horizontal dispersion may be enhanced because of obstructions near the source. A virtual source technique, as in the BLP model, is used to simulate the initial plume dilution due to downwash.

Formulas recommended by Pasquill (1976) are used to calculate buoyant plume enhancement and wind direction shear enhancement.

At the water/land interface, the change to overland dispersion rates is modeled using a virtual source. The overland dispersion rates can be calculated from either lateral turbulence intensity or the Turner (1969) coefficients. The change is implemented where the plume intercepts the rising internal boundary layer.
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B.REF References

B.0 Introduction

This appendix summarizes key features of refined air quality models that may be considered on a case-by-case basis for individual regulatory applications. For each model, information is provided on availability, approximate cost in 1986, regulatory use, data input, output format and options, simulation of atmospheric physics and accuracy. These summaries are based directly on information supplied by the model developers and have been included without change. The models are listed by name in alphabetical order.

FOOTNOTE: *All models on UNAMAP (Version 6) are available from NTIS at a price consistent with the previous version of UNAMAP.*

There are three separate conditions under which these models will normally be approved for use: first, if a demonstration can be made that the model produces concentration estimates equivalent to the estimates obtained using a preferred model (e.g. the maximum or high, second-high concentration is within 2% of the estimate using the comparable preferred model); second, if a statistical performance evaluation has been conducted using measured air quality data and the results of that evaluation indicate the model in appendix B performs better for the application than a comparable model in appendix A; and third, if there is no preferred model for the specific application but a refined model is needed to satisfy regulatory requirements. Any one of these three separate conditions may warrant use of these models. See section 3.2, Use of Alternative Models, for additional details.

Many of these models have been subjected to a performance evaluation by comparison with observed air quality data. A summary of such comparisons for models contained in this appendix is included in "A Survey of Statistical Measures of Model Performance and Accuracy for Several Air Quality Models," EPA-450/4-83-001. Where possible, several of the models contained herein have been subjected to rigorous evaluation exercises, including (1) statistical performance measures recommended by the American Meteorological Society and (2) peer scientific reviews.
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3.1 Air Quality Display Model (AQDM)

Reference

Availability
The above User's Guide is available from NTIS at a cost of $16.95. This model is available at no cost in the form of a punched card deck from Library Services, MD-35, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, Attn: Ann Ingram.

Abstract
AQDM is a climatological steady state Gaussian plume model that estimates annual arithmetic average sulfur dioxide and particulate concentrations at ground level in urban areas. A statistical model based on Larsen (1971) is used to transform the average concentration data from a limited number of receptors into expected geometric mean and maximum concentration values for several different averaging times.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
AQDM can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. AQDM must be executed in the equivalent mode.

AQDM can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that AQDM is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements
Source data requirements are: Average emissions rates and heights of emissions for point and area sources; stack gas temperature, stack gas exit velocity, and stack inside diameter for plume rise calculations for point sources.

Meteorological data requirements are: Stability wind rose (STAR deck), average afternoon mixing height, average morning mixing height, and average air temperature.

Receptor data requirements are: Number and locations of receptors. If the Larsen transform option is to be used to estimate short averaging time concentrations, measured standard geometric deviation of concentrations is required.

c. Output
Printed output includes:
One month to one year average concentrations (arithmetic mean only) at each receptor;
Optional arbitrary averaging time by Larsen (1971) procedure (typically 1-24 hr); and
Optional individual point, area source culpability list for each receptor.

d. Type of Model
AQDM is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types
AQDM may be used to model non-reactive pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source Receptor Relationship
AQDM applies user-specified locations and stack height for each point source.

AQDM uses any location and size for each area source.

Up to 225 receptors may be located on uniform rectangular grid.

Up to 12 user-specified receptor locations are permitted.
Unique release height is used for each point and area source. Receptors are assumed to be at ground level. No terrain differences between source and receptor are treated.

g. Plume Behavior

AQDM uses Briggs (1969) plume rise formulas. No plume rise is calculated for area sources. Fumigation and downwash are not treated. Zero concentration is assumed when plume height is greater than mixing height.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind data are input as stability wind rose (joint frequency distribution) of 16 wind directions, six wind speed classes, and five stability classes. No variation in wind speed with height is assumed. Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Pollutants are assumed evenly distributed across a 22.5 degree sector. Frequency of occurrence of a meteorological state is interpolated between sector center lines. Averaging times from 1 month to 1 year or longer are treated.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used. Five stability classes are as defined by Turner (1964). Stability classes E and F are combined, and assigned dispersion values equivalent to stability class D. Neutral stability is split internally into 60\% day, 40\% night, with the two differing only in the treatment of mixing height. Mixing height is a function of a single input afternoon mixing height a single input morning mixing height, modified by the stability class.

l. Chemical Transformations

Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


5.2 Air Resources Regional Pollution Assessment (ARRPA) Model

Reference


Availability

The computer code and sample input for this model on magnetic tape and a copy of the User's Guide are available from: Computer Services Development Branch, Office of Natural Resources and Economic Development, Tennessee Valley Authority, OSMRA, Muscle Shoals, Alabama 35660, phone (205) 388-2985. A hard copy of the model output corresponding with the sample input is also available. The cost of copying model information to a buyer-supplied 2400-ft., high density tape is estimated to be about $100. The User's Guide is free of charge.

Abstract

The ARRPA model is a medium/long-range segmented-plume model. It is designed to compute air concentrations and surface dry mass deposition of sulfur dioxide and sulfate. A unique feature of the model is its use of prognostic meteorological output from the National Weather Service Boundary Layer Model (BLM). Boundary layer conditions are computed by the BLM on a grid with a spatial resolution of 80 km, and are archived in intervals of 3 hours. BLM output used by this model includes three dimensional wind field components and potential temperature at 10 height levels from the surface through 2000 m above the surface.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

Use of the model for transport distances of less than 10 km is not recommended. For 10 km to beyond 50 km, there is no specific recommendation at the present time. The model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements: Location (latitude and longitude), stack height, stack diameter, stack gas exit velocity, stack gas temperature, $SO_2$ emission rate, $SO_4$ emission rate, stack base elevation.

Meteorological data requirements: Hourly wind field components ($u,v,w$), potential temperature ($T$), Pasquill-Gifford stability class and mixing height. These data are obtained as output from the BLM output preprocessing program called MDPP (S.F. Mueller and R.J. Valente, 1983). Required input to MDPP is BLM output (in three-hour intervals) of $u,v, w,$ and $T$, surface layer friction velocity ($u'$) and surface layer values of the inverse Monin-Obukhov length ($L^{-1}$).

Receptor data requirements: Gridded receptor array coordinates ($x$ and $y$) and receptor heights ($z$) from a receptor preprocessing program called HEIGHT. HEIGHT produces a user-designed array of points which may be skewed up to ± 90 degrees relative to the model $x$ axis. The elevation of each receptor is adjusted to give height above smoothed model terrain. Non-gridded receptors can be specified using latitude/longitude coordinates.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Listing of input parameters (except for meteorological data);

Listing of hours processed and flags for missing data periods.

Disk output: Parameters for controlling analysis and printout options in the postprocessing program called ANALYSIS; hourly $SO_2$ and $SO_4$ air concentrations and dry deposition amounts at each receptor.

Optional printed output: Two programs are available for displaying model output-DISPLAY and ANALYSIS; DISPLAY prints out hourly gridded concentration and/or deposition fields for user-specified time periods; ANALYSIS prints out (1) the five highest concentrations of $SO_2$ and/or $SO_4$ at each receptor for 1-hour, 3-hour (optional) and 24-hour (optional) averaging periods, (2) average $SO_2$ and/or $SO_4$ concentrations at each receptor for the entire analysis period and (3) gridded $SO_2$ and/or $SO_4$ dry deposition amounts for the day having the greatest dry deposition and for the entire analysis period.

d. Type of Model

The ARRPA model is a Gaussian segmented-plume model.
e. Pollutant Types

$SO_2$ and $NO_x$ are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

One source is treated per model run, though results from several sources may be superimposed.

Either constant or variable emission rates may be used.

Receptors (up to 100) in gridded network may have different elevations.

Height of receptors above ground is variable.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise is computed in a piecewise-continuous manner through discrete model layers (Mueller, et al., 1983).

Plume can be isolated from the ground (lofting).

Plume height varies in time and space.

h. Horizontal Winds

Hourly horizontal wind components, specified at 80-km intervals across the model grid, are spatially interpolated and vertically averaged through the plume depth to get plume transport vectors. A model option is available that uses the wind vector near the vertical plume center instead of computing a vertically-averaged vector.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

The mass-conserving BLM wind field used in this model provides vertical wind components that vary horizontally and vertically, and are used to adjust plume height.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Plume half-width ($a_y$) growth goes through four stages:

1. Growth follows Turner curves for $a_y < 1000$ m;

2. A transition in growth behavior from Turner curves to dynamical-statistical (Langevin) theory occurs for $1000 < a_y < 6000$ m;

3. Growth is based on dynamical-statistical theory for $a_y > 6000$ m; eddy diffusivity computed from Pasquill-Gifford stability class;

4. Growth approaches that described by Taylor's statistical theory (limit of dynamical-statistical theory for time much larger than the Lagrangian time correlation) for $a_y > 10000$ m.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Plume half-depth ($a_z$) growth is based on combination of Brookhaven curves for elevated plumes and Turner curves for near-ground plumes.

Vertical plume structure is Gaussian, with superimposed reflection terms, until $a_z$ becomes sufficiently large that a vertically uniform plume assumption is appropriate.

Maximum depth of a plume is 2000 m.

l. Chemical Transformation

$SO_2$ oxidation to $SO_4$ is treated using a first-order chemical reaction rate constant which is parameterized to vary hourly following diurnal and seasonal cycles.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition is computed using the source depletion equation. Dry deposition velocities vary according to the stability of the surface layer.
Evaluation Studies


3. APRAC-3

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

APRAC-3 is a model which computes hourly average carbon monoxide concentrations for any urban location. The model calculates contributions from dispersion on various scales: Extraurban, mainly from sources upwind of the city of interest; intraurban, from freeway, arterial, and feeder street sources; and local, from dispersion within a street canyon. APRAC-3 requires an extensive traffic inventory for the city of interest. APRAC-3, as it exists on UNAMAP (Version 6), has been updated with Mobile 2 emission factors.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

APRAC-3 can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. APRAC-3 must be executed in the equivalent mode.

APRAC-3 can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated using the criteria in section 3.2, that APRAC-3 is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/mode which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

Although the user's manual for APRAC-3 contains Mobile 1 emission factors, it is recommended that those emission factors be updated with the latest version of Mobile (Mobile Source Emissions Model) for use in regulatory applications.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: line source (traffic link) end points, road type and daily traffic volume.

Meteorological data requirements are: hourly wind direction (nearest 10 degrees), hourly wind speed, and hourly cloud cover for stability calculations.

Receptor data requirements are: coordinates for up to 10 receptors for any single day and up to 8 receptors for the intersection submodel.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Hourly calculations at each receptor.

d. Type of Model

APRAC-3 is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

APRAC-3 may be used to model primary pollutants.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Traffic links may have arbitrary length and orientation. Off-link traffic is allocated to two-mile square grids. Link traffic emissions are aggregated into a receptor oriented area source array.
The boundaries of the area sources actually treated are (1) arcs at radial distances from the receptor which increase in geometric progression, (2) the sides of a 22.5° sector oriented upwind for distances greater than 1000 m, and (3) the sides of a 45° sector oriented upwind for distances less than 1000 m.

A similar area source array is established for each receptor.

Sources are assumed to be at ground level.

Up to 10 receptors are accepted for any single day.

Up to 625 receptors are accepted for a single-hour.

Up to 8 receptors are accepted for the intersection submodel.

Receptors are at ground level.

Receptor locations are arbitrary.

Four internally defined receptor locations on each user-designated street are used in a special street canyon sub-model.

A box model is used to estimate contribution from upwind sources beyond 32 km based on wind speed, mixing height, annual fuel consumption.

In street canyon sub-model, contribution from other streets is included in background.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise is not treated.

Fumigation and downwash are not treated except in street canyon sub-model.

In street canyon sub-model, a helical circulation pattern is assumed.

h. Horizontal Winds

User input hourly wind speed and direction in tens of degrees are used.

No variation of wind speed or direction with height is assumed.

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed within each hour.

The model can interpolate winds at receptors if more than one wind is provided.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero except in street canyon sub-model.

Helical circulation assumed by street canyon sub-model.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Sector averaging is used with uniform distribution within sectors. Sector size is 22.5 degrees beyond 1 km and 45.0 degrees within 1 km.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Six stability classes are used. Stability class is determined internally from user-supplied meteorological data modified from Turner (1964).

Dispersion coefficients are adapted from McElroy and Pooler (1968).

No adjustments are made for variations in surface roughness.

Downwind distance variation of \( c_p \) is assumed to be \( ax^b \) for purposes of doing analytical integration.

In street canyon sub-model, an empirical function of wind speed and street width and direction is used.
Perfect reflection at the surface is assumed.

Mixing height is ignored until concentration equals that calculated using box model. A box model (uniform vertical distribution) is used beyond that distance.

1. Chemical Transformation
   Not treated.

2. Physical Removal
   Not treated.

3. Evaluation Studies

B.4 COMPTER

Reference


Availability

This model is available to users for tape and reproduction charges. If a tape is sent, the reproduction is free. Send tape and desired format and specifications to: Mr. Richard E. Grusnick, Chief, Air Division, Alabama Department of Environmental Management, 1751 Federal Drive, Montgomery, Alabama 36109.

Abstract

COMPTER is based on the Gaussian steady-state technique applicable to both urban and rural areas. The model contains the following attributes: (a) determines maximum 24-hour, 3-hour, 1-hour and variable hour concentrations for both block and running averages; (b) elevated terrain considered with the standard plume-chopping technique or stability dependent plume path trajectory; (c) uses annual hourly meteorological data in the CRSTER preprocessor format; (d) uses Pasquill-Gifford stability curves; (e) allows for stability class substitution in the stable categories. Typical model use is in rural areas with moderate to low terrain features.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

COMPTER can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. COMPTER must be executed in the equivalent mode.

COMPTER can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that COMPTER is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: annual or hourly values of emission rate, exit velocity, stack gas temperature, stack height, and stack diameter.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is optional.

Receptor data requirements are: individual receptor coordinates; or a location and distance from the center of five rings of receptors; or a combination of individual receptors and either the rectangular grid or the rings of receptors. Elevations of all receptors may be input.

c. Output

Printed output includes:
Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1, 3 and 24-hours, a user-selected averaging time which may be 2-12 hours (variable hourly), and a 50 high table for 1, 3, variable hourly, and 24-hours:

Annual arithmetic average at each receptor; and the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field for each day considered.

Computer readable output includes:

- Hourly, 3-hourly, variable hourly, and 24-hourly concentrations for each receptor on magnetic storage device.

**d. Type of Model**

COMPTER is a Gaussian plume model.

**e. Pollutant Types**

COMPTER may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

**f. Source-Receptor Relationship**

- A maximum 50 sources and 200 receptors are treated.

COMPTER applies user-specified locations of sources and receptors.

User input stack height and source characteristics for each source are applied.

User input topographic elevation for each receptor is applied.

Receptors are assumed to be at ground level.

**g. Plume Behavior**

Briggs' (1969, 1971, 1972) plume rise equations with limited mixing are used.

Plume height is adjustable according to stability with use of plume path coefficient.

**h. Horizontal Winds**

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Power law wind profile exponents used are .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, .30 for stability classes A through F, respectively.

Anemometer height is assumed to be 10 meters.

**i. Vertical Wind Speed**

Vertical wind speeds are assumed equal to zero.

**j. Horizontal Dispersion**

Dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no further adjustments made for variations in surface roughness or averaging time.

- Optionally, stability class 7 may be treated as Class 6.

- Other options for stable class substitution include changing stabilities F and G to E, and reducing E, F, and G to D, E, and F, respectively.

**k. Vertical Dispersion**

Dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no further adjustments made for variations in surface roughness.

- Optionally, by source, buoyancy induced dispersion \( \Delta H^2/10 \) is included.

- Optionally, stability class 7 may be treated as class 6.
Other options for stable class substitution include changing stabilities \( F \) and \( G \) to \( E \); and reducing \( E, F, \) and \( G \) to \( D, E, \) and \( F, \) respectively.

1. Chemical Transformation

Not treated.

2. Physical Removal

Not treated.

3. Evaluation Studies


B.5 ERT Air Quality Model (ERTAQ)

Reference


Availability


Abstract

ERTAQ is a multiple point, line and area source dispersion model which utilizes the univariate Gaussian formula with multiple reflections. With the fugitive dust option, entrainment of particulates from ground-level sources and subsequent deposition are accountable. The model offers an urban/rural option, and calculates long-term or worst-case concentrations due to arbitrarily located sources for arbitrarily located receptors above or at ground level. Background concentrations and calibration factors at each receptor can be user specified. Unique flexibility is afforded by postprocessing storage and manipulation capability.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

ERTAQ can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. ERTAQ must be executed in the equivalent mode.

ERTAQ can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that ERTAQ is more appropriate for the specific application in this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Up to six pollutants may be specified, citing quantity and calibration factor for each (and particle size, if appropriate); heat rate and height of emissions per source for determining plume height.

Meteorological data requirements are: Stability wind rose, plus annual average ambient air temperature and mixing height.

Receptor data requirements are: Cartesian coordinates for each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Mean concentrations at designated receptors for long-term mode. In worst-case mode, concentrations for user-specified meteorological conditions.

d. Type of Model

ERTAQ is a climatological Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types
ERIQ treats primary pollutants with or without significant settling velocities.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 501 user-specified locations for point, area, and line sources, and up to 128 arbitrarily located receptors are permitted.

User-specified release heights are applied for all sources.

Simple terrain relief is treated.

Receptors may be at or above ground level.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1975) final plume rise only is used.

Briggs calm formula is used when wind speed is less than 1.37 meters per second.

Plume rise may be calculated for point and area sources.

Top or mixed layer is perfect reflector (full or no plume penetration).

Fumigation and downwash are not treated.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion is not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Steady state and homogeneous winds are assumed.

Sixteen wind directions and six speed classes are treated.

Exponential vertical profile extrapolates observed wind to release height for plume rise and to plume height for downwind dilution.

The exponents used are .10, .15, .20, .25, and .30 for stability classes A through E, respectively.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed to be zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Uniform distribution in 22.5 degree sector, or triangular distribution in 45-degree sector (user specified).

k. Vertical Dispersion

Gaussian plume with initial mixing specification is assumed.

Five stability categories are treated (converts all stability class F to class E).

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for surface roughness.

Urban case is treated by shifting each stability category (except class A) one class toward unstable.

Top of mixed layer is perfect reflector (full or no plume penetration).

Ground surface is total reflector.

Surface deposition reduces entire plume concentration using a source depletion factor.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal
Particle deposition for ground-level sources is treated.

e. Evaluation Studies


f. ERT Visibility Model

Reference


Availability


Abstract

The ERT Visibility model is a Gaussian dispersion model designed to estimate visibility impairment for arbitrary lines of sight due to isolated point source emissions by simulating gas-to-particle conversion, dry deposition, NO to NO₂ conversion and linear radiative transfer.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The ERT Visibility model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: stack height, stack temperature, emissions of SO₂, NOₓ, TSP, fraction of NOₓ as NO₂, fraction of TSP which are carbonaceous, exit velocity, and exit radius.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly ambient temperature, mixing depth, wind speed at stack height, stability class, potential temperature gradient, and wind direction.

Receptor data requirements are: Observer coordinates with respect to source, latitude, longitude, time zone, date, time of day, elevation, relative humidity, background visual range, line-of-sight azimuth and elevation angle, inclination angle of the observed object, distance from observer to object, object reflectivity, surface reflectivity, number and spacing of integral receptor points along line-of-sight.

Other data requirements are: Ambient concentrations of O₃ and NOₓ, deposition velocity of TSP, sulfate, nitrate, SO₂ and NOₓ first-order transformation rate for sulfate and nitrate.

c. Output

Printed output includes both summary and detailed results as follows: Summary output: Page 1-site, observer and object parameters; page 2-optical pollutants and associated extinction coefficients; page 3-plume model input parameters; page 4-total calculated visual range reduction, and each pollutant's contribution; page 5-calculated plume contrast, object contrast and object contrast degradation at the 550 nm wavelength; page 6-calculated blue/red ratio and ΔE (U’ V’ W) value for both sky and object discoloration.

Detailed output: Phase functions for each pollutant in four wavelengths (400, 450, 550, 650 nm), concentrations for each pollutant along sight path, solar geometry, contrast parameters at all wavelengths, intensities, tristimulus values and chromaticity coordinates for views of the object, sun, background sky and plume.

d. Type of Model

ERT Visibility model is a Gaussian plume model for estimating visibility impairment.

e. Pollutant Types

Optical activity of sulfate, nitrate (derived from SO₂ and NOₓ emissions), primary TSP and NO₂ is simulated.

f. Source Receptor Relationship
Single source and hour is simulated. Unlimited number of line-of-sight (receptors) is permitted per model run.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1971) plume rise equations for final rise are used.

h. Horizontal Wind Field

A single wind speed and direction is specified for each case study. The wind is assumed to be spatially uniform.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used. Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflection handled by summation of series near the source, and Fourier representation farther downwind.

l. Chemical Transformation

First order transformations of sulfates and nitrate are used.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition is treated by the source depletion method.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.7 HIWAY-2

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

HIWAY-2 can be used to estimate the concentrations of non-reactive pollutants from highway traffic. This steady-state Gaussian model can be applied to determine air pollution concentrations at receptor locations downwind of "at-grade" and "cut section" highways located in relatively uncomplicated terrain. The model is applicable for any wind direction, highway orientation, and receptor location. The model was developed for situations where horizontal wind flow dominates. The model cannot consider complex terrain or large obstructions to the flow such as buildings or large trees.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

HIWAY-2 can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. HIWAY-2 must be executed in the equivalent mode.
HIWAY-2 can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in Section 3.2, that HIWAY-2 is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: A uniform emission rate by lane, roadway end points; height of emission; length, width, and number of lanes; and width of center strip.

Meteorological data requirements are: One set at a time of hourly averages of wind speed, wind direction, and mixing height and the Pasquill-Gifford stability class. Wind speed and direction are preferred to be at 2 meters above ground.

Receptor data requirements are:
- Coordinates of each receptor.

Output

Printed output includes: One hourly average concentration at each specified receptor location.

d. Type of Model

HIWAY-2 is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

HIWAY-2 may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

HIWAY-2 applies user-specified end points for a single roadway segment, and user-specified receptor locations.

Plume impact on receptor is calculated by finite difference integration of a point source along each lane of the roadway.

H. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

The total horizontal dispersion is that due to ambient turbulence plus the turbulence generated by the vehicles on the roadway.

Beyond 300 m downwind total turbulence is considered to be dominated by atmospheric turbulence, with plume dispersion as described by Turner (1969).

Three stability classes are considered: Unstable, neutral and stable.

k. Vertical Dispersion

The total horizontal dispersion is that due to ambient turbulence plus the turbulence generated by the vehicles on the roadway.
Beyond 300 m downwind total turbulence is considered to be dominated by atmospheric turbulence, with plume dispersion as described by Turner (1969).

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume size equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.

Three stability classes are considered: Unstable, neutral and stable.

1. Chemical Transformation
   Not treated.

2. Physical Removal
   Not treated.

3. Evaluation Studies

B.8 Integrated Model for Plumes and Atmospheric Chemistry in Complex Terrain (IMPACT)

Reference

Availability
   A magnetic tape containing the IMPACT model, a set of test data and a copy of the IMPACT User's Guide are available for a cost of $500 from: Howard Balentine, Senior Meteorologist, Radian Corporation, Post Office Box 9948, Austin, Texas 78766.

Abstract
   IMPACT is an Eulerian, three-dimensional, finite difference grid model designed to calculate the impact of pollutants, either inert or reactive, in simple or complex terrain, emitted from either point or area sources. It automatically treats single or multiple point or area sources, the effects of vertical temperature stratifications on the wind and diffusion fields, shear flows caused by the atmospheric boundary layer or by terrain effects, and chemical transformations.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
   IMPACT can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. IMPACT must be executed in the equivalent mode.

   IMPACT can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that IMPACT is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

   There is no specific recommendation concerning the use of IMPACT for photochemical applications. IMPACT may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements
   Source data requirements are: for point sources—location (I, J), stack height, exit temperature, volume flow rate or stack diameter and exit velocity, hourly emission rates for all pollutants; for area sources location of corners, and hourly emission rates for each pollutant.

   Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly wind speed and direction, surface and elevated, from meteorological stations within and surrounding the modeling area, temperature, pressure, humidity and insololation (the three last variables are optional).

   Receptor data requirements are: None since concentrations are output for cells in the computational grid.

   Air quality data (optional): One or more vertical concentration profiles for each pollutant.

   Other data: 2-D array of terrain heights, 2-D array of surface roughness values (optional).
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
Part 26E: Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X
Page: 326

c. Output

Printed output options include: Surface and elevated horizontal cross sections of pollutant concentrations
(instantaneous, or averages over N hours where N = 1, 2, 3, ...); Horizontal cross sections of diffusivities and wind velocities; and Arbitrary vertical and horizontal cross sections of pollutant concentrations and diffusivities, and CALCOMP wind field vector plots are generated by the POST post-processor program.

Computer readable output includes: Concentration, wind field and diffusivity data for each hour.

d. Type of Model

IMPACT is an Eulerian finite difference model.

e. Pollutant Types

IMPACT may be used to model any inert pollutant.

IMPACT may be used to model SO$_2$, NO$_x$, NO$_2$, CO, and hydrocarbons (depends upon chemistry mechanism selected).

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 20 point sources and 20 area sources may be treated (greater number of sources may be treated by increasing common block storage allocation).

Concentrations are calculated at the center of each cell in the grid.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1975) formulation for plume rise is used.

Elevated inversions are considered.

h. Horizontal Winds

A three dimensional stability and terrain dependent nondivergent wind field is interpolated from single or multiple wind data measurements using a Poisson technique.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is treated at each wind site. User specified or extrapolated from surface data. Interpolated is accomplished as part of the three dimensional wind field interpolation.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

A three dimensional diffusivity field is calculated using either the technique of Myrup/Ranzieri or the DEPICT method (see User Guide, Fabrick and Haas, 1980).

k. Vertical Dispersion

A three dimensional diffusivity field is calculated using either the technique of Myrup/Ranzieri or the DEPICT method (see User Guide, Fabrick and Haas, 1980).

l. Chemical Transformation

Either 3, 6, 8 or 15-species mechanisms are currently available (see User Guide). Calculations are also performed for inert pollutants.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

n. Evaluation Studies

40 CFR as of July 1, 1981
Part 266, Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X
Page: 327


Sklarew, R., and K. Tran, 1978. "The NEWEST Wind Field Model with Applications to Thermally Driven Drainage Wind in Mountainous Terrain." Presented at the AMS Meeting, Lake Tahoe, NV.


B.9 LONGZ

Reference


Availability

The model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

LONGZ utilizes the steady-state univariate Gaussian plume formulation for both urban and rural areas in flat or complex terrain to calculate long-term (seasonal and/or annual) ground-level ambient air concentrations attributable to emissions from up to 14,000 arbitrarily placed sources (stacks, buildings and area sources). The output consists of the total concentration at each receptor due to emissions from each user-specified source or group of sources, including all sources. An option which considers losses due to deposition (see the description of SBORTZ) is deemed inappropriate by the authors for complex terrain, and is not discussed here.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

LONGZ can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. LONGZ must be executed in the equivalent mode.

LONGZ can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that LONGZ is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: For point, building or area sources, location, elevation, total emission rate (optionally classified by gravitational settling velocity) and decay coefficient; for stack sources, stack height, effluent temperature, effluent exit velocity, stack radius (inner), emission rate, and ground elevation (optional); for building sources, height, length and width, and orientation; for area sources, characteristic vertical dimension, and length, width and orientation.

Meteorological data requirements are: Wind speed and measurement height, wind profile exponents, wind direction standard deviations (turbulent intensities), mixing height, air temperature, vertical potential temperature gradient.

Receptor data requirements are: Coordinates, ground elevation.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Total concentration due to emissions from user-specified source groups, including the combined emissions from all sources (with optional allowance for depletion by deposition).

d. Type of Model

LONGZ is a climatological Gaussian plume model.
e. Pollutant Types

LONGZ may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

LONGZ applies user specified locations for sources and receptors.

Receptors are assumed to be at ground level.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise equations of Bjorklund and Bowers (1982) are used.

Stack tip downwash (Bjorklund and Bowers, 1982) is included.

All plumes move horizontally and will fully intercept elevated terrain.

Plumes above mixing height are ignored.

Perfect reflection at mixing height is assumed for plumes below the mixing height.

Plume rise is limited when the mean wind at stack height approaches or exceeds stack exit velocity.

Perfect reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with no settling velocity.

Zero reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with finite settling velocity.

LONGZ does not simulate fumigation.

Tilted plume is used for pollutants with settling velocity specified.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion is treated (Briggs, 1972).

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind field is homogeneous and steady-state.

Wind speed profile exponents are functions of both stability class and wind speed. Default values are specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Pollutants are initially uniformly distributed within each wind direction sector. A smoothing function is then used to remove discontinuities at sector boundaries.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Vertical dispersion is derived from input vertical turbulent intensities using adjustments to plume height and rate of plume growth with downwind distance specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Time constant is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Gravitational settling and dry deposition of particulates are treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

8.10 Maryland Power Plant Siting Program (PPSP) Model

References


Availability

Two reports referenced above are available from NTIS. The model code and test data are available on magnetic tape for a cost of $210 from: Power Plant Siting Program, Department of Natural Resources, Tawas State Office Building, Annapolis, Maryland 21401, attn: Dr. Michael Hirshfield.

Abstract

PPSP is a Gaussian dispersion model applicable to tall stacks in either rural areas, but in terrain that is essentially flat (on a scale large compared to the ground roughness elements). The PPSP model follows the same general formulation and computer coding as CRSTER, also a Gaussian model, but it differs in four major ways. The differences are in the scientific formulation of specific ingredients or "sub-models" to the Gaussian model, and are based on recent theoretical improvements as well as supporting experimental data. The differences are: (1) Stability during daytime is based on convective scaling instead of the Turner criteria; (2) Briggs' dispersion curves for elevated sources are used; (3) Briggs plume rise formulas for convective conditions are included; and (4) plume penetration of elevated stable layers is given by Briggs' (1984) model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

PPSP can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. PPSP must be executed in the equivalent mode.

PPSP can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 1.2, that PPSP is more appropriate for the scientific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Emission rate (monthly rates optional), physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas temperature.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required. Wind speed profile exponents (one for each stability class) are required if on-site data are input.

Receptor data requirements are: distance of each of the five receptor rings.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1, 3, and 24 hours, plus a user-selected averaging time which may be 2, 4, 6, 8, or 12 hours;

Annual arithmetic average at each receptor; and

For each day, the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field.

d. Type of Model

PPSP is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

PPSP may be used to model primary pollutants. Setting and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship
Receptor locations are restricted to 36 azimuths (every 10 degrees) and five user-specified radial distances.

g. Plume Behavior

- Briggs (1975) final rise formulas for buoyant plumes are used. Momentum rise is not considered.
- Transitional or distance-dependent plume rise is not modeled.

Penetration (complete, partial, or zero) of elevated inversions is treated with Briggs (1984) model; ground-level concentrations are dependent on degree of plume penetration.

h. Horizontal Winds

- Wind speeds are corrected for release height based on power law variation, with different exponents for different stability classes and variable reference height (7 meters is default). Wind speed power law exponents are .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, and .30 for stability classes A through F, respectively.
- Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind assumed within each hour.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

- Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

- Rural dispersion parameters are Briggs (Gifford, 1975), with stability class defined by u/w* during daytime, and by the method of Turner (1964) at night.
- Urban dispersion is treated by changing all stable cases to stability class D.
- Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included (using AR / 3.5).

k. Vertical Dispersion

- Rural dispersion parameters are Briggs (Gifford, 1975), with stability class defined by u/w* during daytime, and by the method of Turner (1964).
- Urban dispersion is treated by changing all stable cases to stability class D.
- Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included (using AR / 3.5).

l. Chemical Transformation

- Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

- Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

MESOPUFF II is a short term, regional scale puff model designed to calculate concentrations of up to 5 pollutant species (SO2, SO4, NOx, HNO3, NO3). Transport, puff growth, chemical transformation, and wet and dry deposition are accounted for in the model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Required input data include four types: (1) Input control parameters and selected technical options, (2) hourly surface meteorological data and twice daily upper air measurements. Hourly precipitation data are optional, (3) surface land use classification information, (4) source and emissions data.

Data from up to 25 surface National Weather Service stations and up to 10 upper air stations may be considered. Spatially variable fields at hour intervals of winds, mixing height, stability class, and relevant turbulence parameters are derived by MESOPAC II, the meteorological preprocessor program described in the User Guide.

Source and emission data for up to 25 point sources and/or up to 5 area sources can be included. Required information are: Location is grid coordinates, stack height, exit velocity and temperature, and emission rates for the pollutant to be modeled.

Receptor data requirements: Up to a 40 x 40 grid may be used and non-gridded receptor locations may be considered.

c. Output

Line printer output includes: All input parameters, optionally selected arrays of ground-level concentrations of pollutant species at specified time intervals.

Liner printer contour plots output from MESOFILE II post-processor program.

Computer readable output of concentration array to disk/tape for each hour.

d. Type of Model

MESOPUFF II is a Gaussian puff superposition model.

e. Pollutant types modeled

Up to five pollutant species may be modeled simultaneously and include: SO2, SO4, NOx, HNO3, NO3.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 25 point sources and/or up to 5 area sources are permitted.

g. Plume behavior

Briggs (1975) plume rise equations are used, including plume penetration with buoyancy flux computed in the model.

Fumigation of puffs is considered and may produce immediate mixing or multiple reflection calculations at user option.

h. Horizontal Winds
Gridded wind fields are computed for 2 layers; boundary layer and above the mixed layer. Upper air rawinsonde data and hourly surface winds are used to obtain spatially variable u,v component fields at hourly intervals. The gridded fields are computed by interpolation between stations in the MESOPAC II preprocessor.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical winds are assumed to be zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Incremental puff growth is computed over discrete time steps with horizontal growth parameters determined from power law equations fit to sigma y curves of Turner out to 100 km. At distances greater than 100 km, puff growth is determined by the rate given by Heffter (1965).

Puff growth is a function of stability class and changes in stability are treated. Optionally, user input plume growth coefficients may be considered.

k. Vertical Dispersion

For puffs emitted at an effective stack height which is less than the mixing height, uniform mixing of the pollutant within the mixed layer is performed. For puffs centered above the mixing height, no effect at the ground occurs.

l. Chemical Transformation

Hourly chemical rate constants are computed from empirical expressions derived from photochemical model simulations.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition is treated with a resistance method.

Wet removal may be considered if hourly precipitation data are input.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.12 MESOSCALE TRANSPORT DIFFUSION AND DEPOSITION MODEL FOR INDUSTRIAL SOURCES (MTDDIS)

Reference


Availability

A magnetic tape copy of the FORTRAN coding and the user's guide are available for a cost of $100 from: Dr. I.T. Wang, Combustion Engineering, Environmental Monitoring and Services, Inc., 2421 West Hillcrest Drive, Newbury Park, California 91320.

Abstract

MTDDIS is a variable-trajectory Gaussian puff model applicable to long-range transport of point source emissions over level or rolling terrain. It can be used to determine 3-hour maximum and 24-hour average concentrations of relatively nonreactive pollutants from up to 10 separate stacks.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The MTDDIS Model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas temperature, and location.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly surface weather data, from up to 10 stations, including cloud ceiling, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, opaque cloud cover and precipitation. For long-range applications, user-analyzed daily
mixing heights are recommended. If these are not available, the NWS daily mixing heights will be used by the program. A single upper air sounding station for the region is assumed. For each model run, air trajectories are generated for a 48-hour period, and therefore, the afternoon mixing height of the day before and the mixing heights of the day after are also required by the model as input, in order to generate hourly mixing heights for the modeled period.

Receptor data requirements are: Up to three user-specified rectangular grids.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Tabulations of hourly meteorological parameters include both input surface observations and calculated hourly stability classes and mixing heights for each station;
- Printed air trajectories for the two consecutive 24-hour periods for air parcels generated 4 hours apart starting at 0000 LST; and
- 3-hour maximum and 24-hour average grid concentrations over user-specified rectangular grids are output for the second 24-hour period.

d. Type of Model

MTDDIS is a Gaussian puff model.

e. Pollutant Types

MTDDIS can be used to model primary pollutants. Dry deposition is treated.

Exponential decay can account for some reactions.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

MTDDIS treats up to 10 point sources.

Up to three rectangular receptor grids may be specified by the user.

g. Plume Behavior

- Briggs (1972) plume rise formulas are used.
- If plume height exceeds mixing height, ground level concentration is assumed zero.
- Fumigation and downwash are not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind speeds and wind directions at each station are first corrected for release height. Speed conversions are based on power law variation and direction conversions are based on linear height dependence as recommended by Irwin (1979).

Converted wind speeds and wind directions are then weighted according to the algorithms of Heffter (1980) to calculate the effective transport wind speed and direction.

i. Vertical Wind Field

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Transport-time-dependent dispersion coefficients from Heffter (1980) are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Transport-time-dependent dispersion coefficients from Heffter (1980) are used.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.
m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition is treated. User input deposition velocity is required.

Wet deposition is treated. User input hourly precipitation rate and precipitation layer depth or cloud ceiling height are required.

n. Evaluation Studies

None cited.

5.13 Models 3141 and 4141

Reference


Availability

A magnetic tape copy of the FORTRAN coding and the user's guide are available for a cost of $1,900 from: Enviropian, Inc., 59 Main Street, West Orange, New Jersey 07052.

Abstract

Models 3141 and 4141 are modifications of CRSTER (UNAMAP VERSION 3) and are applicable to complex terrain particularly where receptor elevation approximately equals or exceeds the stack top elevation. The model utilizes intermediate ground displacement procedures and dispersion enhancements developed from an aerial tracer study and ground level concentrations measured for a power plant located in complex terrain.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

3141 or 4141 can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. 3141 or 4141 must be executed in the equivalent mode.

3141 or 4141 can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that 3141 or 4141 is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas exit temperature.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required. Wind speed profile exponents (one for each stability class) are required if on-site data are input.

Receptor data requirements are: Distance of each of five receptor rings, and receptor elevation.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1, 3, and 24-hours, plus a user-selected averaging time which may be 2, 4, 6, 8, or 12 hours.

Annual arithmetic average at each receptor.

For each day, the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field.

d. Type of Model

3141 and 4141 are Gaussian plume models.

e. Pollutant Types

3141 and 4141 may be used to model non-reactive pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.
f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 19 point sources are treated.

No area sources are treated.

All point sources are assumed to be collocated.

Unique stack height is used for each source.

Receptor locations are restricted to 36 azimuths (every 10 degrees) and 5 user-specified radial distances.

Unique topographic elevation is used for each receptor.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1969, 1971, 1972) final plume rise formulas are used.

If plume height exceeds mixing height at a receptor location after terrain adjustment, concentration is assumed equal to zero.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind speeds are corrected for release height based on power law variation exponents from DeMarrais (1959), different exponents for different stability classes, reference height = 7 meters. Exponents used are .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, and .30 for stability classes A through F, respectively.

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed within each hour.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Dispersion coefficients are Pasquill-Gifford coefficients from Turner (1969).

Dispersion is adjusted to 60 minute averaging time by one-fifth power rule (Gifford, 1975).

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Briggs, 1975) is included.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Dispersion coefficients are Pasquill-Gifford coefficients from Turner (1969).

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Briggs, 1975) is included.

l. Chemical Transformation

Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


MOSER, J.B., 1979. MULTIMAX: An Air Dispersion Modeling Program for Multiple Sources, Receptors, and Concentration Averages. Shell Development Company, Westhollow Research Center, P.O. Box 1380, Houston, TX. (NTIS PB 80-170178).

Availability

The above report is available from NTIS ($16.95 for paper copy; $2.95 on microfiche). The accession number for the computer tape for MULTIMAX is PB 80-170160, and the cost is $370.00. Requests should be sent to: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5815 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

MULTIMAX is a Gaussian plume model applicable to both urban and rural areas. It can be used to calculate highest and second-highest concentrations, for each of several averaging times due to up to 100 sources arbitrarily located.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

MULTIMAX can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. MULTIMAX must be executed in the equivalent mode.

MULTIMAX can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in Section 3.2, that MULTIMAX is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, and stack gas temperature.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required. Wind speed profile exponents (one for each stability class) are required if on-site data are input.

Receptor requirements are: Individual receptor points, arcs and circles of receptors, or lines of receptors may be input, with receptor point locations, receptor line end points, and receptor circle center and radius defined in either cartesian or polar coordinates.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Highest and second-highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging time of 1, 1, and 24 hours. Annual arithmetic average at each receptor.

Computer readable output includes: Input data and results.

d. Type of Model

MULTIMAX is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

MULTIMAX may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 100 point sources at any location may be input.

Area sources are not treated.

Point sources may be at any location.
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Unique stack height is used for each source.
Unique topographic elevation is used for each receptor; must be below top of stack.
Receptors can be defined individually, or along lines or arcs.

g. Plume Behavior
If plume height exceeds mixing height, concentrations downwind are assumed equal to zero.
h. Horizontal Winds
Wind speeds are corrected for release height based on power law variation exponents from DeMarrais (1959), different exponents for different stability classes, reference height = 10 meters. The exponents are .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, and .30 for stability classes A through F, respectively.
Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed within each hour.
i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.
j. Horizontal Dispersion
Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used in MULTIMAX with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness.
Six stability classes are used, with Turner class 7 treated as Class 6.
Averaging time adjustment is optional.
k. Vertical Dispersion
Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used in MULTIMAX with no adjustments made for variations in surface roughness.
Six stability classes are used, with Turner class 7 treated as Class 6.
Perfect reflection at the ground is assumed.
Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume size equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform mixing is assumed beyond that point.
l. Chemical Transformation
Not treated.
m. Physical Removal
Not treated.
n. Evaluation Studies

B.15 Multiple Point Source Diffusion Model (MPSDM)
Reference
MPSDM is a steady-state Gaussian dispersion model designed to calculate, in sequential mode or in "case-by-case" mode, concentrations of nonreactive pollutants resulting from single or multiple source emissions. The MPSDM model may be used for sources located in flat or complex terrain, in a univariate ($c_1$) or bivariate ($c_1, c_2$) mode. Sufficient flexibility is allowed in the specification of model parameters to enable the MPSDM user to duplicate results that would be obtained from many other Gaussian point-source models. A number of features are incorporated to facilitate site-specific model validation studies.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

MPSDM can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. MPSDM must be executed in the equivalent mode.

MPSDM can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that MPSDM is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Hourly or constant emission rate, stack gas temperature, exit velocity, and stack inside diameter.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly wind speed, wind direction, air temperature and mixing height; and vertical temperature difference or stability class. Optional meteorological parameters include solar intensity and wind speed standard deviation.

Receptor data requirements are: Northing, easting, and ground level elevation of each receptor.

Air quality data requirements are: Observed concentrations at any monitor for any or all hours ("case-by-case" mode only) will be compared with estimates, or (sequential mode only) will be used to determine background levels. Background is calculated as the average of those monitors more than $i$ radians from the plume centerline defined in the model. Default for $i$ is the equivalent of 60°. User input for $i$ is optional.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

"Case-by-case" mode: Printed output includes hourly centerline, off centerline, sector averaged and observed concentrations at all monitors; downwind profiles of centerline concentrations; and a statistical summary of all cases addressed.

Sequential mode: Printed output limited to ratio of predicted maximum concentration to maximum concentration measured at each monitor. Primary output is a file output containing hourly averaged concentrations.

A post-processing program, ANALYSIS, is used to produce averages for longer periods. For a user-specified average period a ranked order of peak concentrations, the cumulative frequency of occurrence of user-specified concentration levels or a summary of hourly meteorological characteristics and concentrations contributing to levels above a user-specified value can also be obtained with the ANALYSIS post-processor.

d. Type of Model

MPSDM is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

MPSDM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Arbitrary locations for sources and receptors are used.

Actual terrain elevations may be specified and accounted for by plume-height adjustments.

Actual separation between each source receptor pair is used.
Receptors are assumed to be at ground level.
Unique stack height is used for each source.

**g. Plume Behavior**

*Briggs (1969, 1974, 1975)* plume rise equations are used.
Partial (or total) penetration of plume into elevated inversions (Briggs, 1975) is included.
Stack tip downwash (Briggs, 1975) is treated.
Fumigation (Turner, 1969) is treated.
Convective dispersion using a probability density function model is optional (Venkatram, 1980).

**h. Horizontal Winds**

User-supplied hourly wind speed and direction are assumed to specify horizontally homogeneous, steady-state conditions.
Wind speeds vary with height according to user-designated profiles for each stability.
Wind direction is specifiable in whole degrees from 1° to 360°.

**i. Vertical Wind Speed**

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

**j. Horizontal Dispersion**

*ASME (Brookhaven)* diffusion coefficients (ASME, 1968) are used.
Options are Pasquill-Gifford coefficients or user input horizontal plume with coefficients of the form \( ax^b \), or sector average with user-input sector width.
Hourly stability (six classes—very unstable through moderately stable) is determined internally from input vertical temperature gradient and mean wind speed, or stability classes.
A buoyancy-induced dispersion algorithm (Pasquill, 1976) is optional.

**k. Vertical Dispersion**

*ASME (Brookhaven)* diffusion coefficients (ASME, 1968) are used. Options are Pasquill-Gifford coefficients or user input horizontal plume with coefficients of the form \( ax^b \). One model option employs a convective dispersion algorithm developed by Venkatram (1980).
Hourly stability (six classes—very unstable through moderately stable) are determined internally from input vertical temperature gradient and mean wind speed or stability classes.
A buoyancy-induced dispersion algorithm (Pasquill, 1976) is optional.
Perfect reflection at ground is assumed.
Perfect reflection is assumed at the mixing height of pollutant above or below top of mixing layer (except for partial plume penetration).

**l. Chemical Transformation**

Not treated.

**m. Physical**

Not treated.

**n. Evaluation Studies**
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B.16 Multi-Source (SCSTER) Model

Reference


Availability

The SCSTER model and user's manual are available at no charge to a limited number of persons through Southern Company Services. A magnetic tape must be provided by those desiring the model. Requests should be directed to: Mr. Bryan Baldwin, Research Program Supervisor, Air Quality Program, Southern Company Services, Post Office Box 2625, Birmingham, Alabama 35202.

Abstract

SCSTER is a modified version of the EPA CRSTER model. The primary distinctions of SCSTER are its capability to consider multiple sources that are not necessarily collocated, its enhanced receptor specifications, its variable plume height terrain adjustment procedures and plume distortion from directional wind shear.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

SCSTER can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. SCSTER must be executed in the equivalent mode.

SCSTER can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that SCSTER is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Emission rate, stack gas exit velocity, stack gas temperature, stack exit diameter, physical stack height, elevation of stack base, and coordinates of stack location. The variable emission data can be monthly or annual averages.

Meteorological data requirements are: Hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is optional. Wind speed profile exponents (one for each stability class) are optional.

Receptor data requirements are: Cartesian coordinates and elevations of individual receptors; distances of receptor rings, with elevation of each receptor; receptor grid networks, with elevation of each receptor. Any combination of the three receptor input types may be used to consider up to 600 receptor locations.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1-, 3-, and 24-hours, a user-selected averaging time which may be 2-12 hours, and a 50 high table for 1-, 3-, and 24-hours;

Annual arithmetic average at each receptor; and the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field for each day considered.

Optional tables of source contributions of individual point sources at up to 20 receptor locations for each averaging period;

Optional magnetic tape output in either binary or fixed block format includes:

All 1-hour concentrations.

Optional card/disk output includes for each receptor:
Receptor coordinates; receptor elevation; highest and highest, second-highest, 1-, 3-, and 24-hour concentrations; and annual average concentration.

d. Type of Model

SCSTER is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

SCSTER may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

SCSTER can handle up to 60 separate stacks at varying locations and up to 600 receptors, including up to 15 receptor rings. User input topographic elevation for each receptor is used.

g. Plume Behavior

SCSTER uses Briggs (1969, 1971, 1972) final plume rise formulas. Transitional plume rise is optional. SCSTER contains options to incorporate wind directional shear with a plume distortion method described in appendix A of the User's Guide. SCSTER provides four terrain adjustments including the CRSTER full terrain height adjustment and a user-input, stability-dependent plume path coefficient adjustment for receptors above stack height.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind speeds are corrected for release height based on power law exponents from DeMerrais (1959), different exponents for different stability classes; default reference height of 7 m. Default exponents are .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, and .30 for stability classes A through F, respectively. Steady-state wind is assumed within a given hour. Optional consideration of plume distortion due to user-input, stability-dependent wind-direction shear gradients.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used. Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used. Six stability classes are used. An optional test for plume height above mixing height before terrain adjustment is included.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

n. Evaluation Studies
PLUMES is a steady-state Gaussian plume model applicable to both rural and urban areas in uneven terrain. Pollutant concentrations at 500 receptors from up to 10 sources with up to 15 stacks each can be calculated using up to 5 meteorological inputs. The model in its "basic" mode is similar to CRSTER and MPSTER. Several options are available that allow better simulation of atmospheric conditions and improved model outputs. These options allow plumes rise into or through a stable layer and crow wind spread of the plume by wind directional shear with height, initial plume expansion, mean (advective) wind speed, terrain considerations, and chemical transformation of pollutants.

Differences that exist between PLUMES and CRSTER are in the following areas: Stability class determination, hourly mixing height schemes, hourly stable layer data, randomization of wind direction, extent of data set required for preprocessing meteorological data inputs.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

PLUMES can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. PLUMES must be executed in the equivalent mode.

PLUMES can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that PLUMES is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Cartesian or polar coordinates of each source with stack height, diameter, gas temperature, and exit velocity for each stack.

 Meteorological data requirements are: Surface data-hourly meteorological data including wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and either ceiling height and total sky cover or sigma A or Delta T depending on how stability is computed; stable layer data-either NCC data or site specific user supplied data.

Receptor data requirements are: Cartesian or polar coordinates of each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Highest and second highest concentrations for the year printed out at each receptor for averaging times of 1, 3, and 24-hours, plus a user-selected averaging time which may be 2, 4, 6, 8, or 12 hours.

Annual arithmetic average at each receptor.

For each day, the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field is printed.

Hourly effective stack height and effective stack height distributions.

Vertical profiles of maximum pollutant concentrations above a designated height (Zo) for the data period processed.

Cumulative number of exceedances of 1 hour and 24-hour specified values for all receptors during the entire meteorological data period. These specified values will normally be National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards.

Computer readable output includes:
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
Part 266, Subparts A through H and Appendices I through X
Page: 343

Hourly concentrations for each receptor on magnetic tape.

Computer file for input to plotting routine. The file stores the highest 1-hour (or other specified time period) concentration at each receptor for the entire meteorological data period for input into a user supplied plotting routine.

d. Type of Model

PLUMES is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

PLUMES may be used to model primary pollutants. Chemical transformations of pollutants are treated by exponential decay and/or ozone limiting procedures.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Can input up to 10 separate sources with up to 15 stacks per source.

Unique stack height for each source. Rectangular or circular receptor locations (up to 500) can be either model generated or user input.

Terrain considerations:

When plume rise, H, is above the stable layer top concentration estimates will only be calculated for receptors at or above the stable layer top. If the receptor is below the stable layer top, then the concentration is zero.

When plume rise falls within the stable layer, concentration estimates will only be calculated for receptors located within this region. If the receptor height is above or below the stable top, then the concentration is zero.

When plume rise falls below the stable layer and the receptor height is above the stable layer base, then the concentration is zero. If the receptor is below the stable layer base, the receptor height is redefined.

g. Plume Behavior

PLUMES uses Briggs (1975) final plume rise formulas.

Expansion of plumes within and above a stable layer is treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

User-supplied hourly wind directions are read to nearest 1, 5, 10, and 22.5 degrees. (The 5, 10 and 22.5 degree values are randomly modified to nearest whole degree within the intervals).

PLUMES employs the extrapolated mean wind speed at stack height when the effective stack height is equal to or less than the height of the inversion base above ground. If the plume rises into a stable layer, a separate algorithm is used.

Constant, uniform (steady state) wind assumed within each hour.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Six stability classes are defined by either radiation index and wind speed (STAR), wind direction fluctuation, or temperature lapse rate. Nighttime stability class is based on wind direction fluctuations or temperature lapse rate and may be modified according to the method of Mitchell and Timbre (1979).

Dispersion curves are from Turner (1969).

k. Vertical Dispersion

Six stability classes are defined by either radiation index and wind speed (STAR), wind direction fluctuations, or temperature lapse rate.

Nighttime stability class is based on wind direction fluctuations or temperature lapse rate and modified according to the method of Mitchell-Timbre (1979).
Dispersion curves are from Turner (1969).

1. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay and/or ozone limiting procedures.

2. Physical Removal

Physical removal is treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by user.

3. Evaluation Studies


B.18 PLMSTAR Air Quality Simulation Model

Reference


Availability


Abstract

PLMSTAR is a mesoscale Lagrangian photochemical model designed to predict atmospheric concentrations of $\text{C}_2$, $\text{NO}_2$, $\text{HNO}_3$, $\text{PAN}$, $\text{SO}_2$, and $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ from reactive hydrocarbons, $\text{NO}_x$ and $\text{SO}_x$ emissions. PLMSTAR is intended to simulate the behavior of pollutants in chemically reactive plumes resulting from major point source emissions. The model's Lagrangian air parcel is subdivided into a 5 layer/9 column domain of computational cells. The approach allows for realistic simulation of the combined effects of atmospheric chemical reactions and pollutant dispersion in the horizontal and vertical directions. Other key features of the model include: the capability for generation of trajectories at any level of a three-dimensional, divergence-free wind field; the capability for calculating and utilizing the time and space varying surface deposition of pollutants; an up-to-date $\text{C}_2$/RHC/$\text{NO}_x$/SO$_x$ chemical mechanism that utilizes eight classes of reactive hydrocarbons; the capability for simultaneously handling both point and area source emissions; and the capability to simulate overwater conditions and land/water transitions.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The PLMSTAR Model may be used on a case-by-case.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Emission rates, stack parameters, diurnal emission profiles, and RHC, NO$_x$, and SO$_x$ partitioning profiles.

Meteorological data requirements are: Station location, grid geometry, surface winds, surface roughness, surface temperature, temperature profiles, mixing heights (optional), cloud cover, solar radiation, and winds aloft.

Receptor data requirements are: Receptor locations and topography.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Computed concentrations at specified times and receptors along the trajectory.

d. Type of Model

PLMSTAR is a Lagrangian photochemical model.

e. Pollutant Types
The key chemical species included in the model are $C_2$, NO, NO$_2$, HNO$_3$, PAN, SO$_2$, SO$_4^-$, CO, and eight classes of reactive hydrocarbons. Twenty additional intermediate species are included in the chemical mechanism.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Source-receptor relationships for individual sources are calculated using a differencing technique. That is, simulations are made with and without an individual source (or group of collocated sources) in addition to the RHC/NO$_x$/SO$_x$ emissions from all other sources in the region. The emission processors allow for up to 250 point sources and an unlimited number of area sources (allocated to a grid of 36 X 36 squares) to be included in the simulation.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise calculations are based on Briggs (1975).

h. Horizontal Winds

Gridded hourly multi-level horizontal wind fields are generated using techniques similar to those reported by Goodin et al. (1979). These involve wind data interpolation, divergence minimization, and terrain adjustment. Trajectory path segments are then generated by interpolation from the gridded horizontal wind fields in 15 minute steps at the user selected vertical level. Either source or receptor oriented trajectory may be generated.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical speed is produced by WINDMOD, but is not utilized in the trajectory calculation or the pollutant advection algorithm.

j. Vertical Dispersion

Vertical eddy diffusivities $(K_v)$ over land are calculated as a function of wind speed, stability, surface roughness, and boundary layer height. Over water, wind speed, air-to-sea temperature difference, humidity, and boundary layer height are the key parameters.

The effects of vertical dispersion on pollutant concentrations are calculated by numerically integrating finite difference approximations to the diffusion equation.

Mixing heights can be internally calculated or externally specified.

k. Horizontal Dispersion

Horizontal eddy diffusivities $(K_h)$ are calculated either as a function of $K_v$ and stability class or as a function of $C_0$. The effects of horizontal dispersion on pollutant concentrations are calculated by numerically integrating finite difference approximations to the diffusion equation.

l. Chemical Transformation

PLMSTAR incorporates a slightly condensed version of the Atkinson et al. (1982) photochemical mechanism for $C_2$/RHC/NO$_x$/SO$_x$/air mixtures. The mechanism contains 61 reactions involving 36 species, including 8 classes of organic precursors. The effects of chemical transformations on pollutant concentrations are computed by numerically integrating the nonlinear kinetic rate equations.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition of $C_2$, NO$_2$, HNO$_3$, PAN, SO$_2$, and SO$_4^-$ is based on the model of Wesely and Hicks (1977).

n. Evaluation Studies


E.19 Plume Visibility Model (FLUVUE II)
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Reference

Availability
This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract
The Plume Visibility Model (PLUVUE II) is a computerized model used for estimating visual range reduction and atmospheric discoloration caused by plumes resulting from the emissions of particles, nitrogen oxides and sulfur oxides from a single emission source. PLUVUE II predicts the transport, dispersion, chemical reactions, optical effects and surface deposition of point or area source emissions. Addenda to the User's Manual were prepared in February 1985 to allow execution of PLUVUE II and the test cases on the UNIVAC computer, the addenda are included in the UNAMAP (Version 6) documentation.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The Plume Visibility Model (PLUVUE II) may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Location and elevation; emission rates of SO2, NOx, and particulates; flue gas flow rate, exit velocity, and exit temperature; flue gas oxygen content; properties (including density, mass median and standard geometric deviation of radius) of the emitted aerosols in the accumulation (0.1-1.0 μm) and coarse (1.0-10.0 μm) size modes; and deposition velocities for SO2, NOx, coarse mode aerosol, and accumulations mode aerosol.

Meteorological data requirements are: Stability class, wind direction (for an observer-based run), wind speed, lapse rate, air temperature, relative humidity, and mixing height.

Other data requirements are: Ambient background concentrations of NOx, O3, and SO2, background visual range or sulfate and nitrate concentrations.

Receptor (observer) data requirements are: Location, elevation, terrain which will be observed through the plume (for observer based run with white, grey, and black viewing backgrounds).

c. Output

Printed output includes: plume concentrations and visual effects at specified downwind distances for calculated or specified lines of sight.

d. Type of Model

PLUVUE is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

PLUVUE II treats NO, NO2, SO2, H2SO4, HNO3, O3, primary and secondary particles to calculate effects on visibility.

f. Source Receptor Relationship

PLUVUE treats a single point or area source.

Predicted concentrations and visual effects are obtained at user specified downwind distances.

g. Plume Behavior


h. Horizontal Winds

User-specified wind speed (and direction for an observer-based run) are assumed constant for the calculation.
1. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

- User specified plume widths, or widths computed from either Pasquill-Gifford-Turner curves (Turner, 1969) or TVA curves (Carpenter, et al., 1971) are used in PLUVUE.

k. Vertical Dispersion

- User specified plume depths, or computer from Pasquill-Gifford-Turner curves (Turner, 1969) or TVA curves (Carpenter, et al., 1971) are used in PLUVUE.

l. Chemical Transformation

PLUVUE II treats the chemistry of NO, NO₂, O₃, CO, O(³P), SO₂, HNO₃, and H₂SO₄, by means of nine reactions. Steady state approximations are used for radicals and for the NO/NO₂/O₃ reactions.

m. Physical Removal

- Dry deposition of gaseous and particulate pollutants is treated using deposition velocities.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.20 Point, Area, Line Source Algorithms (PAL-DS)

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP (Version 5). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

PAL-DS is an acronym for this point, area, and line source algorithm and is a method of estimating short-term dispersion using Gaussian-plume steady-state assumptions. The algorithm can be used for estimating concentrations of non-reactive pollutants at 99 receptors for averaging times of 1 to 24 hours, and for a limited number of point, area, and line sources (99 of each type). This algorithm is not intended for application to entire urban areas but is intended, rather, to assess the impact on air quality, on scales of tens to hundreds of meters, of portions of urban areas such as shopping centers, large parking areas, and airports. Level terrain is assumed. The Gaussian point source equation estimates concentrations from point sources after determining the effective height of emission and the upwind and crosswind distance of the source from the receptor. Numerical integration of the Gaussian point source equation is used to determine concentrations from the four types of line sources. Subroutines are included that estimate concentrations for multiple lane line and curved path sources, special line
sources (line sources with endpoints at different heights above ground), and special curved path sources. Integration over the area source, which includes edge effects from the source region, is done by considering finite line sources perpendicular to the wind at intervals upwind from the receptor. The crosswind integration is done analytically; integration upwind is done numerically by successive approximations.

The PAL-DS model utilizes Gaussian plume-type diffusion-deposition algorithms based on analytical solutions of a gradient-transfer model. The PAL-DS model can treat deposition of both gaseous and suspended particulate pollutants in the plume since gravitational settling and dry deposition of the particles are explicitly accounted for. The analytical diffusion-reposition expressions listed in this report in the limit when pollutant settling and deposition velocities are zero, they reduce to the usual Gaussian plume diffusion algorithms in the PAL model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

PAL-OS can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. PAL-OS must be executed in the equivalent mode.

PAL-DS can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that PAL-DS is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: point-sources-emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas temperature, stack gas velocity, stack diameter, stack gas volume flow, coordinates of stack, initial \( \sigma_z \) and \( \sigma_y \); area sources-source strength, size of area source, coordinates of S.W. corner, and height of area source; and line sources-source strength, number of lanes, height of source, coordinates of end points, initial \( \sigma_z \) and \( \sigma_y \), width of line source, and width of median. Diurnal variations in emissions are permitted. When applicable, the settling velocity and deposition velocity are also permitted.

Meteorological data: wind profile exponents, anemometer height, wind direction and speed, stability class, mixing height, air temperature, and hourly variations in emission rate.

Receptor data: receptor coordinates.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Hourly concentration and deposition flux for each source type at each receptor; and
- Average concentration for up to 24 hrs for each source type at each receptor.

d. Type of Model

PAL-DS is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

PAL-DS may be used to model non-reactive pollutants.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Up to 99 sources of each of 6 source types: point, area, and 4 types of line sources.

Source and receptor coordinates are uniquely defined.

Unique stack height for each source.

Coordinates of receptor locations are user defined.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs final plume rise equations are used.

Fumigation and downwash are not treated.

If plume height exceeds mixing height, concentrations are assumed equal to zero.
Surface concentrations are set to zero when the plume centerline exceeds mixing height.

h. Horizontal Winds

User-supplied hourly wind data are used.

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed within each hour.

Wind is assumed to increase with height.

i. Vertical Wind Speeds

Assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for surface roughness.

Six stability classes are used.

Dispersion coefficients (Pasquill-Gifford) are assumed based on a 3 cm roughness height.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Six stability classes are used.

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used; no further adjustments are made for variation in surface roughness, transport or averaging time.

Multiple reflection is handled by summation of series until the vertical standard deviation equals 1.6 times mixing height. Uniform vertical mixing is assumed thereafter.

l. Chemical Transformation

Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

PAL-OS can treat deposition of both gaseous and suspended particulates in the plume since gravitational settling and dry deposition of the particles are explicitly accounted for.

n. Evaluation Studies

None.

B.21 Random-Walk Advection and Dispersion Model (RADM)

References


Availability:

A magnetic tape of the compute code and the user’s manual are available for a cost of $440.00 from: Mr. C. James Olsten, Dames & Moore, 445 South Figueroa Street, Suite 350C, Los Angeles, California 90071-1665.

Abstract:

RADM is a Lagrangian dispersion model which uses the random-walk method to simulate atmospheric dispersion. The technical procedure involves tracing tracer particles having a given mass through advection by the mean wind and diffusion by the random motions of atmospheric turbulence. Turbulent movement is calculated by determining the probability distribution of particle movement for a user-defined time step. A random number between 0 and 1 is then computed to determine the distance of particle movement according to the probability distribution. A large number of particles is used to statistically represent the...
distribution of pollutant mass. Concentrations are calculated by summing the mass in a volume around the receptor of interest and dividing the total mass by the volume. Concentrations can be calculated for any averaging time. RADM is applicable to point and area sources.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The RADM model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas temperature. Hourly rates may be specified.

Meteorological data requirements are: Gridded wind field including wind speed, wind direction, stability class, temperature and mixing height.

Receptor data requirements are: Coordinates, ground elevation, and receptor cell dimensions.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Average concentration by receptor for user-specified averaging time (concentrations are printed for each block of n hours).

Average concentrations for the entire period of the run.

d. Type of Model

RADM is a random-walk Lagrangian dispersion model.

e. Pollutant Types

RADM may be used to model inert gases and particles, and pollutants with exponential decay or formation rates.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Multiple point and area sources may be specified at independent locations.

Unique stack characteristics are used for each source.

No restriction is placed on receptor locations.

Perfect reflection at the surface is assumed for the portion not removed by dry deposition.

Particles leaving the gridded area are removed from simulation.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1975) final plume rise equations are used.

Inversion penetration by the plume is allowed.

Fumigation may occur as mixing height rises above a plume which has penetrated an inversion.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind speed, wind direction, stability class, temperature and mixing height are supplied on a gridded array.

Any wind field may be used as long as output is in correct format for RADM input.

Wind field is updated at user-specified intervals, which may be less than one hour if data are available.

Vertical wind speed profile is used based on surface roughness and stability using Monin-Obukhov length.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, ESRL, Research Triangle Park, NC. (NTIS PB82-230723)

Availability

The above report is available from NTIS ($16.95 for paper copy; $5.95 on microfiche). The accession number for the
computer tape for RPM-II is PB83-154898, and the cost is $460.00. Requests should be sent to: Computer Products, National

Abstract

The Reactive Plume Model, RPM-II, is a computerized model used for estimating short-term concentrations of primary and
secondary pollutants resulting from point or area source emissions. The model is capable of simulating the complex interaction
of plume dispersion and non-linear photochemistry. Two main features of the model are: (1) The horizontal resolution within the
plume, which offers a more realistic treatment of the entrainment process, and (2) its flexibility with regard to choices of
chemical kinetic mechanisms.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendations at the present time. The RPM-II Model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Emission rates, name, and molecular weight of each species of pollutant emitted; ambient
pressure, ambient temperature, stack height, stack diameter, stack exit velocity, stack gas temperature, and location.

Meteorological data requirements are: Wind speeds, plume widths or stability classes, photolytic rate constants, and
plume depths or stability classes.
Receptor data requirements are: Downwind distances or travel times at which calculations are to be made.

Initial concentration of all species is required, and the specification of downwind ambient concentrations to be entrained by the plume is optional.

c. Output

Short-term concentrations of primary and secondary pollutants at either user specified time increments, or user specified downwind distances.

d. Type of Model

Reactive plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

Currently, using the Carbon Bond Mechanism (CBM-II), 35 species are simulated (68 reactions), including NO, NO₂, O₃, SO₂, SO₄, five categories of reactive hydrocarbons, secondary nitrogen compounds, organic aerosols, and radical species.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Single point source.

Single area or volume source.

Multiple sources can be simulated if they are lined up along the wind trajectory.

Predicted concentrations are obtained at a user specified time increment, or at user specified downwind distances.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1971) plume rise equations are used.

h. Horizontal Winds

User specifies wind speeds as a function of time.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Not treated.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

User specified plume widths, or user may specify stability and widths will be computed using Turner (1969).

k. Vertical Dispersion

User specified plume depths, or user may specify stability in which case depths will be calculated using Turner (1969). Note that vertical uniformity in plume concentration is assumed.

l. Chemical Transformation

The RPM-II has the flexibility of using any user input chemical kinetic mechanism. Currently it is run using the chemistry of the Carbon Bond Mechanism, CBM-II (Whitten, Killus, and Bogo, 1980). The CBM-II, as incorporated in the RPM-II, contains 35 species and 68 reactions focusing primarily on hydrocarbon-nitrogen oxides-ozone photochemistry.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.23 Regional Transport Model (RTP-II)


Applications, stations separate exit velocity, output distance convectively driven a. Recommendations for distribution of air pollution from multiple point the model to a thousand availability rate fields. It is also usable types; initial conditions intervals, region top specificationa, and land use type file; its three dimensional folation of modal ~he diagnostic information. printed output includes: average and sulfate concentration fields for upper and lower layer, over pre-specified time intervals. Accumulated dry and wet deposition for each species over pre-selected time intervals. 

d. Type of Model

RIM-II is a hybrid Eulerian grid and Lagrangian puff model.

e. Pollutant Types

RIM-II is configured for SO₂ and sulfate only. Primary sulfate emissions may be included.

f. Source Receptor Relationships

Area sources and minor point sources are specified at each grid within the modeling domain. Up to 500 major point sources (modeled with the Gaussian puff submodel) are allowed.

Reference


Availability

The computer code is available on magnetic tape for a cost of $100 (which includes the User's Manual) from Systems Applications, Inc., 101 Lucas Valley Road, San Rafael, California 94903.

Abstract

The Regional Transport Model (RTM-II) is a computer based air quality grid model whose primary use is estimating the distribution of air pollution from multiple point sources and area sources at large distances (on the scale of several hundred to a thousand kilometers). RTM-II offers significant advantages over other long-range transport models because it is a quasi-three dimensional hybrid (grid plus Lagrangian puff) approach to the solution of the advection-diffusion equation. Furthermore, its formulation allows the treatment of spatially and temporarily varying wind, mixing depths, diffusivity, and transformation rate fields. It is also capable of treating spatially varying surface depletion processes. While the modeling concept is capable of predicting concentration distributions of many pollutant species (e.g., NO₂, CO, TSP, etc.), the most notable applications of the model to date focus on the long-range transport and transformation of SO₂ and sulfates.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The RTM Model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Major point source SO₂ and primary sulfate emissions, including stack height, diameter, exit velocity, exit temperature, and hourly emission factors; area source SO₂ and primary SO₄ emissions in gridded format.

Meteorological data requirements are: Gridded u, v wind fields at user specified update interval (model configured for separate wind fields in each of two layers), derived from twice daily radiosonde data, time variation linear between a maximum convectively driven boundary layer and a minimum mechanically driven boundary layer, spatial interpolation by an inverse distance weighted objective scheme; gridded hourly precipitation fields determined either by averaging precipitation rate of all stations in grid (if high density), or by inverse distance weighted interpolation (if low density).

Other data requirements are: Parameter file, containing region definition, starting time, output and averaging time intervals, region top specifications, and various operational flags; horizontal diffusivity fields calculated from wind fields; land use type file; deposition velocities and roughness length determined internally from tabulated values associated with land use types; initial conditions and boundary conditions for both layers (boundary conditions may be time varying).

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Diagnostic information.

Instantaneous SO₂ and sulfate concentration fields for lower and upper layers at pre-specified time intervals.

Average SO₂ and sulfate concentration fields for upper and lower layer, over pre-specified time intervals. Accumulated dry and wet deposition for each species over pre-selected time intervals.
Grid average concentration and deposition totals are provided at each grid within the modeling domain (dry deposition for lower layer grid only). All lower grid average concentration values are assumed to be representative of ground-level receptors.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise (Briggs, 1971) is calculated for all major point sources regardless of whether they are treated in the Gaussian puff submodel.

h. Horizontal Winds

Gridded u, v wind fields are used at a user specified update interval for each layer.

Gaussian puff submodel tracks puff centroids horizontally at user specified time intervals.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Considered implicitly if convergent or divergent winds are provided.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Plume dispersion is based on \( \sigma_z \) differentials derived from a power law fit to Turner (1969) dispersion curves. Variable stabilities within adjacent cells are considered.

Horizontal eddy diffusivities are proportional to the wind field deformation and are calculated from the gridded wind fields as ancilliary input. Maximum and minimum constraints are imposed on the magnitude of the diffusivities.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Plume dispersion is based on \( \sigma_z \) differentials derived from a power law fit to Turner (1969) dispersion curves. Variable stabilities within adjacent cells are considered.

Vertical dispersion across the mixed layer-surface layer interface is considered when calculating pollutant deposition.

l. Chemical Transformation

Linear \( \text{SO}_2 \) oxidation is treated. Rate constant is diurnally and latitudinally variable. A minimum oxidation rate constant is specified to account for heterogeneous oxidation during the nighttime.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition of \( \text{SO}_2 \) and sulfate is treated. Precipitation scavenging of \( \text{SO}_2 \) (reversible) and sulfate (irreversible) is treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


S.24 SHORTZ

Reference


Availability

This model is available as part of UNAMAP. (Version 6). The computer code is available on magnetic tape from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161, phone (703) 487-4650.

Abstract

SHORTZ utilizes the steady state bivariate Gaussian plume formulation for both urban and rural areas in flat or complex terrain to calculate ground-level ambient air concentrations. It can calculate 1-hour, 2-hour, 3-hour etc. average concentrations due to emissions from stacks, buildings and area sources for up to 300 arbitrarily placed sources. The output
consists of total concentration at each receptor due to emissions from each user-specified source or group of sources, including all sources. If the option for gravitational settling is invoked, analysis cannot be accomplished in complex terrain without violating mass continuity.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

SHORZ can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. SHORZ must be executed in the equivalent mode.

SHORZ can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that SHORZ is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: For point, building or area sources, location, elevation, total emission rate (optionally classified by gravitational settling velocity) and decay coefficient; for stack sources, stack height, effluent temperature, effluent exist velocity, stack radius (inner), actual volumetric flow rate, and ground elevation (optional); for building sources, height, length and width, and orientation; for area sources, characteristic vertical dimension, and length, width and orientation.

Meteorological data requirements are: Wind speed and measurement height, wind profile exponents, wind direction, standard deviations of vertical and horizontal wind directions, (i.e., vertical and lateral turbulent intensities), mixing height, air temperature, and vertical potential temperature gradient.

Receptor data requirements are: Coordinates, ground elevation.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Total concentration due to emissions from user-specified source groups, including the combined emissions from all sources (with optional allowance for depletion by deposition).

d. Type of Model

SHORZ is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

SHORZ may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition of particulates are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

User specified locations for sources and receptors are used. Receptors are assumed to be at ground level.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise equations of Bjorklund and Bowers (1982) are used.

Stack tip downwash (Bjorklund and Bowers, 1982) is included.

All plumes move horizontally and will fully intercept elevated terrain.

Plumes above mixing height are ignored.

Perfect reflection at mixing height is assumed for plumes below the mixing height.

Plume rise is limited when the mean wind at stack height approaches or exceeds stack exit velocity.

Perfect reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with no settling velocity.

Zero reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with finite settling velocity.

Tilted plume is used for pollutants with settling velocity specified.

Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Briggs, 1972) is included.
h. Horizontal Winds

Winds are assumed homogeneous and steady-state.

Wind speed profile exponents are functions of both stability class and wind speed. Default values are specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical winds are assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Horizontal plume size is derived from input lateral turbulent intensities using adjustments to plume height and rate of plume growth with downwind distance specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

k. Vertical Dispersion

Vertical plume size is derived from input vertical turbulent intensities using adjustments to plume height and rate of plume growth with downwind distance specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Time constant is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Settling and deposition of particulates are treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.25 Simple Line-Source Model (GMLINE)

Reference


Availability

Copies of the above reference are available without charge from: Dr. D.P. Chock, Environmental Science Department, General Motors Research Laboratories, General Motors Technical Center, Warren, Michigan 48090. The User's Guide contains the short algorithms of the model.

Abstract

GMLINE is a simple steady-state Gaussian plume model which can be used to determine hourly (or half-hourly) averages of exhaust concentrations within 100m from a roadway on a relatively flat terrain. The model allows for plume rise due to the heated exhaust, which can be important when the crosswind is very low. It also utilizes a new set of vertical dispersion parameters which reflects the influence of traffic-induced turbulence.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

GMLINE can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. GMLINE must be executed in the equivalent mode.

GMLINE can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that GMLINE is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements
Source data requirements are: Emission rate per unit length per lane, the number of lanes on each road, distances from lane centers to the receptor, source and receptor heights.

Meteorological data requirements are: Buoyancy flux, ambient stability condition, ambient wind and its direction relative to the road.

Receptor data requirements are: Distance and height above ground.

c. Output

Printed output includes: Hourly or (half-hourly) concentrations at the receptor due to exhaust emission from a road (or a system of roads by summing the results from repeated model applications).

d. Type of Model

GMLINE is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

GMLINE can be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

GMLINE treats arbitrary location of line sources and receptors.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume-rise formula adequate for a heated line source is used.

h. Horizontal Winds

GMLINE uses user-supplied hourly (or half-hourly) ambient wind speed and direction. The wind measurements are from a height of 5 to 10 m.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Dispersion Parameters

Horizontal dispersion parameter is not used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

A vertical dispersion parameter is used which is a function of stability and wind-road angle. Three stability classes are used: Unstable, neutral and stable. The parameters take into account the effect of traffic-generated turbulence (Chock, 1980).

l. Chemical Transformation

Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.26 TEXAS CLIMATOLOGICAL MODEL (TCM)-2

Reference
Availability

The TOM-2 model is available from the Texas Air Control Board at the following cost: User's Manual only-$20.00. User's Manual and Model (Magnetic Tape)-$80.00.

Requests should be directed to: Data Processing Division, Texas Air Control Board, 6330 Highway 290 East, Austin, TX 78723.

Abstract

TOM is a climatological steady-state Gaussian plume model for determining long-term (seasonal or annual arithmetic) average pollutant concentrations of non-reactive pollutants.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

TOM can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. TOM must be executed in the equivalent mode.

TOM can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that TOM is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: Point source coordinates (by pollutant), stack height, stack diameter, stack gas exit velocity, stack gas temperature; area source coordinates (southwest corner), size, emission rate.

Meteorological data requirements are: Stability wind rose and average temperature.

Receptor data requirements are: Size and spacing of the rectangular receptor grid.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Period average concentrations listed, displayed in map format, or punched on cards at the user's options.
- Culpability list option provides the contributions of the five highest contributors at each receptor.
- Maximum concentration option provides the maximum concentration for each scenario (run).

d. Type of Model

TOM is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

TOM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Arbitrary location of point sources and area sources are treated.

Arbitrary location and spacing of rectangular grid of receptors are used. (Area source grid is best defined in terms of the receptor grid, so that the receptors fall in the center of the area source).

Receptors located in simple terrain may be modeled.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1975) plume rise equations, including momentum rise, are used for point sources.

Two-thirds power law is used when transitional rise option is selected.
Flares are treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

- Characteristic wind speed is calculated for each direction-stability class combination.
- This characteristic speed is the inverse of the average inverse speed for the stability-wind direction combination.
- Wind speed is adjusted to stack height by a power law using exponents of .10, .15, .20, .25, .30, and .30 for stabilities A through F, respectively.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

- Vertical wind speed is assumed to be zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

- Uniform distribution within each 22.5 degree sector is assumed.

k. Vertical Dispersion

- Dispersion parameters for point sources are fit to Turner (1969); for area sources in the urban mode the fit is to Gifford and Hanna (1970).
- Seven stability classes are used.
- Pasquill A through F are treated, with daytime "D" and nighttime "D" given separately.
- In the urban mode, E and F stability classes are treated as D-night.
- Perfect reflection at the ground is assumed.

l. Chemical Transformation

- Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

- Physical removal is treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.27 TEXAS EPISODIC MODEL (TEM-8)

Reference

- Staff of the Texas Air Control Board, 1979. User's Guide to the TEXAS EPISODIC MODEL. Texas Air Control Board, Permits Section, 6330 Highway 290 East, Austin, TX.

Availability

- The TEM-8 model is available from the Texas Air Control Board at the following costs: User's Manual only-$20.00. User's Manual and Model (Magnetic Tape)-$80.00.
- Requests should be directed to: Data Processing Division, Texas Air Control Board, 6330 Highway 290 East, Austin, Texas 78723.

Abstract:

TEM is a short-term, steady-state Gaussian plume model for determining short-term concentrations of non-reactive pollutants.
a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

TEM can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. TEM must be executed in the equivalent mode.

TEM can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that TEM is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: locations, average emission rates and heights of emissions for both point and area sources; stack gas temperature, stack gas exit velocity, and stack inside diameter for point sources for plume rise calculations.

Meteorological data requirements are: hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Any combination of hourly meteorological data up to 24 hours may be used, (e.g. 1, 3, 5, 6, 24 hours).

Receptor requirements are: size, spacing and location of rectangular grid of receptors.

c. Output

Printed output includes: concentration list;
Spatial array (concentrations displayed as on a map);
Punched cards of the concentration list;
Culpability list (percent contributions) of the five highest contributors to each receptor;
Maximum concentration; and
Point source list.

d. Type of Model

TEM is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

TEM can be used to model non-reactive pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Arbitrary locations of point sources and area sources are treated.

Arbitrary location and spacing of rectangular grid of receptors is treated. Area source grid is best defined in terms of the receptor grid so that the receptors fall in the centers of the area sources.

Receptors located in simple terrain may be modeled.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1975) plume rise equations are used, including momentum rise, for point sources.

Transitional rise is calculated.

Stack-tip downwash may be evaluated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind speeds are adjusted to release height by power law formula, using exponents of .10, .15, .20, .25, .30 and .30 for stabilities A through F respectively.

Steady-state wind is assumed.
Vertical wind is assumed equal to zero.

**Horizontal Dispersion**

Gaussian plume coefficients are fitted to Turner (1969). The Turner curves are treated as 10-minute averages and the coefficients are adjusted to represent 30-minute or hourly as appropriate.

In the urban mode, stable cases are shifted to neutral nighttime (D-night) conditions and urban mixing heights are used.

**Vertical Dispersion**

Dispersion parameters for point sources are fit to Turner (1969); for area sources, in the urban mode, the fit is to Gifford and Hanne (1970).

Total reflection of the plume at the ground is assumed.

In the urban mode, E and F stability classes are treated as D-nighttime.

**Chemical Transformation**

Chemical transformation is treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

**Physical Removal**

Physical removal is treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

**Evaluation Studies**


Durrenberger, C.J., B.A. Broberg, and K. Zimmermann, 1983. Development of a Protocol to be Used for Dispersion Model Comparison Studies. Presented at the 76th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association at Atlanta, GA.

B.28 AVACTA II

**Reference**


**Availability**

A magnetic tape copy of the FORTRAN coding and the user's guide are available at a cost of $2,500 (non-profit organization) or $3,500 (other organizations) from: AeroVironment, Inc., 815 Myrtle Avenue, Monrovia, CA 91016, phone (818) 357-9981.

**Abstract**

The AVACTA II model is a Gaussian model in which atmospheric dispersion phenomena are described by the evolution of plume elements, either segments or puffs. The model can be applied for short time (e.g., one day) simulations in both transport and calm conditions.

The user is given flexibility in defining the computational domain, the three-dimensional meteorological and emission input, the receptor locations, the plume rise formulas, the sigma formulas, etc. Without explicit user's specifications, standard default values are assumed.

AVACTA II provides both concentration fields on the user specified receptor points, and dry/wet deposition patterns throughout the domain. The model is particularly oriented to the simulation of the dynamics and transformation of sulfur species (SO₂ and SO₄²⁻), but can handle virtually any pair of primary-secondary pollutants.

**a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use**

AVACTA II can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. AVACTA II must be executed in the equivalent mode.
AVACTA II can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that AVACTA II is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements (all time-varying)

A time-varying input is required at each computational step. Only those data which have changed need to be input by the user.

Source data requirements are: Coordinates, emission rates of primary and secondary pollutants, initial plume sigmas (for non-point sources), exit temperature, exit velocity, stack inside diameter.

Meteorological data requirements are: surface wind measurements, wind profiles (if available), atmospheric stability profiles, mixing heights.

Receptor data requirements are: receptor coordinates.

Other data requirements: coordinates of the computational domain, grid cell specification, terrain elevations, user's computational and printing options.

c. Output

The model's output is provided according to user's printing flags. Hourly, 3-hour and 24-hour concentration averages are computed, together with highest and highest-second-highest concentration values. Both partial and total concentrations are provided.

d. Type of Model

AVACTA II is Gaussian plume segment/puff model.

e. Pollutant Types

AVACTA II can handle any couple of primary-secondary pollutants (e.g. SO₂ and SO₄²⁻).

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

The AVACTA II approach maintains the basic Gaussian formulation, but allows a numerical simulation of both nonstationary and nonhomogeneous meteorological conditions. The emitted pollutant material is divided into a sequence of "elements," either segments or puffs, which are connected together but whose dynamics are a function of the local meteorological conditions. Since the meteorological parameters vary with time and space, each element evolves according to the different meteorological conditions encountered along its trajectory.

AVACTA II calculates the partial contribution of each source in each receptor during each interval. The partial concentration is the sum of the contribution of all existing puffs, plus that of the closest segment.

g. Plume Behavior

The user can select the following plume rise formulas:

- CONCAWE (Briggs, 1975)
- Lucas-Moore (Briggs, 1975)
- User's functions, i.e., a subroutine supplied by the user

With cold plumes, the program uses a special routine for the computation of the jet plume rise. The user can also select several computational options that control plume behavior in complex terrain and its total/partial reflections.

h. Horizontal Winds

A 3D mass-consistent wind field is optionally generated.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

A 3D mass-consistent wind field is optionally generated.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
During each step, the sigmas of each element are increased. The user can select the following sigma functions:

- Pasquill-Gifford-Turner (in the functional form specified by Green et al., 1980)
- Brookhaven (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, open country (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, urban, i.e., McElroy-Pooler (Gifford, 1975)
- Irwin (1979)
- LO-LOCAT (MacCready et al., 1974)
- User-specified function, by points
- User-specified function, with a user's subroutine

The virtual distance/age concept is used for incrementing the sigmas at each time step.

**k. Vertical Dispersion**

During each step, the sigmas of each element are increased. The user can select the following sigma functions:

- Pasquill-Gifford-Turner (in the functional form specified by Green et al., 1980)
- Brookhaven (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, open country (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, urban, i.e., McElroy-Pooler (Gifford, 1975)
- LO-LOCAT (MacCready et al., 1974)
- User-specified function, with a user's subroutine

The virtual distance/age concept is used for incrementing the sigmas at each time step.

**l. Chemical Transformation**

First order chemical reactions (primary-to-secondary pollutant)

**m. Physical Removal**

First order dry and wet deposition schemes.

**n. Evaluation Studies**


**B. REFERENCES**


to determine potential building downwash considerations for stack heights less than GEP

* Associated parameters

- boiler size (megawatts, pounds/hr., steam, fuel consumption, etc.)
- boiler parameters (% excess air, boiler type, type of firing, etc.)
- operating conditions (pollutant content in fuel, hours of operation, capacity factor, % load for winter, summer, etc.)
- pollutant control equipment parameters (design efficiency, operation record, e.g., can it be bypassed?, etc.)

* Anticipated growth changes

4. Air quality monitoring data:

* Summary of existing observations for latest five years (including any additional quality assured measured data which can be obtained from any state or local agency or company)

FOOTNOTE: 'See footnote 1.'

* Comparison with standards

* Discussion of background due to un inventoried sources and contributions from outside the inventoried area and description of the method used for determination of background (should be consistent with the Guideline on Air Quality Models)

5. Meteorological data:

* Five consecutive years of the most recent representative sequential hourly National Weather Service (NWS) data, or one or more years of hourly sequential on-site data

* Discussion of meteorological conditions observed (as applied or modified for the site-specific area, i.e., identify possible variations due to difference between the monitoring site and the specific site of the source)

* Discussion of topographic/land use influences

6. Air quality modeling analyses:

* Model each individual year for which data are available with a recommended model or model demonstrated to be acceptable on a case-by-case basis

- urban dispersion coefficients for urban areas
- rural dispersion coefficients for rural areas

* Evaluate downwash if stack height is less than GEP

* Define worst case meteorology

* Determine background and document method

- long-term
- short-term

* Provide topographic map(s) of receptor network with respect to location of all sources

* Follow current guidance on selection of receptor sites for refined analyses

* Include receptor terrain heights (if applicable) used in analyses

* Compare model estimates with measurements considering the upper ends of the frequency distribution

* Determine extent of significant impact—provide maps

* Define areas of maximum and highest, second-highest impacts due to applicant source (refer to format suggested in Air Quality Summary Tables)
7. Comparison with acceptable air quality levels:
   - NAAQS
   - PSD increments
   - Emission offset impacts if nonattainment

8. Documentation and guidelines for modeling methodology:
   - Follow guidance documents
     - Guideline on Air Quality Models, Revised, EPA-450/2-78-027R
     - Guidelines for Air Quality Maintenance Planning and Analysis, Volume 10R, EPA-450/4-77-001, 1977
     - Guideline for Determination of Good Engineering Practice Stack Height (Technical Support Document for the Stack Height Regulations), EPA-450/4-80-023R, 1985
     - Ambient Air Monitoring Guidelines for PSD, EPA-450/4-80-012, 1980
     - "Requirements for Preparation, Adoption and Submittal of Implementation Plans; Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans," CFR title 40 parts 51, 1982 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration)

**AIR QUALITY SUMMARY**

For New Source Alone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>**</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Concentration Due to Modified Source**

- (µg/m³)
- Background Concentration [µg/m³]
- Total Concentration [µg/m³]
- Receptor Distance (Km) (or UTM Easting)
- Receptor Direction (°) (or UTM Northing)
- Receptor Elevation (m)
- Wind Speed (m/s)
- Wind Direction (°)
- Mixing Depth (m)
- Temperature (°K)
- Stability
- Day/Month/Year of Occurrence
- Surface Air Data From
- Surface Station Elevation (m)
- Anemometer Height Above Local Ground Level (m)
- Upper Air Data From
- Period of Record Analyzed
- Model Used
- Recommended Model
APPENDIX C TO APPENDIX X TO PART 266-

Example Air Quality Analysis Checklist

C.0 INTRODUCTION

This checklist recommends a standardized set of data and a standard basic level of analysis needed for PSD applications and SIP revisions. The checklist implies a level of detail required to assess both PSD increments and the NAAQS. Individual cases may require more or less information and the Regional Meteorologist should be consulted at an early stage in the development of a data base for a modeling analysis.

At pre-application meetings between source owner and reviewing authority, this checklist should prove useful in developing a consensus on the data base, modeling techniques and overall technical approach prior to the actual analyses. Such agreement will help avoid misunderstandings concerning the final results and may reduce the later need for additional analyses.

Example Air Quality Analysis Checklist

FOOTNOTE: "The "Guidelines for Air Quality Maintenance Planning and Analysis," Volume 10R, EPA-450/4-77-001, 1977 should be used as a screening tool to determine whether modeling analyses are required. Screening procedures should be refined by the user to be site/problem specific.
1. Source location map(s) showing location with respect to:
   - Urban areas

FOOTNOTE: Within 50 km or distance to which source has a significant impact, whichever is less.
   - PSD Class I areas
   - Nonattainment areas
   - Topographic features (terrain, lakes, river valleys, etc.)
   - Other major existing sources
   - Other major sources subject to PSD requirements
   - NWS meteorological observations (surface and upper air)
   - On-site/local meteorological observations (surface and upper air)
   - State/local/on-site air quality monitoring location

- Plant layout on a topographic map covering a 1-km radius of the source with information sufficient to determine GEP stack heights

2. Information on urban/rural characteristics:

- Population
  - total
  - density

   * Based on current guidance determination of whether the area should be addressed using urban or rural modeling methodology

3. Emission inventory and operating/design parameters for major sources within region of significant impact of proposed site (same as required for applicant)
   - Actual and allowable annual emission rates (g/s) and operating rates

FOOTNOTE: Particulate emissions should be specified as a function of particulate diameter and density ranges.
   - Maximum design load short-term emission rate (g/s)

   * Associated emissions/stack characteristics as a function of load for maximum, average, and nominal operating conditions if stack height is less than GEP or located in complex terrain. Screening analyses as footnoted on page 1 or detailed analyses, if necessary, must be employed to determine the constraining load condition (e.g., 50%, 75%, or 100% load) to be relied upon in the short-term modeling analysis.

- location (UTM's)
- height of stack (m) and grade level above MSL
- stack exit diameter (m)
- exit velocity (m/s)
- exit temperature (°F)

- Area source emissions (rates, size of area, height of area source)

   * Location and dimensions of buildings (plant layout drawing)

   to determine GEP stack height
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FOOTNOTE: "Use separate sheet for each pollutant (SO\textsubscript{2}, TSP, CO, NO\textsubscript{x}, HC, Pb, Hg, Asbestos, etc.)

FOOTNOTE: "List all appropriate averaging periods (1-hr, 3-hr, 8-hr, 24-hr, 30-day, 90-day, etc.) for which an air quality standard exists.

For All New Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>** **</th>
<th>** Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>Highest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd High</td>
<td>2nd High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration Due to Modified Source 
(\(\mu g/m^3\))
Background Concentration (\(\mu g/m^3\))
Total Concentration (\(\mu g/m^3\))
Receptor Distance (Km) (or UTM Easting)
Receptor Direction (°) (or UTM Northing)
Receptor Elevation (m)
Wind Speed (m/s)
Wind Direction (°)
Mixing Depth (m)
Temperature (°C)
Stability
Day/Month/Year of Occurrence
Surface Air Data From
Surface Station Elevation (m)
Anemometer Height Above Local Ground Level (m)
Upper Air Data From
Period of Record Analyzed
Model Used
Recommended Model

FOOTNOTE: "Use separate sheet for each pollutant (SO\textsubscript{2}, TSP, CO, NO\textsubscript{x}, HC, Pb, Hg, Asbestos, etc.)

FOOTNOTE: "List all appropriate averaging periods (1-hr, 3-hr, 8-hr, 24-hr, 30-day, 90-day, etc.) for which an air quality standard exists.

For All Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>** **</th>
<th>** Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>Highest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd High</td>
<td>2nd High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration Due to Modified Source 
(\(\mu g/m^3\))
Background Concentration (\(\mu g/m^3\))
Total Concentration (\(\mu g/m^3\))
Receptor Distance (Km) (or UTM Easting)
Receptor Direction (°) (or UTM Northing)
Receptor Elevation (m)
Wind Speed (m/s)
Wind Direction (°)
Mixing Depth (m)
Temperature (°F)
Stability
Day/Month/Year of Occurrence
Surface Air Data From
Surface Station Elevation (m)
Anemometer Height Above Local
Ground Level (m)
Upper Air Data From
Period of Record Analyzed
Model Used
Recommended Model

FOOTNOTE: *Use separate sheet for each pollutant (SO₂, TSP, CO, NOₓ, HC, Pb, Hg, Asbestos, etc.)

FOOTNOTE: **List all appropriate averaging periods (1-hr, 3-hr, 8-hr, 24-hr, 30-day, 90-day, etc.) for which an air quality standard exists.

STACK PARAMETERS FOR ANNUAL MODELING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>Serving Emission Rate (g/s)</th>
<th>Stack Exit Diameter (m)</th>
<th>Stack Exit Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Stack Exit Temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Physical Stack Height (m)</th>
<th>GEP Stack Height (m)</th>
<th>Stack BASE Height (m)</th>
<th>Building Dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stack Parameters for Short-Term Modeling*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>Serving Emission Rate (g/s)</th>
<th>Stack Exit Diameter (m)</th>
<th>Stack Exit Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Stack Exit Temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Physical Stack Height (m)</th>
<th>GEP Stack Height (m)</th>
<th>Stack BASE Height (m)</th>
<th>Building Dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
FOOTNOTE: "Separate tables for 50%, 75%, 100% of full load operating condition (and any other operating conditions as determined by screening or detailed modeling analyses to represent constraining conditions.)"
PART 268 -- LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS

Subpart A -- General

Sec.

268.1 Purpose, scope, and applicability.

268.2 Definitions applicable to this part.

268.3 Dilution prohibited as a substitute for treatment.

268.4 Treatment surface impoundment exemption.

268.5 Procedures for case-by-case extensions to an effective date.

268.6 Petitions to allow land disposal of a waste prohibited under subpart C of part 268.

268.7 Waste analysis and recordkeeping.

268.8 Landfill and surface impoundment disposal restrictions.

268.9 Special rules regarding wastes that exhibit a characteristic.

Subpart B -- Schedule for Land Disposal Prohibition and Establishment of Treatment Standards

268.10 Identification of wastes to be evaluated by August 8, 1988.

268.11 Identification of wastes to be evaluated by June 8, 1989.

268.12 Identification of wastes to be evaluated by May 8, 1990.

268.13 Schedule for wastes identified or listed after November 8, 1984.

Subpart C -- Prohibitions on Land Disposal

268.30 Waste specific prohibitions -- Solvent wastes.

268.31 Waste specific prohibitions -- Dioxin-containing wastes.

268.32 Waste specific prohibitions -- California list wastes.

268.33 Waste specific prohibitions -- First third wastes.

268.34 Waste specific prohibitions -- Second third wastes.

268.35 Waste specific prohibitions -- Third third wastes.

Subpart D -- Treatment Standards

268.40 Applicability of treatment standards.

268.41 Treatment standards expressed as concentrations in waste extract.

268.42 Treatment standards expressed as specified technologies.

268.43 Treatment standards expressed as waste concentrations. [Reserved]

268.44 Variance from a treatment standard.

Subpart E -- Prohibitions on Storage

268.50 Prohibitions on storage of restricted wastes.

Appendices to part 268
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Appendix I -- Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) [Note]
Appendix II -- Treatment Standards (As Concentrations in the Treatment Residual Extract)
Appendix III -- List of Halogenated Organic Compounds Regulated Under § 268.32
Appendix IV -- Organometallic Lab Packs
Appendix V -- Organic Lab Packs
Appendix VI -- Recommended Technologies to Achieve Deactivation of Characteristics in Section 268.42
Appendix VII -- Effective Dates of Surface Disposed Wastes Regulated in the LDRs
Appendix VIII -- National Capacity LDR Variances for UIC Wastes Comprehensive List

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 6905, 6912(a), 6921, and 6924.

Subpart A -- General

§ 268.1 Purpose, scope and applicability.

(a) This part identifies hazardous wastes that are restricted from land disposal and defines those limited circumstances under which an otherwise prohibited waste may continue to be land disposed.

(b) Except as specifically provided otherwise in this part or part 261 of this chapter, the requirements of this part apply to persons who generate or transport hazardous waste and owners and operators of hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal facilities.

(c) Restricted wastes may continue to be land disposed as follows:

(1) Where persons have been granted an extension to the effective date of a prohibition under subpart C of this part or pursuant to § 268.5, with respect to those wastes covered by the extension;

(2) Where persons have been granted an exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under § 268.6, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the petition;

(3) Wastes that are hazardous only because they exhibit a hazardous characteristic, and which are otherwise prohibited from land disposal under this part, are not prohibited from land disposal if the wastes:

(i) Are disposed into a nonhazardous or hazardous injection well as defined in 40 CFR 144.6(a); and

(ii) Do not exhibit any prohibited characteristic of hazardous waste at the point of injection.

(d) The requirements of this part shall not affect the availability of a waiver under section 121(d)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA).

(e) The following hazardous wastes are not subject to any provision of part 268:

(1) Wastes generated by small quantity generators of less than 100 kilograms of non-acute hazardous waste or less than 1 kilogram of acute hazardous waste per month, as defined in § 261.5 of this chapter;

(2) Waste pesticides that a farmer disposes of pursuant to § 262.70;

(3) Wastes identified or listed as hazardous after November 8, 1984 for which EPA has not promulgated land disposal prohibitions or treatment standards.


§ 268.2 Definitions applicable in this part.

When used in this part the following terms have the meanings given below:

(a) "Halogenated organic compounds or HOCs' means those compounds having a carbon-halogen bond which are listed under appendix III to this part.
(b) "Hazardous constituent or constituents" means those constituents listed in appendix VIII to part 261 of this chapter.

(c) "Land disposal" means placement in or on the land and includes, but is not limited to, placement in a landfill, surface impoundment, waste pile, injection well, land treatment facility, salt dome formation, salt bed formation, underground mine or cave, or placement in a concrete vault or bunker intended for disposal purposes.

(d) "Nonwastewaters" are wastes that do not meet the criteria for wastewaters in paragraph (f) of this section.

(e) "Polychlorinated biphenyls or PCBs" are halogenated organic compounds defined in accordance with 40 CFR 761.3.

(f) "Wastewaters" are wastes that contain less than 1% by weight total organic carbon (TOC) and less than 1% by weight total suspended solids (TSS), with the following exceptions:

(1) "FO01, FO02, FO03, FO04, FO05," wastewaters are solvent-water mixtures that contain less than 1% by weight TOC or less than 1% by weight total FO01, FO02, FO03, FO04, FO05 solvent constituents listed in § 268.41, Table C.

(2) "K011, K013, K014" wastewaters contain less than 5% by weight TOC and less than 1% by weight TSS, as generated.

(3) "K103 and K104" wastewaters contain less than 4% by weight TOC and less than 1% by weight TSS.

(g) "Inorganic Solid Debris" means nonfriable inorganic solids contaminated with D004-D011 hazardous wastes that are incapable of passing through a 9.5 mm standard sieve; and that require cutting, or crushing and grinding in mechanical sizing equipment prior to stabilization; and, are limited to the following inorganic or metal materials:

(1) Metal slags (either dross or scoria);

(2) Glassified slag;

(3) Glass;

(4) Concrete (excluding cementitious or pozzolanic stabilized hazardous wastes);

(5) Masonry and refractory bricks;

(6) Metal cans, containers, drums, or tanks;

(7) Metal nuts, bolts, pipes, pumps, valves, appliances, or industrial equipment;

(8) Scrap metal as defined in 40 CFR 261.1(c)(6).

[55 FR 22686, June 1, 1990, as amended at 56 FR 3877, Jan. 31, 1991]

§ 268.3 Dilution prohibited as a substitute for treatment.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no generator, transporter, handler, or owner or operator of a treatment, storage, or disposal facility shall in any way dilute a restricted waste or the residual from treatment of a restricted waste as a substitute for adequate treatment to achieve compliance with subpart D of this part, to circumvent the effective date of a prohibition in subpart C of this part, or to otherwise avoid a prohibition in subpart C of this part, or to circumvent a land disposal prohibition imposed by RCRA section 3004.

(b) Dilution of wastes that are hazardous only because they exhibit a characteristic in a treatment system which treats wastes subsequently discharged to a water of the United States pursuant to a permit issued under section 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) or which treats wastes for purposes of pretreatment requirements under section 307 of the CWA is not impermissible dilution for purposes of this section unless a method has been specified as the treatment standard in § 268.42.

[55 FR 22686, June 1, 1990]

§ 268.4 Treatment surface impoundment exemption.
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(a) Wastes which are otherwise prohibited from land disposal under this part may be treated in a surface impoundment or series of impoundments provided that:

(1) Treatment of such wastes occurs in the impoundments;

(2) The following conditions are met:

(1) Sampling and testing. For wastes with treatment standards in subpart D of this part and/or prohibition levels in subpart C of this part or RCRA section 3004(d), the residues from treatment are analyzed, as specified in §268.7 or §268.32, to determine if they meet the applicable treatment standards or where no treatment standards have been established for the waste, the applicable prohibition levels. The sampling method, specified in the waste analysis plan under §264.13 or §265.13, must be designed such that representative samples of the sludge and the supernatant are tested separately rather than mixed to form homogeneous samples.

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).

(11) Removal. The following treatment residues (including any liquid waste) must be removed at least annually: residues which do not meet the treatment standards promulgated under subpart D of this part; residues which do not meet the prohibition levels established under subpart C of this part or imposed by statute (where no treatment standards have been established); residues which are from the treatment of wastes prohibited from land disposal under subpart C of this part (where no treatment standards have been established and no prohibition levels apply); or residues from managing listed wastes which are not delisted under §260.22 of this chapter. However, residues which are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 made no later than a year after placement of the wastes in an impoundment are not required to be removed annually. If the volume of liquid flowing through the impoundment or series of impoundments annually is greater than the volume of the impoundment or impoundments, this flow-through constitutes removal of the supernatant for the purpose of this requirement.

(11) Subsequent management. Treatment residues may not be placed in any other surface impoundment for subsequent management unless the residues are the subject of a valid certification under §268.8 which allows disposal in surface impoundments meeting the requirements of §268.8(a).
§ 268.5 Procedures for case-by-case extensions to an effective date.

(a) Any person who generates, treats, stores, or disposes of a hazardous waste may submit an application to the Administrator for an extension to the effective date of any applicable restriction established under subpart C of this part. The applicant must demonstrate the following:

1. He has made a good-faith effort to locate and contract with treatment, recovery, or disposal facilities nationwide to manage his waste in accordance with the effective date of the applicable restriction established under subpart C of this part;

2. He has entered into a binding contractual commitment to construct or otherwise provide alternative treatment, recovery (e.g., recycling), or disposal capacity that meets the treatment standards specified in subpart D or, where treatment standards have not been specified, such treatment, recovery, or disposal capacity is protective of human health and the environment.

3. Due to circumstances beyond the applicant's control, such alternative capacity cannot reasonably be made available by the applicable effective date. This demonstration may include a showing that the technical and practical difficulties associated with providing the alternative capacity will result in the capacity not being available by the applicable effective date;

4. The capacity being constructed or otherwise provided by the applicant will be sufficient to manage the entire quantity of waste that is the subject of the application;

5. He provides a detailed schedule for obtaining required operating and construction permits or an outline of how and when alternative capacity will be available;

6. He has arranged for adequate capacity to manage his waste during an extension and has documented in the application the location of all sites at which the waste will be managed; and

7. Any waste managed in a surface impoundment or landfill during the extension period will meet the requirements of paragraph (h)(2) of this section.

(b) An authorized representative signing an application described under paragraph (a) of this section shall make the following certification:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

(c) After receiving an application for an extension, the Administrator may request any additional information which he deems as necessary to evaluate the application.

(d) An extension will apply only to the waste generated at the individual facility covered by the application and will not apply to restricted waste from any other facility.

(e) On the basis of the information referred to in paragraph (a) of this section, after notice and opportunity for comment, and after consultation with appropriate State agencies in all affected States, the Administrator may grant an extension of up to 1 year from the effective date. The Administrator may renew this extension for up to 1 additional year upon the request of the applicant if the demonstration required in paragraph (e) of this section can still be made. In no event will an extension extend beyond 24 months from the applicable effective date specified in subpart C of part 268. The length of any extension authorized will be determined by the Administrator based on the time required to construct or obtain the type of capacity needed by the applicant as described in the completion schedule discussed in paragraph (a)(5) of this section. The Administrator will give public notice of the intent to approve or deny a petition and provide an opportunity for public comment. The final decision on a petition will be published in the Federal Register.
(f) Any person granted an extension under this section must immediately notify the Administrator as soon as he has knowledge of any change in the conditions certified to in the application.

(g) Any person granted an extension under this section shall submit written progress reports at intervals designated by the Administrator. Such reports must describe the overall progress made toward constructing or otherwise providing alternative treatment, recovery or disposal capacity; must identify any event which may cause or has caused a delay in the development of the capacity; and must summarize the steps taken to mitigate the delay. The Administrator can revoke the extension at any time if the applicant does not demonstrate a good-faith effort to meet the schedule for completion, if the Agency denies or revokes any required permit, if conditions certified in the application change, or for any violation of this chapter.

(h) Whenever the Administrator establishes an extension to an effective date under this section, during the period for which such extension is in effect:

1. The storage restrictions under § 268.50(a) do not apply; and

2. Such hazardous waste may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the technical requirements of the following provisions regardless of whether such unit is existing, new, or a replacement or lateral expansion:

(i) The landfill, if in interim status, is in compliance with the requirements of subpart F of part 265 and § 265.301 (a), (c), and (d) of this chapter; or,

(ii) The landfill, if permitted, is in compliance with the requirements of subpart F of part 264 and § 264.301 (c), (d) and (e) of this chapter;

(iii) The surface impoundment, if in interim status, is in compliance with the requirements of subpart F of part 265, § 265.221 (a), (c), and (d) of this chapter, and RCRA section 3009(j)(3); or

(iv) The surface impoundment, if permitted, is in compliance with the requirements of subpart F of part 264 and § 264.221 (c), (d) and (e) of this chapter.

(v) The landfill, if disposing of containerized liquid hazardous wastes containing PCBs at concentrations greater than or equal to 50 ppm but less than 500 ppm, is also in compliance with the requirements of 40 CFR 761.75 and parts 264 and 265.

(i) Pending a decision on the application the applicant is required to comply with all restrictions on land disposal under this part once the effective date for the waste has been reached.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0062)


§ 268.6 Petitions to allow land disposal of a waste prohibited under subpart C of part 268.

(a) Any person seeking an exemption from a prohibition under subpart C of this part for the disposal of a restricted hazardous waste in a particular unit or units must submit a petition to the Administrator demonstrating, to a reasonable degree of certainty, that there will be no migration of hazardous constituents from the disposal unit or injection zone for as long as the wastes remain hazardous. The demonstration must include the following components:

1. An identification of the specific waste and the specific unit for which the demonstration will be made;

2. A waste analysis to describe fully the chemical and physical characteristics of the subject waste;

3. A comprehensive characterization of the disposal unit site including an analysis of background air, soil, and water quality;

4. A monitoring plan that detects migration at the earliest practicable time;

5. Sufficient information to assure the Administrator that the owner or operator of a land disposal unit receiving restricted wastes will comply with other applicable Federal, State, and local laws.

(b) The demonstration referred to in paragraph (a) of this section must meet the following criteria:
(1) All waste and environmental sampling, test, and analysis data must be accurate and reproducible to the extent that state-of-the-art techniques allow;

(2) All sampling, testing, and estimation techniques for chemical and physical properties of the waste and all environmental parameters must have been approved by the Administrator;

(3) Simulation models must be calibrated for the specific waste and site conditions, and verified for accuracy by comparison with actual measurements;

(4) A quality assurance and quality control plan that addresses all aspects of the demonstration must be approved by the Administrator;

(5) An analysis must be performed to identify and quantify any aspects of the demonstration that contribute significantly to uncertainty. This analysis must include an evaluation of the consequences of predictable future events, including, but not limited to, earthquakes, floods, severe storm events, droughts, or other natural phenomena.

(c) Each petition referred to in paragraph (a) of this section must include the following:

(1) A monitoring plan that describes the monitoring program installed at and/or around the unit to verify continued compliance with the conditions of the variance. This monitoring plan must provide information on the monitoring of the unit and/or the environment around the unit. The following specific information must be included in the plan:

(i) The media monitored in the cases where monitoring of the environment around the unit is required;

(ii) The type of monitoring conducted at the unit, in the cases where monitoring of the unit is required;

(iii) The location of the monitoring stations;

(iv) The monitoring interval: (frequency of monitoring at each station);

(v) The specific hazardous constituents to be monitored;

(vi) The implementation schedule for the monitoring program;

(vii) The equipment used at the monitoring stations;

(viii) The sampling and analytical techniques employed; and

(ix) The data recording/reporting procedures.

(2) Where applicable, the monitoring program described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section must be in place for a period of time specified by the Administrator, as part of his approval of the petition, prior to receipt of prohibited waste at the unit.

(3) The monitoring data collected according to the monitoring plan specified under paragraph (c)(1) of this section must be sent to the Administrator according to a format and schedule specified and approved in the monitoring plan, and

(4) A copy of the monitoring data collected under the monitoring plan specified under paragraph (c)(1) of this section must be kept on-site at the facility in the operating record.

(5) The monitoring program specified under paragraph (c)(1) of this section must meet the following criteria:

(i) All sampling, testing, and analytical data must be approved by the Administrator and must provide data that is accurate and reproducible.

(ii) All estimation and monitoring techniques must be approved by the Administrator.

(iii) A quality assurance and quality control plan addressing all aspects of the monitoring program must be provided to and approved by the Administrator.

(d) Each petition must be submitted to the Administrator.
(e) After a petition has been approved, the owner or operator must report any changes in conditions at
the unit and/or the environment around the unit that significantly depart from the conditions described in the
variance and affect the potential for migration of hazardous constituents from the units as follows:

(1) If the owner or operator plans to make changes to the unit design, construction, or operation,
such a change must be proposed, in writing, and the owner or operator must submit a demonstration to the
Administrator at least 30 days prior to making the change. The Administrator will determine whether the
proposed change invalidates the terms of the petition and will determine the appropriate response. Any change
must be approved by the Administrator prior to being made.

(2) If the owner or operator discovers that a condition at the site which was modeled or predicted in
the petition does not occur as predicted, this change must be reported, in writing, to the Administrator within
10 days of discovering the change. The Administrator will determine whether the reported change from the terms
of the petition requires further action, which may include termination of waste acceptance and revocation of
the petition, petition modifications, or other responses.

(f) If the owner or operator determines that there is migration of hazardous constituent(s) from the
unit, the owner or operator must:

(1) Immediately suspend receipt of prohibited waste at the unit, and

(2) Notify the Administrator, in writing, within 10 days of the determination that a release has
occurred.

(3) Following receipt of the notification the Administrator will determine, within 60 days of
receiving notification, whether the owner or operator can continue to receive prohibited waste in the unit and
whether the variance is to be revoked. The Administrator shall also determine whether further examination of
any migration is warranted under applicable provisions of part 264 or part 263.

(g) Each petition must include the following statement signed by the petitioner or an authorized
representative:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted
in this petition and all attached documents, and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately
responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that submitted information is true, accurate, and
complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the
possibility of fine and imprisonment.

(h) After receiving a petition, the Administrator may request any additional information that
reasonably may be required to evaluate the demonstration.

(i) If approved, the petition will apply to land disposal of the specific restricted waste at the
individual disposal unit described in the demonstration and will not apply to any other restricted waste at
that disposal unit, or to that specific restricted waste at any other disposal unit.

(j) The Administrator will give public notice in the Federal Register of the intent to approve or deny
a petition and provide an opportunity for public comment. The final decision on a petition will be published in
the Federal Register.

(k) The term of a petition granted under this section shall be no longer than the term of the RCRA
permit if the disposal unit is operating under a RCRA permit, or up to a maximum of 10 years from the date of
approval provided under paragraph (g) of this section if the unit is operating under interim status. In either
case, the term of the granted petition shall expire upon the termination or denial of a RCRA permit, or upon
the termination of interim status or when the volume limit of waste to be land disposed during the term of
petition is reached.

(l) Prior to the Administrator's decision, the applicant is required to comply with all restrictions
on land disposal under this part once the effective date for the waste has been reached.

(m) The petition granted by the Administrator does not relieve the petitioner of his responsibilities
in the management of hazardous waste under 40 CFR part 260 through part 271.

(n) Liquid hazardous wastes containing polychlorinated biphenyls at concentrations greater than or
equal to 500 ppm are not eligible for an exemption under this section.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0062)
§ 268.7 Waste analysis and recordkeeping.

(a) Except as specified in § 268.32 of this part, if a generator's waste is listed in 40 CFR part 261, subpart D, the generator must test his waste, or test an extract using the test method described in part 261, appendix II, or use knowledge of the waste, to determine if the waste is restricted from land disposal under this part. Except as specified in § 268.32 of this part, if a generator's waste exhibits one or more of the characteristics set out in 40 CFR part 261, subpart C, the generator must test an extract using the test method described in appendix IX of this part, or use knowledge of the waste, to determine if the waste is restricted from land disposal under this part.

(1) If a generator determines that he is managing a restricted waste under this part and the waste does not meet the applicable treatment standards set forth in subpart D of this part or exceeds the applicable prohibition levels set forth in § 268.32 or RCRA § 3004(d), with each shipment of waste the generator must notify the treatment or storage facility in writing of the appropriate treatment standards set forth in subpart D of this part and any applicable prohibition levels set forth in § 268.32 or RCRA § 3004(d). The notice must include the following information:

(A) EPA Hazardous Waste Number;

(B) The corresponding treatment standards for wastes F001-F005, F039, and wastes prohibited pursuant to § 268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d). Treatment standards for all other restricted wastes must either be included, or be referenced by including on the notification the applicable wastewater (as defined in § 268.2(f)) or nonwastewater (as defined in § 268.2(d)) category, the applicable subdivisions made within a waste code based on waste-specific criteria (such as DO3 reactive cyanides), and the CFR section(s) and paragraph(s) where the applicable treatment standard appears. Where the applicable treatment standards are expressed as specified technologies in § 268.42, the applicable five-letter treatment code found in Table 1 of § 268.42 (e.g., INCIN, WETOX) also must be listed on the notification.

(C) The manifest number associated with the shipment of waste; and

(D) Waste analysis data, where available.

(2) If a generator determines that he is managing a restricted waste under this part, and determines that the waste can be land disposed without further treatment, with each shipment of waste he must submit, to the treatment, storage, or land disposal facility, a notice and a certification stating that the waste meets the applicable treatment standards set forth in subpart D of this part and the applicable prohibition levels set forth in § 268.32 or RCRA § 3004(d).

(1) The notice must include the following information:

(A) EPA Hazardous Waste Number;

(B) The corresponding treatment standards for wastes F001-F005, F039, and wastes prohibited pursuant to § 268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d). Treatment standards for all other restricted wastes must either be included, or be referenced by including on the notification the applicable wastewater (as defined in § 268.2(f)) or nonwastewater (as defined in § 268.2(d)) category, the applicable subdivisions made within a waste code based on waste-specific criteria (such as DO3 reactive cyanides), and the CFR section(s) and paragraph(s) where the applicable treatment standard appears. Where the applicable treatment standards are expressed as specified technologies in § 268.42, the applicable five-letter treatment code found in Table 1 of § 268.42 (e.g., INCIN, WETOX) also must be listed on the notification.

(C) The manifest number associated with the shipment of waste;

(D) Waste analysis data, where available.

(ii) The certification must be signed by an authorized representative and must state the following:

I certify under penalty of law that I personally have examined and am familiar with the waste through analysis and knowledge of the waste to support this certification that the waste complies with the treatment standards specified in 40 CFR part 268 subsection D and all applicable prohibitions set forth in 40 CFR 268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d). I believe that the information I submitted is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting a false certification, including the possibility of a fine and imprisonment.
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(3) If a generator's waste is subject to an exemption from a prohibition on the type of land disposal method utilized for the waste (such as, but not limited to, a case-by-case extension under § 268.5, an exemption under § 268.6, or a nationwide capacity variance under Subpart F), with each shipment of waste he must submit a notice to the facility receiving his waste stating that the waste is not prohibited from land disposal. The notice must include the following information:

(1) EPA Hazardous Waste Number;

(ii) The corresponding treatment standards for wastes F001-F005, F119, and wastes prohibited pursuant to $268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d). Treatment standards for all other restricted wastes must either be included, or be referenced by including on the notification the applicable wastewater (as defined in § 268.2(f)) or nonwastewater (as defined in § 268.1(d)) category, the applicable subdivisions made within a waste code based on waste-specific criteria (such as 3003 reactive cyanides), and the CFR section(s) and paragraph(s) where the applicable treatment standard appears. Where the applicable treatment standards are expressed as specified technologies in § 268.42, the applicable five-letter treatment code found in Table 1 of § 268.42 (e.g., INCIN, WETOX) also must be listed on the notification.

(iii) The manifest number associated with the shipment of waste;

(iv) Waste analysis data, where available; and

(v) The date the waste is subject to the prohibitions.

(4) If a generator is managing a prohibited waste in tanks or containers regulated under 40 CFR 262.14, and is treating such waste in such tanks or containers to meet applicable treatment standards under Subpart D, he must develop and follow a written waste analysis plan which describes the procedures the generator will carry out to comply with the treatment standards. The plan must be kept on-site in the generator's records, and the following requirements must be met:

(1) The waste analysis plan must be based on a detailed chemical and physical analysis of a representative sample of the prohibited waste(s) being treated, and contain all information necessary to treat the waste(s) in accordance with the requirements of this part, including the selected testing frequency.

(ii) Such plan must be filed with the EPA Regional Administrator (or his designated representative) or State authorized to implement part 268 requirements a minimum of 30 days prior to the treatment activity, with delivery verified.

(iii) Wastes shipped off-site pursuant to this paragraph must comply with the notification requirements of § 268.7(a)(2).

(5) If a generator determines whether the waste is restricted based solely on his knowledge of the waste, all supporting data used to make this determination must be retained on-site in the generator's files. If a generator determines whether the waste is restricted based on testing this waste or an extract developed using the test method described in appendix I of this part, all waste analysis data must be retained on-site in the generator's files.

(6) If a generator determines that he is managing a restricted waste that is excluded from the definition of hazardous or solid waste or exempt from Subtitle C regulation, under 40 CFR 261.2-261.6 subsequent to the point of generation, he must place a one-time notice stating such generation, subsequent exclusion from the definition of hazardous or solid waste or exemption from Subtitle C regulation, and the disposition of the waste, in the facility's files.

(7) Generators must retain on-site a copy of all notices, certifications, demonstrations, waste analysis data, and other documentation produced pursuant to this section for at least five years from the date that the waste that is the subject of such documentation was last sent to on-site or off-site treatment, storage, or disposal. The five year record retention period is automatically extended during the course of any unresolved enforcement action regarding the regulated activity or as requested by the Administrator. The requirements of this paragraph apply to solid wastes even when the hazardous characteristic is removed prior to disposal, or when the waste is excluded from the definition of hazardous or solid waste under 40 CFR 261.2-261.6, or exempted from Subtitle C regulation, subsequent to the point of generation.

(8) If a generator is managing a lab pack that contains wastes identified in Appendix IV of this part and wishes to use the alternative treatment standard under § 268.42, with each shipment of waste the generator must submit a notice to the treatment facility in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section. The generator must also comply with the requirements in paragraphs (a)(5) and (a)(6) of this section, and must submit the following certification, which must be signed by an authorized representative:
I certify under penalty of law that I personally have examined and am familiar with the waste and that the lab pack contains only the wastes specified in appendix IV to part 268 or solid wastes not subject to regulation under 40 CFR part 261. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting a false certification, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment.

(9) If a generator is managing a lab pack that contains organic wastes specified in Appendix V of this part and wishes to use the alternate treatment standards under § 268.42, with each shipment of waste the generator must submit a notice to the treatment facility in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section. The generator also must comply with the requirements in paragraphs (a)(5) and (a)(6) of this section, and must submit the following certification which must be signed by an authorized representative:

I certify under penalty of law that I personally have examined and am familiar with the waste through analysis and testing or through knowledge of the waste and that the lab pack contains only organic waste specified in appendix V to part 268 or solid wastes not subject to regulation under 40 CFR part 261. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting a false certification, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment.

(10) Small quantity generators with tolling agreements pursuant to 40 CFR 262.20(e) must comply with the applicable notification and certification requirements of paragraph (a) of this section for the initial shipment of the waste subject to the agreement. Such generators must retain on-site a copy of the notification and certification, together with the tolling agreement, for at least three years after termination or expiration of the agreement. The three year record retention period is automatically extended during the course of any unresolved enforcement action regarding the regulated activity or as requested by the Administrator.

(b) Treatment facilities must test their wastes according to the frequency specified in their waste analysis plans as required by § 264.13 or § 265.13. Such testing must be performed as provided in paragraphs (b)(1), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of this section.

(1) For wastes with treatment standards expressed as concentrations in the waste extract (§ 268.41), the owner or operator of the treatment facility must test the treatment residues, or an extract of such residues developed using the test method described in appendix I of this part, to assure that the treatment residues or extract meet the applicable treatment standards.

(2) For wastes that are prohibited under § 268.32 of this part or RCRA section 3004(d) but not subject to any treatment standards under subpart D of this part, the owner or operator of the treatment facility must test the treatment residues according to the generator testing requirements specified in § 268.32 to assure that the treatment residues comply with the applicable prohibitions.

(3) For wastes with treatment standards expressed as concentrations in the waste (§ 268.43), the owner or operator of the treatment facility must test the treatment residues (not an extract of such residues) to assure that the treatment residues meet the applicable treatment standards.

(4) A notice must be sent with each waste shipment to the land disposal facility which includes the following information:

(i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number;

(ii) The corresponding treatment standards for wastes F001-F005, F019, and wastes prohibited pursuant to § 268.12 or RCRA section 3004(d). Treatment standards for all other restricted wastes must either be included, or be referenced by including on the notification the applicable wastewater (as defined by §§ 268.3(f) or nonwastewater (as defined in § 268.2(d)) category, the applicable subdivisions made within a waste code based on waste-specific criteria (such as D003 reactive cyanides), and the CFR section(s) and paragraph(s) where the applicable treatment standard appears. Where the applicable treatment standards are expressed as specified technologies in § 268.42, the applicable five-letter treatment code found in Table 1 of § 268.42 (e.g., INCIN, WETOK) also must be included on the notification.

(iii) The manifest number associated with the shipment of waste; and

(iv) Waste analysis data, where available.

(5) The treatment facility must submit a certification with each shipment of waste or treatment residue of a restricted waste to the land disposal facility stating that the waste or treatment residue has been treated in compliance with the applicable performance standards specified in subpart D of this part and the applicable prohibitions set forth in § 268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d).

(i) For wastes with treatment standards expressed as concentrations in the waste extract or in the waste (§ 268.41 or § 268.43), or for wastes prohibited under § 268.32 of this part or RCRA section 3004(d)
which are not subject to any treatment standards under subpart D of this part, the certification must be signed by an authorized representative and must state the following:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the treatment technology and operation of the treatment process used to support this certification and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining this information. I believe that the treatment process has been operated and maintained properly so as to comply with the performance levels specified in 40 CFR part 268, subpart D, and all applicable prohibitions set forth in 40 CFR 268.32 or RCRA section 3004(d) without impermissible dilution of the prohibited waste. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting a false certification, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

(ii) For wastes with treatment standards expressed as technologies (§ 268.42), the certification must be signed by an authorized representative and must state the following:

I certify under penalty of law that the waste has been treated in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 268.42. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting a false certification, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

(iii) For wastes with treatment standards expressed as concentrations in the waste pursuant to § 268.43, if compliance with the treatment standards in subpart D of this part is based in part or in whole on the analytical detection limit alternative specified in § 268.43(c), the certification also must state the following:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the treatment technology and operation of the treatment process used to support this certification and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining this information, I believe that the nonwastewater organic constituents have been treated by incineration in units operated in accordance with 40 CFR part 264, subpart G or 40 CFR part 265, subpart G, or by combustion in fuel substitution units operating in accordance with applicable technical requirements, and I have been unable to detect the nonwastewater organic constituents despite having used best good faith efforts to analyze for such constituents. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting a false certification, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

(6) If the waste or treatment residue will be further managed at a different treatment or storage facility, the treatment, storage or disposal facility sending the waste or treatment residue off-site must comply with the notice and certification requirements applicable to generators under this section.

(7) Where the wastes are recyclable materials used in a manner constituting disposal subject to the provisions of § 266.20(b) regarding treatment standards and prohibition levels, the owner or operator of a treatment facility (i.e., the recycler) is not required to notify the receiving facility, pursuant to paragraph (b)(4) of this section. With each shipment of such wastes the owner or operator of the recycling facility must submit a certification described in paragraph (b)(5) of this section, and a notice which includes the information listed in paragraph (b)(4) of this section (except the manifest number) to the Regional Administrator, or his delegated representative. The recycling facility also must keep records of the name and location of each entity receiving the hazardous waste-derived product.

(c) Except where the owner or operator is disposing of any waste that is a recyclable material used in a manner constituting disposal pursuant to 40 CFR 266.20(b), the owner or operator of any land disposal facility disposing any waste subject to restrictions under this part must:

(1) Have copies of the notice and certifications specified in paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, and the certification specified in § 268.8 if applicable.

(2) Test the waste, or an extract of the waste or treatment residue developed using the test method described in appendix I of this part or using any methods required by generators under § 264.13 of this part, to assure that the wastes or treatment residues are in compliance with the applicable treatment standards set forth in subpart D of this part and all applicable prohibitions set forth in § 268.32 of this part or in RCRA section 3004(d). Such testing must be performed according to the frequency specified in the facility's waste analysis plan as required by § 264.13 or § 265.13.

(Amended by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0062 and 2040-0042)


§ 268.8 Landfill and surface impoundment disposal restrictions.
(a) Prior to May 8, 1990, wastes which are otherwise prohibited from land disposal under 40 CFR 268.33(f) of this part may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment which is in compliance with the requirements of §268.5(h)(2) provided that the requirements of this section are met. As of May 8, 1990, this section is no longer in effect.

(1) Prior to such disposal, the generator has made a good faith effort to locate and contract with treatment and recovery facilities practically available which provide the greatest environmental benefit.

(2) If a generator determines that there is no practically available treatment for his waste, he must fulfill the following specific requirements:

(1) Prior to the initial shipment of waste, the generator must submit a demonstration to the Regional Administrator that includes: a list of facilities and facility official's contact information, telephone numbers, and contact dates, as well as a written discussion of why he was not able to obtain treatment or recovery for that waste. The generator must also provide to the Regional Administrator the following certification:

I certify under penalty of law that the requirements of 40 CFR 268.8(a)(1) have been met and that disposal in a landfill or surface impoundment is the only practical alternative to treatment currently available. I believe that the information submitted is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

The generator does not need to wait for Regional Administrator approval of the demonstration/certification before shipment of the waste. However, if the Regional Administrator invalidates the demonstration/certification for the reasons outlined in §268.8(c)(2), the generator must immediately cease further shipments of the waste, and immediately inform all facilities that received the waste of such invalidation, and keep records of such communication on-site in his files.

(2) With the initial shipment of waste, the generator must submit a copy of the demonstration and the certification discussed above in §268.8(a)(2)(1) to the receiving facility. With each subsequent waste shipment, only the certification is required to be submitted provided that the conditions being certified remain unchanged. Such a generator must retain on-site a copy of the demonstration (if applicable) and certification required for each waste shipment for at least five years from the date that the waste that is the subject of such documentation was last sent to on-site or off-site disposal. The five-year record retention requirement is automatically extended during the course of any unresolved enforcement action regarding the regulated activity or as requested by the Administrator.

(3) If a generator determines that there are practically available treatments for his waste, he must contract to use the practically available technology that yields the greatest environmental benefit. He must also fulfill the following specific requirements:

The generator does not need to wait for Regional Administrator approval of the demonstration/certification before shipment of the waste.

(1) With the initial shipment of waste, the generator must submit to the receiving facility a copy of the demonstration and the certification discussed above in §268.8(a)(3)(1). With each subsequent waste shipment, only the certification is required to be submitted provided that the conditions being certified remain unchanged. Such a generator must retain on-site a copy of the demonstration (if applicable) and certification required for each waste shipment for at least five years from the date that the waste that is the subject of such documentation was last sent to on-site or off-site disposal. The five-year record retention requirement is automatically extended during the course of any unresolved enforcement action regarding the regulated activity or as requested by the Administrator.

(4) Where the generator has determined that there is practically available treatment for his waste prior to disposal, with the initial shipment of waste, such generator must submit a copy of the demonstration
and the certification required in paragraph (a)(2)(B) of this section to the receiving facility. With each subsequent waste shipment, only the certification is required to be submitted provided that the conditions being certified remain unchanged. Such a generator must retain on-site a copy of the demonstration (if applicable) and certification required for each waste shipment for at least five years from the date that the waste that is the subject of such documentation was last sent to on-site or off-site disposal. The five-year record retention requirement is automatically extended during the course of any unresolved enforcement action regarding the regulated activity or as requested by the Administrator.

(b) After receiving the demonstration and certification, the Regional Administrator may request any additional information which he deems necessary to evaluate the certification, and submit a new demonstration and certification as provided in § 268.8(a) to the receiving facility.

(1) A generator who has submitted a certification under this section must immediately notify the Regional Administrator when he has knowledge of any change in the conditions which formed the basis of his certification.

(2) If, after review of the certification, the Regional Administrator determines that practically available treatment exists where the generator has certified otherwise, or that there exists some other method of practically available treatment yielding greater environmental benefit than that which the generator has certified, the Regional Administrator may invalidate the certification.

(3) If the Regional Administrator invalidates a certification, the generator must immediately cease further shipments of the waste, and inform all facilities that received the waste of such invalidation and keep records of such communication on-site in his files.

(c) A treatment, recovery or storage facility receiving wastes subject to a valid certification must keep copies of the generator's demonstration (if applicable) and certification in his operating record.

(1) The owner or operator of a treatment or recovery facility must certify that he has treated the waste in accordance with the generator's demonstration. The following certification is required:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the treatment technology and operation of the treatment process used to support this certification and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining this information, I believe that the treatment process has been operated and maintained properly so as to comply with treatment as specified in the generator's demonstration. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

(2) The owner or operator of a treatment, recovery or storage facility must, for each initial shipment of waste, send a copy of the generator's demonstration (if applicable) and certification under § 268.8(a)(2)(1) or § 268.8(a)(3)(1) and certification under § 268.8(c)(1) (if applicable) to the facility receiving the waste or treatment residues. With each subsequent waste shipment, only the certification is required to be submitted provided that the conditions being certified remain unchanged.

(d) The owner or operator of a disposal facility must ensure that those wastes prohibited under § 268.33(f) are subject to a certification according to the requirements of this section prior to disposal in a landfill or surface impoundment, and that the units receiving such wastes must meet the minimum technological requirements of § 268.5(h)(2).

(e) Once the certification is received by the Regional Administrator, and provided that the wastes have been treated by the treatment (if any), determined by the generator to yield the greatest environmental benefit practically available, the wastes or treatment residuals may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment unit meeting the requirements of § 268.5(h)(2), unless otherwise prohibited by the Regional Administrator.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2050-0085).


§ 268.9 Special rules regarding wastes that exhibit a characteristic.

(a) The initial generator of a solid waste must determine each EPA Hazardous Waste Number (waste code) applicable to the waste in order to determine the applicable treatment standards under subpart D of this part. For purposes of part 268, the waste will carry the waste code for any applicable listing under 40 CFR part 261, subpart D. In addition, the waste will carry one or more of the waste codes under 40 CFR part 261, subpart C, where the waste exhibits a characteristic, except in the case when the treatment standard for the waste code
listed in 40 CFR part 261, subpart D operates in lieu of the standard for the waste code under 40 CFR part 261, subpart C, as specified in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) Where a prohibited waste is both listed under 40 CFR part 261, subpart D and exhibits a characteristic under 40 CFR part 261, subpart C, the treatment standard for the waste code listed in 40 CFR part 261, subpart D will operate in lieu of the standard for the waste code under 40 CFR part 261, subpart C, provided that the treatment standard for the listed waste includes a treatment standard for the constituent that causes the waste to exhibit the characteristic. Otherwise, the waste must meet the treatment standards for all applicable listed and characteristic waste codes.

(c) In addition to any applicable standards determined from the initial point of generation, no prohibited waste which exhibits a characteristic under 40 CFR part 261, subpart C may be land disposed unless the waste complies with the treatment standards under subpart D of this part.

(d) Wastes that exhibit a characteristic are also subject to § 268.7 requirements, except that once the waste is no longer hazardous, for each shipment of such wastes to a subpart D facility the initial generator or the treatment facility must send a § 268.7 notification to such facility. In such circumstances, a notification and certification must be sent to the appropriate EPA Regional Administrator (or his delegated representative) or State authorized to implement part 268 requirements.

(1) The notification must include the following information:

(1) The name and address of the subpart D facility receiving the waste shipment;

(11) A description of the waste as initially generated, including the applicable EPA Hazardous Waste Number(s), the applicable wastewater (as defined in § 268.2(f)) or nonwastewater (as defined in § 268.2(d)) category, and the subdivisions made within a waste code based on waste-specific criteria (such as DOD3 reactive cyanides);

(111) The treatment standards applicable to the waste at the initial point of generation.

(2) The certification must be signed by an authorized representative and must state the language found in § 268.7(b)(5)(i).

[55 FR 22688, June 1, 1990, as amended at 56 FR 1878, Jan. 31, 1991]

Subpart E -- Schedule for Land Disposal Prohibition and Establishment of Treatment Standards

Source: 51 FR 19305, May 28, 1986, unless otherwise noted.

§ 268.10 Identification of wastes to be evaluated by August 8, 1988.

EPA will take action under sections 3004(g)(5) and 3004(m), of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, by August 8, 1988, for the following wastes (for ease of understanding the wastes have been listed by the section of 40 CFR part 261 under which they were listed):

§ 261.31 Wastes

F006 -- Wastewater treatment sludges from electroplating operations except from the following processes: (1) Sulfuric acid anodizing of aluminum; (2) tin plating on carbon steel; (3) zinc plating (segregated basis) on carbon steel; (4) aluminum or zinc-aluminum plating on carbon steel; (5) cleaning/stripping associated with tin, zinc and aluminum plating on carbon steel; and (6) chemical etching and milling of aluminum.

F007 -- Spent cyanide plating bath solutions from electroplating operations.

F008 -- Plating bath sludges from the bottom of plating baths from electroplating operations where cyanides are used in the process.

F009 -- Spent stripping and cleaning bath solutions from electroplating operations where cyanides are used in the process.

F019 -- Wastewater treatment sludges from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum.

§ 261.32 Wastes

K001 -- Bottom sediment sludge from the treatment of wastewaters from wood preserving processes that use creosote and/or pentachlorophenol.
K004 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of zinc yellow pigments.
K008 -- Over residue from the production of chrome oxide green pigments.
K011 -- Bottom stream from the wastewater stripper in the production of acrylonitrile.
K013 -- Bottom stream from the acetonitrile column in the production of acrylonitrile.
K014 -- Bottoms from the acetonitrile purification column in the production of acrylonitrile.
K015 -- Still bottoms from the distillation of benzyl chloride.
K016 -- Heavy ends or distillation residues from the production of carbon tetrachloride.
K017 -- Heavy ends (still bottoms) from the purification column in the production of epichlorohydrin.
K018 -- Heavy ends from the fractionation column in ethyl chloride production.
K020 -- Heavy ends from the distillation of vinyl chloride in vinyl chloride monomer production.
K021 -- Aqueous spent antimony catalyst waste from fluoromethanes production.
K022 -- Distillation bottom tars from the production of phenol/acetonitrile from cumene.
K024 -- Distillation bottoms from the production of phthalic anhydride from naphthalene.
K030 -- Column bottom or heavy ends from the combined production of trichloroethylene and perchloroethylene.
K031 -- By-product salts generated in the production of MMA and caccodylic acid.
K035 -- Wastewater treatment sludges generated in the production of creosote.
K036 -- Still bottoms from toluene reclamation distillation in the production of disulfotone.
K037 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of disulfotone.
K044 -- Wastewater treatment sludges from the manufacturing and processing of explosives.
K045 -- Spent carbon from the treatment of wastewater containing explosives.
K046 -- Wastewater treatment sludges from the manufacturing, formulation and loading of lead-based initiating compounds.
K047 -- Pink/red water from TNT operations.
K060 -- Ammonia still lime sludge from coking operations.
K061 -- Emission control dust/sludge from the primary production of steel in electric furnaces.
K062 -- Spent pickle liquor from steel finishing operations in chlorine production.
K069 -- Emission control dust/sludge from secondary lead smelting.
K071 -- Brine purification muds from the mercury cells process in chlorine production, where separately purified brine is not used.
K073 -- Chlorinated hydrocarbon waste from the purification step of the diaphragm cell process using graphite anodes.
K083 -- Distillation bottoms from aniline production.
K084 -- Wastewater treatment sludges generated during the production of veterinary pharmaceuticals from arsenic or organoarsenic compounds.
K085 -- Distillation of fractionation column bottoms from the production of chlorobenzene.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K086</td>
<td>Solvent washes and sludges; caustic washes and sludges, or water washes and sludges from cleaning tanks and equipment used in the formulation of ink from pigments, driers, soaps, and stabilizers containing chromium and lead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K087</td>
<td>Decanter tank tar sludge from coking operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K089</td>
<td>Untreated wastewater from the production of 2,4-D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K101</td>
<td>Distillation tar residues from the distillation of aniline-based compounds in the production of veterinary pharmaceuticals from arsenic or organo-arsenic compounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K102</td>
<td>Residue from the use of activated carbon for decolorization in the production of veterinary pharmaceuticals from arsenic or organo-arsenic compounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K103</td>
<td>Process residues from aniline extraction from the production of aniline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K104</td>
<td>Combined wastewater streams generated from nitrobenzene/aniline production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K106</td>
<td>Waste water treatment sludge from the mercury cell process in chlorine production.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§ 261.33(e) Waste

P001 | Warfarin, when present at concentration greater than 0.3% |
P004 | Aldrin |
P005 | Allyl alcohol |
P010 | Arsenic acid |
P011 | Arsenic (V) oxide |
P012 | Arsenic (III) oxide |
P015 | Beryllium dust |
P016 | Bis-(chloromethyl) ether |
P018 | Brucine |
P020 | Dinoseb |
P030 | Soluble cyanide salts not elsewhere specified |
P036 | Dichlorophenylarsine |
P037 | Dieldrin |
P039 | Disulfoton |
P041 | Diethyl-p-nitrophenyl phosphate |
P048 | 2,4-Dinitrophenol |
P050 | Endosulfan |
P058 | Fluoracetic acid, sodium salt |
P059 | Heptachlor |
P063 | Hydrogen cyanide |
P068 | Methyl Hydrazine |
P069 | Methylactonitrile |
P070 | Aldicarb |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P071</td>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P081</td>
<td>Nitroglycerine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P082</td>
<td>N-Nitrosodimethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P084</td>
<td>N-Nitrosomethylvinylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P087</td>
<td>Osmium tetraoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P089</td>
<td>Parathion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P092</td>
<td>Phenylmercuric acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P094</td>
<td>Phorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P097</td>
<td>Fampur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P102</td>
<td>Propargyl alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P105</td>
<td>Sodium azide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P108</td>
<td>Strycnaine and salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P110</td>
<td>Tetraethyl lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P115</td>
<td>Thallium (I) sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P120</td>
<td>Vanadium pentoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P122</td>
<td>Zinc phosphide, when present at concentrations greater than 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P123</td>
<td>Toxaphene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S261.33(f)</td>
<td>Wastes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U007</td>
<td>Acrylamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U009</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U010</td>
<td>Mitomycin C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U012</td>
<td>Aniline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U016</td>
<td>Benz(c)acridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U018</td>
<td>Benz(a)anthracene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U019</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U022</td>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U029</td>
<td>Methyl bromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U031</td>
<td>n-Butanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U036</td>
<td>Chlordane, technical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U037</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U041</td>
<td>n-Chloro-2,3-epoxypropene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U043</td>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U044</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U046</td>
<td>Chloromethyl methyl ether</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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U050 -- Chrysene
U051 -- Creosote
U053 -- Crotonaldehyde
U061 -- DDT
U063 -- Dibenz (a, h) anthracene
U064 -- 1,2:7,8 Dibenzopyrene
U066 -- Dibromo-1-chloropropane 1,2-
U067 -- Ethylene dibromide
U074 -- 1,4-Dichloro-2-butene
U077 -- Ethane, 1,2-dichloro-
U078 -- Dichloromethane, 1,1-
U086 -- N,N Diethylyhydrazine
U089 -- Diethylstilbestrol
U101 -- Dimethyl sulfate
U105 -- 2,4-Dinitrotoluene
U106 -- Dioxane, 1,4-
U115 -- Ethylene oxide
U122 -- Formaldehyde
U124 -- Furan
U129 -- Lindane
U130 -- Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
U133 -- Hydrazine
U134 -- Hydrofluoric acid
U137 -- Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
U139 -- Mercury
U144 -- Methanol
U155 -- Methapyrilene
U157 -- 3-Methylcholanthrene
U158 -- 4,4-Methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline)
U159 -- Methyl ethyl ketone
U171 -- Nitropropane, 2-
U177 -- N-Nitroso-N-methylurea
U180 -- N-Nitrosopyrrolidine
U185 -- Pentachloronitrobenzene
Identification of wastes to be evaluated by June 8, 1989.

§ 261.11 Identification of wastes to be evaluated by June 8, 1989.

EPA will take action under sections 3004(g)(5) and 3004(m) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, by June 8, 1989, for the following wastes (for ease of understanding the wastes have been listed by the section of 40 CFR part 261 under which they were listed):

§ 261.11 Wastes

F010 -- Quenching bath sludge from oil baths from metal heat treating operations where cyanides are used in the process.

F011 -- Spent cyanide solutions from salt bath pot cleaning from metal heat treating operations.

F012 -- Quenching wastewater treatment sludges from metal heat operations where cyanides are used in the process.

F024 -- Wastes including but not limited to, distillation residues, heavy ends, tars and reactor clean-out wastes from the production of chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons, having carbon content from one to five, utilizing free radical catalyzed processes. [This listing does not include light ends, spent filters and filter aids, spent desiccants, wastewater, wastewater treatment sludges, spent catalysts, and wastes listed in § 261.32].

§ 261.32 Wastes

K009 -- Distillation bottoms from the production of acetaldehyde from ethylene.

K010 -- Distillation side cuts from the production of acetaldehyde from ethylene.

K019 -- Heavy ends from the distillation of ethylene dichloride in ethylene dichloride production.

K025 -- Distillation bottoms from the production of nitrobenzene by the nitration of benzene.
K027 -- Centrifuge and distillation residues from toluene diisocyanate production.

K028 -- Spent catalyst from the hydrochlorinator reactor in the production of 1,1,1-trichloroethane.

K029 -- Waste from the product steam stripper in the production of 1,1,1-trichloroethane.

K030 -- Wastewater from the washing and stripping of phorate production.

K031 -- Filter cake from the filtration of diethylphosphoro-dithioic acid in the production of phorate.

K040 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of phorate.

K041 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of toxaphene.

K042 -- Heavy ends or distillation residues from the distillation of tetrachloroethane in the production of 2,4,5-T.

K043 -- 2,6-Dichlorophenol waste from the production of 2,4-D.

K045 -- Distillation bottoms from the production of 1,1,1-trichloroethane.

K046 -- Heavy ends from the heavy ends column from the production of 1,1,1-trichloroethane.

K047 -- Vacuum stripper discharge from the chlordane chlorinator in the production of chlordane.

K048 -- Untreated process wastewater from the production of toxaphene.

K105 -- Separated aqueous stream from the reactor product washing step in the production of chlorobenzenes.

§ 261.33(e) Wastes

P001 -- 1-Acetyl-2-thiourea

P003 -- Acrolein

P004 -- 5-(Aminoethyl)-3-isoxazolol

P005 -- 4-Aminopyridine

P006 -- Thiophenol

P007 -- 1-(o-Chlorophenyl)thiourea

P008 -- Propanenitrile, 1-chloro

P009 -- Copper cyanides

P010 -- 2,4-Dichlophosphate

P011 -- O,O-Diethyl o-pyrazinyl phosphorothioate

P012 -- Diallylfluorophosphate

P013 -- Dimethoate

P014 -- 2,4-Dithiobiuret

P015 -- Aziridine

P016 -- Fluoracetamide

P017 -- Isocyanate

P018 -- Hexaethyltetraphosphate

P019 -- Methomyl

P020 -- 2-Methylaziridine
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P072 -- Alpha-naphthylthiourea (ANTU)  
P074 -- Nickel cyanide  
P085 -- Octamethylpyrophosphoramide  
P098 -- Potassium cyanide  
P104 -- Silver cyanide  
P106 -- Sodium cyanide  
P107 -- Strontium sulfide  
P111 -- Tetraethylpyrophosphate  
P112 -- Tetranitromethane  
P113 -- Thallic oxide  
P114 -- Thallium (I) selenite  
§ 261.33(f) Wastes  
U002 -- Acetone  
U003 -- Acetonitrile  
U005 -- o-Acetylaminofluorene  
U008 -- Acrylic acid  
U011 -- Amitrole  
U014 -- Auramine  
U015 -- Azaserine  
U020 -- Benzenesulfonyl chloride  
U021 -- Benzidine  
U023 -- Benzo trimchloride  
U028 -- Dichloroethyl ether  
U026 -- Chlornaphazine  
U028 -- Bis-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate  
U032 -- Calcium chromate  
U035 -- Chlorambucil  
U047 -- Beta-chloronaphthalene  
U049 -- 4-Chloro-o-toluidine, hydrochloride  
U057 -- Cyclohexanone  
U058 -- Cyclophosphamide  
U059 -- Daunomycin  
U060 -- DDD  
U062 -- Diallate
U070 -- p-Dichlorobenzene
U073 -- Dichlorobenzene, 3,3-
U080 -- Methylene chloride
U083 -- Dichloropropane, 1,2-
U092 -- Dimethylamine
U093 -- Dimethylaminobenzene
U094 -- Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene, 7,12-
U095 -- Dimethylbenzidine, 3,3'-
U097 -- Dimethylcarbamoyl chloride
U098 -- Dimethylhydrazine, 1,1-
U099 -- Dimethylhydrazine, 1,2-
U101 -- Dimethylphenol, 2,4-
U106 -- Dinitrotoluene, 2,6-
U107 -- Di-n-octyl phthalate
U109 -- 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine
U110 -- Dipropylamine
U111 -- Di-N-Propylnitrosamine
U114 -- Ethylenebis(dithiocarbamic acid)
U116 -- Ethylene thiourea
U119 -- Ethyl methanesulfonate
U127 -- Hexachlorobenzene
U128 -- Hexachlorobutadiene
U131 -- Hexachloroethane
U135 -- Hydrogen sulfide
U138 -- Methyl iodide
U140 -- Isobutyl alcohol
U142 -- Kepone
U143 -- Lasiocarpine
U144 -- Lead acetate
U145 -- Lead subacetate
U147 -- Maleic anhydride
U149 -- Malononitrile
U150 -- Melphalan
U161 -- Methyl isobutyl ketone
40 CFR as of July 1, 1991
Part 268, Subparts A through E and Appendices I through IX
Page: 24

U162 -- Methyl methacrylate
U163 -- N-Methyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine
U164 -- Methylthiouracil
U165 -- Naphthalene
U166 -- Naphthylamine, 2-
U169 -- Nitrobenzene
U170 -- p-Nitrophenol
U172 -- N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine
U173 -- N-Nitroso-diethanolamine
U174 -- N-Nitroso-diethylyamine
U176 -- N-Nitroso-N-ethyurea
U178 -- N-Nitroso-N-methylurethane
U179 -- N-Nitrosopiperidine
U189 -- Phosphorus sulfide
U193 -- 1,1-Propane sultone
U196 -- Pyridine
U203 -- Safrole
U205 -- Selenium disulfide
U206 -- Streptozotocin
U208 -- Tetrahydrofuran
U211 -- Thallium (I) acetate
U215 -- Thallium (I) carbonate
U216 -- Thallium (I) chloride
U217 -- Thallium (I) nitrate
U218 -- Thioacetamide
U235 -- Tris (2,3-Dibromopropyl) phosphate
U239 -- Xylene
U244 -- Thiram

§ 268.12 Identification of wastes to be evaluated by May 8, 1990.

(a) EPA will take action under sections 3004(g)(5) and 3004(m) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, by May 8, 1990, for the following wastes (for ease of understanding, the wastes have been listed by the section of 40 CFR part 261 under which they were listed):

§ 261.32 Wastes

X002 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of chrome yellow and orange pigments.
K003 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of barycraste orange pigments.

K005 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of chrome green pigments.

K006 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of chrome oxide green pigments (anhydrous and hydrated).

K007 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of iron blue pigments.

K023 -- Distillation light ends from the production of phthalic anhydride from napthalene.

K026 -- Stripping still tails from the production of methyl ethyl pyridines.

K032 -- Wastewater treatment sludge from the production of chlorane.

K033 -- Wastewater and scrub water from the chlorination of cyclopentadiene in the production of chlorane.

K034 -- Filter solids from the hexachlorocyclopentadiene in the production of chlorane.

K048-Dissolved air flotation (DAF) float from the petroleum refining industry.

K049-Slop oil emulsion solids from the petroleum refining industry.

K050-Heat exchanger bundle cleaning sludge from the petroleum refining industry.

K051-API separator sludge from the petroleum refining industry.

K093 -- Distillation light ends from the production of phthalic anhydride from ortho-xylene.

K094 -- Distillation bottoms from the production of phthalic anhydride from ortho-xylene.

K100 -- Waste leaching solution from acid leaching of emission control dust/sludge from secondary lead smelting.

§ 261.33(e) Wastes

P006 -- Aluminum phosphide

P009 -- Ammonium picrate

P013 -- Barium cyanide

P017 -- Bromoacetone

P021 -- Calcium cyanide

P022 -- Carbon disulfide

P023 -- Chloroacetaldeneye

P024 -- p-Chloroaniline

P028 -- Benzyl chloride

P031 -- Cyanogen

P033 -- Cyanogen chloride

P034 -- 4,6-Dinitro-o-cyclohexylphenol

P038 -- Diethylarsine

P042 -- Epinephrine

P045 -- Thiofanox
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P046 -- Alpha, alpha-Dimethylphenethylamine
P047 -- 4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol and salts
P051 -- Endrin
P056 -- Fluorine
P064 -- Methyl isocyanate
P065 -- Mercury fulminate
P073 -- Nickel carbonyl
P075 -- Nicotine and salts
P076 -- Nitric oxide
P077 -- p-Nitroaniline
P078 -- Nitrogen dioxide
P088 -- Endothall
P093 -- N-Phenylthioureia
P095 -- Phoegene
P096 -- Phosphine
P099 -- Potassium silver cyanide
P101 -- Propanenitrile
P103 -- Selenourea
P109 -- Tetraethylthiophosphonophosphate
P116 -- Thiosemicarbazide
P118 -- Trichloromethanethiol
P119 -- Ammonium vanadate
P121 -- Zinc cyanide
§ 261.33(f) Wastes
U001 -- Acetaldehyde
U004 -- Acetophenone
U006 -- Acetyl chloride
U017 -- Benzal chloride
U024 -- Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
U027 -- Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether
U030 -- Benzene, 1-bromo-4-phenoxy
U033 -- Carbonyl fluoride
U034 -- Chloral
U038 -- Ethyl-4,4'-dichlorobenzilate
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U039 -- 4-Chloro-m-cresol
U042 -- Vinyl ether, 2-chloroethyl
U045 -- Methyl chloride
U048 -- o-Chlorophenol
U052 -- Cresols
U055 -- Cumene
U056 -- Cyclohexane
U068 -- Methane, dibromo
U069 -- Dibutyl phthalate
U071 -- m-Dichlorobenzene
U072 -- p-Dichlorobenzene
U075 -- Dichlorodifluoromethane
U076 -- Ethane, 1,1-dichloro-
U079 -- 1,2-Dichloroethylene
U081 -- 2,4-Dichlorophenol
U082 -- 2,6-Dichlorophenol
U084 -- 1,3-Dichloropropene
U085 -- 2,2'-Bisoxirane
U087 -- O,O-Diethyl-S-methyl-dithiophosphate
U088 -- Diethyl phthalate.
U090 -- Dihydrosfrole
U091 -- 1,3'-Dimethoxybenzidine
U096 -- alpha,alpha-Dimethylbenzylhydroxyperoxide
U102 -- Dimethyl phthalate
U112 -- Ethyl acetate
U113 -- Ethyl acrylate
U117 -- Ethyl ether
U118 -- Ethylmethacrylate
U120 -- Fluoranthene
U121 -- Trichloromonomofluoromethane
U123 -- Formic acid
U125 -- Furfural
U126 -- Glycidylaldenye
U132 -- Hexachlorophene
U136 -- Cacodylic acid
U139 -- Iron dextran
U141 -- Isosafrole
U145 -- Lead phosphate
U148 -- Maleic hydrazide
U152 -- Methacrylonitrile
U153 -- Methanethiol
U156 -- Methyl chlorocarbonate
U160 -- Methyl ethyl ketone peroxide
U166 -- 1,4-Naphthaquinone
U167 -- 1-Naphthylamine
U181 -- 5-Nitro-o-toluidine
U182 -- Paraldehyde
U183 -- Pentachlorobenzene
U184 -- Pentachloroethane
U186 -- 1,3-Pentadiene
U187 -- Phenacetin
U190 -- Phthalic anhydride
U191 -- 2-Picoline
U194 -- 1-Propanamine
U197 -- p-Benzquinone
U201 -- Resorcinol
U202 -- Saccharin and salts
U204 -- Selenious acid
U207 -- 1,2,4,5-tetrachlorobenzene
U222 -- o-Toluidine hydrochloride
U225 -- Bromoform
U234 -- Sym-Trinitrobenzene
U236 -- Trypan blue
U240 -- 2,4-D, salts and esters
U243 -- Hexachloroethane
U246 -- Cyanogen bromide
U247 -- Methoxychlor
Wastes identified as hazardous based on a characteristic alone (i.e., corrosivity, reactivity, ignitability and EF toxicity).

(b) Wastewater residues (less than 1% total organic carbon and less than 1% total suspended solids) resulting from the following well-designed and well-operated treatment methods for wastes listed in §§268.10 and 268.11 for which EPA has not promulgated wastewater treatment standards: metals recovery, metals precipitation, cyanide destruction, carbon adsorption, chemical oxidation, steam stripping, biodegradation, and incineration or other direct thermal destruction.

(c) Hazardous wastes listed in §§268.10 and 268.11 that are mixed hazardous/radioactive wastes.

(d) Multi-source leachate that is derived from disposal of any listed waste, except from Hazardous Wastes F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, F027, or F028.

(e) Nonwastewater forms of wastes listed in §268.10 that were originally disposed before August 17, 1986 and for which EPA has promulgated "no land disposal" as the treatment standard (§268.43, Table CCW, No Land Disposal Subtable). This provision does not apply to waste codes K044, K045, K047, and K061 (high zinc subcategory).

(f) Nonwastewater forms of wastes listed in §268.10 for which EPA has promulgated "no land disposal" as the treatment standard (§268.43, Table CCW, No Land Disposal Subtable) that are generated in the course of treating wastewater forms of the wastes. This provision does not apply to waste codes K044, K045, K047, and K061 (high zinc subcategory).

(g) Nonwastewater forms of waste codes K015 and K081.

In the case of any hazardous waste identified or listed under section 3001 after November 8, 1984, the Administrator shall make a land disposal prohibition determination within 6 months after the date of identification or listing.

Subpart C -- Prohibitions on Land Disposal

§268.30 Waste specific prohibitions -- Solvent wastes.

(a) Effective November 8, 1986, the spent solvent wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.31 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F001, F002, F003, F004, and F005, are prohibited under this part from land disposal (except in an injection well) unless one or more of the following conditions apply:

(1) The generator of the solvent waste is a small quantity generator of 100-1000 kilograms of hazardous waste per month; or

(2) The solvent waste is generated from any response action taken under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) or any corrective action taken under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), except where the waste is contaminated soil or debris; or

(3) The initial generator's solvent waste is a wastewater mixture, solvent-containing sludge or solid, or solvent-contaminated soil (non-CERCLA or RCRA corrective action) containing less than 1 percent total F001-F005 solvent constituents listed in Table CCW of §268.41 of this part; or

(4) The solvent waste is a residue from treating a waste described in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this section; or the solvent waste is a residue from treating a waste not described in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this section provided such residue belongs to a different treatability group than the waste as initially generated and wastes belonging to such a treatability group are described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(b) Effective November 8, 1986, the F001-F005 solvent wastes listed in paragraphs (a) (1), (2), (3), or (4) of this section are prohibited from land disposal.

(c) Effective November 8, 1990, the F001-F005 solvent wastes which are contaminated soil and debris resulting from a response action taken under section 104 or 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) or a corrective action required under subtitle C of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the residues from treating these wastes are prohibited from...
land disposal. Between November 8, 1988, and November 8, 1990, these wastes may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in § 268.5(h)(2).

(d) The requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section do not apply if:

(1) The waste meet the standards of subpart D of this part; or

(2) Persons have been granted an exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under § 268.6, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the petition; or

(3) Persons have been granted an extension to the effective date of a prohibition pursuant to § 268.5, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the extension.

[53 FR 31216, Aug. 17, 1988]

§ 268.31 Waste specific prohibitions -- Dioxin-containing wastes.

(a) Effective November 8, 1988, the dioxin-containing wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.31 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F021, F022, F023, F026, F027, and F028, are prohibited from land disposal unless the following condition applies:

(1) The F020-F023 and F026-F028 dioxin-containing waste is contaminated soil and debris resulting from a response action taken under section 104 or 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) or a corrective action taken under subtitle C of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

(b) Effective November 8, 1990, the F020-F023 and F026-F028 dioxin-containing wastes listed in paragraph (a)(1) of this section are prohibited from land disposal.

(c) Between November 8, 1988, and November 8, 1990, wastes included in paragraph (a)(1) of this section may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in § 268.5(h)(2) and all other applicable requirements of parts 264 and 265 of this chapter.

(d) The requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section do not apply if:

(1) The wastes meet the standards of subpart D of this part; or

(2) Persons have been granted an exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under § 268.6, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the petition; or

(3) Persons have been granted an extension to the effective date of a prohibition pursuant to § 268.5, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the extension.

[53 FR 31216, Aug. 17, 1988]

§ 268.32 Waste specific prohibitions -- California list wastes.

(a) Effective July 6, 1987, the following hazardous wastes are prohibited from land disposal (except in injection wells):

(1) Liquid hazardous wastes having a pH less than or equal to two (2.0);

(2) Liquid hazardous wastes containing polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) at concentrations greater than or equal to 50 ppm;

(3) Liquid hazardous wastes that are primarily water and contain halogenated organic compounds (HOCs) in total concentration greater than or equal to 1,000 mg/l and less than 10,000 mg/l HOCs.

(b) -- (c) [Reserved;

(d) The requirements of paragraphs (a) and (e) of this section do not apply until:

(1) July 6, 1989 where the wastes are contaminated soil or debris not resulting from a response action taken under section 104 or 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) or a corrective action taken under Subtitle C of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).
Between July 8, 1987 and July 8, 1989, the wastes may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such disposal is in compliance with the requirements specified in §268.5(h)(2).

(2) November 8, 1990 where the wastes are contaminated soil or debris resulting from a response action taken under section 104 or 106 of CERCLA or a corrective action taken under Subtitle C of RCRA. Between November 8, 1988, and November 8, 1990, the wastes may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in §268.5(h)(2).

(e) Effective November 8, 1988, the following hazardous wastes are prohibited from land disposal (subject to any regulations that may be promulgated with respect to disposal in injection wells):

(1) Liquid hazardous wastes that contain HOCs in total concentration greater than or equal to 1,000 mg/l and are not prohibited under paragraph (a)(3) of this section; and

(2) Nonliquid hazardous wastes containing HOCs in total concentration greater than or equal to 1,000 mg/kg and are not wastes described in paragraph (d) of this section.

(f) Between July 8, 1987 and November 8, 1988, the wastes included in paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in §268.5(h)(2).

(g) The requirements of paragraphs (a), (d), and (e) of this section do not apply if:

(1) Persons have been granted an exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under §268.6, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the petition (except for liquid hazardous wastes containing polychlorinated biphenyls at concentrations greater than or equal to 500 ppm which are not eligible for such exemptions); or

(2) Persons have been granted an extension to the effective date of a prohibition pursuant to §268.5, with respect to those wastes covered by the extension; or

(3) The wastes meet the applicable standards specified in subpart D of this part or, where treatment standards are not specified, the wastes are in compliance with the applicable prohibitions set forth in this section or RCRA section 3004(d).

(h) The prohibitions and effective dates specified in paragraphs (a)(1), (d), and (e) of this section do not apply where the waste is subject to a part 268 subpart C prohibition and effective date for a specified HOC (such as a hazardous waste chlorinated solvent, see e.g., §268.30(a)).

(1) To determine whether or not a waste is a liquid under paragraphs (a) and (e) of this section and under RCRA section 3004(d), the following test must be used: Method 9095 (Paint Filter Liquids Test) as described in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Physical/Chemical Methods," EPA Publication No. SW-846. (Incorporated by reference. see §260.11(a) of this chapter.)

(2) Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, the waste analysis and recordkeeping requirements of §268.7 are applicable to wastes prohibited under this part or RCRA section 3004(d):

(1) The initial generator of a liquid hazardous waste must test his waste (not an extract or filtrate) in accordance with the procedures specified in §261.22(a)(1), or use knowledge of the waste, to determine if the waste has a pH less than or equal to two (2.0). If the liquid waste has a pH less than or equal to two (2.0), it is restricted from land disposal, and all requirements of part 268 are applicable, except as otherwise specified in this section.

(2) The initial generator of either a liquid hazardous waste containing polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) or a liquid or nonliquid hazardous waste containing halogenated organic compounds (HOCs) must test his waste (not an extract or filtrate), or use knowledge of the waste, to determine whether the concentration levels in the waste equal or exceed the prohibition levels specified in this section. If the concentration of PCBs or HOCs in the waste is greater than or equal to the prohibition levels specified in this section, the waste is restricted from land disposal and all requirements of part 268 are applicable, except as otherwise specified in this section.
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§268.33 Waste specific prohibitions -- First Third Wastes
(a) Effective August 8, 1988, the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.32 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F006 (nonwaste), K001, K004 wastes specified in § 268.43(a), K008 wastes specified in § 268.42(a), K216, K218, K019, K220, K021 wastes specified in § 268.43(a), K022 (nonwaste), K024, K025 nonwastes specified in § 268.43(a), K030, K036 (nonwaste), K037, K044, K045, nonexplosive K046 (nonwaste), K047, K060 (nonwaste), K061 (nonwaste containing less than 15% zinc), K062, non CASG, K069 (nonwastes), K086 (solvent washes), K087, K099, K100 nonwastes specified in § 268.43(a), K101 (waste), K102 (waste), K104 (waste), K105 (waste), low arsenic subcategory -- less than 1% total arsenic), K106 (waste), K107 (waste), K108 (waste), K109 (waste), K110 (waste), K111, K112, K113, K114, lowarsenic subcategory -- less than 1% total arsenic), K115, and K116 are prohibited from land disposal (except in an injection well).

(1) Effective August 8, 1988 and continuing until August 7, 1990, K061 wastes containing 15% zinc or greater are prohibited from land disposal pursuant to the treatment standards specified in § 268.41 applicable to K061 wastes that contain less than 15% zinc.

(b) Effective August 8, 1990, the waste specified in 40 CFR 261.32 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K071 is prohibited from land disposal.

(c) Effective August 8, 1990, the wastes specified in 40 CFR 268.10 having a treatment standard in subpart D of this part based on incineration and which are contaminated soil and debris are prohibited from land disposal.

(d) Between November 8, 1988 and August 8, 1990, wastes included in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section may be disposed of in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in § 268.5(n)(2).

(e) The requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) of this section do not apply if:

(1) The wastes meet the applicable standards specified in subpart D of this part; or

(2) Persons have been granted an exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under § 268.6, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the petition; or

(3) Persons have been granted an extension to the effective date of a prohibition pursuant to § 268.5, with respect to those wastes covered by the extension.

(f) Between August 8, 1988, and May 8, 1990, the wastes specified in § 268.10 for which treatment standards under subpart D of this part have not been promulgated, including those wastes which are subject to the statutory prohibitions of RCRA section 3004(d) or codified prohibitions under § 268.32 of this part, but not including wastes subject to a treatment standard under § 268.42 of this part, are prohibited from disposal in a landfill or surface impoundment unless a demonstration and certification have been submitted to § 268.8.

(g) To determine whether a hazardous waste listed in § 268.10 exceeds the applicable treatment standards specified in § 268.41 and § 268.43, the initial generator must test a representative sample of the waste extract or the entire waste depending on whether the treatment standards are expressed as concentrations in the waste extract or the waste, or the generator may use knowledge of the waste. If the waste contains constituents in excess of the applicable subpart D levels, the waste is prohibited from land disposal and all requirements of part 268 are applicable, except as otherwise specified.

§ 268.34 Waste specific prohibitions -- second third wastes.

(a) Effective June 8, 1989, the following wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.31 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F010; F024; the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.32 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K005, K007, K009 (nonwaste), K010; K023; K027; K028; K029 (nonwaste); K036 (waste); K038; K040; K043; K093; K094; K095 (nonwaste); K096 (nonwaste); K113; K114; K115; K116; and the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.33 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F013; F021; F029; F030; F039; F040; F041; F043; F044; F062; F063; F071; F074; F085; F089; F094; F097; F098; F099; F104; F106; F109; F111; F121; U028; U058; U069; U087; U086; U102; U107; U121; U223; and U235 are prohibited from land disposal.

(b) Effective June 8, 1989, the following wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.32 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K009 (wastes), K011 (nonwaste), K013 (nonwaste), and K014 (nonwaste) are prohibited from land disposal except when they are underground injected pursuant to 40 CFR 148.14(f) and 148.15(d).
(c) Effective July 8, 1989, the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.31 as EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F006 -- cyanide (nonwastewaters); F008; F009; F011 (wastewaters) and F012 (wastewaters) are prohibited from land disposal.

(1) Effective July 8, 1989, the following waste specified in 40 CFR 261.31 as EPA Hazardous Waste No. F007 is prohibited from land disposal except when it is under ground injected pursuant to 40 CFR 144.14(f).

(2) Effective July 8, 1989 and continuing until December 8, 1989, F011 (nonwastewaters) and F012 (nonwastewaters) are prohibited from land disposal pursuant to the treatment standards specified in §§ 268.41 and 268.43 applicable to F007, F008, and F009 nonwastewaters. Effective December 8, 1989 F011 (nonwastewaters) and F012 (nonwastewaters) are prohibited from land disposal pursuant to the treatment standards specified in §§ 268.41 and 268.43 applicable to F011 (nonwastewaters) and F012 (nonwastewaters).

(d) Effective June 8, 1991, the wastes specified in this section having a treatment standard in subpart D of this part based on incineration, and which are contaminated soil and debris are prohibited from land disposal.

(e) Between June 8, 1989 and June 8, 1991, (for wastes F007, F008, F009, F011, and F012 between June 8, 1989 and July 8, 1989) wastes included in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section may be disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment, regardless whether such unit is a new, replacement, or lateral expansion unit, only if such unit is in compliance with the technical requirements specified in § 268.5(h)(2).

(f) The requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) of this section do not apply if:

(1) The wastes meet the applicable standards specified in subpart D of this part; or

(2) Persons have been granted an exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under § 266.6, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the petition.

(g) The requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section do not apply if persons have been granted an extension to the effective date of a prohibition pursuant to § 268.5, with respect to those wastes covered by the extension.

(h) Between June 8, 1989 and May 8, 1990, the wastes specified in § 268.11 for which treatment standards under subpart D of this part are not applicable, including California list wastes subject to the statutory prohibitions of RCRA section 3004(d) or codified prohibitions under § 268.32, are prohibited from disposal in a landfill or surface impoundment unless the wastes are the subject of a valid demonstration and certification pursuant to § 268.6.

(1) To determine whether a hazardous waste listed in §§ 268.10, 268.11, and 268.12 exceeds the applicable treatment standards specified in §§ 268.41 and 268.43, the initial generator must test a representative sample of the waste extract or the entire waste, depending on whether the treatment standards are expressed as concentrations in the waste extract or the waste, or the generator may use knowledge of the waste. If the waste contains constituents in excess of the applicable subpart D levels, the waste is prohibited from land disposal and all requirements of part 268 are applicable, except as otherwise specified.

[54 FR 26648, June 22, 1989]

§ 268.35 Waste specific prohibitions -- Third Third wastes.

(a) Effective August 8, 1990, the following wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.31 as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers F002 (1,1,2-trichloroethane), F005 (benzene), F005 (2-ethoxy ethanol) F005 (2-nitropropane), F006 (wastewaters), F019, F025, and F039 (wastewaters); the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.32 as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers K002; K003; K004 (wastewaters); K005 (wastewaters); K006; K008 (wastewaters); K011 (wastewaters); K013 (wastewaters); K014 (wastewaters); K015 (wastewaters); K017; K021 (wastewaters); K022 (wastewaters); K025 (wastewaters); K026; K029 (wastewaters); K031 (wastewaters); K032 (wastewaters) and K034; K035; K041; K042; K046 (wastewaters, reactive nonwastewaters); K048 (wastewaters); K049 (wastewaters); K050 (wastewaters); K051 (wastewaters); K052 (wastewaters); K055 (wastewaters); K061 (wastewaters) and (high zinc subcategory > 15% zinc); K069 (wastewaters, calcium sulfate nonwastewaters) K073, K083; K084 (wastewaters); K085; K089 (wastewaters); K096 (wastewaters); K097; K098; K100 (wastewaters); K101 (wastewaters); K102 (wastewaters); K103; and K106 (wastewaters); the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.33(e) as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers P001; P002; P003; P004; P005; P006; P007; P008; P009; P010 (wastewaters); P011 (wastewaters); P012 (wastewaters); P014; P015; P016; P017; P018; P020; P022; P023; P024; P026; P027; P028; P031; P033; P034; P036 (wastewaters); P037; P038 (wastewaters); P042; P043; P045; P046; P047; P048; P049; P050; P051; P054; P055; P057; P058; P059; P060; P064; P065 (wastewaters); P066; P067; P068; P069; P070; P072; P073; P075; P076; P077; P081; P082; P084; P088; P092 (wastewaters); P093; P095; P096; P101; P102; P103; P105; P108; P110; P112; P113; P114; P115; P116; P118; P119; P120; P122; and P123; and the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.33(f) as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers
U001; U002; U003; U004; U005; U006; U007; U008; U009; U010; U011; U012; U013; U014; U015; U016; U017; U018; U019; U020; U021; U022; U023; U024; U025; U026; U027; U028; U029; U030; U031; U032; U033; U034; U035; U036; U037; U038; U039; U041; U042; U043; U044; U045; U046; U047; U048; U049; U050; U051; U052; U053; U055; U056; U057; U058; U059; U060; U061; U062; U063; U064; U065; U066; U067; U068; U069; U070; U071; U072; U073; U074; U075; U076; U077; U078; U079; U080; U081; U082; U083; U084; U085; U086; U087; U088; U089; U090; U091; U092; U093; U094; U095; U096; U097; U098; U099; U100; U101; U103; U105; U106; U108; U109; U110; U111; U112; U113; U114; U115; U116; U117; U118; U119; U120; U121; U122; U123; U124; U125; U126; U127; U128; U129; U130; U131; U132; U133; U134; U135; U136; U137; U138; U140; U141; U142; U143; U144; U145; U146; U147; U148; U149; U150; U151; U152; U153; U154; U155; U156; U157; U158; U159; U160; U161; U162; U163; U164; U165; U166; U167; U168; U169; U170; U171; U172; U173; U174; U176; U177; U178; U179; U180; U181; U182; U184; U185; U186; U187; U188; U189; U191; U192; U193; U194; U196; U197; U200; U201; U202; U203; U204; U205; U206; U207; U208; U209; U210; U211; U212; U213; U214; U215; U216; U217; U218; U219; U220; U222; U225; U226; U227; U228; U234; U236; U237; U238; U239; U240; U243; U244; U246; U247; U248; U249; and the following wastes identified by the following characterstic alone: D001; D002; D003; D004 (wastewaters); D005; D006; D007; D008 (except for lead materials stored before secondary smelting); D009 (wastewaters); D010, D011, D012, D013, D014, D015, D016, and D017 are prohibited from land disposal.

(b) Effective November 8, 1990, the following wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.32 as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers K048 (nonwastewaters), K049 (nonwastewaters), K050 (nonwastewaters), K051 (nonwastewaters), and K052 (nonwastewaters) are prohibited from land disposal.

(c) Effective May 8, 1992, the following waste specified in 40 CFR 261.31 as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers P039 (nonwastewaters); the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.32 as EPA hazardous waste Numbers K031 (nonwastewaters); K084 (nonwastewaters); K01 (nonwastewaters); K020 (nonwastewaters); K026 (nonwastewaters); and the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.33(e) as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers P040 (nonwastewaters); P041 (nonwastewaters); P042 (nonwastewaters); P043 (nonwastewaters); P044 (nonwastewaters); P045 (nonwastewaters); P046 (nonwastewaters); P047; and P092 (nonwastewaters); the wastes specified in 40 CFR 261.33(f) as EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers U136 (nonwastewaters); and U151 (nonwastewaters); the following wastes identified as hazardous based on a characteristic alone: D004 (wastewaters); D005 (lead materials stored before secondary smelting); and D009 (nonwastewaters); inorganic solid debris as defined in 40 CFR 268.2(g) (which also applies to chromium refractory bricks carrying the EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers K048-K052); and RCA hazardous wastes that contain naturally occurring radioactive materials are prohibited from land disposal.

(d) Effective May 8, 1992, hazardous wastes listed in 40 CFR 268.10, 268.11, and 268.12 that are mixed radioactive/hazardous wastes, and soil or debris contaminated with hazardous wastes listed in 40 CFR 268.10, 268.11, and 268.12 that are mixed radioactive/hazardous wastes, are prohibited from land disposal.

(e) Effective May 8, 1992, the wastes specified in this section having a treatment standard in subpart D of this part based on incineration, mercury retorting, vitrification, acid leaching followed by chemical precipitation, or thermal recovery of metals, and which are contaminated soil or debris, are prohibited from land disposal.

(f) Between May 8, 1980 and August 8, 1990, the wastes included in paragraph (a) may be disposed of in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in § 268.5(h)(2).

(g) Between May 8, 1980, and November 8, 1990, wastes included in paragraph (b) of this section may be disposed of in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in § 268.5(h)(2).

(h) Between May 8, 1980, and May 8, 1992, wastes included in paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this section may be disposed of in a landfill or surface impoundment only if such unit is in compliance with the requirements specified in § 268.5(h)(2).

(1) The requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) of this section do not apply if:

1. The wastes meet the applicable standards specified in subpart D of this part; and

2. Persons have been granted an exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under § 268.6, with respect to those wastes and units covered by the petition;

3. The wastes meet the applicable alternate standards established pursuant to a petition granted under § 268.44;

4. Persons have been granted an extension to the effective date of a prohibition pursuant to § 268.5, with respect to those wastes covered by the extension.
[j] To determine whether a hazardous waste listed in § 268.10, 268.11, and 268.12 exceeds the applicable treatment standards specified in §§ 268.41 and 268.43, the initial generator must test a representative sample of the waste extract or the entire waste, depending on whether the treatment standards are expressed as concentrations in the waste extract or the waste, or the generator may use knowledge of the waste. If the waste contains constituents in excess of the applicable subpart D levels, the waste is prohibited from land disposal, and all requirements of part 268 are applicable, except as otherwise specified.

[55 FR 22688, June 1, 1990, as amended at 56 FR 3878, Jan. 31, 1991]

Subpart D -- Treatment Standards

§ 268.40 Applicability of treatment standards.

(a) A restricted waste identified in § 268.41 may be land disposed only if an extract of the waste or of the treatment residue of the waste developed using the test method in Appendix II of part 261 does not exceed the value shown in Table CCWE of § 268.41 for any hazardous constituent listed in Table CCWE for that waste, with the following exceptions: D004, D008, K031, K084, K101, K102, P010, P011, P012, P036, P038, and U136. These wastes may be land disposed only if an extract of the waste or of the treatment residue of the waste developed using either the test method in 40 CFR part 261, appendix II, or the test method in appendix IX of this part, does not exceed the concentrations shown in Table CCWE of § 268.41 for any hazardous constituent listed in Table CCWE for that waste.

(b) A restricted waste for which a treatment technology is specified under § 268.42(a) may be land disposed after it is treated using that specified technology or an equivalent treatment method approved by the Administrator under the procedures set forth in § 268.42(b).

(c) Except as otherwise specified in § 268.41(c), a restricted waste identified in § 268.43 may be land disposed only if the constituent concentrations in the waste or treatment residue of the waste do not exceed the value shown in Table CCW of § 268.43 for any hazardous constituents listed in Table CCW for that waste.


§ 268.41 Treatment standards expressed as concentrations in waste extract.

(a) Table CCWE identifies the restricted wastes and the concentrations of their associated constituents which may not be exceeded by the extract of a waste or waste treatment residual developed using the test method in appendix I of this part for the allowable land disposal of such wastes, with the exception of wastes D004, D008, K031, K084, K101, K102, P010, P011, P012, P036, P038, and U136. Table CCWE identifies the restricted wastes D004, D008, K031, K084, K101, K102, P010, P011, P012, P036, P038, and U136 and the concentrations of their associated constituents which may not be exceeded by the extract of a waste or waste treatment residual developed using the test method in appendix I of this part or appendix II of 40 CFR part 261 for the allowable land disposal of such wastes. (Appendix II of this part provides Agency guidance on treatment methods that have been shown to achieve the Table CCWE levels for the respective wastes. Appendix II of this part is not a regulatory requirement but is provided to assist generators and owners/operators in their selection of appropriate treatment methods. Compliance with these Concentrations is required based upon grab samples.

Note: Table CCWE as contained in this file follows the text of the 1/31/91 Federal Register article (56 FR 3864) that amended this table, rather than the text in 40 CFR 1991. Printing errors in the 1991 CFR version of this table resulted in misalignment of the CAS number and concentration columns in relation to the chemical names. Certain minor typographical errors in the Federal Register version have also been corrected in this version; for details, see NOTE83 in the Federal Register File Library (56) on the SRPB-BBS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste code</th>
<th>Commercial chemical name</th>
<th>See also</th>
<th>Regulated hazardous constituent</th>
<th>CAS No. for regulated hazardous constituent</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D004</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>7440-38-2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D005</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>7440-39-3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D006</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440-43-9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D007</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D009 (Low)</td>
<td>Mercury (Subcategory less than 260 mg/kg Mercury)</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>7439-97-6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D010</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>7782-49-2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D011</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P001-005</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table 2 in 268.42 and Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-Butyl alcohol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71-36-3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cresols (and cresylic acid)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>108-94-1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethyl acetaete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethyl ether</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60-29-7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isobutanol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78-83-1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67-56-1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methylene chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75-9-2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methyl isobutyl ketone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98-95-3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
(1)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>10.86-1</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluor-ethane</td>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>40 CF R</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440-43-9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440-43-9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440-41-9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>7440-47-17</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7419-92-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440-43-9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HxCDD-All Hexachloro-dibenzo-p-dioxins</td>
<td>&lt;1 ppb</td>
<td>&lt;1 ppb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HxCDF-All Hexachloro-</td>
<td>&lt;1 ppb</td>
<td>&lt;1 ppb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Chemicals in Part 268

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>ppm</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzo[cd]pyrene</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCDD—All Tetrachloro-dibenzo-p-dioxins</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCDF—All Tetrachloro-dibenzofurans</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,5-Trichlorophenol</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-Trichlorophenol</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Heavy Metals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>ppm</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>ppm</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-Trichlorophenol</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix 26B

- **F024 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **F039 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **K001 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **K002 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **K003 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **K004 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **K005 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **K006 (anhydrous) NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
- **K007 NA**: Table CCW in 268.43
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Chromium (Total)</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Nickel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K015</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K021</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K022</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K028</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K031</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K046</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K049</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K050</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K051</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K052</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K061</td>
<td>(Low NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K061</td>
<td>(High  NA</td>
<td>Table CCW in 268.43</td>
<td>7440-47-32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td>7439-92-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td>7440-02-0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>